

CDM project activity registration review form (F-CDM-RR) (By submitting this form, a Party involved (through the designated national authority) or an Executive Board member may request that a review is undertaken)

| Heat Retention Cooking in South Africa  |  |
|---|--|
| Please indicate, in accordance with paragraphs 37 and 40 of the CDM modalities and procedures, which validation requirement(s) may require review. A list of requirements is provided below. Please provide reasons in support of the request for review, including any supporting documentation. |  |
| f the CDM modalities and procedures:  |  |
| 28 to 30 of the CDM modalities and procedures are satisfied;  |  |
| ummary of the comments received has been provided, and a report count was taken of any comments has been received;  |  |
| entation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project acts are considered significant by the project participants or the host ent in accordance with procedures as required by the host Party;   |  |
| n anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that e proposed project activity, in accordance with paragraphs 43 to 52   |  |
| h requirements pertaining to methodologies previously approved by   |  |
| in accordance with decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and   |  |
| or CDM project activities in decision 17/CP.7, the CDM modalities and the Executive Board.  |  |
| f the CDM modalities and procedures:  |  |
| report to the Executive Board, have received from the project the designated national authority of each Party involved, including sts it in achieving sustainable development;  |  |
| ned in paragraph 27 (h) of the CDM modalities and procedures, the ment;   |  |
| ne validation requirements from Parties, stakeholders and UNFCCC in publicly available;   |  |
| all make a determination as to whether, on the basis of the s received, the project activity should be validated;   |  |
| nation on the validation of the project activity. Notification to the nd the date of submission of the validation report to the Executive   |  |
| mines the proposed project activity to be valid, a request for project design document, the written approval of the host Party and tts received.  |  |
| he DOE / project participants prior to the registration of the project.   |  |
|   |  |
| 01/03/2012  |  |
|   |  |

- 1. The DOE shall substantiate the applicability of option 2 in determining the baseline emissions from electricity consumption given that 3-year historical data prior to the project implementation is required to determine the specific energy consumption in the baseline as per AMS II.C, v13, page 4.
- 2. The DOE shall further explain how it has validated that the method of survey meet the requirement of "90/10 precision". Please refer to EB 50, Annex 30 considering that the details of expert opinion are not provided.
- 3. The DOE shall further substantiate the completeness of the sampling plan, in particular: (i) the data to be collected; (ii) method of survey; (iii) the sampling method; (iv) proposed sample size; and (v) the prediction of the variance of the parameters and basis for the prediction as the monitoring plan of the PoA DD and CPA DD does not include those information. Please refer to EB 50, Annex 30, paragraph 33.

## UNFCCC/CCNUCC



**CDM** – Executive Board

EB 24 Report Annex 28 page 2

4. The DOE shall further explain how it has validated that the monitored difference of the household energy consumption between baseline group and project group is attributable to the heat-retention device of the project activity. Please refer to VVM v1.2, paragraph 123 (a).