

CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.

Sectoral scope: 01

EB 67

- 1 Draft revision to the approved consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0013
- 2 Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for "Construction and operation of new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology"

4 I. SOURCE AND APPLICABILITY

5 Sources

8

9

10

11 12

- This consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology is based on elements from the following proposed new methodologies:
 - NM0215 "Baseline and Monitoring Methodology for Grid Connected High-efficiency Coalfired Electricity Generation in Countries Where Different Power Expansion Plans are Formulated for Broadly Different Power Technologies and Where These Plans are Restrictive" prepared by Huaneng Power International, Inc., Global Climate Change Institute of the Tsinghua University and CDM Office of CWEME, China;
- NM0217 "Grid-connected supercritical coal-fired power generation" submitted by NTPC Ltd,
 India, whose baseline study and project design document were prepared by Perspectives
 Climate Change GmbH, Hamburg, Germany.
- 16 This methodology also refers to the latest approved versions of the following tools:
 - "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system";
 - "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality";
- "Assessment of the validity of the current/original baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period".
- 21 For more information regarding the proposed new methodologies and the tools and their consideration
- by the CDM Executive Board (the Board) please refer to http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth.
- 23 Selected approach from paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures
- 24 "Emissions from a technology that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into
- account barriers to investment".
- 26 and
- 27 "The average emissions of similar project activities undertaken in the previous five years, in similar
- social, economic, environmental and technological circumstances, and whose performance is among the
- top 20 per cent of their category".
- 30 **Definitions**
- For the purpose of this methodology the following definitions apply:
- 32 **Power plant.** A power plant is a facility for the generation of electric power from thermal energy
- 33 produced by the combustion of a fuel. In case where several power units have been installed at one
- site, each unit should be considered as a power plant. For example, two 600 MW coal-fired power units
- installed at one site should be considered as two power plants.
- 36 Cogeneration plant. A cogeneration plant is a plant that (i) simultaneously generates heat and power
- through combustion of fuels, and (ii) provides useful thermal energy to end-users which use the heat for
- other purposes than power generation (e.g. industrial users, district heating, etc).

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.

Sectoral scope: 01

EB 67

CDM – Executive Board

- Fossil fuel category. The *fossil fuel category* refers to the following three categories of fossil fuels in
- Table 1.1 in Volume 2_Energy, Chapter 1, of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines: (i) LIQUID liquid fuels
- 41 (Crude oil and petroleum products), (ii) SOLID-solid fuels (Coal and coal products) and (iii) GAS gas
- 42 (Natural Gas).
- Fossil fuel type. The fossil fuel type refers to the fuel types as defined in Table 1.1 in Volume 2:
- Energy, Chapter 1, of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.
- 45 Reference year v. The reference year v is the most recent year prior to the date of submission of the
- 46 PDD for validation of the project activity, for which the required data from the power plants to be
- 47 included in the sample group for the emissions benchmark (as per guidance in the baseline emissions
- 48 section hereunder) is available. In any case, the reference year v cannot begin more than 2 years prior
- 49 to the date of submission of the PDD for validation of the project activity.
- Power generation technology refers to one of the following technologies, ranked by their efficiency,
- from the lowest to the highest efficiency, for each fossil fuel category:
 - Solid fuels: subcritical technology, supercritical technology, and ultra-supercritical technology;
 - Gaseous fuels: single cycle technology and combined cycle technology;
 - Liquid fuels: single cycle technology and combined cycle technology.
- The specifications of each technology are given in Appendix I. Additions and revisions to the definition
- of the power plant technology may be proposed through the "Procedure for the submission and
- consideration of requests for revision of AMs and tools for large scale CDM project activities".

58 Applicability

5253

54

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70 71

72

73

74

- 59 The methodology is applicable under the following conditions:
 - The project activity is the construction and operation of a new fossil fuel fired grid-connected electricity generationpower plant that uses a more efficient power generation technology than what would otherwise be used with the given fossil fuel category;
 - One A single fossil fuel category should be used as main fuel in the project power plant. In addition to this main fossil fuel category, small amounts of other fossil fuel categories can be used for start-up or auxiliary purposes, but they shall not comprise more than 3% of the total fuels used annually on an energy basis;
 - The project activity does not include the construction and or operation of a co-generation power plant;
 - The information, as required under this methodology, on power plants that are planned or under construction, and the dD ata, as required under this methodology, on fuel consumption and electricity generation of recently constructed power plants are available;
 - The identified baseline fuel category is used in more than 50% of total generation by utilities in the geographical area within the host country, as defined later in the methodology, or in the entire host country.³ To demonstrate this applicability condition data from the latest three years

A possible project activity could be, e.g. the construction and operation of a supercritical coal fired power plant.

² The DOE should verify that start-up or auxiliary fuels are only used during: the start-up periods of the power plant, or short periods of interruption in the supply of the main fuel due to technical or operational problems. This is to ensure that it is not a common practice, during the normal operation of the power plant, to fire or cofire these categories of fuel as a multi-fuel power plant.

³ -For the purpose of demonstrating compliance with the applicability condition the geographical area has to be limited by the physical borders of the host country and cannot be extended to neighboring non-Annex I

80 81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

101

102103

104

105

106

107

108109

110 111

112

UNFCCC/CCNUCC

(C

CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0 Sectoral scope: 01

shall be used. Maximum value of same fossil fuel generation estimated for three years should
be greater than 50%.; The identified baseline fuel category is used in more than 50% of the
total rated capacity of power plants which were commissioned for commercial operation in the
most recent five calendar/fiscal years prior to the publication of the CDM-PDD for global
stakeholder consultation, within the electric grid ⁴ to which the project plant will be connected;

- At least 5 new power plants can be identified as similar to the project plant in Step 1 of the baseline identification procedure;
- The most likely technology, as determined in the section "Identification of the baseline scenario" below, fulfills the conditions presented in Step 3 of that section.

This methodology is only applicable to new electricity generation power plants. For project activities involving a retrofit of existing power plants facilities with the installation of highly efficient technologies, project participants are encouraged to submit new methodologies or submit a request for revision to existing methodologies, as appropriate. For project activities involving a switch to a less GHG intensive fossil fuel in existing power plants, project participants may use consider using approved methodology ACM0011 "Consolidated baseline methodology for fuel switching from coal and/or petroleum fuels to natural gas in existing power plants for electricity generation". For project activities involving the construction and operation of a new power plant with less GHG intensive fossil fuel, project participants may use other approved methodologies (e.g. AM0029).

II. BASELINE METHODOLOGY PROCEDURE

Identification of the baseline scenario

- The baseline scenario is identified based on an assessment of which new power generation technologies
- that use the same fossil fuel category as the project activity are currently being implemented in the
- 97 geographical area (as defined in Step 1 below) of the project activity.
- Project participants shall use the following steps to identify the most likely technology that would be
- applied in the baseline scenario (i.e. the baseline technology):

100 Step 1: Identify all new power plants similar to the project activity

- Identify all power plants (excluding power plants registered as CDM project activities but including power plants requesting registration as CDM project activities or under validation) which fulfill all of the following conditions when the CDM-PDD is published for global stakeholder consultation:
 - The plant uses the same fossil fuel category as the project activity. The plant may use small amounts of fuels within another fossil fuel category than the main fuel category for start-up or auxiliary purposes, but these other fuels shall not comprise more than 3% of the total fuels used annually by the power plant on an energy basis;
 - The plant is not a cogeneration plant;
 - The plant has been issued with a government permit and has:
 - Either made the request for tender available to suppliers for acquiring major equipment;
 or
 - Signed contracts for equipment or construction/operation services;

countries, even if such an extended geographical area is used for the calculation of a benchmark emission factor.

