



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Fifty-third meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
22–26 March 2010

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new A/R baseline and monitoring methodology for A/R CDM project activities
- Annex 2 - Draft guidelines for determining the eligibility criteria related to the demonstration of additionality for inclusion of CPAs in registered Programmes of Activities
- Annex 3 - Status of income and expenditure
- Annex 4 - Compliance with indicative timelines for different processes
- Annex 5 - Draft CDM Executive Board decision framework: decision hierarchy and document types issued by the Board

Please also refer to the following documents from previous meetings:

- Annex 12 of the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board – “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for registration”
- Annex 13 of the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board – “Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs”
- Annex 15 of the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board – “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for issuance”
- Annex 3 of the annotations of the fifty-second meeting of the Board - Draft working paper for policy discussion on the application of E+/E- policies in the assessment of additionality



PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities *
 - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry **
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

** For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1) Membership issues (including election of Chair and Vice-Chair)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may want to consider the information provided by the secretariat on potential repercussions of complying with the CMP request that members publish statements on conflict of interest.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board acknowledged the request by the CMP, through its decision 2/CMP.5 paragraph 15, to publish statements on conflict of interest and requested the secretariat to provide information on the consequences of the implementation of this request by CMP, bearing in mind issues related to privileges and immunities of Board members.

2) Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the fifty-third meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its fifty-third meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 01 March 2010 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3) Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the forty-seventh meeting of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Samuel Adeoye Adejuwon, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its forty-seventh meeting on 1-3 March 2010.

Case specific

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on 8 March 2010.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a report of the CDM-AP on the outcome of spot-checks agreed by the Board at its fifty-first meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board considered an oral update on progress of the spot-checks agreed by the Board at its fifty-first meeting and requested the CDM-AP to report on the outcome of these spot-checks at its next meeting.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the reports of the two appeal panels on the appeals submitted by two designated operational entities against the recommendation of the CDM-AP.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board considered two appeals submitted by two designated operational entity (DOE) against the recommendation of the CDM-AP. The Board, in accordance with the CDM accreditation procedure, decided to establish appeal panels and requested them to prepare a report for the consideration of the Board at its fifty-third meeting.

General guidance

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a progress update on the implementation of the policy framework to monitor performance of DOEs.

Background: The Board considered the first progress update on the implementation of the policy framework to monitor performance of DOEs. The Board decided to use the sub-categories and weights, as proposed, on a provisional basis and to reconsider them as a part of the full review of the framework. The Board requested the secretariat to conduct a thorough analysis of current performance of DOEs using these subcategories and weights, identify appropriate thresholds and to present the analysis of DOE performance, using both weighted and non-weighted data, at its next meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a draft revision of the guidelines for the preparation of the annual activity report by a DOE to the Board, contained in annex 1 to the report of the forty-seventh meeting of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CMP by its decision 2/CMP.5, paragraph 20, requested the Board to adopt a procedure for DOEs to provide the secretariat with information on the number of project activities under validation or verification per qualified auditor, and the time frames and average fees for the validation and verification of CDM project activities hosted in developing countries, divided by region.

The CMP also requested the secretariat, by its decision 2/CMP.5, paragraph 21, to prepare a compilation of this information without attribution for publication on the UNFCCC CDM website

The CMP further requested the Board, by its decision 2/CMP.5, paragraph 48 (b), to introduce a requirement that DOEs indicate the work they are undertaking on projects originated in countries hosting fewer than 10 registered CDM project activities, as part of their annual activity reports and ensure that this item be included in the subsequent synthesis report presented by the secretariat to the Executive Board for appropriate follow-up.

The CDM-AP took note of the CMP requests mentioned above and proposed a revision of the guidelines for the preparation of the annual activity report by a DOE to the Board.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the issue to establish an appeal process against DOEs by project participants (PPs).

Background: Due to lack of time, the Board at its fifty-first meeting, was unable to consider this issue and agreed to consider it at a future meeting. The Board may want to consider this matter along with the



appeal process against Board decisions, as requested by CMP at its fifth session, referred in paragraph 64 below.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an oral update on the establishment of the web-based communication facility for AEs/DOEs to provide their views, feedback and experiences with regard to application of requirements of the CDM-VVM in their validation and verification functions.

Background: The Board at its forty-fifth meeting requested the secretariat to establish a web-based communication facility for AEs/DOEs to provide their views, feedback and experiences with regard to application of requirements of the CDM-VVM in their validation and verification functions.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the Accreditation Panel, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: At its fifty-first meeting, the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to replace the outgoing members of the Accreditation Panel with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its fifty-third meeting.

