II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04

EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

TYPE II - ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Project participants shall take into account the general guidance to the methodologies, information on additionality, abbreviations and general guidance on leakage provided at http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/approved.html.

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities

Technology/measure

- 1. This category comprises any energy efficiency and fuel switching measures implemented at a single or several industrial or mining and mineral production facility(ies). This category covers project activities aimed primarily at energy efficiency; a project activity that involves primarily fuel switching falls into category III.B. Examples include energy efficiency measures (such as efficient motors), fuel switching measures (such as switching from steam or compressed air to electricity) and efficiency measures for specific industrial or mining and mineral production processes (such as steel furnaces, paper drying, tobacco curing, etc.). The measures may replace, modify or retrofit existing facilities or be installed in a new facility. The aggregate energy savings of a single project may not exceed the equivalent of 60 GWh_e per year. A total saving of 60 GWh_e per year is equivalent to a maximal saving of 180 GWh_{th} per year in fuel input
- 2. The measures may replace, modify or retrofit existing facilities or be installed in a new facility.
- 3. This category is applicable to project activities where it is possible to directly measure and record the energy use within the project boundary (e.g., electricity and/or fossil fuel consumption).
- 4. This category is applicable to project activities where the impact of the measures implemented (improvements in energy efficiency) by the project activity can be clearly distinguished from changes in energy use due to other variables not influenced by the project activity (signal to noise ratio).
- 5. The aggregate energy savings of a single project (inclusive of a single facility or several facilities) may not exceed the equivalent of 60 GWh_e per year. A total saving of 60 GWh_e per year is equivalent to a maximal saving of 180 GWh_{th} per year in fuel input.

Boundary

6. The project boundary is the physical, geographical site of the industrial or mining and mineral production facility(ies), processes or equipment that are affected by the project activity.

Baseline

7. In the case of replacement, modification or retrofit measures, the baseline consists of the energy baseline of the existing facility or sub-system that is replaced, modified or retrofitted. In the case of project activities involving several facilities, the baseline needs to be established separately for each site. In the case of project activities involving multiple energy efficiency measures at individual facilities, the interaction between the measures should be taken into consideration when establishing

¹ Thus, fuel switching measures that are part of a package of energy efficiency measures at a single location may be part of a project activity included in this project category.

CDM - Executive Board



II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04 EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont)

the baseline. In the case of a new facility the energy baseline consists of the facility that would otherwise be built.

- 8. For new facilities and project activities involving capacity additions, the energy baseline consists of the facility that would otherwise be built; the most plausible baseline scenario for the project activity shall be evaluated based on the related and relevant requirements in the General Guidance for SSC methodologies.
- 9. In the absence of the CDM project activity, the existing facility(ies) would continue to consume energy (EC_{baseline}, EC_{BL} in GWh/year) at historical average levels (EC_{historical}, EC_{HY} in GWh/year), until the time at which the industrial or mining and mineral production facility(ies) would be likely to be replaced, modified or retrofitted in the absence of the CDM project activity (DATE_{BaselineRetrofit}). From that point of time onwards, the baseline scenario is assumed to correspond to the project activity, and baseline energy consumption (EC_{baseline}EC_{BL}) is assumed to equal project energy consumption (EC_y, EC_{PJ,y} in GWh/year), and no emission reductions are assumed to occur.

EC_{baseline} EC_{BL}= EC_{historical} EC_{HY} until DATE_{BaselineRetrofit}
EC_{baseline} EC_{BL}= EC_y EC_{PLy} on/after DATE_{BaselineRetrofit}

In order to estimate the point in time when the existing equipment would need to be replaced in the absence of the project activity (DATEBaselineRetrofit), project participants may take the following approaches into account:

- (a) The typical average technical lifetime of the equipment type may be determined and documented, taking into account common practices in the sector and country, e.g., based on industry surveys, statistics, technical literature, etc.
- (b) The common practices of the responsible industry regarding replacement schedules may be evaluated and documented, e.g., based on historical replacement records for similar equipment.

The point in time when the existing equipment would need to be replaced in the absence of the project activity should be chosen in a conservative manner, i.e., if a range is identified, the earliest date should be chosen.

The requirements concerning demonstration of the remaining lifetime of the replaced equipment shall be met as described in the General Guidance for SSC methodologies. If the remaining lifetime of the affected systems increases due to the project activity, the crediting period shall be limited to the estimated remaining lifetime, i.e., the time when the affected systems would have been replaced in the absence of the project activity

In order to estimate the point in time when the existing equipment would need to be replaced in the absence of the project activity ($DATE_{BaselineRretrofit}$), project participants may follow the procedures described in the general guidance.

10. Each energy form in the emission baseline is multiplied by an emission coefficient (in kg CO_2e/kWh). For the electricity displaced, the emission coefficient is calculated in accordance with provisions under category I.D. For fossil fuels, the IPCC default values for emission coefficients may be used.

CDM - Executive Board



II.D./Version 12
Sectoral Scope: 04
EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont)

Leakage

11. If the equipment currently being utilised is transferred from outside the boundary to the project activity, leakage is to be considered. If the energy efficiency technology is equipment transferred from another activity or if the existing equipment is transferred to another activity, leakage is to be considered.

Monitoring

- 12. In the case of replacement, modification and retrofit measures the monitoring shall consist of:
 - (a) Documenting the specifications of the equipment replaced;
 - (b) Metering the energy use of the industrial or mining and mineral production facility, processes or the equipment affected by the project activity;
 - (c) Calculating the energy savings using the metered energy obtained from subparagraph (b).

In the case of project activities involving several facilities, the monitoring procedure as described above shall apply for each facility.

- 13. In the case of new facility, monitoring shall consist of:
 - (a) Metering the energy use of the equipment installed;
 - (b) Calculating the energy savings due to the equipment installed.

Project activity under a programme of activities

The following conditions apply for use of this methodology in a project activity under a programme of activities:

- In case the project activity involves fossil fuel switching measures leakage resulting from fuel extraction, processing, liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and distribution of fossil fuels outside of the project boundary shall be considered as per the tThe guidance provided in the leakage section of the most recent version of the large scale approved methodology ACM0009 as in annex 1 of this document shall be followed for this purpose. In case leakage emissions in the baseline situation are higher than leakage emissions in the project situation, leakage emissions will be set to zero.
- 15. In case the project activity involves the replacement of equipment, and the leakage effect of the use of the replaced equipment in another activity is neglected because the replaced equipment is scrapped, an independent monitoring of scrapping of replaced equipment needs to be implemented. The monitoring should include a check if the number of project activity equipment distributed by the project and the number of scrapped equipment correspond with each other. For this purpose, scrapped equipment should be stored until such correspondence has been checked. The scrapping of replaced equipment should be documented and independently verified.

II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04 EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont)

Annex 1

(GUIDANCE ON LEAKAGE BELOW CONCERNS PROJECT ACTIVITY UNDER A PROGRAMME OF ACTIVITIES)

Leakage

Leakage may result from fuel extraction, processing, liquefaction, transportation, regasification and distribution of fossil fuels outside of the project boundary. This includes mainly fugitive CH₄ emissions and CO₂ emissions from associated fuel combustion and flaring. In this methodology, the following leakage emission sources shall be considered:²

- Fugitive CH₄ emissions associated with fuel extraction, processing, liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and distribution of natural gas used in the project plant and fossil fuels used in the grid in the absence of the project activity.
- In the case LNG is used in the project plant: CO₂-emissions from fuel combustion / electricity consumption associated with the liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and compression into a natural gas transmission or distribution system.

Thus, leakage emissions are calculated as follows:

$$LE_{y} = LE_{CH4,y} + LE_{LNG,CO2,y}$$
 (1)

Where:

Leakage emissions during the year y in t CO₂e

Leakage emissions due to fugitive upstream CH₄ emissions in the year y in t CO₂e

LE_{LNG,CO2,y}
Leakage emissions due to fossil fuel combustion / electricity consumption associated with the liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and compression of LNG into a natural gas transmission or distribution system during the year y in t CO₂e

Note that to the extent that upstream emissions occur in Annex I countries that have ratified the Kyoto Protocol, from 1 January 2008 onwards, these emissions should be excluded, if technically possible, in the leakage calculations.

Fugitive methane emissions

For the purpose of determining fugitive methane emissions associated with the production—and in case of natural gas, the transportation and distribution of the fuels—project participants should multiply the quantity of natural gas consumed in all element processes i with a methane emission factor for these upstream emissions ($EF_{NG,upstream,CHI}$), and subtract for all fuel types k which would be used in the absence of the project activity the fuel quantities multiplied with respective methane emission factors ($EF_{k,upstream,CHI}$), as follows:

² The Meth Panel is undertaking further work on the estimation of leakage emission sources in case of fuel switch project activities. This approach may be revised based on outcome of this work.



