AR WG First meeting Annex 2 page 1

CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM PROPOSED NEW METHODOLOGY FOR A/R: BASELINE (CDM-AR -NMB)

CONTENTS

- A. Identification of methodology
- B. Overall summary description
- C. Choice of and justification as of <u>baseline approach</u> for A/R CDM project activities
- D. Explanation of how, by applying the <u>baseline methodology</u>, <u>baselines</u> are developed in a <u>transparent and conservative manner</u>
- E. Explanation and justification of the proposed new <u>baseline methodology</u>
- F. Data sources and assumptions
- G. Assessment of uncertainties

AR WG First meeting Annex 2 page 2

SECTION A. Identification of methodology
A.1. Title of the proposed methodology:
>>
A.2. List of type(s) of A/R CDM project activity to which the methodology may apply:
>>
A.3. Conditions under which the methodology is applicable to A/R CDM project activities:
>>>
A.4. <u>Carbon pools</u> covered by the methodology:
>>>
A.5. What are the potential strengths and weaknesses of this <u>proposed new methodology</u> ?
>>
SECTION B. Overall summary description:
>>>
SECTION C. Choice of and justification as to why one of the <u>baseline approaches</u> listed in paragraph 22 of CDM A/R modalities and procedures is considered to be the most appropriate:
C.1. General <u>baseline approach for A/R project activities</u> :
☐ Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within
 □ Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; □ Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land
☐ Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; ☐ Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land use that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment;
 □ Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; □ Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land
Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land use that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment; Changes in carbon stocks in the pools within the project boundary from the most likely
Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land use that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment; Changes in carbon stocks in the pools within the project boundary from the most likely land use at the time the project starts. C.2. Justification of why the baseline approach for A/R project activities chosen in C.1. above is
Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land use that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment; Changes in carbon stocks in the pools within the project boundary from the most likely land use at the time the project starts. C.2. Justification of why the baseline approach for A/R project activities chosen in C.1. above is considered the most appropriate: SECTION D. Explanation of how, by applying the baseline methodology, baselines are developed
Existing or historical, as applicable, changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary; Changes in carbon stocks in the carbon pools within the project boundary from a land use that represents an economically attractive course of action, taking into account barriers to investment; Changes in carbon stocks in the pools within the project boundary from the most likely land use at the time the project starts. C.2. Justification of why the baseline approach for A/R project activities chosen in C.1. above is considered the most appropriate:

account by the application of the methodology:
>>

E.1. Explanation of how national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances could be taken into

AR WG First meeting Annex 2 page 3

E.2. Explanation of how the methodology determines the <u>baseline scenario</u> (that is, how it indicates the scenario that reasonably represents the sum of the changes in carbon stocks in the <u>carbon pools</u> within the <u>project boundary</u> that would occur in the absence of the proposed <u>A/R</u> project activity):

>>

E.3. Explanation of how, through the methodology, it can be demonstrated that a proposed A/R project activity is additional and therefore not the <u>baseline scenario</u> (section B.3 of the CDM-AR-PDD):

>>

E.4. Explain and justify formulae/algorithms and/or models used to determine the <u>baseline</u> scenario. Variables, fixed parameters, values and different strata identified have to be reported (e.g. species, growth rates):

>>

E.5. Explain and justify formulae/algorithms and/or models used to determine the <u>actual net GHG</u> removals by sinks from the <u>proposed A/R CDM project activity</u>. Variables, fixed parameters, values and different strata identified have to be reported (e.g. fuel(s) used, fuel consumption rates):

>>

E.6. Explain how the <u>baseline methodology</u> addresses any potential <u>leakage</u> of the proposed <u>A/R</u> <u>project activity</u>:

>>

E.7. Explain and justify formulae/algorithms and/or models used to determine the <u>net anthropogenic GHG removals by sinks</u> from the proposed <u>A/R CDM project activity</u>:

>>

SECTION F. Data sources and assumptions:

F.1. Describe all parameters and assumptions (e.g. regarding biomass expansion factors and activity levels):

>>

F.2. List of data used and their sources:

>>

F.3. Vintage of data (e.g. relative to starting date of the proposed A/R CDM project activity):

>>

F.4. Spatial resolution of data (e.g. local, regional, national):

>>

SECTION G. Assessment of uncertainties:

>>
