



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Fifty-fifth meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
26-30 July 2010

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft revision of the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM)
- Annex 2 - Draft work programme of the Methodologies Panel
- Annex 3 - Draft work programme of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group
- Annex 4 - Draft work programme of the Small-Scale Working Group
- Annex 5 - Draft revised “Procedures for review of erroneous inclusion of a CPA”
- Annex 6 - Draft revised “Procedures for registration of a Programme of Activities as a single CDM Project Activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a Programme of Activities”
- Annex 7 - Draft revised “Guidelines on the treatment of national and sectoral policies in the demonstration and assessment of additionality”
- Annex 8 - A recruitment strategy for vacant posts in Sustainable Development Mechanisms (SDM)
- Annex 9 - Status of income, expenditure and secretariat’s recruitment
- Annex 10 - Compliance with indicative timelines for different processes
- Annex 11 - Information note regarding the appeals process for appeals against adverse rulings
- Annex 12 - Options for the operationalization of a loan scheme to cover the cost of CDM project development to countries with less than 10 projects



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities *
 - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry **
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

** For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1) Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification¹.”

The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to elect a new Vice-Chair of the Board, following Rule 13 of the Rules of Procedures of the Board.

Background: The Vice-Chair of the Board, Mr. Pedro Barata, resigned from his function as Vice-Chair of the Board due to personal reasons. In accordance with Rule 13 of the Rules of Procedures of the Board², the Board shall elect a new Vice-Chair for the remainder of the term

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the issue related to the terms of reference of the Board.

Background: Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 2/CMP.5 paragraph 14, to recommend terms of reference for membership of the Board that clarify the set of skills and expertise as well as the expected time commitment required by members and alternate members for consideration by the CMP at its sixth session, the Board requested a group of members to work on this issue and consider the work of the group at its fifty-fifth meeting.

2) Adoption of the agenda

4. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the fifty-fifth meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its fifty-fifth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 5 July 2010 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3) Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the forty-ninth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Samuel Adeoye Adejuwon, on the work of the CDM-AP.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board, 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.

² Please refer to 4/CMP.1 <FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.1>.



Background: The CDM-AP held its forty-ninth meeting on 23–25 June 2010 and an extraordinary meeting on 6 July 2010, both in Bonn, Germany.

Case specific

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to:
- (a) Accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.
 - (b) Take note of the CDM-AP's notifications on the results of performance assessments.
 - (c) Consider the CDM-AP's recommendations on the outcome of spot-check follow-up assessments, and take actions as appropriate.
 - (d) Consider the CDM-AP's recommendation on initiating a spot-check of a DOE, and take actions as appropriate.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation have been sent to Board members and alternates under separate cover on 12 July 2010 under confidentiality.

General guidance

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to adopt the Verification and Validation Manual (VVM) version 01.2, incorporating adopted decisions of the Board since the previous revision, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Board at its forty-ninth meeting agreed on the need to conduct a six monthly review of the VVM and a comprehensive revision every two years. Following above decision, the Board at its fifty-first meeting adopted the revision of the VVM (version 01.1) as the first six monthly review to incorporate EB decisions from EB 44 to EB 50. The VVM (version 01.2), is the second sixth monthly review that incorporates Board decisions from EB 51 to EB54.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and provide guidance on, as appropriate, the concept of technical areas and related competence prepared by the CDM-AP with regard to the definition of and competence requirements for technical areas within the sectoral scopes, based on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: Taking note of the on-going work of the CDM-AP on the revision of the CDM Accreditation Standard, the Board, at its fifty-third meeting, requested the CDM-AP to intensify this work, specifically on the further definition of technical areas and relevant competence requirements. The Board further requested the secretariat to organize a workshop that would be open to all DOEs to provide input on these issues. The secretariat organized the workshop on 5 July 2010 in Bonn, Germany with the attendance of CDM-AP members. The CDM-AP held an extraordinary meeting on 6 July 2010 to finalize the concept of technical areas and related competence, taking into account the inputs received during the workshop.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the CDM-AP on the progress made against the work plan and the updated work plan of the CDM-AP for the year 2010, as contained in annex 1 to the CDM-AP report (see paragraph 5 of the CDM-AP report referred to in paragraph 5 above).

Background: The Board, at its fifty-second meeting, requested the CDM-AP to report on the progress made against the work plan and to present an updated work plan at its fifty-fifth meeting.

10. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fiftieth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held on 18–20 August 2010 in Bonn, Germany, as per annex 39 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans**

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the forty-fourth meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the Meth Panel, Mr. Lex de Jonge, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its forty-fourth meeting from 21 to 25 June 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

12. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish:

- (a) To approve the methodology based on the proposed new methodology:
 - (i) NM0313: “Air separation using cryogenic energy of LNG” as contained in annex 1 of the Meth Panel report;
- (b) Not to approve the methodologies based on the proposed new methodologies:
 - (ii) NM0282: “Usipar Pulverized Charcoal Injection Project”;
 - (iii) NM0321: “Effective use of the waste gas emitted from ammonia production plant”;
 - (iv) NM0325: “Efficient energy generation using biomass residues along with improvement in demand side energy efficiency in a Sugar Mill”;
 - (v) NM0326: “Hot metal production through efficient blast furnace system(s)”;
 - (vi) NM0327: “Reducing losses of SF6 in electricity transmission and distribution equipment manufacture”;
 - (vii) NM0329: “The implementation of Solar Water Heaters (SWHs) with storage for warm water applications”.