The grid boundary is defined as per the latest version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" approved by the Board.

143

144

145

146

147

148

149150

151

152

UNFCCC/CCNUCC

The government permit has not been issued for more than 5 years:

CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01

	80
114	 The plant has not yet started commercial operation;
115 116	• The plant has a comparable size to the project activity, defined as the range from 50% to 150% of the rated capacity of the project plant; and
117 118 119	• The plant is planned to be operated in the same load category, i.e. at peak load (defined as a load factor of less than 3,000 hours per year) or base load (defined as a load factor of more than 3,000 hours per year), as the project activity.
120 121 122 123 124	If the number of identified plants is less than 5 within the boundary of the grid to which the project plant will be connected, the geographical area should be extended to the country. If the number of the identified plants is still less than 5, the geographical area should be extended by including all neighboring non-Annex I countries. If the number remains to be less than 5, all non-Annex I countries in the continent should be considered.
125 126 127 128 129 130	For each identified power plant, document in the CDM-PDD in a table the following information: name of the unit, operator of the unit, exact location of the unit, the power generation technology used, the planned installed capacity of the unit, the year when the government permit was issued, and whether the request for tender was made available to suppliers for acquiring major equipment or whether the contracts for equipment or construction/operation services were signed. If this information is not available or if less than 5 power plants could be identified in all non-Annex I countries in the continent, then the methodology is not applicable.
132	Step 2: Determine the market share of each technology
133 134 135	Based on the power generation technology and the installed capacity of each plant identified in Step 1 above, calculate the market share of each technology, by dividing the total rated capacity of each technology by the total rated capacity of all identified plants.
136	Step 3: Identify the baseline technology

- Sort the market share of the technologies by their efficiency, from the lowest to the highest efficiency,
- as ranked in the definition section of this methodology.
- Add up the market shares of each technology one by one from the end of the least efficient technology
- until the subtotal of market shares reaches 80% in terms of installed generation capacity. The most
- efficient technology within this subset shall be selected as the baseline technology.
- The methodology is applicable only if all of the following conditions are fulfilled:
 - (a) The baseline technology, as identified as per the procedure outlined above, is different from and less efficient than the project technology; and
 - (b) The use of the baseline technology at the project activity site would be in compliance with all mandatory applicable legal and regulatory requirements; and
 - (c) For both the baseline technology and the project technology, the project participants have conducted one combined or two separate feasibility study(ies)⁵, which shall have the same level of detail in the analysis for both technologies and shall contain at least the following information:
 - A power plant design study which specifies the type of equipment and key design parameters of the plant, including, inter alia, the type of the pre-heating system, the

⁵ Preliminary feasibility studies, or studies conducted for the FEL-2 stage or other equivalent project planning stage, are permitted if they contain the information as required by the methodology for the feasibility studies.

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

CDM - Executive Board

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

153	boiler, the turbine, the generator, the condenser, the air pollution control equipment, etc,
154	as well as all information on the key operating parameters, such as steam temperatures,
155	pressures, re-heating temperatures and pressures, condensing temperatures and
156	pressures, excess air ratio, etc;
157	 The design and operation efficiency of the technology;
158	o An estimate of all costs, including investment costs, fuel costs, and operating and
159	maintenance costs; and
160	 A specification of the fuel type(s) used.
161	The feasibility study(ies) shall be the one(s) that are used by the project proponent to make the
162	investment decision and shall be conducted based on the specific characteristics of the site
163	where the project activity is implemented, taking into account ambient conditions (e.g. air
164	temperature and humidity in the case of air cooling), fuel availability, and any other site-
165	specific characteristics.
1.66	
166	The site characteristics and the fossil fuel type and its origin shall be the same for the baseline
167	technology and the project technology in the feasibility studies or an appropriate justification
168	for the differences shall be provided in the CDM-PDD.
169	Step 1: Identify plausible baseline scenarios
170	The identification of alternative baseline scenarios should include all possible realistic and credible
171	alternatives that provide outputs or services comparable with the proposed CDM project activity
172	(including the proposed project activity without CDM benefits), i.e. all type of power plants that could
173	be constructed as alternative to the project activity within the project boundary, as defined in the section
174	"Project boundary" and in Step 2 of the section "Baseline emissions" below.
1/4	
175	Alternatives to be analysed should include, inter alia:
176	 The project activity not implemented as a CDM project;
177	 The construction of one or several other power plants instead of the proposed project activity,
178	including:
179	 Power generation using the same fossil fuel category as in the project activity, but
180	technologies other than that used in the project activity;
181	 Power generation using fossil fuel categories other than that used in the project activity;
182	 Other power generation technologies, such as renewable power generation.
183	 Import of electricity from connected grids, including the possibility of new interconnections.
184	In establishing these scenarios, project participants should clearly identify and document which
185	category and type of fuel would be used in each alternative, taking into account the requirements of the
186	technology.
187	These alternatives need not consist solely of power plants of the same capacity, load factor and
188	operational characteristics (i.e. several smaller plants, or the share of a larger plant may be a reasonable
189	alternative to the project activity), however they should deliver similar services (e.g. peak vs. baseload
190	power). Note further that the baseline scenario candidates identified may not be available to project
191	participants, but could be available to other stakeholders within the grid boundary (e.g. other companies
192	investing in power capacity expansions). Ensure that all relevant power plant technologies that have
192	recently been constructed or are under construction or are being planned (e.g. documented in official
193	nower expansion plans) are included as plansible alternatives. A clear description of each baseling
174	power expansion plans) are included as plausible alternatives. A clear description of each baseline

CDM – Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0 Sectoral scope: 01

95 96	scenario alternative, including information on the technology, such as the efficiency and technical lifetime, shall be provided in the CDM-PDD.
97 198 199	The project participant shall exclude baseline scenarios that are not in compliance with all applicable legal and regulatory requirements. If one or more scenarios are excluded, appropriate explanations and documentation to support the exclusion of these scenarios shall be provided.
200	Step 2: Identify the economically most attractive baseline scenario alternative
201 202 203 204 205 206	The economically most attractive baseline scenario alternative is identified using investment analysis. The levelized cost of electricity production in \$/kWh should be used as financial indicator for investment analysis. Calculate the suitable financial indicator for all alternatives remaining after Step 1. Include all relevant costs (including, for example, the investment cost, fuel costs and operation and maintenance costs), and revenues (including subsidies/fiscal incentives, ODA, etc. where applicable), and, as appropriate, non-market cost and benefits in the case of public investors.
207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216	The investment analysis should be presented in a transparent manner and all the relevant assumptions should be provided in the CDM-PDD, so that a reader can reproduce the analysis and obtain the same results. Critical techno-economic parameters and assumptions (such as capital costs, fuel price projections, lifetimes, the load factor of the power plant and discount rate or cost of capital) should be clearly presented. Justify and/or cite assumptions in a manner that can be validated by the DOE. In calculating the financial indicator, the risks of the alternatives can be included through the cash flow pattern, subject to project-specific expectations and assumptions (e.g. insurance premiums can be used in the calculation to reflect specific risk equivalents). Where assumptions, input data, and data sources for the investment analysis differ across the project activity and its alternatives, differences should be well substantiated.
217 218 219	The CDM-PDD submitted for validation shall present a clear comparison of the financial indicator for all scenario alternatives. The baseline scenario alternative that has the best indicator (i.e. the lowest levelized cost of electricity production) can be pre-selected as the most plausible baseline scenario.
220 221 222 223 224	A sensitivity analysis shall be performed for all alternatives, to confirm that the conclusion regarding the financial attractiveness is robust to reasonable variations in the critical assumptions (e.g. fuel price and the load factor). The investment analysis provides a valid argument in selecting the baseline scenario only if it consistently supports (for a realistic range of assumptions) the conclusion that the pre-selected baseline scenario is likely to remain the most economically and/or financially attractive.
225 226 227 228	If sensitivity analysis confirms the result, then select the most economically attractive alternative as the most plausible baseline scenario. In case the sensitivity analysis is not fully conclusive, select the baseline scenario alternative with the lowest emission rate among the alternatives that are the most financially and/or economically attractive.
229 230 231 232 233	If the type of power plant identified as the baseline scenario is different from the power plant technologies that have recently been constructed or are under construction or are being planned (e.g. documented in official power expansion plans), the project participants shall provide explanations to this apparent discrepancy between observations and what should be considered as rational economic behavior.
234 235 236	If the emission rate of the selected baseline scenario is clearly below that of the project activity (e.g. the baseline scenario is hydro, nuclear or biomass power), then the project activity should not be considered to yield emission reductions, and this methodology cannot be applied.