II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04

EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont)

$$LE_{CH4,y} = \left[FF_{project,y} \cdot NCV_{NG,y} \cdot EF_{NG,upstream,CH4} - \sum_{k} FF_{baseline,k,y} \cdot NCV_{k} \cdot EF_{k,upstream,CH4} \right] \cdot GWP_{CH4} - (2)$$

with

$$FF_{project,y} = \sum_{i} FF_{project,i,y} - \text{and}$$
(3)

$$FF_{baseline,k,y} = \sum_{i} FF_{baseline,i,k,y}$$
(4)

Where:

Leakage emissions due to upstream fugitive CH₄ emissions in the year y in t CO₂e

Quantity of natural gas combusted in all element processes during the year y in m³

Quantity of natural gas combusted in the element process i during the year y in m³

Average net calorific value of the natural gas combusted during the year y in MWh/m³

Emission factor for upstream fugitive methane emissions from production, transportation and distribution of natural gas in t CH₄ per MWh fuel supplied to final

consumers

Quantity of fuel type k (a coal or petroleum fuel type) that would be combusted in the absence of the project activity in all element processes during the year y in a volume or

mass unit

Quantity of fuel type k (a coal or petroleum fuel type) that would be combusted in the FF_{baseline,i,k,y}

absence of the project activity in the element process i during the year y in a volume or

mass unit

 NCV_{k} Average net calorific value of the fuel type k (a coal or petroleum fuel type) that would

be combusted in the absence of the project activity during the year y in MWh per

volume or mass unit

Emission factor for upstream fugitive methane emissions from production of the fuel

type k (a coal or petroleum fuel type) in t CH₄ per MWh fuel produced

GWP CHA Global warming potential of methane valid for the relevant commitment period

Where reliable and accurate national data on fugitive CH₄ emissions associated with the production, and in case of natural gas, the transportation and distribution of the fuels is available, project participants should use this data to determine average emission factors by dividing the total quantity of CH₄ emissions by the quantity of fuel produced or supplied respectively. Where such data is not available, project participants may use the default values provided in Table 2 below. In this case, the natural gas emission factor for the location of the project should be used, except in cases where it can

³ GHG inventory data reported to the UNFCCC as part of national communications can be used where country specific approaches (and not IPCC Tier 1 default values) have been used to estimate emissions.

CDM - Executive Board



II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04 EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont)

be shown that the relevant system element (gas production and/or processing/transmission/distribution) is predominantly of recent vintage and built and operated to international standards, in which case the US/Canada values may be used.

Note that the emission factor for fugitive upstream emissions for natural gas (*EF_{NG,upstream,CH4}*) should include fugitive emissions from production, processing, transport and distribution of natural gas, as indicated in the Table 2 below. Note further that in case of coal the emission factor is provided based on a mass unit and needs to be converted in an energy unit, taking into account the net calorific value of the coal.

Table 2: Default emission factors for fugitive CH4 upstream emissions

Activity	Unit	Default emission factor	Reference for the underlying emission factor range in Volume 3 of the 1996 Revised IPCC Guidelines
Coal			
Underground mining	t CH4 / kt coal	13.4	Equations 1 and 4, p. 1.105 and 1.110
Surface mining	t CH4 / kt coal	8.0	Equations 2 and 4, p.1.108 and 1.110
Oil			
Production	t CH4 / PJ	2.5	Tables 1-60 to 1-64, p. 1.129 - 1.131
Transport, refining and storage	t CH4 / PJ	1.6	Tables 1-60 to 1-64, p. 1.129 - 1.131
Total	t CH4 / PJ	4.1	
Natural gas			
USA and Canada			
Production	t CH4 / PJ	72	Table 1-60, p. 1.129
Processing, transport and distribution	t CH4 / PJ	88	Table 1-80, p. 1.129
Total	t CH4 / PJ	160	
Eastern Europe and former USSR			
Production	t CH4 / PJ	393	Table 1-61, p. 1.129
Processing, transport and distribution	t CH4 / PJ	528	Table 1-61, p. 1.129
Total	t CH4 / PJ	921	
Western Europe			
Production	t CH4 / PJ	21	Table 1-62, p. 1.130
Processing, transport and distribution	t CH4 / PJ	85	Table 1-62, p. 1.130
Total	t CH4 / PJ	105	
Other oil exporting countries / Rest of			
Production	t CH4 / PJ	68	Table 1-63 and 1-64, p. 1.130 and 1.131
Processing, transport and distribution	t CH4 / PJ	228	Table 1-63 and 1-64, p. 1.130 and 1.131
Total	t CH4 / PJ	296	

Note: The emission factors in this table have been derived from IPCC default Tier 1 emission factors provided in Volume 3 of the 1996 Revised IPCC Guidelines, by calculating the average of the provided default emission factor range.