Background: The recommendations agreed by the Meth Panel are contained in paragraphs 7 and 8 of the report of the forty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/meth/index.html>>.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies:

- (a) NM0302: “Emission reductions in the cement production facilities of Holcim Ecuador S.A.”;
- (b) NM0310: “Carbon di-oxide emission reductions by the introduction of Hot Direct Reduction Iron in the Electric Arc Furnaces”;
- (c) NM0312: “REFAP HBIO Project”;
- (d) NM0320: “Modal shift transportation for less intensive GHG emission”.

The Meth Panel will further consider these methodologies at its next meetings.

**Responses to requests for clarification**

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications AM_CLA_0174 to AM_CLA_0186, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the panel report (see table 2 in paragraph 9 of the MP 44 report).

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

Responses to requests for revision

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table 3 in paragraph 9 of the MP 44 report):

- (a) Not to accept request AM_REV_0182 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 to include biogas from anaerobic wastewater treatment;
- (b) To accept request AM_REV_0184 concerning revision of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0014 to include wastewater solids that are separated from the wastewater to prevent open lagoon clogging and therefore can have a different baseline, in a scenario 1 type anaerobic digester wastewater treatment project;
- (c) To accept request AM_REV_0185 concerning revision of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0008 to capture and destruction of methane from an opencast coal mine;
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0187 concerning revision of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0012 version 3.2;
- (e) Not to accept request AM_REV_0188 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0012 to allow for project activities that utilize waste electricity;
- (f) To accept request AM_REV_0189 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0025 for co-firing of stabilized biomass from industry with fossil fuel for heat/electricity generation.. Please also refer to paragraph 20 below;
- (g) Not to accept request AM_REV_0191 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0015 to clarify with regards to the applicability of the methodology to greenfield plants;
- (h) To accept request AM_REV_0192 concerning revision of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0016 for the revision of Common Practice Analysis;
- (i) To accept request AM_REV_0193 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0062 to correct the calculation of parameter EF for AM0062;
- (j) Not to accept request AM_REV_0194 concerning revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0012 to allow for the case where the same portion of waste gas used directly in the baseline to generate process heat will be used in the project activity for the same purpose while the gas previously flared will be used for electricity generation.

The Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the requests for revision AM_REV_0141, AM_REV_0145, AM_REV_0149, AM_REV_0157, AM_REV_0169, AM_REV_0172, AM_REV_0177, AM_REV_0180, AM_REV_0183, AM_REV_0190, and will continue the consideration of these requests at its next meeting.



Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

Revisions to approved methodologies

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodologies:

(a) **AM0025:** *Avoided emissions from organic waste through alternative waste treatment processes - Version 11.* The draft revision to the methodology AM0025 is based on elements of the request for revision AM_REV_0189 and of the request for clarification AM_CLA_0177. The draft revision: (i) Clarifies that project activities that process and upgrade biogas from anaerobic digestion to the quality of natural gas and then distribute it as energy via natural gas distribution grid can use the approved methodology AM0053 in conjunction with this methodology; (ii) Provides separate procedures to estimate emissions from thermal energy generation/electricity generation during co-firing fossil fuel with biomass to allow for cases when the fossil fuel used in the boiler is different than that used for other purposes on-site; (iii) Provides a conservative approach to estimate emissions from residual waste from different treatment processes when disposed of in landfills; and (iv) Corrects equation 6, so that the Global Warming Potential of methane (GWPC_{H4}) is not taken into account twice. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 3 of the Meth Panel report;

(b) **AM0034:** *Catalytic reduction of N₂O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants - Version 04.:* The draft revision makes the changes in the equations 3 and 6 of the methodology with respect to the measurement intervals of baseline and project campaign. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 4 of the Meth Panel report;

(c) **AM0053:** *Biogenic methane injection to a natural gas distribution grid - Version 01.1.* The draft revision to the methodology AM0053 is based on elements of the request for clarification AM_CLA_0177. The draft revision clarifies that the methodology can be used in conjunction with AM0025; and ACM0014, and deletes the reference to the methodology AM0013 as this methodology has been withdrawn. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 6 of the Meth Panel report;

(d) **AM0057:** *Avoided emissions from biomass wastes through use as feed stock in pulp and paper production or in bio-oil production - Version 02.2.* The draft revision to the methodology AM0057 is based on elements of the request for clarification AM_CLA_0178. The draft revision clarifies that the methodology can be used for cardboard and fibreboard production. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 7 of the Meth Panel report;

(e) **AM0058:** *Introduction of a new primary district heating system - Version 03.* The draft editorial revision to the approved methodology AM0058 was made in response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0182. The draft editorial revision clarifies the meaning of lifetime of the project activity, which is defined to be equal to the remaining lifetime of the existing facility. The draft editorially revised methodology is contained in annex 8 of the Meth Panel report;

(f) **AM0062:** *Energy efficiency improvements of a power plant through retrofitting turbines - Version 01.1.* The Meth Panel recommended the Board to approve the revision to the methodology AM0062 based on elements of the request for revision AM_REV_0193. The draft revision corrects equation 7, and makes some editorial changes. Other parts of this request were not agreed by the Meth Panel. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 9 of the Meth Panel report;

(g) **AM0087:** *Construction of a new natural gas power plant supplying electricity to the grid or a single consumer - Version 01.* The draft revision to the methodology AM0087 is based on elements of the request for clarification AM_CLA_0179. The draft revision clarifies how the investment comparison analysis shall be conducted for the baseline identification with two alternatives, one of which is that the project



participants do not undertake any investment, but that the service is provided by third party is considered. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 10 of the Meth Panel report;

