

UNFCCC

EB 41 Report Annex # Page 1

Draft revision to the approved methodological tool

"Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from dumping waste at a solid waste disposal site"

(Version 04)¹

I. SCOPE, APPLICABILITY AND PARAMETERS

Scope and applicability

This tool calculates baseline emissions of methane from waste that would in the absence of the project activity be disposed at solid waste disposal sites (SWDS)². Emission reductions are calculated with a first order decay model. The tool is applicable in cases where the solid waste disposal site where the waste would be dumped can be clearly identified. The tool is not applicable to hazardous wastes.

Parameters

This tool provides procedures to determine the following parameters:

Parameter	SI Unit	Description
$BE_{CH4,SWDS,y}$	tCO ₂ e	Methane emissions avoided during the year y from preventing waste
		disposal at the solid waste disposal site during the period from the start of
		the project activity to the end of the year y (tCO ₂ e)

II. BASELINE METHODOLOGY PROCEDURE

The amount of methane that would in the absence of the project activity be generated from disposal of waste at the solid waste disposal site $(BE_{CH4,SWDS,y})$ is calculated with a multi-phase model. The calculation is based on a first order decay (FOD) model. The model differentiates between the different types of waste j with respectively different decay rates k_i and different fractions of degradable organic carbon (DOC_i). The model calculates the methane generation based on the actual waste streams W_{ix} disposed in each year x, starting with the first year after the start of the project activity until the until the end of the year y, for which baseline emissions are calculated (years x with x = 1 to x = y).

In cases where at the SWDS methane is captured (e.g. due to safety regulations) and flared, combusted or used in another manner, the baseline emissions are adjusted for the fraction of methane captured at the SWDS.

The amount of methane produced in the year y ($BE_{CH4,SWDS,y}$) is calculated as follows:

¹ The version of this methodology was changed from 02.1 to 03 on 23 May 2008, due to incorrect numbering.

This tool is not applicable to stockpiles. The nature of stockpiles differs from solid waste disposal sites since they have a large surface area to volume ratio and, therefore, anaerobic conditions are not ensured as in the case of other solid waste disposal sites. Bearing in mind that the approach to determine emissions from stockpiles as described in AMS-III.E cannot be used for large scale project activities, project proponents are welcomed to suggest appropriate methods that can be used for large scale projects involving stockpiles.



CDM - Executive Board

EB 41 Report Annex # Page 2

$$BE_{CH4,SWDS,y} = \varphi \cdot (1-f) \cdot GWP_{CH4} \cdot (1-OX) \cdot \frac{16}{12} \cdot F \cdot DOC_f \cdot MCF \cdot \sum_{x=1}^{y} \sum_{j} W_{j,x} \cdot DOC_j \cdot e^{-k_j(y-x)} \cdot (1-e^{-k_j})$$
 (1)

Where:

 $BE_{CH4,SWDS,y}$ = Methane emissions avoided during the year y from preventing waste disposal at the

solid waste disposal site (SWDS) during the period from the start of the project activity

to the end of the year y (tCO_2e)

 φ = Model correction factor to account for model uncertainties (0.9)

f = Fraction of methane captured at the SWDS and flared, combusted or used in another

manner

GWP_{CH4} = Global Warming Potential (GWP) of methane, valid for the relevant commitment

period

OX = Oxidation factor (reflecting the amount of methane from SWDS that is oxidised in the

soil or other material covering the waste)

F = Fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (volume fraction) (0.5)
DOC_f = Fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC) that can decompose

MCF = Methane correction factor

 $W_{j,x}$ = Amount of organic waste type j prevented from disposal in the SWDS in the year x

(tons)

 DOC_i = Fraction of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in the waste type i

 k_j = Decay rate for the waste type jj = Waste type category (index)

x = Year during the crediting period: x runs from the first year of the first crediting period

(x = 1) to the year y for which avoided emissions are calculated (x = y)

y = Year for which methane emissions are calculated

Where different waste types j are prevented from disposal, determine the amount of different waste types $(W_{i,x})$ through sampling and calculate the mean from the samples, as follows:

$$W_{j,x} = W_x \cdot \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{z} p_{n,j,x}}{z}$$
 (2)

Where:

 $W_{j,x}$ = Amount of organic waste type j prevented from disposal in the SWDS in the year x

(tons)

 W_x = Total amount of organic waste prevented from disposal in year x (tons)

 $p_{n,j,x}$ = Weight fraction of the waste type j in the sample n collected during the year x

z = Number of samples collected during the year x



CDM - Executive Board



EB 41 Report Annex # Page 3

Changes required for methodology implementation in 2nd and 3rd crediting periods

At the renewal of the crediting period, the following data should be updated according to default values suggested in the most recently published IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories:

- Oxidation factor (*OX*);
- Fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (*F*);
- Fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC) that can decompose (DOC_t);
- Methane correction factor (*MCF*);
- Fraction of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in each waste type *j* (*DOCj*);
- Decay rate for the waste type $j(k_i)$.