At its fifty-second meeting, the Board further agreed to select an expert to replace resigned member of the CDM-AP at the next meeting of the Board, when considering the applications received from the call for experts launched at the fifty-first meeting.

13. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-eighth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held on 3–5 May 2010, as per annex 62 of the report of the fifty-second meeting of the Board.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the forty-third meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the Meth Panel, Mr. Lex de Jonge, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its forty-third meeting from 22 to 26 February 2010 in Bonn, Germany and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

15. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish :

(a) To approve methodologies based on the proposed new methodologies:

(i) NM0280 “Installation of zero energy water purifier in India”;

(ii) NM0322 “Provision of natural gas-based electricity to a single user from a new plant owned and operated by the power supplier” in order to consolidate it with the approved methodology AM0029 into the consolidated methodology referred to in paragraph (b) below;

(b) To approve the consolidated methodology ACM00XX “Construction of a new natural gas power plant supplying electricity to the grid or a single consumer”, based on the proposed new methodology NM0322 “Provision of natural gas-based electricity to a single user from a new plant



owned and operated by the power supplier” and the approved methodology AM0029 “Methodology for Grid Connected Electricity Generation Plants using Natural Gas” - Version 3”;

- (c) To withdraw the approved methodology AM0029 as it is fully incorporated in the consolidated methodology referred to in 15(b) above;
- (d) Not to approve the methodologies based on the proposed new methodologies:
 - (i) NM0295 “Installation of an energy-saving iron making plant in the northern part of Vietnam”; and
 - (ii) NM0301 “Production of a cement extender from slag for increasing the blend in cement production and the increase in energy efficiency in the production of ferromanganese alloys in electric arc furnaces through the recovery of metal from the slag” that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

Background: The recommendations agreed by the Meth Panel are contained in paragraphs 7 and 8 of the report of the forty-third meeting of the Meth Panel available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPmeetings>>.

The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies:

- (a) NM0302 “Emission reductions in the cement production facilities of Holcim Ecuador S.A.”;
- (b) NM0312 “REFAP HBIO Project”;
- (c) NM0313 “Air separation using cryogenic energy of LNG”;
- (d) NM0320 “Modal shift transportation for less intensive GHG emission”;
- (e) NM0321 “Effective use of the waste gas emitted from ammonia production plant”;
- (f) NM0325 “Efficient energy generation using biomass residues along with improvement in demand side energy efficiency in a Sugar Mill”;
- (g) NM0327 “Reducing losses of SF6 in electricity transmission and distribution equipment manufacture”.

The Meth Panel will further consider these methodologies at its next meetings.

Responses to requests for clarification

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0173, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the panel report (see table in paragraph 10 of the MP 43 report). In addition, the Board may also wish to take note that the Meth Panel commended the DOE (TUEV NORD CERT GmbH) on pointing to an important issue, raised in the request for clarification AM_CLA_0173.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

**Responses to requests for revision**

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table in paragraph 12 of MP 43 report):

- (a) To accept request AM_REV_0178 concerning revision to the approved methodology AM0071. The revision expands the applicability of the approved methodology to cover small commercial refrigeration appliances;
- (b) To partially accept request AM_REV_0179 concerning revision to the approved methodology ACM0015. The revision clarifies the applicability of the methodology to Greenfield plants and modifies the equations (4) and (13) with respect to consistent use of units of parameters;
- (c) To partially accept request AM_REV_0173 concerning revision of assessment and data collection on manufacturer benchmark and market benchmark;
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0181 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0012 dealing with a portion of waste gas captured for heat generation prior to the implementation of the project activity.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>.

The Meth Panel could not finalize its responses to the requests for revision AM_REV_0141, AM_REV_0145, AM_REV_0149, AM_REV_0157, AM_REV_0169, AM_REV_0172, AM_REV_0177, and AM_REV_0180 and will continue the consideration of these requests at its next meetings.

Revisions to approved methodologies

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the following approved methodologies:

- (a) *ACM0013 “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology”*: The revision ensures that emission reductions are limited to those resulting from the higher efficiency of the power generation technology used in the project activity as compared to the baseline. The panel highlighted that the current version of the methodology implicitly allows to claim emission reductions in situations where the project power plant has the same efficiency and uses the same fuel type as in the baseline power plant. The panel was of the opinion that the revision should be immediately effective. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 3 of the MP 43 report;
- (b) *ACM0015 “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for project activities using alternative raw materials that do not contain carbonates for clinker production in cement kilns”*: The revision restricts the application of the methodology to existing plants only, modifies equations (4) and (13) in order to correct the units of the involved parameters, and changes the definitions of some parameters in order to make their identification clearer. The panel was of the opinion that the revision should be immediately effective. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 4 of the MP 43 report;
- (c) *AM0070 “Manufacturing of energy efficient domestic refrigerators”*: The revision: (i) includes one additional option for the required evidences to ensure that produced refrigerators are not exported (i.e. VAT documentation); (ii) adjusts the baseline emissions procedure in order to account for changes of the standard used to calculate rated electricity consumption; and (iii) allows the option of including imported refrigerators in the calculation of the market benchmark. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 5 of the MP 43 report;