(h) **ACM0007: Baseline methodology for conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation - Version 03.** The draft revision to the methodology ACM0007 is based on elements of the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0176 and AM_CLA_0185. The draft revision clarifies that the historical data requirements for the baseline emissions should be satisfied at the time of validation and changed the minimum historical data requirement for the open cycle emission factor in the baseline scenario from one year to three years. The revision also: (i) Updates the format of the methodology; and (ii) Establishes its title as “Consolidated methodology for conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation”. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 12 of the Meth Panel report;

(i) **ACM0008: Consolidated methodology for coal bed methane, coal mine methane and ventilation air methane capture and use for power (electrical or motive) and heat and/or destruction through flaring or flameless oxidation - Version 06.** The draft revision to the consolidated methodology ACM0008 based on elements of the request for revision AM_REV_185 and the request from the Board (EB 54, paragraph 24). The draft revision: (i) Broadens the applicability conditions of the methodology to include open cast coal mines ; and (ii) Allows project proponents to measure the pre-mining CMM (PMMPJ,y) together with the post-mining CMM (CMMPJ,y). The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 13 of the Meth Panel report;

(j) **ACM0014: Mitigation of greenhouse gas emissions from treatment of industrial wastewater - Version 03.1.** The draft revision to the consolidated methodology ACM0014 is based on elements of the request for revision AM_REV_0184. The draft revision expands the application of the methodology to situation where in the baseline the solid materials are separated from the wastewater and have a different treatment than the wastewater. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 14 of the Meth Panel report;

(k) **ACM0016: Baseline Methodology for Mass Rapid Transit Projects - Version 01.** The draft revision to the consolidated methodology ACM0016 is based on elements of the request for revision AM_REV_0192. The draft revision: (i) Introduces the definition of larger urban zone (LUZ) of a city, and (ii) Accommodates situations, for the common practice analysis, where the city has less than one million inhabitants in its LUZ. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 15 of the Meth Panel report;

(l) **AM0042: Grid-connected electricity generation using biomass from newly developed dedicated plantations - Version 02; AM0057: Avoided emissions from biomass wastes through use as feed stock in pulp and paper production or in bio-oil production - Version 02.2; ACM0006: Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power and heat plants - Version 10; and ACM0018: Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants - Version 01.** The draft editorial revisions to the following methodologies AM0042, ACM0006 ACM0018 and AM0057 were prepared in order to reflect the same provisions as contained in the latest version of AM0036, namely, that the moisture content of biomass residues should be monitored for each batch of biomass of homogeneous quality and that the weighted average should be calculated for each monitoring period and used in the calculations. The draft editorially revised methodologies are contained in annexes 5, 11 and 16 of the Meth Panel report. As AM0057 has also been revised due to AM_CLA_0178, please see annex 7 of the Meth Panel report.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/revisions>>.

*General guidance and tools*

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a note prepared by the Meth Panel containing information on various issues related to the methodology AM0001 “Incineration of HFC 23 Waste Streams”.

Background: This note was prepared based on publicly available information on potential issues related to application of the methodology AM0001, including that contained in the request for revision AM_REV_0186. The note is contained in annex 2 of the Meth Panel report.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the Meth Panel on the work undertaken related to the draft “Tool to calculate the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”, taking into account the inputs received in response to the call for inputs on draft “Tool to calculate the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)” launched by the Board.

Background: The Board at its fifty-third meeting, agreed to launch a call for public inputs on the draft “Tool to calculate the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”, which was open from 26 March until 23 April 2010. The Meth Panel recommends improving the tool by: (i) Including better explanation, justification and background information in the tool; (ii) Seeking to develop a table of default values for the cost of equity; and (iii) Providing possible examples of the application of the tool. The Meth Panel seeks guidance from the Board whether it should proceed in this way.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve revision to the “Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from disposal of waste at a solid waste disposal site” based on elements of the request for revision AM_REV_0189”. Please also refer to paragraph 15(f) above.

Background: The draft revision provides default values for the fraction of degradable organic carbon (DOC) for industrial sludge and for the decay rate (k) for sludge from pulp and paper industry, as contained in annex 17 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 38 of MP 44 report).

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the Meth Panel on the consideration of the approved methodology ACM0008 with respect to requirements related to the measurement of certain monitored parameters and the need for these parameters to be measured separately. Refer to the draft revision to ACM0008 included in annex 13 of the Meth Panel report (See also paragraph 16(i) of these annotations).

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting (EB54, para. 24), requested the Meth Panel to consider the approved methodology ACM0008 with respect to requirements related to the measurement of the following monitored parameters: (i) “Pre-mining CMM captured, sent to and destroyed by use 'i' in the project activity in year 'y'”; and (ii) “Post-mining CMM captured, sent to and destroyed by use 'i' in the project activity in year 'y'”. In particular, the Meth Panel should assess the need for these parameters to be measured separately, taking into account practical implementation of such a way of measuring them and consider alternative approaches, if available. The Board further requested the Panel to revise the methodology if appropriate.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the work related to promoting the awareness of methodologies.

Background: The Board in its annual report to CMP5, agreed to promote the awareness of methodologies, focusing on the methodologies that are used most frequently.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the progress made regarding the revision of approved methodologies to further improve their objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency.



Background: The Board at its forty-ninth meeting, requested the Meth Panel to revise approved methodologies to further improve their objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency. For carrying out this task, the Board also requested the Meth Panel to communicate with project proponents and developers of respective methodologies, if required. The Board requested the secretariat to report on the progress of this work, for the consideration at a future meeting.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the implementation of the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM project activities” and the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for A/R CDM project activities.”