 $^{6}\,\,$ Note the guidance by EB 22 on national and/or sectoral policies and regulations.

CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01

	237	The methodology is only applicable if the most plausible baseline scenario is the construction of (a)
of electricity or the construction of a new power plant(s) that (partly) use renewable energy sources, nuclear sources or other categories of fossil fuels than the fossil fuel category fired in the project	238	new power plant(s) using the same fossil fuel category as used in the project activity. This means that
nuclear sources or other categories of fossil fuels than the fossil fuel category fired in the project	239	if the most likely baseline scenario identified through the baseline identification procedure is the import
	240	of electricity or the construction of a new power plant(s) that (partly) use renewable energy sources,
242 activity plant, then this methodology is not applicable.	241	nuclear sources or other categories of fossil fuels than the fossil fuel category fired in the project
	242	activity plant, then this methodology is not applicable.

243 Additionality

- 244 The latest version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality", agreed by the
- 245 Board, should be applied to assess the additionality of the proposed project activity. Ensure
- 246 consistency with the procedure to determine the most likely baseline scenario as provided above. In the
- 247 case Option II (Investment comparison analysis) is applied in Sub-step 2b, it should be demonstrated
- 248 that the baseline alternative is available to the project participant(s).
- 249 Project participants shall use the following steps to demonstrate the additionality of the proposed
- 250 project activity:

255

256

257

268269

270271

272273

274

275276

251 Step 1: Identification of alternatives to the project activity

- The alternative scenarios shall be limited to two scenarios:
- The proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as a CDM project activity; and
 - Electricity is supplied by a new power plant applying the baseline technology, determined as per the procedures for baseline identification.

Step 2: Investment Analysis

- This step should be implemented following Step 2 of the latest version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality", except where methodology-specific requirements are set out further below. In validating the application of this step, Designated Operation Entities (DOEs) shall carefully assess and verify the reliability and creditability of all data, rationales, assumptions, justifications and documentation provided by the project participants to support the demonstration of additionality. The elements checked during this assessment and the conclusions shall be documented transparently in the validation report.
- In applying this step, the investment comparison analysis (Option II) shall be used and the levelized cost of electricity production shall be used as the financial indicator. The levelized cost of electricity production in \$/kWh shall, where applicable:
 - Include all relevant costs (including the investment cost, fuel costs and operation and maintenance costs);
 - Include subsidies/fiscal incentives/tax benefits, ODA, etc., and, as appropriate, non-market cost and benefits in the case of public investors, if this is standard practice for the selection of public investments in the host country. If the feed-in tariff of the project plant is higher than the feed-in tariff which would apply to the baseline technology implemented at the project site, then the difference between the feed-in tariffs shall be considered as a subsidy;
 - Exclude income taxes, revenues from sales of electricity generation, and other revenues not related to electricity sales (e.g. payments for capacity or reserve).

⁷ Note the guidance by EB 22 on national and/or sectoral policies and regulations.



ACM0013 / Version 0

- Executive Board

Sectoral scope: 01

The fuel type used for the investment analysis shall be the same in the two scenarios identified in Step 277 278 1. The load factor used in the calculation for the proposed project activity shall be equal to or higher 279 than the load factor used for the baseline scenario. Other assumptions and input data for the investment

analysis shall not differ between the scenario of the project activity and the baseline scenario, unless 280

281 differences can be well substantiated.

282 In applying the sensitivity analysis, critical assumptions, such as, inter alia, fuel prices and the load 283 factor shall be varied. In particular, the sensitivity analysis regarding the fuel prices shall take into 284 account the price development of the fossil fuel category in the host country in the past ten

285 calendar/fiscal years prior to the publication of the CDM-PDD for global stakeholder consultation.

286 The proposed project activity is deemed additional if the investment analysis concludes that the 287 levelized costs of electricity production are lower for the alternative scenario with the baseline 288 technology than for the proposed project activity and if the sensitivity analysis consistently supports 289 (for a realistic range of assumptions) the conclusion that the baseline scenario is likely to remain economically and/or financially more attractive than the proposed project activity. 290

Project boundary

291

298

299

- 292 The spatial extent of the project boundary includes the power plant at the project site and all power 293 plants considered for the calculation of the baseline CO_2 emission factor (EF_{RL,CO_2}) .
- 294 In the calculation of project emissions, only CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion in the project 295 plant are considered. In the calculation of baseline emissions, only CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion in power plant(s) in the baseline are considered. 296
- The greenhouse gases included in or excluded from the project boundary are shown in Table 1. 297

Table 1: Overview of emissions sources included in or excluded from the project boundary

	Source	Gas	Included?	Justification / Explanation
	Power generation in baseline	CO_2	Yes	Main emission source
Baseline		CH ₄	No	Excluded for simplification. This is conservative
		N ₂ O	No	Excluded for simplification. This is conservative
D	On-site fuel combustion in the project plant	CO_2	Yes	Main emission source
Project Activity		CH ₄	No	Excluded for simplification
rictivity		N ₂ O	No	Excluded for simplification

Project emissions

The project activity is the on-site combustion of fossil fuels in the project plant to generate electricity. 300 301

The CO₂ emissions from electricity generation in the project plant (PE_v) should be calculated as

302 follows:

303
$$PE_y = \left[\sum_{i} FF_{i,y} \times NCV_{i,y}\right] \times EF_{FF,CO2}$$
 (1)



ACM0013 / Version 0

CDM - Executive Board

Sectoral scope: 01

304 Where:

> = Project emissions in year v (tCO₂/yr) PE_{v}

 $FF_{i,v}$ = Quantity of fuel type i combusted in the project plant in year y (Mass or volume unit

 $NCV_{i,v}$ = Weighted average net calorific value of fuel type i in year v (GJ per mass or volume

= Fossil fuel types used in the project plant in year y

= CO₂ emission factor of the fossil fuel type used in the project and the baseline EF_{FF.CO2}

(t CO₂/GJ)

305 **Baseline emissions**

306 Baseline emissions are calculated by multiplying the electricity generated in the project plant from

using fossil fuel types within the main fossil fuel category $(EG_{PJ,main\ FF,v})^8$ with a baseline CO₂ emission 307

308 factor ($EF_{BL,CO2}$), as follows:

$$BE_{v} = EG_{PL \text{ main } FF \text{ v}} \times EF_{BL \text{ CO2}}$$
 (2)

