

## CDM project activity/programme of activities registration request review form (Version 02.1)

SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Designated national authority/Executive Board member submitting this form:				
Reference number of the proposed CDM project activity/programme of activities (PoA) submitted for registration:		6600		
Title of the proposed CDM project activity/PoA submitted for registration:		Climate Action Response Enterprise (CARE) for Energy Efficiency in Chiller Plants		
SECTION 2: BASIS FOR REVIEW REQUEST				
Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation.				
The following are requirements derived from paragraph 37 of the CDM modalities and procedures:				
	The participation requirements as set out in paragraphs 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;			
	Comments by local stakeholders have been in provided, and a report to the designated opera any comments has been received;	nvited, a summary of the comments received has been ational entity (DOE) on how due account was taken of		
	impacts of the project activity, including transt	E documentation on the analysis of the environmental boundary impacts and, if those impacts are considered ost Party, have undertaken an environmental impact s required by the host Party;		
		eduction in anthropogenic emissions by sources of hat would occur in the absence of the proposed project 52 of the CDM modalities and procedures;		
	The baseline and monitoring methodologies c previously approved by the Executive Board;	comply with requirements pertaining to methodologies		
	Provisions for monitoring, verification and report CDM modalities and procedures and relevant	orting are in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the decisions of the COP/MOP;		
		irements for CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, ant decisions by the COP/MOP and the Executive		
The following are requirements derived from paragraph 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures:				
	from the project participants written approval of	validation report to the Executive Board, have received of voluntary participation from the designated national nfirmation by the host Party that the project activity nt;		
	In accordance with provisions on confidentiali and procedures, the DOE shall make publicly	ty contained in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities available the project design document;		

		ents on the validation requirements from Parties, overnmental organizations and make them publicly		
	•	e DOE shall make a determination as to whether, on ng into account the comments received, the project		
	The DOE shall inform project participants of its determination on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the project participants will include confirmation of validation and the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive Board;			
	The DOE shall submit to the Executive Board, if it determines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for registration in the form of a validation report including the project design document, the written approval of the host Party and an explanation of how it has taken due account of comments received.			
	There are only minor issues which should be addressed by the DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.			
SECTION TO BE FILLED IN BY THE UNFCCC SECRETARIAT				
Date received at UNFCCC secretariat:		09.12.2012		

1. The DOE shall further substantiate that the eligibility criteria is sufficiently comprehensive, in particular: (a) the target group of the PoA (e.g, existing building, new buildings, commercial buildings, residential buildings, etc); (b) conditions to provide an affirmation that funding from Annex I parties does not result in a diversion of official development assistance (ODA) given that it is not clear how the CME/DOE could validate this condition with the evidence for the source of funding. Please refer to paragraph 14 (h) and (i) of EB 65 Annex 3.

2. The DOE shall further substantiate the compliance of the selected option in calculating the emission reductions with the applied methodology, in particular, calculation of the baseline emissions as:

(a) It is not clear whether the baseline emissions have been calculated using option 1 of the methodology (paragraph 6) given the fact that the baseline electricity consumption has been calculated by multiplying the average annual cooling load and the efficiency of chiller in baseline scenario as described in the page 20 of the PoA validation report whereas the option 1 requires to calculate the baseline emission using the power of the devices and the operation time of the devices. In doing so, the DOE shall also explain how it has validated the appropriateness of the selected option.

(b) The descriptions on how to calculate baseline electricity consumption are not consistent among the submitted documents (by multiplying average annual cooling load and the efficiency of chiller in baseline scenario in page 20 of the PoA validation report, while by multiplying baseline hourly electricity consumption and the operation hours in page 19 of the CPA validation report).

(c) The fixed ex-ante parameters related to baseline electricity consumption, e.g. (i) the baseline energy efficiency of the baseline chiller systems, in particular, how the DOE has validated that there is no mandatory requirement to achieve a certain level of energy efficiency for the chiller systems in the buildings of the proposed PoA, in the host country; (ii) the conversion factor (1.19) used in calculating the cooling load demand etc. Please refer to paragraph 90 and 91 of VVM version 1.2.

3. The DOE shall further substantiate the compliance of claiming emission reductions from the replacement of the refrigerant with the applied methodology given that the applied methodology (AMS II.C version 13) credits emission reductions only due to the reduction in electricity consumption from use of more efficient equipment. Please refer to paragraph 3 of AMS II.C version 13.

- - - - -

## History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision(s)
02.1	EB 66	Editorial changes to include new symbol, logo and other improvements.
	13 March 2012	
02.0	EB 24, Annex 28	
	12 May 2006	
01.0	EB 09, Annex 06	Initial adoption.
	8 Jun 2003	
Decision C	lass: Regulatory	
Document	Type: Form	
	function: Registration	