(d) *AM0071 “Manufacturing and servicing of domestic refrigeration appliances using a low GWP refrigerant”*: The revision expands the applicability of the methodology to “small commercial refrigeration appliances” in addition to the already applicable “domestic refrigeration appliances”. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 6 of the MP 43 report.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>.

General guidance and tools

19. **► Action**: The Board may wish to approve a revision of the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”. The revision was developed in response to the request by the Board reflected in paragraph 28 of its fiftieth meeting. It makes the dispatch data analysis a preferred option for the calculation of the operating margin emission factor. The draft revised tool is contained in annex 7 of the MP 43 report.

Background: In response to the request by the Board reflected in paragraph 28 of its fiftieth meeting, the Meth Panel recommended the Board to approve a revision to the approved “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”. The draft revision makes the dispatch data analysis a preferred option for the calculation of the operating margin emission factor.

The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel could not finalize its responses to the following requests from the Board:

(a) *Overall revision of ACM0006 “Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power and heat plants”* following the request contained in paragraph 23 of the report of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board;

(b) *Revision of the “Combined tool to demonstrate additionality and identify the baseline scenario”* following the request contained in paragraph 35 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Board.: The Meth Panel requested the Board to take note that it considered the issues raised by the Board with regard to the revision of the Combined Tool (EB 48, para 35).

The panel will continue the consideration of the requests at its next meeting.

20. **► Action**: The Board may wish to launch a call for public inputs on the draft “Tool to calculate the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”, which was prepared by the Meth Panel in response to paragraph 36 (a) of decision 2/CMP.4. The panel recommended that the Board may wish to place this tool on the UNFCCC CDM website with an intention to receive the inputs from the public, before being finally considered by the Board. The draft tool is contained in annex 8 of the MP 43 report.

Background: The Meth Panel concluded the consideration of the draft “Tool to calculate the weighted average cost of capital (WACC)”, in response to paragraph 36.(a) of decision 2/CMP.4. The WACC is required in an investment comparison analysis or a benchmark analysis for the purposes of determining additionality and/or selecting the baseline scenario. The tool can also be used to determine the cost of equity or the cost of debt. The panel recommends that the Board places this tool on the UNFCCC CDM website with an intention to receive the inputs from the public, before being finally considered by the Board.

21. **► Action**: The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the Meth Panel, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: At its fifty-first meeting, the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to replace the outgoing members of the Meth Panel with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its fifty-third meeting.



22. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-third meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 19 to 23 April 2010, as per annex 62 of the report of the fifty-second meeting of the Board.

(c) **Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities**

23. **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the twenty-seventh meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. José Domingos Miguez, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its the twenty-seventh meeting on 17 to 19 February 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

Case specific

24. **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by the public, and the recommendation of the A/R WG, the Board may wish to approve the proposed new methodology AR-NM0036: “Afforestation and reforestation of land subject to polyculture farming”. The methodology is applicable to A/R CDM project activities that are implemented on areas of land that contain polycultures, possibly including perennial tree crops and/or fallow periods with woody regrowth. The draft methodology is contained in annex 1 of the report of the 27th meeting of the A/R WG.

Background: The final recommendations, proposed by the A/R WG for the consideration by the CDM Executive Board (the Board), are available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/ARpropmeth>>.

The Board may wish to take note that A/R WG was not able to conclude its consideration of the new methodology AR-NM0037: “Afforestation/reforestation activities on degraded agricultural land subject to abandonment process” due to a late submission of technical clarifications by the project participants. The group agreed to continue the consideration of the methodology at its next meeting.

Responses to requests for clarifications

25. **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarification AR_AM_CLA_0008 and AR_AM_CLA_0009 as provided by the A/R WG and referred to in the group’s report.

Background: The A/R WG considered the requests for clarifications related to the application of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies. All requests submitted to the A/R WG are made publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/ARmethodologies/clarifications>>.

26. **Action:** The Board may wish to further clarify the guidance provided in paragraph 33 of the report of the 39th meeting of the Board by stating that:

- (a) Until a DNA provides clarification that the definition of forest as reported by them to the Board includes palm (trees) and/or bamboos it shall be deemed that the definition does not include palms (trees) and bamboos;
- (b) Any clarifications from the DNA regarding the inclusion of palm (trees) and/or bamboos shall not retroactively affect the A/R project activities for which a Letter of Approval was issued.