310

311
$$EG_{PJ,main_FF,y} = EG_{PJ,y} \times \left| \frac{\sum_{p} (FC_{p,y} \cdot NCV_{p,y})}{\sum_{p} (FC_{p,y} \cdot NCV_{p,y}) + \sum_{q} (FC_{q,y} \cdot NCV_{q,y})} \right|$$
(3)

312 Where:

> = Baseline emissions in year y (tCO₂ / yr) BE_{v}

EG_{PJ,main FF,y} = Net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant from using fossil fuel types

within the main fossil fuel category in year y (MWh / yr)

 $EG_{PJ,y}$ = Total net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant in year y (MWh / yr)

= Baseline emission factor (tCO₂/MWh) $EF_{BL,CO2}$

= Quantity of fossil fuel type p consumed by the project plant in year y $FC_{p,y}$

(Mass or volume unit / yr)

 $NCV_{p,v}$ = Average net calorific value of the fossil fuel type p consumed by the project plant in

vear v (GJ / Mass or volume unit)

= Quantity of fossil fuel type q consumed by the project plant in year y (Mass or volume $FC_{q,y}$

unit / yr)

 $NCV_{q,y}$ = Average net calorific value of the fossil fuel type q consumed by the project plant in

year y (GJ / Mass or volume unit)

= Fossil fuel types that are used in the project plant and that belong to the main fossil p

fuel category

= Fossil fuel types that are used in the project plant for auxiliary and start-up purposes Qq

313

314

This methodology allows to claim emission reductions from using fossil fuels more efficiently for power generation, but does not account for any emission reductions from using less carbon intensive fuels. Given that the CO₂ emission factor and amount of any start-up/auxiliary fuels may differ between the project and the baseline, the crediting of emission reductions is limited to the electricity generated from the main fossil fuel only.

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01

- 315 $EF_{BL,CO2}$ will be determined using the lowestlower value between (i) the emission factor of the
- technology and fuel type that has been identified as the most likely baseline scenario, and (ii) a
- benchmark emission factor determined based on the performance of the top 15% power plants that use
- the same fuel category as the project plant and any technology available in the geographical area as
- defined in Step 1.32 below.
- Consequently, project participants shall use for $EF_{BL,CO2}$ the lowest lower value among from the
- following two optionsapproaches:
- 322 Option Approach 1: The emission factor of the technology and fuel type identified as the most
- 323 likely baseline scenario under "Identification of the baseline scenario" section above,
- and calculated as follows:

$$EF_{BL,CO2} = 3.6 \cdot \frac{EF_{FF,CO2}}{\eta_{BL}}$$

326
$$\frac{\text{EF}_{\text{BL,CO2}} = 3.6 \cdot \frac{\text{MIN}(\text{EF}_{\text{FF,BL,CO2}}; \text{EF}_{\text{FF,CO2}})}{\eta_{\text{BL}}}$$

- Where:
 - $EF_{BL,CO2}$ = Baseline emission factor (t CO_2 / MWh)
 - EF_{FF,BL,CO2} = CO₂ emission factor of the fossil fuel type that has been identified as
 - the most likely baseline scenario (tCO₂/GJ)
 - $EF_{FF,CO2}$ = CO_2 emission factor of the fossil fuel type used in the project and the baseline (t CO_2 / GJ)
 - η_{BL} = Energy efficiency of the power generation technology that has been
 - identified as the most likely baseline scenario
 - 3.6 = Unit conversion factor from GJ to MWh
- Option Approach 2: The average emissions intensity of all power plants j, corresponding to the power plants whose performance is among the top 15 % of their category, using data from the reference year v, and taking into account the technical development that would likely have occurred in the time between the investment decision on the power plants j and the investment decision on the project activity, as follows:

333
$$EF_{BL,CO2} = \frac{\sum_{j} FC_{j} \cdot NCV_{j} \cdot EF_{FF,CO2}}{\sum_{j} EG_{j}}$$

$$EF_{BL,CO2} = \frac{EF_{FF,CO2}}{\eta_{avg,j} + \Delta \eta \cdot d} \times 3.6$$

335 with

336
$$\eta_{avg,j} = 3.6 \times \frac{\sum_{j} EG_{j,v}}{\sum \left(FC_{j,v} \cdot NCV_{j,v}\right)}$$

CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0 Sectoral scope: 01 FR 67

Where:

 $EF_{BL,CO2}$ = Baseline emission factor (tCO₂/MWh)

 $\eta_{avg,j}$ = Weighted average efficiency of power plants j

Average annual efficiency improvement for newly constructed power plants that would likely have occurred due to technical development in the time between the investment decisions made for the power plants j and the investment decision made for the proposed project activity

(1/yr)

d = Data vintage, expressing the time difference between the envisaged start of commercial operation of the proposed project activity and the average start time of commercial operation of power plants *j* (yr)⁹

TC_{jv} = Amount of fuel consumed by power plant j in the reference year v (Mass or volume unit per year)

 $CV_{j,v}$ = Average net calorific value of the fossil fuel type consumed by power plant j in the reference year v (GJ/Mass or volume unit)

 $EF_{FF,CO2}$ = CO₂ emission factor of the fossil fuel type used in the project and the baseline (tCO₂/GJ)

= Net electricity generated and delivered to the grid by power plant *j* in the reference year *v* (MWh/yr)

= The top 15% performing power plants (excluding cogeneration plants and including power plants registered as CDM project activities), as identified below, among all power plants in a defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity in the geographical area as identified in Step 1.3

- In the following steps, the parameters $\eta_{avg,i}$ and $\Delta \eta$ are determined. In applying these steps, all
- underlying data, the data sources and all calculations shall be transparently documented in the CDM-
- PDD, in a manner that the reader can re-produce the calculations.
- 341 Step 1: (1) For determination of the top 15% performer power plants j, the following step-wise
- 342 approach is used: Determination of $\eta_{avg,j}$

 $EG_{i,v}$

Jj

- Steps 1.1 to 1.3 may need to be applied in an iterative manner until the set of the similar plants is finally
- 344 identified.

- 345 Step 1.1: Definition of similar plants to the project activity
- The sample group cohort of similar power plants used to calculate $\eta_{avg,j}$ should consist of all power
- plants (except for cogeneration power plants) (including all power plants registered as CDM project
- activities, requesting registration as CDM project activities, or under validation) that fulfill all of the
- 349 following conditions: 16
 - That use the same fossil fuel category (as the main fuel) as the project activity. This should include power plants which use small amounts of fuels within another fossil fuel category than

An example for the determination of this parameters is provided in the section on "data and parameters not monitored".

Cogeneration plants excluded from the sample group shall simultaneously generates heat and power in a specific installation through the combustion of fuels, and the heat generated shall be provided to end users which use the heat for other purposes than power generation (e.g. industrial users, district heating, etc). Hence, power plants that use the heat to produce extra electricity, as it is the case in natural gas combined cycle power plants, are not considered as cogeneration plants and shall be included in the sample group.

353

354

355 356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

377

386

UNFCCC/CCNUCC

- Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05

Sectoral scope: 01

the main fuel for start-up or auxiliary purposes, but these other fuels shall not comprise more than 3% of the total fuels used annually by the sample power plant on an energy basis;

- That started commercial operation within the four year period preceding the reference year v, which is initially set as the calendar/fiscal year prior to the date of publication of the CDM-PDD for global stakeholder consultation have been constructed in the previous five years, where the last year of this 5 years period should be the reference year v;
- That are not co-generation plants;
- That have a comparable size to the project activity, defined as the range from 50% to 150% of the rated capacity of the project plant;
- That are operated in the same load category, i.e. at peak load (defined as a load factor of less than 3,000 hours per year) or base load (defined as a load factor of more than 3,000 hours per year), as the project activity; and
- That have operated (supplied electricity to the grid) in the reference year v.