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, approved the above procedures and requested the secretariat to report to the Board on the implementation of these at its next meeting.

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the work plan of the Meth Panel for the second semester of the year 2010, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-second meeting, agreed to the work programmes of the Methodologies Panel, Small Scale Working Group and Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group for the first semester of year 2010. The updated workprogramme includes an update of the work undertaken by the panel.

25. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-fifth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 9 to 13 August 2010, as per annex 39 of the report of the fifty-fourth meeting of the Board.

(c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. José Domingos Miguez, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its the twenty-eighth meeting on 16 to 18 June 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

Responses to requests for clarifications

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarification AR_AM_CLA_0010 to AR_AM_CLA_0012 and SSC_AR_005 as provided by the A/R WG and referred to in the group’s report.

Background: The A/R WG considered the requests for clarifications related to the application of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies. All requests submitted to the A/R WG are made publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/ARmethodologies/clarifications>.

General guidance and tools

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the work plan of the A/R WG for the second half of the year 2010, as contained in annex 3 to this annotated agenda.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-second meeting, agreed to the work programmes of the Methodologies Panel, Small Scale Working Group and Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group for



the first semester of year 2010. The updated workprogramme includes an update of the work undertaken by the panel.

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft “Tool for estimation of changes in soil organic carbon stocks due to the implementation of A/R CDM project activities”, as contained in annex 1 of the A/R WG report (see paragraph 8 of A/R WG 28 report).

Background: The tool allows estimation of changes in soil organic carbon stocks on the basis of transition between two land uses.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revised forms “Project Design Document Form for Afforestation and Reforestation Project Activities (CDM AR-PDD)”, “Proposed New Baseline And Monitoring Methodology For A/R (CDM-AR-NM)”, “Proposed New A/R Methodology Expert Form – Lead Review (F CDM-AR-NMex_3d)”, and “Proposed New A/R Methodology Expert Form – Second Review (F CDM-AR-NMex_2d)”. The draft revised forms are contained in annexes 3 and 4 of the report of the twenty-seventh meeting of the working group and annexes 2 and 3 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the working group.

Background: The A/R Working Group agreed at its twenty-seventh meeting to recommend approval of the revised draft forms “Project Design Document Form for Afforestation and Reforestation project activities (CDM AR-PDD)” and “Proposed New Baseline and Monitoring Methodology for A/R (CDM-AR-NM)”. The group further agreed at its twenty-eighth meeting to recommend approval of the two related forms “Proposed New A/R Methodology Expert Form – Lead Review (F CDM-AR-NMex_3d)”, and “Proposed New A/R Methodology Expert Form – Second Review (F CDM-AR-NMex_2d)”. Revision of these forms was a consequence of a thorough redesign of the structure of the approved A/R methodologies which had been carried out in order to improve the readability, objectivity and usability of the methodologies.

31. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-ninth meeting of the A/R WG will be held from 9–13 August 2010.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Mr. Peer Stiansen, on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its twenty-sixth meeting on 15–18 June 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology titled SSC-III.AL “Conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation” as contained in annex 8 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG.



Background: In response to NM048-rev, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology entitled “Conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation”. The methodology is applicable for projects that convert an existing single cycle gas turbine or internal combustion engine with or without cogeneration system to a combined cycle system with or without cogeneration.

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology SSC-I.G “Plant oil production and use for energy generation in stationary applications” as contained in annex 4 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: Taking into account submissions NM009, NM051 the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology titled “Plant oil production and use for energy generation in stationary applications” which is applicable to project activities involving the cultivation of oilseeds and the production of plant oil to generate thermal/mechanical/electrical energy including cogeneration to displace fossil fuel and/or fossil fuel based technologies. The peat land use for oilseed crop cultivation was excluded under the proposed methodology and AMS-III.T procedures are to be followed to determine the project emissions.

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology SSC-I.H “Biodiesel production and use for energy generation in stationary applications” as contained in annex 5 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: Taking into account submissions NM009, NM051 the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology titled “Biodiesel production and use for energy generation in stationary applications” which is applicable to project activities involving the cultivation of oilseeds and the production of biodiesel to generate thermal/mechanical/electrical energy including cogeneration to displace fossil fuel and/or fossil fuel based technologies. The land use change issues are addressed referring to the relevant A/R tool as per ACM0017, excluding peat land use for oilseed crop cultivation.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology SSC-III.AK “Biodiesel production and use for transportation applications” as contained in annex 6 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: Taking into account submissions NM009, NM051 the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology titled “Biodiesel production and use for transportation applications” which is applicable to project activities involving the cultivation of oilseeds and sourcing of waste oil/fat to be used in production of biodiesel for use in transportation applications. The land use change issues are addressed referring to the relevant A/R tool as per ACM0017, excluding peat land use for oilseed crop cultivation.

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications, SSC_412 to SSC_417, SSC_419 to SSC_421, SSC_423 to SSC_429, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the SSC WG report (see paragraphs 12 to 27 of the SSC WG 26 report).

