

**DRAFT****Annex 2****DRAFT WORKPROGRAMME OF THE METH PANEL
(Second semester of 2010)**

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
Methodological Tools	Revision of the Combined Tool or development of a new "Baseline Determination Tool"	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The secretariat finalizes the new draft "Baseline Determination Tool"; 2. The secretariat launches a call for public comments on the new tool; 3. The Meth Panel considers the draft tool in light of the received comments. 4. The secretariat organizes a workshop with the designated operational entities (DOE) and the methodologies developers; 5. The secretariat finalizes the tool for consideration of the Board. 	<p>Revised combined tool is expected to introduce more objective approaches to determine baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality.</p> <p>Alternatively; new baseline tool is expected to introduce more objective approaches to determine the baseline scenario.</p>	The initial draft diagram and concept of revised combined tool prepared by two members of Meth Panel, with support of the secretariat, was discussed and several comments were received from Meth Panel members. The further work will take into account these comments. Alternatively, a new "Baseline Determination Tool" may also be developed	EB 57 (It is expected that the work may take more time than until Meth Panel 46 which is to be held in October 2010. EB57, however will receive the report on the progress of the work
	Checking consistency of "Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Meth Panel to finalise the note prepared by secretariat based on 	Either change in methodologies or in "Tool to assess	The Meth Panel 45 to finalize the note prepared by the	EB 56

**DRAFT**

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
	update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period” with existing methodological requirements and taking steps based on that	<p>the analysis by the Meth Panel members on issues in consistency of 11 approved methodologies with the “Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”;</p> <p>2. The Meth Panel seeks guidance from the Board on whether the tool shall be revised or these issues shall be addressed through a methodology specific approach.</p>	the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period”	secretariat to report to the Board at its fifty-sixth meeting	

**DRAFT**

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
Methodological issues	Annex on complex industries for ACM0012 and consideration of NM0292 based on the decision on annex of ACM0012 Revision of ACM0012 in view of several methodological issues	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Board decided at its fifty-third meeting to launch a call for public inputs on a draft “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)” prepared by Meth Panel 43. Based on public comments submitted on the draft tool several issues and concerns were identified. Depending upon advice from the Board, Panel will take further actions. 	Revision of ACM0012	The Meth Panel members are reviewing the revised draft methodology ACM0012 which contains an annex on guidance related to specific issues of energy recovery in complex industries (e.g. iron & steel industry)	EB 57
	ACM0006: Deconsolidation in power only/cogeneration/heat only methodologies and include various requests for clarifications/EB requests in the revised versions	Development of the draft tool, taking concepts from approved methodology AM0070. MP46 will consider this draft tool.	One new methodology for cogeneration projects. The existing methodology AM0036 will have applicability of heat-only projects	Biomass-based Power (only) generation methodology is approved by the Board The Meth Panel 45 and Meth Panel 46 will consider public comments on the draft version of	EB 57



DRAFT

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
				methodology for biomass-based cogeneration projects and recommend final version to the Board	
Cross cutting issues	The Board's mandate on simplification and improving objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency of methodologies	Identification of approaches in development of the tool based on concepts from approved methodology AM0070.	Three improved methodologies having high impact on CDM projects development	The activity started after Meth Panel 42 and a first round of comments from Meth Panel members are collected. These comments are to be analyzed by the secretariat to revise the approved methodologies, before the next phase of work starts	EB57
	Prepare a booklet on summary of methodologies, explaining their applicability and key components	The Meth Panel 45 and 46 to work on revision of the tool.	Booklet summarising methodologies	The secretariat has made draft one-page summaries of all the methodologies. An external consultant is reviewing the	EB56

**DRAFT**

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
				work, and a professional artist is working on making diagrammatic presentation of methodological concepts in each methodology	
	Interaction with the other professional organizations on several issues, either related to methodologies, tools or those of cross cutting nature	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The Meth Panel is assessing approaches to evaluate the usability of “tool to calculate emission factor for electricity system”. Based on analysis, if required, the tool will be revised and recommended to the Board. 	Continuously updated list of issues for cooperation. Database of professional organizations. If relevant, MoU with several organizations	Activity is started by the secretariat	Continuous basis
	Revision of “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” to include the possibility of using dispatch data for ex-ante calculation of the EF	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The MP 45 to review the draft revision of ACM0012 prepared by secretariat. Meth Panel 46 to recommend the revised draft methodology for the consideration of the Board. 	Revised “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”	The initial concepts of revision are discussed in line with the request from the Board (EB 54, para 25)	EB57

**DRAFT**

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
	Development of new “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In order to facilitate work on the revision the MP44 requested the Board to agree (including by electronic decision) to launch a call for public inputs on the draft methodology after the secretariat completes editorial work on it. 2. After consideration of public inputs this methodology will be finalised and recommended to the Board by Meth Panel 46. 	New “Tool to determine the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”	<p>The Meth Panel intends to revise the tool, taking into account the comments received from stakeholders, with a view to recommend a final version for approval at its forty-sixth meeting</p> <p>Further advice is sought by the Meth Panel from the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting</p>	EB57 (This work may take more time than until EB57, depending upon the further course of actions needed)
	New “Tool on energy benchmarking of domestic appliances”	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Work on the development of default operating parameters; 2. Work on improvement of usability, applicability, consistency and objectivity of ten identified approved methodologies. 3. The revision of three methodologies in second 	New “Tool on energy benchmarking of domestic appliances”	Draft tool is being prepared by the secretariat	Will be considered by the Board in 2011 (first semester)



DRAFT

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
		semester; 4. Make a survey to collect the view of stakeholders; 5. Monitor the relevant parameters that demonstrate the improvement of the three methodologies.			
	The CMP's request (CMP.5/, Para. 34) : Revision of "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" in order to make it applicable for project activities hosted in countries with a paucity of relevant data (including consultation/workshop with DNAs, DOEs, experts and potential project proponents or launching a call for public input, if necessary)	1. The external review of the work done by the secretariat. 2. Based on the reviewer's comments, the secretariat to submit draft booklet for the Board's approval.	Revised "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system"	Various options to address this request are being considered	Will be considered by the Board in 2011 (first semester)
	The Board's request (EB50, Para. 24): Meth Panel to evaluate, based on feedback on the application of "tool to determine emission factor for electricity system" in the projects, whether there is a need to enhance the usability and	1. The secretariat to identify and continuously update the list of issues on which the interaction with professional organizations could be useful;	Revised "tool to determine emission factor for electricity system"	Various options to address this request are being considered	Will be considered by the Board in 2011 (first semester)



DRAFT

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
	attractiveness of the tool for the project proponents.	2. Prepare a database of contacts in the professional organizations;			
	The CMP's request (CMP.5/, Para. 35) Methods for inclusion in baseline and monitoring methodologies, as appropriate, a scenario where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host Party	Secretariat to prepare and present to Meth Panel an option paper to identify and address scenarios where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host Party, in methodologies that allow for it;	A possible tool for determination of baseline scenario where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host Party	Various options to address this request are being considered by the secretariat	Will be considered by the Board in 2011 (first semester)
	The CMP's request (CMP.5/, Para. 23) To prioritize , informed by an analysis of the potential use of methodologies and potential for emission reductions, the consideration and development of baseline and monitoring methodologies that are applicable to under-represented project activity types or regions, in order to improve	Prioritization of consideration of methodologies based on analysis of the potential use of them and their potential for emission reductions.			



DRAFT

Key priority category	Specific issue and link with other issues	Activities	Expected output	Current status	Expected end date
	the efficiency of operation of the methodologies processes;				
