### CDM-MP58-A05

# Draft Large-scale Methodology

# AM0035: SF<sub>6</sub> emission reductions in electrical grids

Version 02.0.0

Sectoral scope(s): 01 and 11

# DRAFT



United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

# **COVER NOTE**

#### 1. Procedural background

- 1. The draft revision of the approved methodology "AM0035: SF6 Emission Reductions in Electrical Grids" is recommended in response to the submission AM\_REV\_0235 "(AM0035): Revision of AM 0035 on the applicability and baseline methodology for the existing recycling activity".
- 2. The submission AM\_REV\_235 was considered by the Methodologies Panel at its 56<sup>th</sup>, 57<sup>th</sup> and 58th meeting in accordance with the "Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM project activities" (EB 54, annex 2).

#### 2. Purpose

3. The purpose of the proposed revision is to improve existing regulations.

#### 3. Key issues and proposed solutions

4. The draft revision expands the applicability of the methodology to cases where no historical information to calculate the baseline emissions is available.

#### 4. Impacts

- 5. The revision of the methodology, if approved, will expand the applicability to project activities with no historical data available.
- 6. The methodology was approved at EB 26 in September 2006 and has previously not been revised. So far two projects have been registered applying this methodology. Seven project are currently listed as under validation applying this methodology.

#### 5. **Proposed work and timelines**

7. The proposed draft revision of the methodology is recommended by the Methodologies Panel to be considered by the Board at its seventieth meeting. No further work is envisaged.

#### 6. Recommendations to the Board

8. The Methodologies Panel recommends that the Board adopts the draft revised methodology.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Page

1.	INTF	RODUCTION	5
2.	sco	PE, APPLICABILITY, AND ENTRY INTO FORCE	5
	2.1.	Scope	5
	2.2.	Applicability	5
	2.3.	Entry into force	5
3.	NOR	MATIVE REFERENCES	5
	3.1.	Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures	6
4.	DEF	INITIONS	6
5.	THE TER	DEFINITIONS CONTAINED IN THE GLOSSARY OF CDM MS SHALL APPLY6	
6.	BAS	ELINE METHODOLOGY	6
	6.1.	Project boundary	6
	6.2.	Procedure for the selection of the most plausible baseline scenario	6
	6.3.	Additionality	7
	6.4.	Baseline emissions	8
	6.5.	Project emissions	11
	6.6.	Leakage	11
	6.7.	Emission reductions	11
	6.8.	Changes required for methodology implementation in 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> crediting periods	12
	6.9.	Data and parameters not monitored	12
7.	MON	NITORING METHODOLOGY	13
	7.1.	Monitoring procedures	13
	<mark>7.2.</mark>	Good records required for the effective management of SF <sub>6</sub> inventories	14
	7.3.	SF <sub>6</sub> inventory measurement procedures	15
	7.4.	Linkage between project activities and emission reduction results	15

7.5.	Data and parameters monitored	17
APPENDIX	1. A TYPICAL SF <sub>6</sub> REPORTING FORM	20
<b>APPENDIX</b>	2. STANDARDS RELATED TO SF6 HANDLING	22

## 1. Introduction

1. The following table describes the key elements of the methodology:

#### Table 1.Methodology key elements

Typical projects	Recycling and/or leak reduction of SF <sub>6</sub> in an electricity grid		
Type of GHG emissions mitigation action	(a) GHG emission avoidance. Avoidance of $SF_6$ emissions by recycling and/or leak reduction		

## 2. Scope, applicability, and entry into force

#### 2.1. Scope

2. The methodology is applicable to project activities that recycle  $SF_6$  (Sulphur hexafluoride) and/or reduce  $SF_6$  leaks at an electric utility

#### 2.2. Applicability

#### 3. The following applicability conditions apply:

- (a) The project is implemented either in the entire electrical grid or a verifiable distinct geographic portion of an electrical grid of the electric utility;
- (b) Where documented proof is available to confirm that reduction in emissions of  $SF_6$  from replaced or repaired equipment is not claimed by any other clean development mechanism (CDM) project. The designated operational entities (DOE) shall verify the documentation at validation as well as at verification.