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

Revisions of approved methodologies

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following revision of SSC methodologies:

(a) Revision of AMS-III.C: Taking into account the responses to request for clarifications already approved by the Board (e.g. SSC_376 and SSC_364), the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision to AMS-III.C as contained in annex 1 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG to clarify that the methodology is only applicable to technology/measures involving electric and hybrid vehicles. Furthermore the group noted that as currently written the methodology includes only limited guidelines for establishing the baseline, calculating project emissions and on monitoring aspects. Considering the increased size limits for the



projects that are currently possible under the methodology now as opposed to the time of its approval, the group agreed to request guidance from the Board as to whether a major revision to the methodology could be carried out by the group in consultation with the project participants applying this methodology;

(b) Revision to AMS-III.S: Taking into account submissions (e.g. SSC_376 and SSC_364), the SSC WG recommended a revision to AMS-III.S as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG to expand its applicability to cover retrofit project activities and to include additional types of vehicle types (e.g. tricycles etc.). The requirements pertaining to maintaining fixed routes during the baseline and project have been revised to provide more options for the project participants to design projects including routes with comparable characteristics;

(c) Revision of AMS-III.T: As requested by the Board (EB 54, paragraph 34) and taking into account submissions (e.g. NM009 and NM051), the SSC WG recommended a minor revision of AMS-III.T as contained in annex 3 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG to include an additional option for using default factors (e.g. Nitrous oxide emissions from land use) derived from the approved methodology ACM0017. Peatland use for oilseed crop cultivation has been excluded.

(d) Revision of AMS-III.H: In response to several submissions (e.g. SSC_406) the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.H as contained in annex 7 of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the SSC WG to clarify the criteria to be satisfied for the baseline lagoon treatment systems to be eligible under the methodology (e.g. the depth of the lagoon > 2 m, minimum sludge removal interval and ambient temperature conditions). The monitoring parameters including the required frequency of measurements and options for collection and recording of data are now presented in a table.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/clarifications>>.

General guidance

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the continued development of conservative default parameters for use in baseline methodologies.

Background: The Board in its annual report to CMP.5, agreed to continue developing conservative default parameters for use in baseline methodologies, as an alternative to setting project-specific parameters that are difficult to determine.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the work plan of the SSC WG for the second half of the year 2010, as contained in annex 4 to this annotated agenda.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-second meeting, agreed to the work programmes of the Methodologies Panel, Small Scale Working Group and Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group for the first semester of year 2010.

41. ► **Action:** SSU The Board may wish to consider the revised general guidelines to SSC CDM methodologies, as contained in annex 9 of the SSC WG report (see paragraph 38 of SSC WG 26 report).

Background: The revised general guidelines reflect the latest decisions by the Board for example those pertaining to determination of the size of project activities eligible under the SSC CDM.

42. ► **Note:** As requested by the Board at its forty-seventh meeting, the secretariat organized a workshop on SSC energy efficiency methodologies on 14 June 2010 in Bonn. The proceedings of the workshop can be accessed at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Panels/ssc_wg/workshop/100514/index.html>. The SSC WG considered that the methodology practitioners' perspectives presented and discussed at the workshop were valuable for



the work of the group to make methodological recommendations to the Board to increase the usability of the methodologies while ensuring conservative estimation of emission reductions.

43. ► **Note:** As requested by the Board at its fifty-first meeting (EB 51, annex 11) and as per approved workplan by the Board for the SSC WG (EB 52, annex 15), the group continued working on developing best practice examples for the application of sampling guidelines. The SSC WG agreed that there is a need to further study the application of sampling guidelines in the PDDs, further consultation with statistical experts and project participants before finalization of the best practice examples to ensure that the examples provided cover a broad range of applications and circumstances under which the sampling guidelines are applied. The SSC WG agreed to indicate that it aims to complete the work by the twenty-ninth meeting of the group and make a final recommendation to the Board.

44. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the case SSC-NM049 was deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 26 report).

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an update by the secretariat on the status of the preparations and formal, legal and logistical arrangements for the twenty-seventh meeting of the SSC Working Group, in Norway.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, took note with appreciation of the invitation of Mr. Peer Stiansen, Chair of the SSC Working Group, on behalf of the Government of Norway, to host the twenty-seventh meeting of the SSC Working Group, in Norway.

46. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-seventh meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 16–19 August 2010 in Arendal, Norway.

(e) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of programme of activities.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>), indicates the status of programmes of activities submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

General guidance

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to revise the draft “Procedures for review of erroneous inclusion of a CPA” and draft “Procedures for registration of a Programme of Activities as a single CDM Project Activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a Programme of Activities”, as contained in annex 5 and 6 to these annotations.

Background: Following the request by the CMP, through its decision 2/CMP.5 paragraph 36, the Board shall continue to revise relevant procedures and guidance on PoA, including defining more clearly the situations in which DOEs could be held liable for erroneous inclusion of a component for a project activity, in order to reduce barriers to the development of PoA under CDM. The draft procedures also clarify a small number of other issues received as queries from stakeholders.

(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.



CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-fifth meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

Case specific

50. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for 24 project activities listed in table 1, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-third and fifty-fourth meeting.