Step 2: Definition of the geographical area

- The geographical area to identify similar power plants should be chosen in a manner that the total 366 367 number of power plants N in the sample group comprises at least 10 plants. As a default, the grid to which the project plant will be connected should be used. If the number of similar plants, as defined in 368 Step 1, within the grid boundary is less than 10, the geographical area should be extended to the 369 country. If the number of similar plants is still less than 10, the geographical area should be extended 370
- by including all neighboring non-Annex I countries. If the number remains to be less than 10, all non-371
- 372 Annex I countries in the continent should be considered.
- If the necessary data on power plants of the sample group in the relevant geographical area are not 373
- available, or if there are less than 10 similar power plants in all non-Annex I countries in the continent, 374
- 375 then data from power plants Annex I or OECD countries can be used instead for the remaining plants
- required to complete the sample group. 376

Step 1.2: Determination of the reference year v

- If the necessary data for all similar power plants within the electric grid¹² to which the project plant will 378 379 be connected are not available for the calendar/fiscal year prior to the date of publication of the CDM-
- 380 PDD for global stakeholder consultation, then the previous calendar/fiscal year should be selected as
- the reference year v and Step 1.1 shall be applied for this year. If the necessary data for that year is also 381
- 382 not available, an earlier calendar/fiscal year(s) may be used as the reference year v and Step 1.1 shall be
- applied for this year, until the reference year v is the calendar/fiscal year that starts between 3 and 4 383
- 384 years prior to the date of publication of the CDM-PDD for global stakeholder consultation. If the
- necessary data is also not available for this calendar/fiscal year, then the methodology is not applicable. 385

Step 1.3: Identification of the sample group cohort of power plants and the geographical area

- Identify all power plants n that are to be included in the sample group cohort of plants used to 387
- determine n_{avg}, by applying the criteria in Step 1.1 and using the reference year y as determined in Step 388
- 389 1.2. Determine the total number N of all identified similar power plants that use the same fuel as the
- project plant and any technology available within the geographical area, as defined in Step 2 above that 390
- are connected to the grid¹² to which the project plant will be connected. The sample group should also 391

¹¹ The grid boundary is defined as per the latest version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" approved by the Board.

The grid boundary is defined as per the latest version of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" approved by the Board.

Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 0

Sectoral scope: 01

- 392 include all power plants within the geographical area registered as CDM project activities, which meet 393 the criteria defined in Step 1 above.
- 394 If the number of similar plants within the electric grid to which the project plant will be connected is
- less than 10, then the geographical area should be extended to the country and Steps 1.1 and 1.2 should 395
- 396 be iterated for the country. If the number of similar plants is still less than 10, the geographical area
- 397 should be extended by including all neighboring non-Annex I countries and Steps 1.1 and 1.2 should be
- 398 iterated accordingly. If the number remains to be less than 10, all non-Annex I countries in the
- 399 continent should be considered and Steps 1.1 and 1.2 should be reiterated accordingly.
- If the necessary data on the similar power plants in the relevant geographical area are not available, or 400
- if there are less than 10 similar power plants in all non-Annex I countries in the continent, then the 401
- 402 methodology is not applicable.
- 403 Step 1.4: Determination of the plant efficiencies
- 404 Calculate the operational efficiency of each power plant n identified in the previous step. There each
- plant, the most recent one-year data from the reference year v available shall be used, excluding the 405
- 406 period when testing of the plant was conducted. The operational efficiency of each power plant n in the
- 407 sample group cohort is calculated as follows:

408
$$\eta_{n,v} = 3.6 \cdot \frac{EG_{n,v}}{FC_{n,v} \cdot NCV_{n,v}}$$
 (7)

409 Where:

> = Operational efficiency of the power plant n in the reference year v $\eta_{n,v}$

Net electricity generated and delivered to the grid by the power plant n in the reference $EG_{n,v}$

year v (MWh / yr)

 $FC_{n,v}$ = Quantity of fuel consumed in the power plant n in the reference year v

(Mass or volume unit / yr)

 NCV_{nv} = Average net calorific value of the fuel type fired in power plant n in the reference year

v (GJ / mass or volume unit)

= Unit conversion factor from GJ to MWh 3.6

= Reference year v

All power plants identified in Step 1.3 in the defined geographical area that have a n

similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel

category as the project activity

Step 1.5: Identification of the top 15% performer plants j 410

Sort the sample group cohort of N plants from the power plants in a decreasing order of the operational 411

efficiency. Identify the top performer plants j as the plants with the 1^{st} to J^{th} highest operational 412

efficiency, where the J (the total number of plants i) is calculated as the product of N (the total number 413

of plants n identified in Step $\frac{3}{1.3}$ and 15%, rounded down if it is decimal. 13 If the generation of all 414

identified plants j (the top performers) is less than 15% of the total generation of all plants n (the whole 415

sample groupcohort), then the number of plants i included in the top performer group should be 416

417 enlarged until the group represents at least 15% of total generation of all plants n.

This is conservative as this limits the number of the top 15% performer plants, which will always lead to exclusion of the least efficient plant among them.



CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 FR 67

- The data vintage d, expressed in years, is determined as the time difference between the envisaged start
- 420 of commercial operation of the proposed project activity and the average start time of commercial
- 421 operation of power plants *j*.
- 422 Step 3: Determination of $\Delta \eta$
- 423 If d is determined to be less than 1 year, $\Delta \eta$ is set at zero; otherwise, the project participants may choose
- between the following two options to determine $\Delta \eta$:
- 425 **Option A:** Determine Δη based on historical data
- Calculate $\Delta \eta$ based on the historical technical development observed in the applicable geographical
- area as defined in Step 1.3. Determine $\Delta \eta$ based on the average annual improvement in the efficiency of
- newly constructed power plants observed over a period of ten years in the applicable geographical area
- by applying a regression analysis. The last year of the regression analysis shall be the calendar/fiscal
- year prior to the reference year v. This option can be used only if a student test can demonstrate that the
- relationship between plant efficiency and plant age is statically significant with 95% confidence;
- otherwise Option B shall be applied. If the regression analysis determines $\Delta \eta$ to be less than zero, $\Delta \eta$ is
- 433 conservatively assumed to be zero.

435

436437

438 439

440 441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448 449

450451

452

453454

455

- Apply and document in the CDM-PDD the following steps:
 - Step 3.A.1: Identify all power plants *m* within the applicable geographical area, as determined in Step 1.3 above,
 - That use the same fossil fuel category (as the main fuel) as the project activity. This should include power plants which use small amounts of fuels within another fossil fuel category than the main fuel for start-up or auxiliary purposes, but these other fuels shall not comprise more than 3% of the total fuels used annually by the power plant on an energy basis;
 - That are not co-generation plants;
 - That started commercial operation within the ten year period preceding the reference year v (i.e. that started commercial operation within the years v-10 to v-1);
 - That have a comparable size to the project activity, defined as the range from 50% to 150% of the rated capacity of the project plant;
 - That are operated in the same load category, i.e. at peak load (defined as a load factor of less than 3,000 hours per year) or base load (defined as a load factor of more than 3,000 hours per year), as the project activity;
 - o That have supplied electricity to the grid in the reference year v.
 - Step 3.A.2: Determine for each plant m the operational efficiency $\eta_{m,v}$ in the year v, by applying the equation in Step 1.4 above for all power plants m and for the year in which the plant started commercial operation;
 - Step 3.A.3: Plot the efficiency of all power plants m over the date in which the power plants started commercial operation and apply a linear regression analysis and determine the average annual efficiency improvement $\Delta \eta$ as a function of the date of construction using the method of least squares;