The above guidance applies to small- and large-scale A/R project activities.



Background: The A/R WG considered the request for clarification SSC_AR_004 and agreed to request the Board to further clarify the guidance provided in paragraph 33 of the report of the 39th meeting of the Board by stating that until a DNA provides clarification that the definition of forest as reported by them to the Board includes palm (trees) and/or bamboos it shall be deemed that the definition does not include palms (trees) and bamboos. Additionally, the A/R WG agreed to request the Board to clarify that any clarifications from the DNA regarding the inclusion of palm (trees) and/or bamboos shall not retroactively affect the A/R project activities for which a Letter of Approval was issued. The request for clarification SSC_AR_004 submitted to the A/R WG is made publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCAR/clarifications>>.

General guidance

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the issues related to inclusion of an A/R project activity as an A/R CPA under the A/R PoA.

Background: The A/R WG considered the request for clarification of issues related to inclusion of an A/R project activity as an A/R CPA under the A/R PoA as submitted to the Chair of the A/R WG. The group was of the opinion that if an A/R project activity was started after 10 December 2005 and complies with the eligibility criteria for inclusion as an A/R CPA under the A/R PoA, then the project activity may be included as an A/R CPA under the A/R PoA and its crediting period starts at the starting date of the project activity. The opinion follows the guidance on the starting date of the project activity and the starting date of the crediting period for A/R project activities as contained in paragraph 64 of the report of the 21st meeting of the Board.

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised “Procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new A/R baseline and monitoring methodology for A/R CDM project activities”. The draft revised procedure is contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: Due to time constraints, the Board at its fifty-first meeting, could not consider the draft revised procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology, procedures on requests for revisions and requests for clarifications of approved methodologies as well their related forms. The Board agreed to consider this issue at its next meeting.

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the A/R WG, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: At its fifty-first meeting, the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to replace the outgoing members of the A/R WG with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its fifty-third meeting.

30. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-eighth meeting of the A/R WG will be held from 16–18 June 2010.

31. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for the twenty-sixth round of submissions of proposed new A/R methodologies is 14 June 2010. The Board also reminded project participants that new baseline and monitoring methodologies could be submitted at any time prior to this deadline.

**(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Mr. Peer Stiansen on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its twenty-fourth meeting on 16-19 February 2010 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘SSC-III.XX Emission reductions through recovery of spent sulphuric acid’, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: In response to the submission SSC-NM032-rev, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology. The methodology is for recovering and recycling of sulphuric acid from ‘spent sulphuric acid’ generated from manufacturing industries such as chemicals, dyes, pigments, drugs production. By recovering sulphuric acid, neutralization of spent acid with hydrated lime or lime stone and the associated CO₂ emissions in the existing facility are avoided. The energy released during recovery of acid is used for heat/electricity generation to displace fossil fuel thereby resulting in further emission reductions.

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘SSC-III.XX Recovery and recycling of materials from solid wastes’, as contained in annex 4 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: In response to SSC-NM043, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology that covers activities for recovery and recycling of high density polyethylene (HDPE) and low density polyethylene (LDPE) materials in municipal solid wastes (MSW). HDPE and LDPE plastics recovered from MSW in the recycling facilities are further processed in manufacturing facilities to produce intermediate and finished products e.g., plastic resin, plastic bag to displace production of virgin HDPE and LDPE materials in dedicated facilities thereby resulting in energy savings and emission reduction.

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to open a call for public and expert inputs on specific aspects of small-scale energy efficiency exterior lighting methodology and small-scale domestic solar water heating (SDWH) system methodology as listed in annex 7 and annex 9 respectively of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG.



Background: As requested by the Board at its fifty first and fifty second meetings and taking into account the work plan of the SSC WG approved by the Board, the SSC WG commenced work on development of top down methodologies (see annex 15 of EB 52 and annex 11 of EB 51) in the priority sectors defined by the Board. The SSC WG prepared an initial draft of a small-scale energy efficiency exterior lighting methodology as in annex 6 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG. In addition, the SSC WG is considering proposing modifications to the existing CFL methodology ‘AMS-II.J Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies’ and the general energy efficiency methodology ‘AMS-II.C Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies’ including the addition of new lighting technologies. The SSC WG has also prepared an initial draft of a small-scale domestic solar water heating (SDWH) system methodology as contained in annex 8 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG. The SSC WG recommends that the Board may consider opening a call for public and expert inputs on specific aspects of these methodologies.