Table 1: Requests for registration which were placed “Under review” at EB53 and EB54

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
2522	Yangxin Huaxin Cement 18MW Waste Heat Recovery as Power Project	?	?	?
2524	Metro Clark Landfill Gas Capture System	?	?	?
2808	Sichuan Heishui Changde 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2818	Pingdingshan Coal (Group) Company Chaochuan Mine 6*2MW Coke Oven Gas Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
2831	Huadian Laizhou Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2840	Datong River Tianwanggou Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2862	Sichuan Luding Moxi 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2869	Yunnan Diqing Jisha Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2930	Huadian Beijing Natural Gas based Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2932	Tao River Lianlu Cascade II (Xiacheng) 37.5MW Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, China	?	?	?
2948	Installation of Natural Gas based package cogeneration systems at industrial facilities in Gujarat by Gujarat Gas Company Limited (GGCL), India.	?	?	?
2961	Sichuan Fengyanbao 44MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2970	TBEC Tha Chang Biogas Project	?	?	?
2972	Eryuan Huian Hydropower Station	?	?	?
3005	North Longyuan Huitengliang Wind Power Project	?	?	?
3008	Nanjing Jinling Grid Connected Natural Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project	?	?	?
3013	Hebei Baoding biomass combined stoves and heater (BCSH) Project 1	?	?	?
3020	GHG Emission Reductions through grid connected high efficiency power generation	?	?	?
3044	Jianli Kaidi Biomass Power Project	?	?	?
3047	Chongqing Longshui 8MW Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
3048	Cimentos do Mozambique – Matola Gas Company Fuel Switch Project	?	?	?
3079	Hebei Chengde Peifeng Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
3091	Huadian Tongliao Beiqinghe 300 MW Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
3113	Gutanhe 10 MW Hydropower Project in Yunnan Province	?	?	?

Background: At its fifty-third and fifty-fourth meeting, the Board decided to place the 24 project activities identified in table 1 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 1), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 1), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 1).

CDM – Executive Board

 Fifty-fifth meeting
 Proposed Agenda - Annotations

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 2 below.

Table 2: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a request for review

Ref No.	Title
2915	340 MW Gas based combined cycle power project expansion at Hazira

Background: Following consideration by the Board at its fifty-second meeting of the request for review of the request for registration of the project activity listed in table 2 above, the Board agreed that the project activity could be registered following corrections. The DOE has submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 3 below.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
1422	Heilongjiang Daqing Ruihao Wind Farm Project	?	?
1792	Hebei Shangyi Manjing North Wind Farm Project	?	?
1895	CECIC Zhangbei Gaojialiang Wind farm Project	?	?
2573	Heilongjiang Fujin 48 MW Wind Power Project	?	?
2598	Huaneng Jilin Tongyu Phase II Wind Farm Project	?	?
2725	Sichuan Xiba Small Hydro Power Project	?	?
2730	Shandong Laizhou phase II Wind Power Project	?	?
2774	Heilongjiang Mudanjiang Xiaoguokui Wind Power Project	?	?
2775	Heilongjiang Fuyuan Wind Power Project	?	?
2776	Heilongjiang Dabaishan Wind Power Project	?	?
2812	Yunnan Lincang City Nanlinghe 1st level Small-scale Hydropower Project	?	?
2830	Liaoning Beipiao Beitazi I Wind Power Project	?	?
2849	Jinping Ladeng River Hydropower Station	?	?
2861	Shuanghekou 16.6 MW Hydropower Project in Chongqing City, P.R. China	?	?
2865	Hebei Chengde Yudaokou Windfarm 48 MW project	?	?
2879	Yunnan Province Luxi City Wanma River 2nd Level Hydropower Station	?	?
2902	Changning Kawan 18.9 MW Hydroelectric Project	?	?
2926	Shimian Haiyang Hydropower Project	?	?
2933	Hunan Tongdao Yaolaitan 5.55 MW Hydro Power Project	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism.”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 3).

53. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, agreed that under review cases would be prioritized at its fifty-fifth meeting.



54. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, decided to defer the consideration of requests for registration and issuance which have received a request for review. The processing of these cases will be conducted in accordance with any procedures adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, with the aim of completing their consideration by the Board's fifty-sixth meeting.

Registration procedure/General guidance

55. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised draft “Guidelines on the treatment of national and sectoral policies in the demonstration and assessment of additionality”, as contained in annex 7 to this annotated agenda.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting considered the draft “Guidelines on the treatment of national and sectoral policies in the demonstration and assessment of additionality” and requested the secretariat to revise the draft guidelines, in particular by more clearly defining: how DOEs should identify and treat changes in national and sectoral policies, how market prices can be determined, and how the comparative basis of the analysis could be ensured.

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further discuss the revision of the process of a review for a request for registration.

Background: Following the request by CMP to the Board, through its decision 2/CMP.5, paragraph 37, to adopt as soon as possible revised procedures for registration, issuance and review; the Board at its last meeting agreed to continue to consider changes to the procedures for review of requests for registration at its fifty-fifth meeting.

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the implementation of the procedures for requests for registration of proposed CDM project activities.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, agreed to the "Procedures for requests for registration of proposed CDM project activities" and requested the secretariat to implement the above procedures as soon as possible and report to the Board on implementation at its next meeting.

58. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the secretariat published the data containing the highest tariffs for wind and hydro power projects in the People's Republic of China per province applied by the Board in its decisions on reviews of requests for registration.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to publish data containing the highest tariffs per province applied by the Board in its decisions on reviews of requests for registration. The data are published on the UNFCCC CDM website at https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Notes/reg_note07.pdf.

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the publication of the necessary forms for the submission of requests for withdrawal of request for registration on the UNFCCC CDM website

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to make the forms for the submission of requests for withdrawal of request for registration and issuance available on the UNFCCC CDM website. The forms are available at https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/PDDs_Forms/index.html.

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the publication of the list containing requirements to be checked during the completeness check and the registration information and reporting checklist on the UNFCCC CDM website.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to publish the list containing requirements to be checked during the completeness check and the registration information and



reporting checklist. The lists are available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html>.

(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

61. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance.

Case specific

62. **► Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the 7 project activities listed in table 4, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-third and fifty-fourth meeting.