#### 2.3. Entry into force

4. The date of entry into force is the date of the publication of the EB 70 meeting report on the 23 November 2012.

### 3. Normative references

- 5. This methodology is based on the project activity "Reducing SF<sub>6</sub> Emissions in High-Voltage Transmission/Distribution Systems in Nigeria", which ese baseline and monitoring methodology and project design document were prepared by Quality Tonnes and World Bank Carbon Finance Unit.
- 6. For more information regarding the proposal and its consideration by the Executive Board (hereinafter referred to as the Board) of the clean development mechanism (CDM) Executive Board please refer to the proposed new methodology case "NM0135: SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reductions for Electrical Grids" on <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html>.
- 7. This methodology also refers to the latest version of the following tool:
  - (a) "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality".

# 3.1. Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures

8. "Actual or historical emissions, as applicable".

## 4. Definitions

5. The definitions contained in the Glossary of CDM terms shall apply.

## 6. Baseline methodology

#### 6.1. Project boundary

- 9. The physical boundary is the electrical grid or subset of electrical grid where the project activity of recycling and leak reduction program is implemented. The greenhouse gas included is SF<sub>6</sub>, which is commonly used as an insulator in electrical transmission and distribution grids. Any part of the grid where SF<sub>6</sub> leak reduction and recycling was being implemented prior to the start of project activity shall be excluded from the project boundary. If further emission reductions are achievable through improvement of efficiency in certain part of the grid even if SF<sub>6</sub> leak reduction and recycling was being implemented prior to the start of project activity, it can be included in the project boundary.
- 10. **NOTE:**-In defining the project boundary it shall be ensured that all quantity of  $SF_6$  gas moving in and out of the project boundary shall be well documented and these documents made available for audit by the DOE. The DOE shall check that these documents are consistent with the financial accounts of the project participants.

Source		Gas	Included	Justification/Explanation
Baseline	SF <sub>6</sub> emissions from utility equipment	$SF_6$	Yes	The project activity is prevention of $SF_6$ release into atmosphere.
	(trans-formers, circuit	CO <sub>2</sub>	No	No CO <sub>2</sub> emissions occur
	breakers, etc.	$CH_4$	No	No CH <sub>4</sub> emissions occur
Project activity	SF <sub>6</sub> emissions from utility equipment	$SF_6$	Yes	The project activity is prevention of SF <sub>6</sub> release into atmosphere.
	(trans-formers, circuit	CO <sub>2</sub>	No	No CO <sub>2</sub> emissions occur
	breakers, etc.	CH <sub>4</sub>	No	No CH <sub>4</sub> emissions occur

 Table 2.
 Emission sources included in or excluded from the project boundary

#### 6.2. Procedure for the selection of the most plausible baseline scenario

- 11. The methodology covers the following categories of  $SF_6$  emissions reductions from the equipment within the project boundary:
  - (a) Recycling SF<sub>6</sub> encapsulated in existing equipment during repairs;
  - (b) Recycling SF<sub>6</sub> encapsulated in existing equipment during decommissioning; and

- (c) Reduction in leaks by repairing the equipment;
- (d) Recycling  $SF_6$  encapsulated in existing equipment during routine inspection.
- 12. The baseline scenario shall be determined by analyzing the following potential alternatives:
  - (a) Implementing the project activity without CDM; and
  - (b) Continuation of the current present practice, which shall be described in the CDM project design document (CDM-PDD).

#### 6.2.1. Step 1: assessment of national policy/regulations on SF<sub>6</sub>

- 13. List national or regional policies/regulation that either require reduction of SF<sub>6</sub> emissions from the power sector or prescribe maintenance standards that affects SF<sub>6</sub> release to atmosphere.
  - (a) If such policies exist, assess the enforcement of the policies;
  - (b) If above-mentioned policies/regulations exist and are enforced, then the project activity implemented without CDM is the baseline scenario.

# 6.2.1.1. Step 2: assess if implementation of SF<sub>6</sub> recycling by any utility or by the utility in any part of its electrical grid is being undertaken

- (a) Identify and list the level and extent of SF<sub>6</sub> recycling being undertaken within the region or country where the project activity is implemented;
- (b) If some utilities do undertake SF<sub>6</sub> recycling, are there factors that prevent the implementation of the same activity within the project boundary of the project activity. If not then the project activity implemented without CDM is the baseline scenario. If factors do prevent implementation of the same activity then documented evidence for these factors preventing implementation shall be reported in the CDM-PDD and validated by the DOE.
- 14. Note: If the baseline is continuation of the present practice, then any existing efforts to recycle SF<sub>6</sub> shall not be included in the project boundary.
- This methodology is applicable only if the baseline scenario is continuation of the current present practice.