Respectively, if the most recent IPCC Guidelines suggest different categorization of waste types, solid waste disposal sites or climate conditions, these should be applied respectively.

Data and parameters not monitored

Data / parameter:	φ
Data unit:	-
Description:	Model correction factor to account for model uncertainties
Value to be	0.9
applied:	
Any comment:	Oonk et el. (1994) have validated several landfill gas models based on 17 realized
	landfill gas projects. The mean relative error of multi-phase models was assessed to
	be 18%. Given the uncertainties associated with the model and in order to estimate
	emission reductions in a conservative manner, a discount of 10% is applied to the
	model results.

Data / parameter:	OX
Data unit:	-
Description:	Oxidation factor (reflecting the amount of methane from SWDS that is oxidized in
	the soil or other material covering the waste)
Source of data:	Conduct a site visit at the solid waste disposal site in order to assess the type of cover
	of the solid waste disposal site. Use the IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National
	Greenhouse Gas Inventories for the choice of the value to be applied.
Value to be	Use 0.1 for managed solid waste disposal sites that are covered with oxidizing
applied:	material such as soil or compost. Use 0 for other types of solid waste disposal sites.
Any comment:	



CDM - Executive Board

EB 41 Report Annex # Page 4

Data / parameter:	F
Data unit:	-
Description:	Fraction of methane in the SWDS gas (volume fraction)
Source of data:	IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
Value to be	0.5
applied:	
Any comment:	This factor reflects the fact that some degradable organic carbon does not degrade, or
	degrades very slowly, under anaerobic conditions in the SWDS. A default value of
	0.5 is recommended by IPCC.

Data / parameter:	DOC_f
Data unit:	-
Description:	Fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC) that can decompose
Source of data:	IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories
Value to be	0.5
applied:	
Any comment:	

Data / parameter:	MCF	
Data unit:	-	
Description:	Methane correction factor	
Source of data:	IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories	
Value to be	Use the following values for MCF:	
applied:	 1.0 for anaerobic managed solid waste disposal sites. These must have controlled placement of waste (i.e., waste directed to specific deposition areas, a degree of control of scavenging and a degree of control of fires) and will include at least one of the following: (i) cover material; (ii) mechanical compacting; or (iii) leveling of the waste. 0.5 for semi-aerobic managed solid waste disposal sites. These must have controlled placement of waste and will include all of the following structures for introducing air to waste layer: (i) permeable cover material; (ii) leachate drainage system; (iii) regulating pondage; and (iv) gas ventilation system. 0.8 for unmanaged solid waste disposal sites – deep and/or with high water table. This comprises all SWDS not meeting the criteria of managed SWDS and which have depths of greater than or equal to 5 meters and/or high water table at near ground level. Latter situation corresponds to filling inland water, such as pond, river or wetland, by waste. 0.4 for unmanaged-shallow solid waste disposal sites. This comprises all SWDS not meeting the criteria of managed SWDS and which have depths of less than 5 metres. 	
Any comment:	The methane correction factor (MCF) accounts for the fact that unmanaged SWDS produce less methane from a given amount of waste than managed SWDS, because a larger fraction of waste decomposes aerobically in the top layers of unmanaged SWDS.	



CDM - Executive Board

EB 41 Report Annex # Page 5

Data / parameter:	DOCi		
Data unit:	-		
Description:	Fraction of degradable organic carbon (by weight) in the waste type <i>j</i>		
Source of data:	IPCC 2006 Guidelines for National Greenhouse C	Gas Inventories (ad	dapted from
	Volume 5, Tables 2.4 and 2.5)		
Values to be applied:	Apply the following values for the different waste	e types <i>j</i> :	
	Waste type j	DOCi	DOCi
		(% wet waste)	(% dry waste)
	Wood and wood products	43	50
	Pulp, paper and cardboard (other than sludge)	40	44
	Food, food waste, beverages and tobacco	15	38
	(other than sludge)		
	Textiles	24	30
	Garden, yard and park waste	20	49
	Glass, plastic, metal, other inert waste	0	0
	If a waste type, prevented from disposal by the princt clearly be attributed to one of the waste types participants should choose among the waste types that waste type where the values of DOC_j and k_j (lowest emissions), or request a revision of / deviation the case of empty fruit bunches (EFB), as their waste, the parameter value correspondent of gard	in the table above is that have similar esult in a conserva- ation from this me characteristics are	, project characteristics itive estimate thodology.
Any comment:			