Table 4: Requests for issuance which were placed “Under review” at EB53 and EB54

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
298	4.5 MW Biomass (low density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of Malavalli Power Plant Pvt Ltd.	8191	?	?	?
745	Demand side energy conservation and reduction measures at ITC Tribeni Unit	8848	?	?	?
768	4 MW renewable energy project by Sri Kalyani Agro Products & Industries Ltd.	21130	?	?	?
898	Ningguo Cement Plant 9100KW Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Anhui Conch Cement Co. Ltd	71857	?	?	?
1135	Jiangxi Fengcheng Mining Administration CMM Utilization Project	152427	?	?	?
1926	Fuxin CMM/CBM Utilization Project in Liaoning Province	212531	?	?	?
1929	Nantong Coalmine Methane	58707	?	?	?

Background: At its fifty-third and fifty-fourth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 4 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 4), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in table 4), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in table 4).

63. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider a request for resubmission of a previously rejected request for issuance listed in table 6 below.

Table 5: Request for resubmission of previously rejected request for issuance

Ref No.	Title	Monitoring period
0181	Alta Mogiana Bagasse Cogeneration Project (AMBCP)	01 Jan 2006 - 31 Dec 2006

Background: In accordance with paragraph 76 of the report of the fiftieth meeting of the Executive Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the submission by a DOE with regard to notification of changes or request for approval of changes from the registered PDD as listed in table 5 below.

Table 6: Notification of changes/request for approval of changes from the registered PDD

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Type</i>
1709	Angang Sinter Machine Waste Heat Recovery and Generation Project	Request
1373	Beijing No.3 Thermal Power Plant Gas-Steam Combined Cycle Project Using Natural Gas	Notification
0966	Mondi Richards Bay Biomass Project	Notification

Background: With regard to request for approval of changes of project activity “Angang Sinter Machine Waste Heat Recovery and Generation Project ” (1709), submitted by the DOE (DNV); the Board at its fifty-fourth meeting, agreed to further request clarification from the project participant and the DOE and defer its consideration of this case to the next meeting.

The DOE may submit a notification or a request for approval of changes from the registered PDD in accordance with the "Procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD". The Board, according to these procedures, shall decide if (a) To accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance; (b) To accept the changes and allow the subsequent requests for issuance, but limit the Certified Emission Reductions up to the level estimated in the original PDD; (c) To reject the changes and not allow the subsequent requests for issuance.

65. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, agreed that under review cases would be prioritized at its fifty-fifth meeting.

66. ► **Note:** The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, decided to defer the consideration of requests for issuance which have received a request for review. The processing of these cases will be conducted in accordance with any procedures adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, with the aim of completing their consideration by the Board's fifty-sixth meeting.

General guidance

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further discuss the revision of the process of a review for a request for issuance.

Background: Following the request by CMP to the Board, through its decision 2/CMP.5, paragraph 37, to adopt as soon as possible revised procedures for registration, issuance and review; the Board at its last meeting agreed to continue to consider changes to the procedures for review of requests for issuance at its fifty-fifth meeting.

68. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the implementation of the procedures for requests for issuance of CERs.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, agreed to the "Procedures for requests for issuance of CERs" and requested the secretariat to implement the above procedures as soon as possible and report to the Board on implementation at its next meeting.



69. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the publication of the list containing requirements to be checked during the completeness check and the issuance information and reporting checklist on the UNFCCC CDM website.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to publish the list containing requirements to be checked during the completeness check and the issuance information and reporting checklist. The lists are available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/Procedures/index.html>.

70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the publication of the necessary forms for the submission of requests for withdrawal of request for issuance on the UNFCCC CDM website.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to make the forms for the submission of requests for withdrawal of request for registration and issuance available on the UNFCCC CDM website. The forms are available at https://cdm.unfccc.int/Reference/PDDs_Forms/index.html.

4) Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

71. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the recruitment strategy for vacant posts in Sustainable Development Mechanisms (SDM) within the secretariat, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to create a suitable monitoring system to assess MAP implementation and report back to the Board on a regular basis with particular emphasis on the improvements achieved through the new secretariat structure.

72. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and status of secretariat's recruitment and (b) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure and status of secretariat's recruitment is presented in annex 9 to these annotations.

73. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further consider an update by the secretariat on the current liquidity of the CDM Trust Fund ways to maximize the interest generated by the reserve.

Background: In the context of the decision 2/CMP.5, requesting the Board to allocate financial resources from the interest accrued on the principal of the Trust Fund for the CDM in order to provide loans to support CDM project development in countries with fewer than 10 registered clean development mechanism project activities, the Board, at its fifty-third meeting, requested the secretariat to assess the current liquidity of the CDM Trust Fund and explore ways to maximize the interest generated by the reserve.

74. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

5) Other matters

(a) Guidance by CMP

75. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a policy paper prepared by the secretariat on draft procedures for appeals against decisions of the Board, as contained in annex 11 to this annotated agenda.



Background: The Board, at its fifty-fourth meeting, considered the inputs received in response to the call for inputs from stakeholders on “Procedures for appeals in accordance with the CMP requests in paragraphs 42–43 of Decision 2/CMP.5” and requested the secretariat to prepare draft procedures for appeals against decisions of the Board for consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

(b) Regional distribution

76. ► **Action** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the work undertaken regarding the operationalization of a loan scheme to cover the cost of CDM project development to countries with less than 10 projects as contained in annex 12 to these annotations.