#### 6.3. Additionality

- 16. Additionality shall be demonstrated using the latest version of the latest version of the "*Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality*". In addition, it must be shown that no sectoral or regional/national-level policies exist that require the recycling or leak management of  $SF_6$  in electric utility infrastructure.
- 17. The barriers listed below should be evaluated as part of the application of the latest version of the <u>"tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality"</u> "Guidelines for objective demonstration and assessment of barriers":
  - (a) Investment barriers, other than the economic/financial barriers, for example:

- (i) Real and/or perceived risks associated with the technology or process are too high to attract investment;
- (ii) Funding is not available for innovative projects;
- (b) Technological barriers, for example:
  - Skilled and/or properly trained labour to operate and maintain the technology is not available, leading to equipment disrepair and malfunctioning;
- (c) Barriers due to prevailing practice, for example:
  - (i) Developers lack familiarity with state-of-the-art technologies and are reluctant to use them;
  - (ii) The project is the "first of a kind";
  - (iii) Management lacks experience using state-of-the-art technologies, so that the project receives low priority by management;
  - (iv) Perceived technical and financial risks to enterprises (fears that a new technology may not work, could interrupt production, take time to perfect, or will not actually result in financial savings);
  - (v) Real and perceived insignificance of many investments for example, if energy efficiency (or SF<sub>6</sub>) projects are relatively small and the value of the savings achieved typically is only a small percentage of enterprise operating costs.
- 18. These identified barriers are to be considered only if they would prevent potential project proponents from carrying out the proposed project activity were it not registered as a CDM activity.

#### 6.4. Baseline emissions

- 19. The baseline emissions are the total  $SF_6$  emitted from both leaks and non-recycling of  $SF_6$  during repair and maintenance of the equipment in the baseline. Project participants may use any of the standards listed on appendix 2 of this methodology or equivalent national standards to handle the  $SF_6$  and the equipment using it.
- 20. The methodology provides two options to determine baseline emissions depending on the availability of historical information.

#### 6.4.1. Option 1: historical data is available

- 21. The calculations of SF<sub>6</sub> emitted shall be made in accordance with the 2006 IPCC SF<sub>6</sub> electric utility methodology guidelines, using the Tier 3 method.<sup>1</sup>
- 22. Data for at least three years prior to the start of the project shall be used to establish the baseline. The data shall be based on inventory and all the purchase records and use data according to the steps described below.<sup>2</sup> In order to be conservative, the year with the lowest SF<sub>6</sub> emissions of the three or more years will be taken for the baseline.
- 23. The yearly emissions of SF<sub>6</sub> of year y are estimated using the following equation: The baseline emissions of SF<sub>6</sub> are estimated using the following equation:

 $AE_x = DI_x + AI_x - SI_x + REC_x - NEC_x$ 

24.

Equation (1)

Equation (2)

Where:	
AE <sub>x</sub> =	Annual SF <sub>6</sub> emissions in year <i>x</i> prior the implementation of the project activity (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
$DI_X$ =	Decrease in inventory during the year (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
$AI_X$ =	Additions to inventory during the year (kg $SF_6$ )
SI <sub>X</sub> =	Subtractions from inventory during the year (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
REC <sub>X</sub> =	Retired Equipment Capacity expressed as nameplate capacity of retired equipment (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
NEC <sub>X</sub> =	New Equipment Capacity expressed as nameplate capacity of new equipment (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
The baseline emis	sions of SF <sub>6</sub> are estimated using the following equation:

$$\frac{BE_{y} = (DI_{x} + AI_{x} - SI_{x} + REC_{x} - NEC_{x}) \times \frac{GWP_{SF6}}{1000}}{BE_{y} = min(AE_{X-1}; AE_{X-2}; AE_{X-3}) \times \frac{GWP_{SF6}}{1000}}$$
Equation (2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In cases where three years of data do not exist, the project developer will need to demonstrate that the data does not exist and explain the steps being taken to ensure that the baseline year data is not being manipulated. This would likely include a detailed description of normal operating practices over the past three year period and a detailed description of activities using the order of magnitude test described in the 'Linkage Between Project Activities and Emission Reduction Results' section.

