


F-CDM-NMas-NM00XX

|  <p style="text-align: center;"><b>CDM: Proposed new methodology assessment form</b><br/><b>(version 03)</b><br/><i>(To be used by meth panel members assessing the quality of a proposed new methodology)</i></p>   |  |     |    |
|---|--|-----|----|
| Title of proposed new methodology:  |  |     |    |
| Related F-CDM-NM document ID number   |  |     |    |
| <p><i>Note to the person completing this form: Please assess the quality of the submitted new methodology according to paragraph 6 of the procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new methodologies (version 06).</i></p> <p><i>The responses to the evaluation criteria below shall be considered as substantiation to evaluate a case as 1 or 2.</i></p> <p><i>If one of the evaluation criteria below is checked as NO the documentation will be graded as 2 and is to be sent back to the project participants.</i></p> <p><i>If all the evaluation criteria below are checked as YES the documentation will be graded as 1 and shall be considered as received by the Board and be forwarded by the secretariat for consideration of the Board and the Meth Panel.</i></p> |  |     |    |
| <i>Evaluation of the proposed new methodologies by Meth Panel Member:</i>   |  |     |    |
| No.   | Evaluation criteria  | YES | NO |
| 1.  | Coverage of the CDM-NMB and CDM-NMM sections as outlined in the applicable guidelines.   |     |    |
| 2.  | Transparent and precise language of methodology enough to be assessed  |     |    |
| 3.  | Stand alone baseline methodology   |     |    |
| 4.  | The baseline methodology seems to be internally consistent.  |     |    |
| 5.  | Does the baseline methodology seem to address the identification and selection of the most likely baseline scenario candidate?       |     |    |
| 6.  | Calculating emission reductions: relevant formula provided and explained   |     |    |
| 7.  | Additionality: Clear and concise presentation of methodological steps to assess additionality and relationships between them.        |     |    |
| 8.  | Proper, clear documentation and drafting of Proposed New Methodology: Monitoring, (CDM-NMM) in accordance with applicable guidelines |     |    |
| 9.  | If it is a resubmitted C case, does it considering all the recommendations previously given.   |     |    |
| 10.   | No other issue was identified that leads to a 2-rating.  |     |    |

F-CDM-NMas-NM00XX

|   |  |                   |  |
|---|--|-------------------|--|
|   |  |                   |  |
| <b>No.</b>  | <b>Additional information</b>  |                   |  |
| <b>A.</b>   | Is a similar methodology already under review / approved?<br>(If YES, specify methodology ID number below) |                   |  |
| <b>No.</b>  | <b>Comments (please provide explanations for "NO" answers, if any)</b>                                     |                   |  |
|   |  |                   |  |
| <b>Information to be completed by the secretariat</b> |  |                   |  |
| F-CDM-NMas doc id number                              |  | F-CDM-NMas-NM00xx |  |
| Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat |  |                   |  |