Background: Following the request contained in Decision 2/CMP.5 regarding possible options for a loan scheme to cover the cost of CDM project development for projects originated in countries with less than 10 projects, the Board at its fifty-fourth meeting, requested the secretariat to work further on developing modalities and procedures for the operationalization of such a loan scheme based on a management model that has more outsourced functions and with minimum involvement of the secretariat for consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

77. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the work undertaken regarding a survey for potential of CDM identifying sectors with potential for CDM projects in countries hosting fewer than 10 registered CDM project activities.

Background: The CMP at its fifth session authorized the Board to prioritize, informed by an analysis of the potential use of methodologies and potential for emission reductions, the consideration and development of baseline and monitoring methodologies that are applicable to under-represented project activity types or regions, in order to improve the efficiency of operation of the methodologies processes, and requested the Board to develop top-down methodologies that are particularly suited for application in these countries in accordance with principles and guidelines to be established by the Executive Board for countries hosting fewer than 10 registered CDM project activities:

(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

78. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the update by the secretariat on a DNA training workshop on 28–29 June 2010 and on the 9th meeting of the CDM DNA Forum, which took place on 30 June to 1 July 2010 in Bonn.

Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

79. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

80. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the activities initiated in order to enhance the interaction and communication with CDM stakeholders and to enhance communication



with project participants without going through the DOEs, as mandated by the CMP and previous decisions of the Board. The following activities were organized since the last meeting of the Board:

- (a) CDM Roundtable, 12 June 2010, Bonn, Germany
- (b) New energy efficiency methodologies for SSC WG, 14 June 2010, Bonn, Germany
- (c) Designated National Authority Forum Training, 28 - 29 June 2010, Bonn, Germany
- (d) Accreditation Standards Meeting, 5 July 2010, Bonn, Germany
- (e) DOE/AIE Forum meeting, 6 July 2010, Bonn, Germany
- (f) VVM Workshop, 12 - 13 July 2010, Bonn Germany

Background: The Board requested the secretariat to initiate activities to enhance communication enhance the interaction and communication with CDM stakeholders, as mandated by the CMP and previous decisions of the Board. The Board, at its forty-ninth meeting, discussed ways and means to enhance the communication with project participants without going through the DOEs and a proposed approach presented by the secretariat. The Board further requested the secretariat to initiate activities in this area and to report to the Board on progress at a future meeting

81. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

(f) Other business

82. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

During the reporting period no communications were received from **Designated Operational Entities (DOEs)**.

The following communications from **Designated National Authorities (DNAs) and/or Governments** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-fifth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Fei Xie, China CDM Fund, received on 9 July 2010, relating to the Revisions of Methodology AM0001.
- (b) Letter from Mr. Chris Huhne, Department of Energy and Climate Change, United Kingdom, received on 2 July 2010, relating to potential over-issuance of credits for HFC-23 destruction projects in the CDM;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Shuhrat Ismailov, DNA of the Republic of Uzbekistan, received on 28 June 2010, relating to procedures for requests for registration approved in EB54.



The following communications from **other stakeholders** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-fifth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, PD Forum, received on 10 July 2010, relating to Chinese tariffs for wind power projects;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, PD Forum, received on 10 July 2010, relating to Chinese tariffs for hydropower projects;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, PD Forum, received on 10 July 2010, relating to draft review procedures;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, PD Forum, received on 10 July 2010, relating to the current timelines for requests for issuance and resource requirement;
- (e) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 9 July 2010, relating to the revision request AM_REV_0186 to revise AM0001 for PA 0003;
- (f) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 9 July 2010, relating to additionality doubts about PA 2705;
- (g) Letter from Mr. Jiwan Acharya, ADB, received on 9 July 2010, relating to the proposal for amendment in notification of EB 54, Annex 15;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Junfeng Li, CREIA, received on 9 July 2010, relating to Chinese wind power tariff;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Vikas Menghwani, EVI, received on 02 July 2010, relating to monitoring and verification of CDM projects;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Matt Spannagle, UNDP, received on 22 June 2010, relating to the new procedures of registration;
- (k) Letter from Mr. Christian A. Patrickson, Arauco Generación S.A., received on 9 June 2010, relating to the misinterpretation of requirements for request of renewal of crediting period (Project Activity 0259);
- (l) Letter from Mr. David Doniger, Climate Center Natural Resources Defense Council, received on 24 May 2010, relating to the request for review of the proposed renewal of crediting period for the Ulsan HFC-23 destruction project;
- (m) Letter from Mark W. Roberts, Environmental Investigation Agency, received on 20 May 2010, relating to request for a full review of the request of renewal of crediting period for PA 0003;
- (n) Letter from Mr. Antonio Elias, Service Energy Group, received on 20 May 2010, relating to problems with DOE;
- (o) Letter from Mr. Mark Bell, Climate Change Capital, received on 18 May 2010, relating to the reconsideration of rejected cases at EB52 (Project activities 2587, 2731 and 2733);
- (p) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, PD Forum, received on 17 May 2010, relating to provided input on the annotated agenda for EB54;
- (q) Letter from Ms. Eva Filzmoser, CDM Watch, received on 14 May 2010, relating to the review of the request for renewal of the crediting period of PA 0003;



- (r) Letter from John Green, Carbon Resource Management, received on 10 May 2010, relating to E+E policies.
 - (s) Letter from Mr. Rama Chandra Reddy, The World Bank, received on 7 May 2010, relating to the rejection of the request for issuance for project (0526);
 - (t) Letter from Mr. Mahesh Kumar, Ecologikol, received on 7 May 2010, relating to important issues related to the investment analysis of certain category of projects;
83. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6) Conclusion of the meeting

84. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the fifty-fifth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -