Questions for the public on the draft methodology
AMS-II.E "Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for buildings"

1.   Is the new method for identifying the specific emission benchmark for Greenfield project practical and cost-effective? If not, what could be a better solution?

2.   Is it recommendable to limit AMS-II.E to only a certain type of buildings, e.g. commercial building or residential building? As a related question, is it appropriate to consolidate AMS-II.E and AMS-III.AE[1] considering the fact that the SSC WG is evaluating the necessity to expand AMS-III.AE for commercial buildings.

3.   The draft methodology listed two potential methods in addressing suppressed demand issues, do you think they are appropriate as well as taking into account environmental integrity? Furthermore, what could be the conditions to be met in order to apply these two methods?

4.   Do you think computer simulation approach shall be included in AMS-II.E for emission reduction calculation? In addition, do you think the approach in the draft methodology AMS-II.Q[2] is equally effective in capturing energy consumption in more complex situations as covered in AMS-II.E, e.g. different household fuel use in rural area(off-grid/grid connected electricity, coal/kerosene, Non-renewable biomass), different energy efficiency measures (high efficiency cook stove with function of space heating)?

5.   Do you think the potential issue with cross effect among different measures included in AMS-II.E shall be considered? If yes, what could be the possible solution in addressing this issue?

As indicated earlier, the SSC WG is also considering the possibility of revising AMS-III.AE "Energy efficiency and renewable energy measures in new residential buildings" as a part of this whole package, the comment/input on following questions are also being sought:

1.   What's your opinion on expanding the methodology AMS-III.AE to off-grid energy use and/or other building types, e.g. commercial building?

2.   Do you think the computer simulation approach in the draft methodology AMS-II.Q can be easily used by the project covered under AMS-III.AE as well?

3.   Any other comments/input that can be used to improve the matrix of building related methodologies, i.e. AMS-II.E, AMS-III.AE and AMS-II.Q.

---

[1]   <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/DB/AWRS1U9S13QBGT2FX236Z2CVTMH44A>
[2]   Still subject to approval by the Board.