UNFCCC/CCNUCC

- Executive Board



Sectoral scope: 01

Option B: Use for $\Delta \eta$ a conservative default value of 0.3%. ¹⁴

All the underlying data, data sources, calculations and steps to estimate $\eta_{avg,i}$, $\Delta \eta$ and d shall be

- documented transparently in the CDM-PDD, including, inter alia, a list of the plants identified in Steps
- 1.3 and 1.5 for $\eta_{avg,i}$ and a list of the plants identified in Step 3.A.1 for $\Delta \eta$, as well as relevant data on
- the fuel consumption and electricity generation of all identified power plants. The DOE shall check the
- fuel consumption and electricity generation against records on purchased fuel and sold electricity for all
- identified power plants; if such information is not available, the DOE may check against government
- publications provided that the data are collected and verified by a governmental organization and they
- are based on the actual fuel consumption and electricity generation of the plants and not derived from
- other data (e.g. regulations).
- 468 All steps should be documented transparently, including a list of the plants identified in Steps 3 and 5,
- 469 as well as relevant data on the fuel consumption and electricity generation of all identified power
- 470 plants.
- 471 Leakage
- No leakage emissions are to be considered.
- **Emission reductions**
- To calculate the emission reductions the project participant shall apply the following equation:

$$475 ER_{v} = BE_{v} - PE_{v} (8)$$

Where:

ER_y = Emission reductions in year y (t CO₂/yr) BE_y = Baseline emissions in year y (t CO₂/yr) PE_y = Project emissions in year y (t CO₂/yr)

477 Changes required for methodology implementation in 2nd and 3rd crediting periods

- The required changes should be assessed using the tool for "Assessment of the validity of the
- current/original baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period". At the
- 480 renewal of a crediting period, project participants should assess whether the baseline scenario is still
- 481 valid by applying the procedure to select the most plausible baseline scenario, as described above.
- 482 Moreover, t The baseline emission factor $(EF_{BL,CO2})$ shall should be updated, applying both Options
- 483 Approaches 1 and 2 and choosing for the subsequent crediting period again the lower value among the
- 484 two options approaches. For Approach Option 1, the most likely power plant technology identified in
- 485 the application of the procedure to select the baseline scenario should be used. For Option 2, the
- 486 baseline emission factor should be updated based on the most recent available data at the time of
- 487 renewal of the crediting period. For Approach 2, at the first renewal of the renewal crediting period, the
- baseline emission factor should be recalculated using a new reference year v. The new reference year v.
- shall be the calendar/fiscal year which is two years after the actual start of commercial operation of the
- 490 project activity. This provision aims to reduce the data vintage (between the start of commercial
- 491 operation of the proposed project activity and the average start time of commercial operation of power
- plants i) to less than one year for second and third crediting periods.

¹⁴ This is the upper end of the values assumed for the average annual efficiency improvement in the information note prepared by the Methodologies Panel at its 53rd meeting.



ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

493 Data and parameters not monitored

Data / Parameter:	EF _{FF,BL,CO2}
Data unit:	<mark>ŧCO₂/GJ</mark>
Description:	CO ₂ -emission factor of the fossil fuel type that has been identified as the most
	likely baseline scenario
Source of data:	IPCC default values for the respective fuel type at the lower limit of the
	uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in table 1.4 of Chapter 1 of
	Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories
Measurement	-
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	_

494

Data / Parameter:	η_{BL}
Data unit:	-
Description:	Energy efficiency of the power generation technology that has been identified as
	the most likely baseline scenario
Source of data:	This parameter is determined as part of the baseline scenario selection procedure
Measurement	-
procedures (if any):	
Any comment:	As a conservative approach, the efficiency should be determined as the efficiency
	at optimum load, e.g., as provided by in the feasibility study(ies) conducted for
	the identified baseline power generation technology and for the project site. The
	efficiency shall be derived on a net basis, taking into account auxiliary
	equipment. The efficiency shall not be derived from any historical operational
	data from existing power plants. In addition, the adopted value shall not be lower
	than the minimum efficiency (if available) specified for the respective baseline
	technology in Appendix I

Data / Davamatana	EC EC and EC		
Data / Parameter:	$FC_{i,xv}$, $FC_{m,v}$, and $FC_{n,v}$		
Data unit:	Mass or volume unit / yr		
Description:	 Amount of fuel consumed by power plant j, m or n in the reference year v, where: j are the top 15% performer plants among all power plants in a defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity and any technology available within the geographical area, as defined in Step 1.32 under "Baseline emissions" section; m are all power plants in the defined geographical as defined in Step 3.A.1 to determine Δη under "Baseline emissions" section; n are all power plants (including power plants registered as CDM project activities) in the defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity and any technology available within the geographical 		
	area, as defined in Step 1.32 under "Baseline emissions" section		
Source of data:	Measurements of the fuel consumption in each power plant j or n , e.g. provided in statistics from central/regional regulatory authorities		
Measurement procedures (if any):	-		
Any comment:	The DOE should verify that the data on fuel consumption is based on first-hand measurements of the actual quantity of fuel consumed by each power plant, and is not based on second-hand calculations or estimations		

UNFCCC/CCNUCC



Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

497

Data / Parameter:	$NCV_{i,v}$, $NCV_{m,v}$, and $NCV_{n,v}$	
Data unit:	GJ/Mass or volume unit	
Description:	 Average net calorific value of the fossil fuel type consumed by power plant j, m or n in the reference year v, where: j are the top 15% performer plants among all power plants in a defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity and any technology available within the geographical area, as defined in Step 1.32 under the "Baseline emissions" section; m are all power plants in the defined geographical as defined in Step 3 in Option A to determine Δη under the "Baseline emissions" section; n are all power plants (including power plants registered as CDM project activities) in the defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity and any technology available within the geographical 	
Source of data:	Use plant-specific data if available (e.g. from national energy balances if the fuel consumption of the plant is provided on an energy basis). Otherwise use well-documented and reliable regional or national average values. If such data are not available, IPCC default values may be used	
Measurement	-	
procedures (if any):		
Any comment:	-	

498

$\mathrm{EF}_{\mathrm{FF,CO2}}$
tCO ₂ /GJ
CO_2 emission factor of the fossil fuel type used in the project and the baseline (t CO_2/GJ)
IPCC default values of the fuel type used in the project plant at the lower limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in table 1.4 of Chapter1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories. In the case that several fuel types may be used in the project plant according to the technology provider's designs, use the fuel type with the lowest IPCC default value at the lower limit of the uncertainty
-

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

500

Data / Parameter:	$EG_{i,v}$, $EG_{m,v}$, and $EG_{n,v}$		
Data unit:	MWh/yr		
Description:	 Net electricity generated and delivered to the grid by power plant j, m or n in the reference year v, where: j are the top 15% performer plants among all power plants in a defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity and any technology available within the geographical area, as defined in Step 1.32 under the "Baseline emissions" section; m are all power plants in the defined geographical as defined in Step 3 in Option A to determine Δη under the "Baseline emissions" section; n are all power plants (including power plants registered as CDM project activities) in the defined geographical area that have a similar size, are operated at similar load and use a fuel type within the same fuel category as the project activity and any technology available within the geographical 		
Source of data:	area, as defined in Step 1.32 under the "Baseline emissions" section Electricity generation statistics, e.g. from central-/regional regulatory authorities		
Measurement	-		
procedures (if any):			
Any comment:	-		