CO2 emissions from LNG

Where applicable, CO_2 emissions from fuel combustion / electricity consumption associated with the liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and compression of LNG into a natural gas transmission or distribution system ($LE_{LNG,CO2,\nu}$) should be estimated by multiplying the quantity of natural gas combusted in the project with an appropriate emission factor, as follows:

CDM - Executive Board



II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04 EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

II.D. Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont)

$LE_{LNG,CO2,y} = FF_{project,y} \cdot EF_{CO2,upstream,LNG}$ (5)

Where:

Leakage emissions due to fossil fuel combustion / electricity consumption associated

with the liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and compression of LNG into a natural gas transmission or distribution system during the year y in t CO₂e

P_{project.} Quantity of natural gas combusted in all element processes during the year y in m³

Emission factor for upstream CO₂ emissions due to fossil fuel combustion /

electricity consumption associated with the liquefaction, transportation, regasification and compression of LNG into a natural gas transmission or distribution

gasmeation and compression of Livo into a natural gas transmission of distributio system

Where reliable and accurate data on upstream CO₂ emissions due to fossil fuel combustion / electricity consumption associated with the liquefaction, transportation, re-gasification and compression of LNG into a natural gas transmission or distribution system is available, project participants should use this data to determine an average emission factor. Where such data is not available, project participants may assume a default value of 6 t CO₂/TJ as a rough approximation.⁴

⁴ This value has been derived on data published for North American LNG systems. "Barclay, M. and N. Denton, 2005. Selecting offshore LNG process.

http://www.fwc.com/publications/tech_papers/files/LNJ091105p34-36.pdf (10th April 2006)".

Executive Board



II.D./Version 12 Sectoral Scope: 04 EB xx

Indicative simplified baseline and monitoring methodologies for selected small-scale CDM project activity categories

Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures for industrial facilities (cont) II.D.

History of the document*

Version	Date	Nature of revision		
12	EB 51, Annex # 04 December 2009	To broaden the applicability to include several industrial facilities involving multiple energy efficiency measures. The revision provides guidance on determining the baseline scenario for new facilities and project activities involving capacity expansion.		
11	EB 35, Annex 30 02 November 2007	To clarify that the methodologies are only applicable to project activities where it is possible to directly measure and record the energy use within the project boundary (e.g. electricity and/or fossil fuel consumption) and where the impact of the measures implemented by the project activity to improve energy efficiency can be clearly distinguished from changes in energy use due to other variables not influenced by the project activity (e.g. changes in ambient conditions).		
10	EB 33, Annex 27 27 July 2007	Revision of the approved small-scale methodology AMS-II.C to allow for its application under a programme of activities (PoA).		
09	EB 31, Annex 24 18 May 2007	To broaden the applicability of the methodology to include energy efficiency activities in mining.		
08	EB 28, Annex 25 23 December 2006	To broaden its applicability to include retrofit project activities, and to exclude technical line losses from the calculation of the emission factor.		
	Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Standard			

Business Function: Methodology

History of the document: Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small-Scale CDM project activities

Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small-Scale CDM project activities contained both the General Guidance and Approved Methodologies until version 07. After version 07 the document was divided into separate documents: 'General Guidance' and separate approved small-scale methodologies (AMS).

Version	Date	Nature of revision		
07	EB 22, Para. 59 25 November 2005	References to "non-renewable biomass" in Appendix B deleted.		
06	EB 21, Annex 22 20 September 2005	Guidance on consideration of non-renewable biomass in Type I methodologies, thermal equivalence of Type II GWhe limits included.		
05	EB 18, Annex 6 25 February 2005	Guidance on 'capacity addition' and 'cofiring' in Type I methodologies and monitoring of methane in AMS-III.D included.		
04	EB 16, Annex 2 22 October 2004	AMS-II.F was adopted; leakage due to equipment transfer was included in all Type I and Type II methodologies.		
03	EB 14, Annex 2 30 June 2004	New methodology AMS-III.E was adopted.		
02	EB 12, Annex 2 28 November 2003	Definition of build margin included in AMS-I.D, minor revisions to AMS-I.A, AMS-III.D, AMS-II.E.		
01	EB 7, Annex 6 21 January 2003	Initial adoption. The Board at its seventh meeting noted the adoption by the Conference of the Parties (COP), by its decision 21/CP.8, of simplified modalities and procedures for small-scale CDM project activities (SSC M&P).		

Decision Class: Regulatory **Document Type: Standard Business Function**: Methodology

^{*} This document, together with the 'General Guidance' and all other approved SSC methodologies, was part of a single document entitled: Appendix B of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small-Scale CDM project activities until version