



**CDM: Proposed new methodology expert form
(version 02)**
(To be used by methodology experts providing desk review for a proposed new methodology)

Name of expert responsible for completing and submitting this form

Related F-CDM-NM document ID number

Evaluation of the proposed new methodologies:

Based on an assessment of the F-CDM-NMB, the F-CDM-NMM and the draft CDM-PDD, evaluate the proposed new baseline and /or monitoring methodologies.

Recommendations on the baseline methodology:

Title of baseline methodology:>>

- i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.):
- ii. Strengths and weaknesses of the methodology:
- iii. Any changes needed to improve the methodology:
 - a. Minor changes:
 - b. Major changes:

Recommendations on the monitoring methodology(ies):

Title of monitoring methodology(ies): >>

- i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.):
- ii. Strengths and weaknesses of the methodology:
- iii. Any changes needed to improve the methodology:
 - a. Minor changes:
 - b. Major changes:

Details of the evaluation of the proposed new methodology by the desk reviewer:

Section 2: New baseline methodology (*specify title here*):

Section 2.1: Short description of methodology (including assessment of which approach was used)

a) description of methodology

>>

b) approach used

>>

c) summary indication of why the approach is most appropriate

>>

Section 2.2.: Basis for determining the baseline scenario:

a) *Does the documentation explain how the baseline is chosen, taking into account paragraph 45(e)?*

>>

b) *What is the underlying rationale for algorithms/formulae (e.g. marginal vs. average etc).*

>>

c) *Does the methodology demonstrate that a project activity is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario? (if not, not approve methodology)*

>>

d) *How does the methodology demonstrate that a project activity is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario?*

>>

e) *What is your assessment of the appropriateness and adequacy of the basis for determining the baseline scenario?*

>>

Section 2.3. Assessment of methodology description

a) *what is the adequacy of the methodology description?*

>>

b) *What is the appropriateness of the methodology to the proposed project activity and project context for which it is suggested?*

>>

c) *Does the baseline scenario reasonably represent the anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity? Explain.*

>>

Section 2.4: Assessment of formulae/algorithms:

a) *Does the methodology description include a process and generic formulae that can be applied to other potential project activities? (if not, it will be considered as a project-specific methodology that is not appropriate to use in other situations)*

>>

b) *What is the spatial level of data used to determine the baseline and is this level appropriate?*

>>

c) *What is the vintage of data (compared to the start of the project crediting period) (number of years) and is this vintage of data appropriate?*

>>

Section 2.5. Definition of the project boundary related to the baseline methodology:

Coverage of project boundary:

a. *Gases and sources*

>>

b. *Physical delineation*

>>

Is this project boundary appropriate?

>>

Section 2.6: Key parameters/assumptions:

a) *list the key implicit and explicit assumptions (if any)*

>>

b) *where are the data obtained (e.g. official statistics, expert judgement)?*

>>

c) *are the key assumptions arrived at transparent?*

>>

d) *what is the acceptability of these assumptions? (e.g. your expert judgement on the reliability, accuracy, adequacy of the emission factors, activity data and other assumptions).*

>>

Section 2.7. Assessment of uncertainties:

Does the methodology include an assessment of uncertainties in:

a) *basis for determining the baseline scenario?*

>>

b) *formulae/algorithms?*

>>

c) *data sources and assumptions?*

>>

d) *are the uncertainties presented reasonable?*

>>

Section 2.8. Leakage

a) *How does the baseline methodology address any potential leakage of the project activity?*

>>

b) *Is this an appropriate and adequate treatment for leakage?*

>>

Section 2.9. Transparency and conservativeness:

a) *was the baseline methodology developed in a transparent way?*

>>

b) *Is the baseline methodology conservative?*

>>

Section 3. Other strengths and weaknesses of the baseline methodology:

>>

Section 4. Other considerations, such as a description of how national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances have been taken into account:

>>

Section 5. Applicability of methodology across project types and regions

>>

Section 6. Any other comments

a) *Has the approach been applied to the project appropriately?*

>>

b) *Other than documentation on this proposed project activity available on the UNFCCC website, has any other documentation been used in evaluating this project, and if so, what?*

>>

| | |
|---|--|
| New monitoring methodology(ies) | |
| <p><i>In respect of new monitoring methodology(ies), evaluate each section of Annex 4. Please provide your comments section by section:</i></p> <p>Brief description of new methodology:</p> <p><i>Description of new methodology:</i></p> <p>>></p> <p><i>Assessment of whether and why this methodology is appropriate:</i></p> <p>>></p> <p>Data:</p> <p><i>Where are the data obtained (e.g. official statistics, expert judgement)?</i></p> <p>>></p> <p>Leakage:</p> <p>>></p> <p>Assumptions used:</p> <p><i>a) list the key implicit and explicit assumptions (if any)</i></p> <p>>></p> <p><i>b) are how the key assumptions arrived at transparent?</i></p> <p>>></p> <p><i>c) what is the acceptability of these assumptions? (e.g. your expert judgement on the reliability, accuracy, adequacy of the emission factors, activity data and other assumptions).</i></p> <p>>></p> <p>QA/QC procedures:</p> <p>>></p> <p>Potential strengths and weaknesses of the methodology.</p> <p>>></p> <p>Application of methodology elsewhere:</p> <p>>></p> | |
| Please also address the following | |
| Applicability of methodology across project types and regions | |
| Any other comments | |
| Signature of Meth Panel Chair Date: / / | |
| Signature of Meth Panel Vice-Chair Date: / / | |
| | |
| F-CDM-NMmp doc id number | |
| Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat | |
| Date of transmission to the EB | |