Where:		
BE <sub>y</sub>	=	Baseline emissions during the year <i>y</i> (t CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
$\begin{array}{c} AE_{X-1} \\ AE_{X-2} \\ AE_{X-3} \end{array}$	=	Annual $SF_6$ emissions in in the three years prior the implementation of the project activity(kg $SF_6$ )
ÐI <u>*</u>	=	Decrease in inventory in the baseline year (only cylinders; from beginning of baseline year until end; number can be negative. This is expressed as "cylinders at the beginning of the year less that at the end of the year in the inventory.) (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
<mark>Al,</mark>	=	Additions to Inventory in baseline year (cylinder purchases, recycled SF <sub>6</sub> returned to inventory (captured from retiring equipment) and any SF <sub>6</sub> included in new equipment fully charged by manufacturer) (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
<mark>SI</mark> *	=	<del>Subtractions from inventory in baseline year (only cylinders; sold</del> <del>back to supplier, or sent for recycling) (kg SF<sub>6</sub>)</del>
REC <sub>*</sub>	=	Retired Equipment Capacity expressed as nameplate capacity of retired equipment (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
NEC <sub>*</sub>	=	New Equipment Capacity expressed as nameplate capacity of new equipment (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
GWP <sub>SF6</sub>	=	Global warming potential of $SF_6$ (t $CO_2e/tSF_6$ )

- 25. <u>Note</u>: any force majeure events that affect the measurement of inventory will be factored out of the baseline. This will be done in a conservative manner as follows: if a piece of SF<sub>6</sub> containing equipment is destroyed by a force majeure event, releasing all of its SF<sub>6</sub>, the project developer will calculate the inventory change as an emissions-neutral event. This means that the nameplate capacity of the old equipment will be calculated as equal to the new equipment. This is conservative, since it assumes that all the SF<sub>6</sub> in the name plate capacity of the equipment destroyed was actually present at the time of destruction (i.e. no leaks).
- 26. The data inventory should be maintained using in a the same or a similar format to that provided in the Annex appendix 1 to this methodology.
- 27. The inventory estimates shall be cross-checked with estimation of emissions based on the: (i) inventory of all  $SF_6$  containing equipment within the project boundary; and (ii) all actions used to reduce  $SF_6$  emissions. This is called the order of magnitude test and is described in the monitoring methodology section.

#### 6.4.2. Option 2: no historical data is available

- 28. In case that there is no historical information available, project proponents shall identify all the devices that use  $SF_6$  in the project activity and estimate a conservative baseline emissions using default factor for  $SF_6$  emissions in the absence of the project activity.
- 29. The baseline emissions of  $SF_6$  are estimated using the following equation:

$$BE_{y} = E_{rate} \times \frac{GWP_{SF6}}{1000} \sum_{1}^{N} C_{i}$$
Equation (3)  
Where:  

$$BE_{y} = Baseline \text{ emissions during the year } y \text{ (t CO}_{2}/\text{yr})$$

$$E_{rate} = Default \text{ baseline emission rate}$$

$$C_{i} = Nameplate \text{ capacity for the gas insulated equipment (kg SF_{6})}$$

$$GWP_{SF6} = Global \text{ warming potential of SF}_{6} (\text{t CO}_{2}\text{e}/\text{tSF}_{6})$$

#### 6.5. **Project emissions**

$$PE_{y} = \left(DI_{y} + AI_{y} - SI_{y} + REC_{y} - NEC_{y}\right) \times \frac{GWP_{SF6}}{1000}$$
 Equation (4)

Where:

$PE_y$	=	Project emissions during the year y (t CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
DIy	=	Decrease in inventory in year <i>y</i> <del>(only cylinders; from beginning of</del> <del>year until end; number can be negative)</del> (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
$AI_y$	=	Additions to Inventory in year $y \frac{(cylinder purchases, recycled SF_6}{returned to inventory and any SF_6 included in new equipment fully charged by manufacturer) (kg SF_6)$
SI <sub>y</sub>	=	Subtractions from inventory in year y (only cylinders; sold back to supplier, or sent for recycling) (kg $SF_6$ )
REC <sub>y</sub>	=	Retired Equipment Capacity, expressed as nameplate capacity of retired equipment, in year $y$ (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
NECy	=	New Equipment Capacity, expressed as nameplate capacity of new equipment, in year $y$ (kg SF <sub>6</sub> )
GWP <sub>SF6</sub>	=	Global warming potential of $SF_6$ (t $CO_2e/tSF_6$ )

30. The inventory estimates shall be cross-checked with estimation of emissions based on the: (i) inventory of all SF<sub>6</sub> containing equipment within the project boundary; and (ii) all actions used to reduce SF<sub>6</sub> emissions. This is called the order of magnitude test and is described in the monitoring methodology section.

