CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)	
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form	
Title of the proposed CDM project activity submitted for registration	6.6 MW Sheshadri Iyer Mini Hydel Power project of Atria Hydel Power Limited at Malavalli Taluk, Mandya District, Karnataka (0522)
	and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which of requirements is provided below. Please provideing any supporting documentation.
☐ The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
\square The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;	
☐ Comments by local stakeholders have been invited, a summary of the comments received has been provided, and a report to the designated operational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of any comments has been received;	
activity, including transboundary impacts and, if those imp	nentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project pacts are considered significant by the project participants or the sessment in accordance with procedures as required by the host
☐The project activity is expected to result in a reduction i are additional to any that would occur in the absence of th of the CDM modalities and procedures;	n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that ne proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52
The baseline and monitoring methodologies comply wi the Executive Board;	ith requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by
☐ Provisions for monitoring, verification and reporting are procedures and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	e in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and
The project activity conforms to all other requirements and procedures and relevant decisions by the COP/MOP	for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.
\square The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 α	of the CDM modalities and procedures:
☐ The DOE shall, prior to the submission of the validation participants written approval of voluntary participation from confirmation by the host Party that the project activity assi	n report to the Executive Board, have received from the project n the designated national authority of each Party involved, including ists it in achieving sustainable development;
☐ In accordance with provisions on confidentiality contain DOE shall make publicly available the project design docu	ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ament;
☐ The DOE shall receive, within 30 days, comments on t UNFCCC accredited non-governmental organizations and	he validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and make them publicly available;
☐ After the deadline for receipt of comments, the DOE shinformation provided and taking into account the commen	nall make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the ts received, the project activity should be validated;
☐ The DOE shall inform project participants of its determ project participants will include confirmation of validation a Board;	ination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive
☐ The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it dete registration in the form of a validation report including the an explanation of how it has taken due account of comme	ermines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and ints received.

Reasons for Request:

Section below to be filled in by UNFCCC secretariat

Date received at UNFCCC secretariat

1. According to the validation report, the decision to build this project was made in early 2000. Neither the PDD nor the validation report indicates anywhere that the project was envisioned for CDM originally. The barrier analyses do not seem to demonstrate project additionality.

☐ There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.

12/09/2006

Also, the prevailing practice barrier is not plausible. It states that in India and in the state of Karnataka too, it is a common practice to invest in medium and large-scale fossil fuel fired electricity project. However, about half of the total electricity supplied to the Karnataka state grid in the fiscal year 2003-2004 is from hydro and nuclear.

The DOE's validation of baseline scenario is weak. The validation report (page 9) states that "The most economically attractive alternative among the alternatives mentioned above, i.e. Power from grid connected power plants has been selected as the baseline scenario....". However, no investment analysis has been presented anywhere in the PDD. The DOE should explain how it concluded that the existing grid is the most economically attractive one if it did not conduct an investment analysis.

- 2. The project has used the small-scale baseline methodology I.D. Grid Connected renewable electricity generation. It uses the combined margin (i.e., an average of approximate operating margin and the build margin) approach to determine the baseline emission factor. The PDD, however, does not provide any data used to calculate the BM emission factor. Annex 3 of the PDD (Baseline information) provides only electricity generation data of the Southern Electricity Grid. It does not provide emission data, hence it is not clear how the operating margin emission factor, 0.997kgCO2/kWh, is determined. It is not clear how the DOE validated emission factors (i.e., OM emission factor and BM emission factor) as data provided in the PDD are not enough to validate these factors.
- 3. The last paragraph in Page 6 of the PDD states that the capacity of the turbine is 3,300 kW. The table following the paragraph shows the rated capacity of the turbine as 3,475 kW. Please clarify.
- 4. The electricity sold (or to be sold) by the project to the grid is not available in the PDD, so it is not possible to calculate emission mitigation. Please provide electricity generation, own use (or auxiliary consumption) and electricity export for the first crediting period.