

**Questions for the call for public input on the
draft revisions of AMS-III.D, AMS-III.R and AMS-III.G**

The Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) has prepared top-down revisions of AMS-III.D “Methane recovery in animal manure management systems -Version 18.0”, AMS-III.R “Methane recovery in agricultural activities at household/small farm level - Version 2.0 ” and AMS-III.G “AMS-III.G. Landfill methane recovery - Version 7.0”.

The draft revised methodology AMS-III.D provides:

- (a) Simplified requirements for project activities which utilize the recovered methane for power generation, by allowing an option for calculating the destroyed methane based on the amount of the monitored electricity generation, without monitoring methane flow and concentration;
- (b) Rewording of the definition of VS, as per our response to the previous clarification request SSC_599
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications/15659>>.

The draft revised methodology AMS-III.R provides:

- (a) Simplified option based on IPCC Tier 1 approach (instead of Tier 2 approach);
- (b) Changes in monitoring requirements, as per our response to the previous clarification request SSC_599
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications/15659>>;
- (c) Changes due to clarification made by SSC_590
<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications/40045>>.

The draft revised methodology AMS-III.G provides:

- (a) Inclusion of the oxidation factor (OX) due to the upper layer of the landfill, in aligning with ACM0001 “Flaring or use of landfill gas”;
- (b) Inclusion of the LFG collection efficiency to be used for *ex ante* emission reductions calculation, taking into account the LFG collection efficiency in the CDM pipeline;
- (c) Simplified requirements for project activities which utilize the recovered methane for power generation, by allowing an option for calculating the destroyed methane based on the amount of the monitored electricity generation, without monitoring methane flow and concentration.

The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (hereinafter referred to as the Board) is seeking comments on the draft revised methodologies and whether they represent viable and conservative CDM small-scale methodologies that project participants can use for projects and PoAs. To this objective, the Board is looking for any general or specific feedback on the methodologies and in particular on the following questions:

Questions to the draft revised methodology AMS-III.D

- (a) Is the simplified option for project activities producing electricity to calculate methane destroyed from the amount of kWh produced in the draft revised methodology reasonable and appropriate? What is the appropriate default value for Energy Conversion Efficiency of the project equipment (35% or 40%)?

- (b) Are there additional modifications to AMS-III.D that would make it more simplified while ensuring the environmental integrity?

Questions to the draft revised methodology AMS-III.R

- (a) Is the simplified option using IPCC Tier 1 approach reasonable and appropriate?
- (b) Are there additional modifications to AMS-III.R that would make it more simplified while ensuring the environmental integrity?

Questions to the draft revised methodology AMS-III.G

- (a) Is the simplified option for project activities producing electricity to calculate methane destroyed from the amount of kWh produced in the draft revised methodology reasonable and appropriate? What is the appropriate default value for Energy Conversion Efficiency of the project equipment (35% or 40%)?