#### 6.6. Leakage

31. There is no consideration of leakage as leakage is not likely to occur.

#### 6.7. Emission reductions

32. Emission reductions are calculated as follows:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y$$

Equation (5)

Where:

$ER_y$	=	Emission reductions during the year $y$ (t CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
$BE_y$	=	Baseline emissions during the year $y$ (t CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)
$PE_y$	=	Project emissions during the year y (t CO <sub>2</sub> /yr)

# 6.8. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> crediting periods

- 33. Project proponents shall use the latest version of the tool "Assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period" when considering the necessary changes in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> crediting period.
- 34. No changes are required for consideration of the methodology in future crediting periods. It should be noted that the project developer will need to check on national and regional policies at the renewal of each crediting period, in case these have changed. In case the project, or part of the project activity, has become part of the baseline due to changes in policies, the project developer will have to redefine the baseline as appropriate or potentially withdraw the project from consideration for a new CDM project period.
- 35. At the renewal of crediting period, the guidance provided in Annex 7 of the report of the Board's twentieth meeting or any revisions of it shall be taken into account while assessing the continued validity of the baseline and updating the baseline.

#### 6.9. Data and parameters not monitored

36. In addition to the parameters listed in section 5.9, the provisions on data and parameters not monitored in the tools referred to in this methodology apply.

Data / Parameter:	GWP <sub>SF6</sub>
Data unit:	t CO <sub>2</sub> e/tSF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	Global warming potential for SF <sub>6</sub>
Source of data:	IPCC
Measurement procedures (if any):	23,900 for the first commitment period. Shall be updated according to any future COP/MOP decisions
Monitoring frequency:	-
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

Data / Parameter table 1.

#### Data / Parameter table 2.

Data / Parameter:	E <sub>rate</sub>			
Data unit:	-			
Description:	Default baseline emission rate			
Source of data:	The default baseline emission rate will vary every year. In year 2012 the rate will be 10% and then it will decrease every year until it reaches a final value of 1% in year 2021. The value of 1% will remain constant after 2021, as provided in the following table:			
	Year	E <sub>rate</sub> value		
	2012	<mark>10%</mark>		
	2013	<mark>9%</mark>		
	<mark>2014</mark>	<mark>8%</mark>		
	2015	<mark>7%</mark>		
	<mark>2016</mark>	<mark>6%</mark>		
	2017	<mark>5%</mark>		
	2018	<mark>4%</mark>		
	2019	<mark>3%</mark>		
	<mark>2020</mark>	<mark>2%</mark>		
	<mark>2021</mark>	<mark>1%</mark>		
Measurement procedures (if any):	None			
Monitoring frequency:				
QA/QC procedures:	-			
Any comment:	-			

# 7. Monitoring methodology

#### 7.1. Monitoring procedures

- 37. The methodology is based on a mass-balance approach following 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Volume 3, Chapter 8, using the Tier 3 method. The project developer must document changes in SF<sub>6</sub> inventories in a baseline year (at least three years of data required with the lowest of the three years being the baseline) that would point to its use to recharge equipment due to leaks and emissions during maintenance. The reduced demand of SF<sub>6</sub>, as identified from the data provided in the inventory during project crediting period, will be used to calculate the reduction of emissions resulting from repaired leaks and recycling.
- 38. The following steps are followed in estimating the  $SF_6$  needed every year and, hence, the emissions:
  - (a) Estimate the net decrease in the amount of SF<sub>6</sub> inventory over the baseline year;

- (b) Add the amount purchased including SF<sub>6</sub> contained in purchased equipment;
- (c) Subtract any SF<sub>6</sub> returned to supplier;
- (d) Add any recycled SF<sub>6</sub> returned to inventory;
- (e) Subtract any SF<sub>6</sub> sent to recycling firms, sold to other entities, destroyed by the utility; or installation, or returned to the supplier;
- (f) Add the nameplate capacity of the retired equipment;
- (g) Subtract nameplate capacity of new equipment.