Revisions of approved methodologies:

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.D’ as contained in annex 1 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: In response to submission SSC_384, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision to AMS-III.D to include an option for a longer period of storage of manure after removal from the animal barns, including procedures to calculate project emissions when manure is stored for periods longer than 24 hours, which are consistent with the methods of AM0073. Further as requested by the Board, the SSC WG continued its work on exploring the use of default operating parameters in small-scale methodologies (see EB 47, paragraph 66) and agreed to recommend a default value 60% for methane content in biogas for optional use in the approved methodologies AMS-III.R and AMS-III.D. The default value was computed based on extensive measured data compiled from a number of AMS-III.D projects in addition to peer reviewed published literature on the topic (a conservative value was chosen deducting one times the standard deviation from the mean value of methane content).

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of AMS-III.H as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.H based on SSC_376, SSC_360, SSC_333 and SSC_324 to clarify among others the monitoring requirements of biogas flow rate and default uncertainty factors to use in baseline and project emission calculations.

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-I.E as contained in annex 5 of the report of the twenty-fourth meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: The SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-I.E to include the changes below which are consistent with the changes to AMS-II.G approved by the Board at its fifty-first meeting:

- (a) Further clarification on the eligible technology/measures;
- (b) Default efficiency factors for baseline cook stoves;
- (c) Procedures for sampling;
- (d) Revised procedures for quantity of woody biomass that can be considered as non-renewable;
and
- (e) Clarifications as to which leakage requirements are appropriate for projects versus PoAs.

General guidance



39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the combination of approved methodologies AMS-III.R with AMS-I.C for application in CPAs of a programme of activities (PoA).

Background: In response to the request to allow the use of AMS-III.R in conjunction with AMS-I C in Hunan Household Biogas Digester PoA², the SSC WG at its twenty fourth meeting agreed that the proposed combination of methodologies will be sufficient to address all project emissions and leakages that may occur as a result of the implementation of the CPA. The SSC WG further agreed to recommend to the Board to approve the proposed combination of these methodologies as the two methodologies are natural combinations i.e. the applicability conditions of AMS III.R require that it is always applied in conjunction with AMS-I C.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the Small-Scale Working Group, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: At its fifty-first meeting, the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to replace the outgoing members of the Small-Scale Working Group with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its fifty-third meeting.

41. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that as requested by it at its forty-seventh meeting, the Secretariat organized a side event at CMP.5 entitled ‘Energy efficiency under the CDM: Lessons learned, opportunities and challenges’. The side event aimed at achieving better understanding of the methodological constraints on the application of small-scale end-use energy efficiency methodologies. The SSC WG at its twenty fourth meeting took stock of the feedback received at this event and agreed to continue to work on these methodologies with a view to improve their usability (see SSC WG 24 report).

42. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that as requested by it, the SSC WG commenced its work to further clarify options for monitoring of renewable biomass in SSC methodologies as detailed in paragraph 49 of the twenty fourth meeting of the SSC WG.

43. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the cases SSC-NM036, SSC-NM039 and SSC-NM045 were deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board³ by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 24 report).

44. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-fifth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 27 - 30 April 2010.

(e) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of programme of activities.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>) indicates the status of programme of activities submitted for registration as a single CDM project activity.

² This request was received in accordance to ‘Procedures for approval of the application of multiple methodologies to a programme of activities’ (Annex 31, EB 47).

³ In accordance with paragraph 13 of the procedures for the submission and consideration of a proposed new small scale methodology.

*Case specific*

46. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the request for review of the one request for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Programme of activities for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
2956	Uganda Municipal Waste Compost Programme	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the case listed in table 1 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the programme of activities as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of this request for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for this case.

General guidance

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further consider the “Guidelines for determining the eligibility criteria related to the demonstration of additionality for inclusion of CPAs in registered Programmes of Activities”, as contained in annex 2 to this annotated agenda.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-first meeting and fifty-second meeting, considered the draft “Guideline for the demonstration of additionality in the context of PoAs”. On the basis of the discussion undertaken the secretariat has considered revised approaches to dealing with this issue and will present a progress report on this work. In this context the Board may wish to consider the “Guidelines for determining the eligibility criteria related to the demonstration of additionality for inclusion of CPAs in registered Programmes of Activities”.

(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

Case specific

49. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 19 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 2: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
2522	Yangxin Huaxin Cement 18MW Waste Heat Recovery as Power Project	?	?	?
2526	Shandong Kenli Biomass Generation Project	?	?	?
2625	Yunnan Maguan Laqi Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2702	China Sichuan Province Liuping Hydropower Project	?	?	?



CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-third meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
2808	Sichuan Heishui Changde 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2840	Datong River Tianwanggou Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2862	Sichuan Luding Moxi 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2869	Yunnan Diqing Jisha Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2943	N2O reduction project at the WNA I nitric acid plant of Deepak Fertilisers & Petrochemicals Corporation Ltd. (“Deepak”), India	?	?	?
2948	Installation of Natural Gas based package cogeneration systems at industrial facilities in Gujarat by Gujarat Gas Company Limited (GGCL), India.	?	?	?
2961	Sichuan Fengyanbao 44MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2970	TBEC Tha Chang Biogas Project	?	?	?
2972	Eryuan Huian Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2973	Hunan Zaoshi Hydropower Project	?	?	?
3002	São Domingos II Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
3008	Nanjing Jinling Grid Connected Natural Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project	?	?	?
3013	Hebei Baoding biomass combined stoves and heater (BCSH) Project 1	?	?	?
3044	Jianli Kaidi Biomass Power Project	?	?	?
3048	Cimentos do Mozambique – Matola Gas Company Fuel Switch Project	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 2), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 2), or undertake a review (case C in table 2). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. It should be noted that project activity ““100 MW Malana – II, Hydro – Electric Power Project (Malana – II HEP)” at Kullu district of Himachal Pradesh State, India, by M/s Everest Power Private Limited” (2760) has also received three requests for review, however the project participants and DOE (SGS) have withdrawn this request for registration.

50. **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for 35 project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-second meeting.

Table 3: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB52

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1585	Fujian Shouning Liuchai 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2569	Reforestation as Renewable Source of Wood Supplies for Industrial Use in Brazil	?	?	?
2598	Huaneng Jilin Tongyu Phase II Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2703	Angang Coke Dry Quenching Project	?	?	?
2713	Bagasse based cogeneration project of Nizam Deccan Sugars Limited (NDSL)	?	?	?
2741	Wuxi Hydropower Project, Qiyang County, Hunan Province	?	?	?
2761	Conversion of existing open cycle gas turbine to combined cycle at Guaracachi power station, Santa Cruz, Bolivia	?	?	?
2774	Heilongjiang Mudanjiang Xiaoguokui Wind Power Project	?	?	?



CDM – Executive Board

Fifty-third meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
2775	Heilongjiang Fuyuan Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2776	Heilongjiang Dabaishan Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2780	Jidong Cement Panshi Co., ltd. 15 MW Cement Waste heat Recovery Project	?	?	?
2796	Hunan Yongzhou Hydro Bundled Project	?	?	?
2799	Hunan Shatian Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
2812	Yunnan Lincang City Nanlinghe 1st level Small-scale Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2824	Sichuan Tianshengqiao 12MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2828	Yunnan Kunming Dongchuan Xiaoqing River 7th Level Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2830	Liaoning Beipiao Beitazi I Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2839	Chongqing Pengshui Sanjiangkou Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2846	Fenglin Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2847	Chongqing Zhongliang Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
2849	Jinping Ladeng River Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2850	Sichuan Muli River Dashawan Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2851	Jidong Cement Jilin Co., Ltd 6 MW Cement Waste Heat Recovery Project	?	?	?
2852	Yunnan Saizhu Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2861	Shuanghekou 16.6MW Hydropower Project in Chongqing City, P.R. China	?	?	?
2865	Hebei Chengde Yudaokou Windfarm 48MW project	?	?	?
2868	Sichuan Lushan Dachuan River Cascade Hydropower Bundle Project	?	?	?
2879	Yunnan Province Luxi City Wanma River 2nd Level Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2902	Changning Kawan 18.9MW Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
2907	Chibi Huaxin Cement 7.5 MW Waste Heat Recovery as Power Project	?	?	?
2908	Tarim Oilfield Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization Project	?	?	?
2909	Sichuan Heishui Zhawo No.1 Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2926	Shimian Haiyang Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2933	Hunan Tongdao Yaolaitan 5.55MW Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
2986	Xincun and Wenzhu Bundled Small Hydropower Project in Zhaoping County, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China	?	?	?

Background: At its fifty-second meeting, the Board decided to place the 35 project activities identified in table 3 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 3), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 3), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 3). Project activity “GHG emission reductions through waste gas based power generation at Visa Steel Limited” (2369) was also placed under review by the Board at its fifty-second meeting, however the project participants and DOE (SGS) have withdrawn this request for registration.

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 4 below.

Table 4: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a request for review

Ref No.	Title
2662	ID08-WWP-11, Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Jambi, Indonesia

Background: At a previous meeting, following consideration by the Board of the request for review of request for registration of the project activity listed in table 4 above, the Board agreed that the project activity could be registered following corrections. The DOE has submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 10 of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.