EB 41 Report Annex # Page 6

Data / parameter:	k _i					
Data unit:	-					
Description:	Decay rate for the waste type <i>j</i>					
Source of data:		006 Guidelines for N	lational Greenh	nouse Gas Inve	entories (adapte	d from
	Volume 5, Table 3.3)					
Values to be	Apply t	the following default	values for the	different waste	e types j	
applied:	Waste type j		Boreal and Temperate (MAT≤20°C)		Tropical (MAT>20°C)	
			Dry (MAP/PET <1)	Wet (MAP/PET >1)	Dry (MAP< 1000mm)	Wet (MAP> 1000mm)
	gu	Pulp, paper, cardboard (other than sludge), textiles	0.04	0.06	0.045	0.07
	Slowly degrading	Wood, wood products and straw	0.02	0.03	0.025	0.035
	Moderately degrading	Other (non-food) organic putrescible garden and park waste	0.05	0.10	0.065	0.17
	Rapidly degrading	Food, food waste, sewage sludge, beverages and tobacco	0.06	0.185	0.085	0.40
	potentia	AT – mean annual tends evapotranspiration and the potential	. MAP/PET is	the ratio betw		
	If a waste type, prevented from disposal by the proposed CDM project activity not clearly be attributed to one of the waste types in the table above, project participants should choose among the waste types that have similar characteristhat waste type where the values of DOC_j and k_j result in a conservative estimated of DOC_j and C_j and C_j are the values of C_j are the values of C_j are the values of C_j and C_j are the values of C_j are the values of C_j and C_j are the values of C_j are the values of C_j are the values of C_j and C_j are the values of C_j are the values of C_j and C_j are the values of C_j and C_j are the value o		iect acteristics estimate			
	(lowest emissions), or request a revision of / deviation from this methodology. In the case of empty fruit bunches (EFB), as their characteristics are similar to garden waste, the parameter values correspondent of garden waste shall be used.					
Any comment:	Document in the CDM-PDD the climatic conditions at the SWDS site (temperature, precipitation and, where applicable, evapotranspiration). Use long-term averages based on statistical data, where available. Provide references.					



CDM - Executive Board



EB 41 Report Annex # Page 7

III. MONITORING METHODOLOGY PROCEDURE

Monitoring procedures

Monitoring involves an annual assessment of the conditions at the solid waste disposal site (SWDS) where the waste would in the absence of the project activity be dumped. Methane emissions from preventing disposal of waste at the SWDS can only be claimed if there is no gas from the SWDS being captured and flared or combusted.

Data and parameters monitored

Data / parameter:	f
Data unit:	-
Description:	Fraction of methane captured at the SWDS and flared, combusted or used in
	another manner
Source of data:	Written information from the operator of the solid waste disposal site and/or site
	visits at the solid waste disposal site
Measurement	-
procedures (if any):	
Monitoring frequency:	Annually
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	

Data / parameter:	GWP _{CH4}
Data unit:	tCO ₂ e / t CH ₄
Description:	Global Warming Potential (GWP) of methane, valid for the relevant commitment period
Source of data:	Decisions under UNFCCC and the Kyoto Protocol (a value of 21 is to be applied for the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol)
Monitoring frequency:	Annually
Any comment:	

Data / parameter:	W_{x}
Data unit:	tons
Description:	Total amount of organic waste prevented from disposal in year x (tons)
Source of data:	Measurements by project participants
Measurement	
procedures (if any):	
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously, aggregated at least annually
QA/QC procedures:	
Any comment:	



CDM - Executive Board



EB 41 Report Annex # Page 8

Data / parameter:	$p_{n,j,x}$
Data unit:	-
Description:	Weight fraction of the waste type j in the sample n collected during the year x
Source of data:	Sample measurements by project participants.
Measurement	Sample the waste prevented from disposal, using the waste categories <i>j</i> , as
procedures (if any):	provided in the table for DOC_j and k_j , and weigh each waste fraction.
Monitoring frequency:	The size and frequency of sampling should be statistically significant with a
	maximum uncertainty range of 20% at a 95% confidence level. As a minimum,
	sampling should be undertaken four times per year.
QA/QC procedures:	
Any comment:	This parameter only needs to be monitored if the waste prevented from disposal
	includes several waste categories j , as categorized in the tables for DOC_i and k_i .

Data / parameter:	Z	
Data unit:	-	
Description:	Number of samples collected during the year <i>x</i>	
Source of data:	Project participants	
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously, aggregated annually	
QA/QC procedures:		
Any comment:	This parameter only needs to be monitored if the waste prevented from disposal	
	includes several waste categories j , as categorized in the tables for DOC_i and k_i .	

References and any other information

Oonk H., Weenk A., Coops O., Luning L. (1994) Validation of landfill gas formation models; EWAB 9427; NOVEM, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision(s)
04	EB 41, Annex #,	The tool was revised to clarify that it is not applicable to stockpiles.
	01 August 2008	
03 ³	EB 39, Annex 9,	Specified that k and DOC values for EFB shall be those corresponding to
	16 May 2008	garden waste
02	EB 35, Annex 10,	Added:
	19 October 2007	a) Example of how specific values of k & DOC should be chosen.
		b) k value of sewage sludge.
01	EB 26, Annex 14,	Initial adoption
	29 September 2006	

_

 $^{^3}$ The version of this methodology was changed from 02.1 to 03 on 23 May 2008, due to incorrect numbering.