#### 7.2. Good records required for the effective management of SF<sub>6</sub> inventories

- 39. The following should be recorded:
  - (a) The location and identification reference of the equipment;
  - (b) The manufacturer and type of the equipment;
  - (c) The quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> installed in each item when first commissioned;
  - (d) The quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> added;
  - (e) Any quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> recovered during servicing, maintenance and final disposal;
  - (f) Establish and maintain a current and complete GIS equipment inventory, which includes the following information for each piece of equipment:
    - (i) Manufacturer serial number;
    - (ii) Equipment type (e.g. circuit breaker, transformer, etc.);
    - (iii) Seal type (hermetic or non-hermetic);
    - (iv) Equipment manufacturer name;
    - (v) Date equipment was manufactured;
    - (vi) Equipment voltage capacity;
    - (vii) Equipment SF<sub>6</sub> nameplate capacity;
    - (viii) A chronological record of the dates on which SF<sub>6</sub> was transferred into or out of active GIS equipment;
    - (ix) The amount of SF<sub>6</sub> transferred into or out of the active GIS equipment;
    - (x) Equipment status (active or inactive);
    - (xi) Equipment location;
  - (g) Establish and maintain a current and complete inventory of gas containers;
  - (h) Retain SF<sub>6</sub> gas and equipment purchase documentation (such as contracts, material invoices, receipts, etc.).

#### 7.3. SF<sub>6</sub> inventory measurement procedures

- (a) Establish and adhere to written procedures to track all gas containers as they are leaving and entering storage;
- (b) Weigh all gas containers on a scale that is certified by the manufacturer to be accurate to within one per cent of the true weight;
- (c) Establish and maintain a log of all measurements required;
- (d) Record the scale calibration methods used;
- (e) Retain all documents and records required for a minimum of three years;
- (f) Use of sealed pressure systems, where available;
- (g) Human resources:
  - (i) Ensure that only certified personnel are used for any activity involving the recovery of SF<sub>6</sub>;
  - (ii) Make sure all personnel (both in-house and contractors) working on switchgear containing SF<sub>6</sub> understand the environmental impacts of emitting SF<sub>6</sub> to the atmosphere. Initial filling of equipment is an area where significant emissions can occur. Ensure all personnel are properly trained to minimize emissions, especially on initial filling of equipment.

#### 7.4. Linkage between project activities and emission reduction results

- 40. An order of magnitude check<sup>3</sup> shall be performed each year.
- 41. To implement this order of magnitude check a continuous and detailed record of all repairs, rehabilitations, and recycling included in the project activity shall be recorded. For each activity, the documentation should cover the equipment involved, the type of action, and the estimated amount of SF<sub>6</sub> involved. An example below presents the data to be stored for an order of magnitude test.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Described in Chapter 8, Volume 3, of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

Table 3.	Data to be stored for an order of magnitude test
	Data to be stored for an order of magnitude test

Description of project activities	Description of equipment involved in the activity (including nameplate capacity of SF <sub>6</sub> )	Force majeure event	Best estimate of SF <sub>6</sub> inventory increase (+) or decrease (-): Please include reasons for estimates
Recycled SF <sub>6</sub> from decommissioned Circuit breaker	GE High Voltage Circuit Breaker 250 kV 250kg SF <sub>6</sub> Capacity		+250kg Based on number of tanks filled
Repair SF <sub>6</sub> leak in High Voltage Circuit Breaker	ABB High Voltage Circuit Breaker 500kV 500kg SF <sub>6</sub> capacity		-25kg Based on estimate of gas injected into circuit breaker following the repair
Performed Basic Maintenance on Circuit Breaker requiring removal of SF <sub>6</sub>	Pars Switch High Voltage Circuit breaker 145kV 250kg SF <sub>6</sub> capacity		+250kg - 250kg = 0 $SF_6$ was recycled from unit and returned after the maintenance was complete. In the baseline scenario this would have likely resulted in a -250kg, since the $SF_6$ would have been vented before the repair and replaced with new $SF_6$
Replaced High Voltage Circuit breaker	Previous: ABB High voltage 250kV SF <sub>6</sub> 100kg New: ABB High Voltage 250kV SF <sub>6</sub> 25kg	Yes- Lightening and fire destroyed old unit	+100kg leaked +25kg new entering inventory This action would be conservatively factored out of the emission reduction results for the year

42. The order of magnitude estimate results in a range for SF<sub>6</sub> emissions. This range shall be compared with the results from the mass balance approach described in the baseline methodology (equation 1 and 2). If the mass balance estimate lies outside the range, the reason for differences should be identified and explained. If the difference cannot be explained, CERs for that period cannot be claimed. The data required for order of magnitude test and explanation of any differences with mass balance approach should be documented as part of the monitoring plan and annual monitoring reports submitted for verification.