Registration procedure/General guidance

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a draft “working paper for policy discussion on the application of E+/E- policies in the assessment of additionality”, as contained in annex 3 to the annotations of the fifty-second meeting⁴.

Background: Due to time constraints, the Board at its fifty-second meeting did not consider the draft working paper. The Board at its fifty-first meeting requested the secretariat to draft a “Guideline for the consideration of the E+/E- policies in the demonstration of additionality” for consideration at a future meeting. This document elaborates the current understanding of the Board’s requirements to serve as a basis for a policy discussion which will inform the secretariat in drafting the requested Guidelines.

53. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for registration”, as contained in annex 12 to the annotations of the fifty-first meeting⁵.

Background: Due to time constraints, the Board at its last meetings, did not consider the “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for registration”, and agreed to consider these proposed procedure at its next meeting.

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the information note regarding the “Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs” as contained in annex 13 to the annotations of the fifty-first meeting⁶.

Background: Due to the time constraints, the Board at its fifty-first and fifty-second meeting, did not consider the information note regarding the "Policy options to assess grid emission factors published by DNAs" and agreed to consider these options at its next meeting.

55. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the inputs received in response to the call for inputs from stakeholders on the proposals for the new draft “Procedures for requests for registration of a proposed CDM project activity” and the new draft “Procedures for review for requests for registration”.

Background: The Board considered the draft “Procedures for requests for registration of a proposed CDM project activity” and the draft “Procedures for review for requests for registration” and requested the secretariat to launch a call for inputs from stakeholders on the proposals which had been discussed by the Board. The Board will consider comments received at its next meeting. Due to the proximity of the next

⁴ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/052/eb52annagan3.pdf>>

⁵ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/051/eb51annagan12.pdf>>

⁶ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/051/eb51annagan13.pdf>>



Board meeting, the launch for public comments will be open for three weeks, from 12 February 2010 to 5 March 2010.

(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

56. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>), indicates the status of request for issuance.

Case specific

57. **► Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 9 requests for issuance listed in table 5.

Table 5: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0030	Essaouira wind power project	49861	?	?	?
0298	4.5 MW Biomass (Low density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of Maavalli Power Plant Pvt Ltd.	8191	?	?	?
0463	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project MX06-B-32, Aguascalientes and Guanajuato, Mexico	2807	?	?	?
0464	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project MX06-B-33, Jalisco And San Luis Potosi Mexico	7852	?	?	?
0745	Demand side energy conservation and reduction Measures at ITC Tribeni Unit	8848	?	?	?
0768	4 MW renewable energy project by Sri Kalyani Agro Products & Industries Ltd.	21130	?	?	?
0941	Sudokwon Landfill Gas Electricity generation Project (50MW)	420960	?	?	?
1487	Inner Mongolia Wudaogou 50.25MW Wind Power Project	139482	?	?	?
1926	Fuxin CMM/CBM Utilization Project in Liaoning Province	212531	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 5 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 5), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 5) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 5). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

58. **► Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the 4 project activities listed in table 6, which the Board placed under review at its fifty-second meeting.

Table 6: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB 52

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0526	Indocement Blended Cement Project	79470	?	?	?
0530	ARAPUtanga Centrais ELébricas S. A. - ARAPUCEL - Small Hydroelectric Power Plants Project	167151	?	?	?
0806	Demand side energy efficiency programmes for specific technologies at ITC Bhadrachalam pulp and paper making facility in India	43989	?	?	?
1483	Energeticos Jaremar – Biogas recovery from Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) ponds, and heat & electricity generation, Honduras	37684	?	?	?
1629	Inner Mongolia Dali Phase V 49.5MW Wind Power Project	82111	?	?	?

Background: At its fifty-second meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 6 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 6), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 6), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in Table 6).

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request for permission to resubmit a previously rejected request for issuance listed in table 7 below.

Table 7: Requests for resubmission of previously rejected requests for issuance

Ref No.	Title	Monitoring period
0453	NorthWind Bangui Bay Project	26 Aug. 2007 - 25 Aug. 2008

Background: In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board, in cases where the reasons for rejection can be addressed by means of a revised verification report, based on a revised monitoring report, if appropriate, the DOE may request, explaining the reasons, to be permitted to submit a revised request for issuance for the same monitoring period covered by the rejection.

General guidance

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the inputs received in response to the call for inputs from stakeholders on the proposals for the draft “Procedures for Requests for Issuance of Certified Emission Reductions” and the draft “Procedures for review for requests for issuance”.