501

Data / Parameter:	Δη
Data unit:	<mark>1/yr</mark>
Description:	Average annual efficiency improvement for newly constructed power plants that would likely have occurred due to technical development in the time between the investment decisions made for the power plants <i>j</i> and the investment decision made for the proposed project activity
Source of data:	Determined as per Option A or Option B in Step 3 of the "Baseline emissions" section above
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	

Data / Parameter:	l <mark>d</mark>
Data unit:	Years
Description:	Data vintage, expressing the time difference between the envisaged start of commercial operation of the proposed project activity and the average start time of commercial operation of power plant <i>j</i>
Source of data:	Determined as per Step 2 of the "Baseline emissions" section above. Documented evidence on the envisaged start of commercial operation of the proposed project activity shall be provided
Measurement procedures (if any):	-
Any comment:	For example: Assume that the proposed CDM project plant is scheduled to start commercial operation on 1 July 2012. Assume further that the average start time of commercial operation of power plants <i>j</i> is 1 January 2006. Therefore, the data vintage is calculated to be 6.5 years (the time difference between 1 July 2012 and 1 January 2006)



504

505

506507

508

CDM - Executive Board

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01

EB 67

III. MONITORING METHODOLOGY

All data collected as part of monitoring plan should be archived electronically and be kept at least for 2 years after the end of the last crediting period. One hundred per cent of the data should be monitored if not indicated otherwise in the comments in the tables below. All measurements should use calibrated measurement equipment according to relevant industry standards.

Data and parameters monitored

Data / Parameter:	$\mathrm{EG}_{\mathrm{PJ},\mathrm{y}}$	
Data unit:	MWh / yr	
Description:	Total net quantity of electricity generated in the project plant and fed into the grid in year <i>y</i>	
Source of data:	Measurements by project participants	
Measurement procedures (if any):	Electricity meters	
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously	
QA/QC procedures:	The metered net electricity generation should be cross-checked with receipts from sales	
Any comment:	Ensure that $EG_{PJ,y}$ is the net electricity generation (the gross generation by the project plant minus all auxiliary electricity consumption of the plant). If the actual average load factor during a monitoring period increases above the value of the load factor assumed for the proposed project activity in the CDM-PDD by more than 5% (or the upper end of the load factor values tested in the sensitivity analysis, if it is higher than 5%), then a request for approval of post-registration changes shall be submitted following the Clean Development Mechanism Project Cycle Procedure	

Data / Parameter:	$FC_{p,y}$		
Data unit:	Mass or volume unit per year (e.g. ton/yr or m ³ /yr)		
Description:	Quantity of fossil fuel type <i>p</i> consumed by the project plant in year <i>y</i>		
Source of data:	Onsite measurements		
Measurement procedures (if any):	 Use either mass or volume meters. In cases where fuel is supplied from small daily tanks, rulers can be used to determine mass or volume of the fuel consumed, with the following conditions: The ruler gauge must be part of the daily tank and calibrated at least once a year and have a book of control for recording the measurements (on a daily basis or per shift); Accessories such as transducers, sonar and piezoelectronic devices are accepted if they are properly calibrated with the ruler gauge and receiving a reasonable maintenance; In case of daily tanks with pre-heaters for heavy oil, the calibration will be 		
	made with the system at typical operational conditions		
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously		
QA/QC procedures:	The consistency of metered fuel consumption quantities should be cross-checked by an annual energy balance that is based on purchased quantities and stock changes Where the purchased fuel invoices can be identified specifically for the CDM project, the metered fuel consumption quantities should also be cross-checked with available purchase invoices from the financial records		
Any comment:	Fossil fuel types <i>p</i> are those used in the project plant and that belong to the main fossil fuel category		

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

Data / Parameter:	$FC_{q,y}$		
Data unit:	Mass or volume unit per year (e.g. ton/yr or m ³ /yr)		
Description:	Quantity of fossil fuel type q consumed by the project plant in year y		
Source of data:	Onsite measurements		
Measurement procedures (if any):	 Use either mass or volume meters. In cases where fuel is supplied from small daily tanks, rulers can be used to determine mass or volume of the fuel consumed, with the following conditions: The ruler gauge must be part of the daily tank and calibrated at least once a year and have a book of control for recording the measurements (on a daily basis or per shift); Accessories such as transducers, sonar and piezoelectronic devices are accepted if they are properly calibrated with the ruler gauge and receiving a reasonable maintenance; In case of daily tanks with pre-heaters for heavy oil, the calibration will be made with the system at typical operational conditions 		
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously		
QA/QC procedures:	The consistency of metered fuel consumption quantities should be cross-checked by an annual energy balance that is based on purchased quantities and stock changes. Where the purchased fuel invoices can be identified specifically for the CDM project, the metered fuel consumption quantities should also be cross-checked with available purchase invoices from the financial records		
Any comment:	Fossil fuel types q are those used in the project plant and that belong to another fossil fuel category than the main fossil fuel category (i.e. auxiliary and start-up fuels)		

5	1	1
\sim	•	•

Data / Parameter:	$FF_{i,y}$		
Data unit:	Mass or volume unit per year (e.g. ton/yr or m ³ /yr)		
Description:	Quantity of fuel type <i>i</i> combusted in the project plant in year <i>y</i>		
Source of data:	Onsite measurements		
Measurement procedures (if any):	 Use either mass or volume meters. In cases where fuel is supplied from small daily tanks, rulers can be used to determine mass or volume of the fuel consumed, with the following conditions: The ruler gauge must be part of the daily tank and calibrated at least once a year and have a book of control for recording the measurements (on a daily basis or per shift); Accessories such as transducers, sonar and piezoelectronic devices are accepted if they are properly calibrated with the ruler gauge and receiving a reasonable maintenance; In case of daily tanks with pre-heaters for heavy oil, the calibration will be made with the system at typical operational conditions 		
Monitoring frequency:	Continuously		
QA/QC procedures:	The consistency of metered fuel consumption quantities should be cross-checked by an annual energy balance that is based on purchased quantities and stock changes. Where the purchased fuel invoices can be identified specifically for the CDM project, the metered fuel consumption quantities should also be cross-checked with available purchase invoices from the financial records		
Any comment:	-		



UNFCCC/CCNUCC



Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

Data unit: Description: Source of data: T	GCV _{i,y} GJ per mass or volume unit (e.g. GJ/to Weighted average net calorific value of The following data sources may be us Data source (a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices (b) Measurements by the project participants	of fuel type <i>i</i> in year <i>y</i>
Source of data:	The following data sources may be us Data source (a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices (b) Measurements by the project participants	Conditions for using the data source This is the preferred source if the carbon fraction of the fuel is not provided (Option A)
	Data source (a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices (b) Measurements by the project participants	Conditions for using the data source This is the preferred source if the carbon fraction of the fuel is not provided (Option A)
	(a) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices(b) Measurements by the project participants	This is the preferred source if the carbon fraction of the fuel is not provided (Option A)
	supplier in invoices (b) Measurements by the project participants	carbon fraction of the fuel is not provided (Option A)
	participants	1 1
	(-) D:1	
	(c) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available
		These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances).
	(d) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories	If (a) is not available
	For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards	
Monitoring F w F F F	For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account	
II C fi n	Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. If the values fall below this range collect additional information from the testing laboratory to justify the outcome or conduct additional measurements. The laboratories in (a), (b) or (c) should have ISO17025 accreditation or justify that they can comply with similar quality standards	