#### Box 1. Example of order of magnitude check

Based on the mass balance formulas, the emission reductions are estimated to be 1,500 kg of SF<sub>6</sub> (35,850 t CO<sub>2</sub>e) in a given year during the crediting period. Typically, an order of magnitude test as described in this methodology provides a range of emissions reductions rather than a precise number. In this example, if the order of magnitude test yielded a range of 1,050 kg to 1,950 kg of savings (i.e. 1,500 kg  $\pm$ 30%), then this check would confirm the mass balance estimate, since the mass balance estimate was within the range. In other words, if the mass balance estimate falls within the range of the order of magnitude check, then this validates the mass balance estimate and certified emission reductions (CERs) can be issued accordingly. If the order of magnitude check leads to an estimate of 100 to 300 kg emissions reductions, then clearly something is wrong. Because the mass balance estimate is higher than the range from the order of magnitude check, no CERs would be awarded to the project. If the order of magnitude check range is higher than the mass balance estimate of 1,500 kg (e.g. in the range of 2,000-3,000 kg), then the lower 1,500 kg figure would be used in order to be conservative

#### 7.5. Data and parameters monitored

Data / Parameter:	C <sub>i</sub>
Data unit:	kg SF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	Nameplate capacity for the gas insulated equipment
Source of data:	Nameplate or purchase orders
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Monitoring frequency:	At the time of purchase
QA/QC procedures:	-
Any comment:	-

#### Data / Parameter table 3.

#### Data / Parameter table 4.

Data / Parameter:	$DI_{x}, DI_{y}$
Data unit:	kg SF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	Decrease in inventory during the year
Source of data:	Project inventory records
Measurement procedures (if any):	Based on number of cylinders in inventory at start and end of year
Monitoring frequency:	$DI_x$ at start and end of year for at least three years prior to project start; $DI_y$ at start and end of each year during project operation

QA/QC procedures:	Metering will rely on the simple counting of cylinders. The cylinders are filled using meters with 99% accuracy, and are double checked by weighing cylinders on scales with 99% accuracy. QA/QC will also include checking purchase records by trained staff. There will be little or no chance for human error given the simple nature of the measuring process and the double checks undertaken. All meters and scales will be calibrated as per manufacturers' recommendations
Any comment:	Number can be negative

#### Data / Parameter table 5.

Data / Parameter:	Al <sub>x</sub> , Al <sub>y</sub>
Data unit:	kg SF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	Additions to inventory during the year
Source of data:	Project inventory, purchase records, and supplier and recycler receipts
Measurement procedures (if any):	This includes purchased cylinders, $SF_6$ included in new equipment, and $SF_6$ returned from recyclers (where the equipment are sent out of the project boundary for recycling)
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous, as and when purchases or receipt of equipment/recycled $SF_6$ is realized
QA/QC procedures:	Metering will rely on the simple counting of cylinders. The cylinders are filled using meters with 99% accuracy, and are double checked by weighing cylinders on scales with 99% accuracy. QA/QC will also include checking purchase records by trained staff. There will be little or no chance for human error given the simple nature of the measuring process and the double checks undertaken. All meters and scales will be calibrated as per manufacturers' recommendations
Any comment:	-

#### Data / Parameter table 6.

Data / Parameter:	Sl <sub>x</sub> , Sl <sub>y</sub>
Data unit:	kg SF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	Subtractions from inventory during the year
Source of data:	Supplier receipts and purchase records
Measurement procedures (if any):	This includes cylinders sold back to supplier or equipment sent for recycling contained $SF_6$
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous, as purchases or changes in equipment happen

QA/QC procedures:	Metering will rely on the simple counting of cylinders. The cylinders are filled using meters with 99% accuracy, and are double checked by weighing cylinders on scales with 99% accuracy. QA/QC will also include checking purchase records by trained staff. There will be little or no chance for human error given the simple nature of the measuring process and the double checks undertaken. All meters and scales will be calibrated as per manufacturers' recommendations
Any comment:	-

#### Data / Parameter table 7.

Data / Parameter:	REC <sub>x</sub> , REC <sub>y</sub>
Data unit:	kg SF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	Retired equipment capacity in a given year
Source of data:	Nameplate of equipment or manufacturer's specifications
Measurement procedures (if any):	Nameplate capacity of equipment retired will be recorded
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous, as equipment is retired
QA/QC procedures:	Inventories will be maintained and regularly checked
Any comment:	-

