Draft: CDM: Proposed New Methodology Panel recommendation to the Executive Board (To be used by methodolgy panel to make a recommendation regarding a proposed new methodology)		
Date of panel meeting:		
Related F-CDM-NM document ID number (already available to EB members)		
Related F-CDM-NMex document ID number(s) (already available to EB members)		
[Related F-CDM-NMpu document ID number(s (electronically available to EB members)])	
Recommendations by the Meth panel		
Recommendations on baseline methodology(ies):		
 a. Approve methodology i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.) 		
ii. Minor changes suggest	ed	
b. Not approved		
i. Reasons for rejection		
ii. Specify changes neede	d	
Recommendations on monitoring methodology(ies):		
a) Approve methodology		
(i) Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.)		
(ii) Minor changes suggested		
b) Not approved		
(i) Reasons for rejection		
(ii) Specify changes needed		
Details of the evaluation of the proposed new methodology by the Meth panel:		
New baseline methodology(ies)		
Section 2. Description of the methodology:		
Section 2.1. General approach		

Is the approach selected the most appropriate (see paragraph 48 of the CDM M&P)?

Section 2.2. Overall description

Adequacy of methodology description

Appropriateness of determining the baseline scenario proposed. Does the baseline scenario reasonably represent the anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity? Explain.

Section 3. Key parameters/assumptions (including emission factors and activity levels), and data sources considered and used:

Reliability, accuracy and adequacy of data required (e.g. your expert judgement on the emissions factors and activity data used)

Key implicit and explicit assumptions (if any)

a. Identification

b. Acceptability

Transparency

Section 4. Definition of the project boundary related to the baseline methodology: *Coverage of project boundary (adequate?):*

- a. Gases and sources
- b. Physical delineation

Section 5. Assessment of uncertainties:

Key implicit and explicit assumptions (if any)

a. Identification

b. Acceptability

Section 6. Description of how the baseline methodology addresses the calculation of baseline emissions and the determination of project additionality: Please evaluate the proposed new methodology:

"Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of GHG by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the registered CDM project activity (*i.e. explanation of how and why this project is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario*)"

Section 7. Description of how the baseline methodology addresses any potential leakage of the project activity:

Section 8. Criteria used in developing the proposed baseline methodology, including an explanation of how the baseline methodology was developed in a transparent and conservative manner:

Section 9. Assessment of strengths and weaknesses of the baseline methodology:

Section 10. Other considerations, such as a description of how national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances have been taken into account:

In addition please address the following aspects Applicability of methodology across project types and regions

Any other comments

New monitoring methodology(ies)

In respect of new monitoring methodology(ies), evaluate each section of Annex 4. Please provide your comments section by section:

Please also address the following

Applicability of methodology across project types and regions

Any other comments

Section below to be filled by UNFCCC secretariat			
F-CDM-NMmp doc id number			
Date when the form is received at UNFCCC secretariat			
Date at which the documentation has been forwarded to the EB, CDM-Meth Panel			