UNFCCC/CCNUCC



ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

Data / Parameter:	NCV _{p,y}		
Data unit:	GJ per mass or volume unit (e.g. GJ/ton or GJ/m³)		
Description:	Average net calorific value of the fossil fuel type p consumed by the project		
	plant in year y		
Source of data:	The following data sources may be u	used if the relevant conditions apply:	
	Data source Conditions for using the data source		
	(e) Values provided by the fuel	This is the preferred source if the	
	supplier in invoices	carbon fraction of the fuel is not	
		provided (Option A)	
	(f) Measurements by the project participants	If (a) is not available	
	(g) Regional or national default values	If (a) is not available	
		These sources can only be used for	
		liquid fuels and should be based on	
		well documented, reliable sources	
	(h) IPCC default values at the	(such as national energy balances).	
	(h) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the	If (a) is not available	
	uncertainty at a 95%		
	confidence interval as		
	provided in Table 1.2 of		
	Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy)		
	of the 2006 IPCC		
	Guidelines on National		
	GHG Inventories		
Measurement		ld be undertaken in line with national or	
procedures (if any):	international fuel standards		
Monitoring	For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which		
frequency:	weighted average annual values should be calculated		
	For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into		
	account		
QA/QC procedures:	Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		
	Guidelines. If the values fall below this range collect additional information		
	from the testing laboratory to justify the outcome or conduct additional		
	measurements. The laboratories in (a), (b) or (c) should have ISO17025		
<u> </u>		comply with similar quality standards	
Any comment:	Fossil fuel types p are those used in the project plant and that belong to the main		
	fossil fuel category		



UNFCCC/CCNUCC

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

516

Data unit: GJ per mass or volume unit (e.g. GJ/ton or GJ/m³)	Data / Parameter:	NCV _{a,v}		
Average net calorific value of the fossil fuel type q consumed by the project plant in year y				
The following data sources may be used if the relevant conditions apply: Data source	Description:			
Data source		plant in year y		
(i) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices (j) Measurements by the project participants (k) Regional or national default values (k) Regional or national default values (k) Regional or national default values (l) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Measurement frequency: Measurement For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC	Source of data:	The following data sources may be us	ed if the relevant conditions apply:	
(i) Values provided by the fuel supplier in invoices (j) Measurements by the project participants (k) Regional or national default values (k) Regional or national default values (k) Regional or national default values (l) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Measurement frequency: Measurement For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		Data source	Conditions for using the data source	
supplier in invoices carbon fraction of the fuel is not provided (Option A) (j) Measurements by the project participants (k) Regional or national default values (k) Regional or national default values (l) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Measurement frequency: Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		(i) Values provided by the fuel		
(i) Measurements by the project participants (k) Regional or national default values (k) Regional or national default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a spout default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC			carbon fraction of the fuel is not	
Participants				
These sources can only be used for liquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances). (I) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		1 1 27	If (a) is not available	
Iiquid fuels and should be based on well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances). (I) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		` /	If (a) is not available	
well documented, reliable sources (such as national energy balances).				
(I) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC			_	
(I) IPCC default values at the upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
upper limit of the uncertainty at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		(I) IDCC 1 C Iv 1		
at a 95% confidence interval as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC			If (a) is not available	
as provided in Table 1.2 of Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		1 1 1 1		
Chapter 1 of Vol. 2 (Energy) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC		1 1 -		
Measurement procedures (if any): Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): Measurements should be undertaken in line with national or international fuel standards For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
monitoring frequency: Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
Monitoring frequency: For (a) and (b): The NCV should be obtained for each fuel delivery, from which weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
frequency: weighted average annual values should be calculated For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
For (c): Review appropriateness of the values annually For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
For (d): Any future revision of the IPCC Guidelines should be taken into account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC	frequency:			
account QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
QA/QC procedures: Verify if the values under (a), (b) and (c) are within the uncertainty range of the IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC				
IPCC default values as provided in Table 1.2, Vol. 2 of the 2006 IPCC	OA/OC procedures:			
	Qui que procedures.			
Uniquenities. If the values fail below this range confect additional information		Guidelines. If the values fall below this range collect additional information		
		from the testing laboratory to justify the outcome or conduct additional		
measurements. The laboratories in (a), (b) or (c) should have ISO17025		measurements. The laboratories in (a), (b) or (c) should have ISO17025		
accreditation or justify that they can comply with similar quality standards		accreditation or justify that they can comply with similar quality standards		
Any comment: Fossil fuel types q are those used in the project plant and that belong to another	Any comment:			
fossil fuel category than the main fossil fuel category (i.e. auxiliary and start-up fuels)		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	il fuel category (i.e. auxiliary and start-up	



ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01

EB 67

518519

Appendix I

Specifications of Power Generation Technologies

Power Generation	Specifications	Minimum Value of
Technology		Efficiency
Solid fuels		
Subcritical Subcritical	The operating pressure of the main steam boiler	38.7% with water cooling
technology	is equal to or lower than 22 MPa	and 36.6% with air cooling ¹⁵
Supercritical	The operating pressure of the main steam boiler	40.0% ¹⁷
technology	is above 22 MPa	
	The operating temperature of the main steam	
	boiler is below 593°C ¹⁶	
Ultra-supercritical	The operating pressure of the main steam boiler	Not available
technology	is above 22 Mpa	
	The operating temperature of the main steam	
	boiler is above 593°C	
Gaseous fuels		
Single cycle	Includes a gas turbine(s) but no steam turbine	Not available
technology	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Combined cycle	Includes a gas turbine(s) and its exhaust heat is	Not available
technology	recovered to generate power by a steam	
	turbine(s)	

520

521

History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision
05.0.0	EB 67, Annex # 11 May 2012	 The revision: Incorporates a more objective procedure to identify the baseline scenario; Provides additional guidance for the investment comparison analysis for the demonstration of additionality; Improves the procedure to determine the efficiency of the baseline technology; Clarifies the data requirements and accounts for the potential impact of the data vintage of the plants used to determine the baseline efficiency through Approach/Option 2; Changes title from "Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology" to "Construction and operation of new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology".
04.0.0	EB 56, Annex 7 17 September 2010	 The revision: Includes a definition for cogeneration plants; Clarifies that the referential point in time for historical data, required in the calculation of baseline emissions, is the date of submission of the

¹⁵ Calculated as the average of measured efficiencies of the above-average plants of the Chinese subcritical coal plants, as reported by the China Electricity Council in 2010.

¹⁶ Internal Energy Agency. 2010. Power Generation from Coal.

¹⁷ Calculated as the average of measured efficiencies of the above-average plants of the Chinese supercritical coal plants, as reported by the China Electricity Council in 2010.



UNFCCC/CCNUCC

ACM0013 / Version 05.0.0

Sectoral scope: 01 EB 67

		PDD for validation of the project activity;
		 Expands the applicability of the methodology to power plants that fire other fuel categories, than the main one, for start-up or auxiliary purposes;
		Includes minor editorial improvements.
03	EB 53, Annex 7 26 March 2010	The revision concerns mainly the determination of the emission factor under the baseline and under the project scenario to ensure that emission reductions are limited to those resulting from the higher efficiency of the power generation technology used in the project activity as compared to the baseline.
02.1	EB 46, Annex 8 25 March 2009	 The methodology was editorially revised: To correct error in the unit in equation 2 and 3; To correct unit conversion factor from GJ to MWh in equation 4; To include EF_{FF,PJ,CO2,y} in the monitoring table under 'data and parameters monitored'; and To correct other unit inconsistencies and editorial errors.
02	EB 39, Annex 6 16 May 2008	The methodology was revised to clarify that in the fourth applicability condition the geographical area has to be limited by the physical borders of the host country and as such cannot be extended to neighboring non-Annex I countries.
01	EB 34, Annex 2 12 September 2007	Initial adoption.
	n Class: Regulatory	

Document Type: Standard **Business Function**: Methodology