#### Data / Parameter table 8.

Data / Parameter:	NEC <sub>x</sub> , NEC <sub>y</sub>
Data unit:	kg SF <sub>6</sub>
Description:	New equipment capacity in a given year
Source of data:	Nameplate of equipment
Measurement procedures (if any):	Nameplate capacity of new equipment will be recorded
Monitoring frequency:	Continuous, as equipment is retired
QA/QC procedures:	Inventories will be maintained and regularly checked
Any comment:	-

# Appendix 1. A typical SF<sub>6</sub> reporting form

#### Table 1. Process diagram

#### Annual reporting form

Name:	Company Name:	
Title:	Month or Year:	
Phone:	Date Completed:	

#### Change in inventory (SF<sub>6</sub> contained in cylinders, not electrical

#### equipment)

Inventory (in cylinders, not equipment)	AMOUNT (kg)	Comments
1. Beginning of Year		
2. End of Year		
A. Change in Inventory (1 - 2)	-	

#### Purchases/acquisitions of SF<sub>6</sub>

	AMOUNT (kg)	Comments
3. SF <sub>6</sub> purchased from producers or distributors in cylinders		
4. SF <sub>6</sub> provided by equipment manufacturers with/inside equipment		
5. $SF_6$ returned to the site after off-site recycling		
B. Total Purchases/Acquisitions (3+4+ 5)	-	

#### Sales/disbursements of SF<sub>6</sub>

	AMOUNT (kg)	Comments
6. Sales of $SF_6$ to other entities, including gas left in equipment that is sold		
7. Returns of SF <sub>6</sub> to supplier		
8. SF <sub>6</sub> sent to other facilities		
9. SF <sub>6</sub> sent off-site for recycling		
C. Total Sales/Disbursements (6+7+8+ 9)	-	

#### Annual reporting form

Change in nameplate capacity			
	AMOUNT (kg)	Comments	
10. Total nameplate capacity (proper full charge) of <u>new</u> equipment			
11. Total nameplate capacity (proper full charge) of <u>retired</u> or <u>sold</u> equipment			
D. Change in Capacity (10 - 11)			

#### Total annual emissions

	kg SF <sub>6</sub>	Tonnes $CO_2$ equiv. (kglbs. $SF_6x1000x23,900/1000)$
E. Total Emissions (A+B-C- D)	-	-

# Appendix 2. Standards related to SF<sub>6</sub> handling

1. Project participants may follow the standards mentioned below or the equivalent national standards when applying the methodology, this is not mandatory:

Table 1. Standards related to SF <sub>6</sub> handling			
Standard Code	Description		
Handling and recycling SF <sub>6</sub>			
IEC 60480	Guidelines for the checking and treatment of sulfur hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> ) taken from electrical equipment and specification for its re-use		
Cigré. SF₀ handling guide Nº 276	Avoid SF <sub>6</sub> handling losses due to stat-of-the-art handling		
<mark>Cigré. SF₅ recycling</mark> guide. № 234	Assure long term use of SF <sub>6</sub>		

High-voltage switchgear and controlgear	
IEC 62271-1	Common specifications for SF <sub>6</sub> -insulated and air-insulated high-voltage switchgear and control gear
IEC 62271-200	High-voltage switchgear and control gear – Part 200: AC metal-enclosed switchgear and control gear for rated voltages above 1 kV and up to and including 52 kV
IEC 62271-203	High-voltage switchgear and control gear Part 203: Gas- insulated metal-enclosed switchgear for rated voltages above 52 kV (Revision published in 9/2011)
IEC 62271-303	High-voltage switchgear and control gear – Part 303: Use and handling of sulphur hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> ) (Revision in progress: IEC 62271-4)
IEEE C37.122.3-2011	Guide for Sulphur Hexaflouride (SF <sub>6</sub> ) Gas Handling for High- voltage (over 1000Vac) Equipment

Reporting SF <sub>6</sub> bank, emissions and recovery		
ENA-ER S38	Engineering recommendation in reporting SF <sub>6</sub> bank,	
	emissions and recovery	

- - - - -

#### **Document information**

Version	Date	Description	
02.0.0	05 November 2012	MP 58, Annex 5 The revision:	
		(a) Clarifies that enhanced $SF_6$ recovery is allowed as baseline scenario;	
		(b) Incorporates procedures to determine baseline emissions in case of lack of historical information;	
		(c) Adds a provision for the inclusion of recycling of $SF_6$ during routine inspection as a project activity.	
01.0.0	29 September 2006	EB 26, Annex 2 Initial adoption.	
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Standard Business Function: Methodology Keywords: Sulphur hexafluoride			