Background: The Board considered the draft “Procedures for Requests for Issuance of Certified Emission Reductions” and the draft “Procedures for review for requests for issuance” and requested the secretariat to launch a call for inputs from stakeholders on the proposals which had been discussed by the Board. The Board will consider comments received at its next meeting. Due to the proximity of the next Board meeting, the launch for public comments will be open for three weeks, from 12 February 2010 to 5 March 2010.

61. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Procedure for withdrawal of requests for issuance” as contained in annex 15 to the annotations of the fifty-first meeting of the Board⁷.

⁷ <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/051/eb51annagan15.pdf>>



Background: Noting an increase in the number and types of requests for withdrawal of request for issuance, the Board at its forty-seventh meetings requested the secretariat to prepare a draft procedure for withdrawal of requests for issuance for consideration at a future meeting. The Board will consider this draft procedure at its fifty-first meeting.

4) Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and (b) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 3 of these annotations.

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and request the secretariat to continue to report on all processes.

5) Other matters

(a) Guidance by CMP

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the issues of appeals, as requested by CMP through its decision 2/CMP.5 (paragraph 42 (a) and (b)).

Background: The CMP through its decision 2/CMP5 (paragraphs 42 (a) and (b) and 43), requested the Board to establish, following consultation with stakeholders, procedures for considering appeals that are brought by stakeholders directly involved, defined in a conservative manner, in the design, approval or implementation of clean development mechanism project activities or proposed CDM project activities.

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the status of the request made by the CMP to the Board through the decision 2/CMP.5.

Background: The Board considered the CMP decision 2/CMP.5 “Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism” and agreed on a tentative workprogramme to deliver the requests mandated by the CMP, which structures the guidance, mandates, activities and timelines. The Board further agreed to consider the progress made in the implementation of this workplan at its next meeting.

(b) Transparency matters

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an oral update on status of activities related to classification of decisions and the draft revision of the definitions of document types issued by the Board and definitions and decision framework of the Board, as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its fifty-first meeting, requested the secretariat to complete this work on the Catalogue of Decisions and to disseminate information on accessibility of documents of the Board. The secretariat will provide an update of these activities.

(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the update by the secretariat on the regional African meeting of the CDM DNA Forum held on 1-2 March in Nairobi, Kenya.



Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

68. ► *Action:* The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting. At its last meeting the Chair of the Board requested the Chair of DOE/AE Forum to address the issue of timelines of validation and verification of projects.

69. ► *Action:* The Board may wish to consider the responses of the DOE Forum.

Background: The Board at its fifty-first meeting requested the Chair of the Forum to bring its various responses and questions, including performance against timelines, raised by the Board to the attention of the forum. The Board requested that the DOE responses on their measures to ensure compliance with the validation and verification timelines and information on their performance against them be made available to the next meeting of the Board.

(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

70. ► *Action:* The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(f) Other business

71. ► *Action:* The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public since the last meeting and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

During the reporting period the following communications from **Designated National Authorities (DNAs) and/or national Government** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-third meeting:

- (a) Letter from Ms. Loreta G. Ayson, Philippine Department of Energy, received on 9 February 2010, relating to difficulties in finding a DOE for a CDM Programme of Activities.



The following communications from **Designated Operational Entities (DOEs)** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-third meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Stein Bjornar Jensen, DNV Climate Change Services, received on 6 February 2010, relating to the revision of AM0034 as proposed by the Meth Panel at its 42nd meeting.

The following communications from **other stakeholders** have been sent to the Board prior to its fifty-third meetings:

- (a) Letter from Mr. John Green, Carbon Resource Management, received on 8 March 2010, relating to concerns of gaming in setting tariffs;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Andrew Prag, Project Developer Forum, received on 8 March 2010, relating to the evaluation of electricity tariffs in proposed CDM activities;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Andrew Prag, Project Developer Forum, received on 8 March 2010, relating to the methodology revision procedure and AM0034;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Urs Brodmann and Mr. Mischa Classen, First Climate, received on 8 March 2010, relating to the proposal by Meth Panel to revise ACM0015 to constrain its applicability;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Sergio Lozano Garcia, Quimobasicos, S.A. de C.V, received on 8 March 2010, relating to the request for clarification AM_CLA_164;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Kazuo Yokoama, New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization - NEDO, received on 5 March 2010, relating to the appeal of EB decision not to register the project activity 2420;
- (g) Letter from Ms. Nuria Zanzottera, MGM international, received on 2 March 2010, relating to a clarification on the definition of the project start date;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Rakesh K. Gupta, Lanco Kondapalli Power Lvt Lt, received on 9 February 2010, relating to a response on AM_REV_0152;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Lalit Kumar Singhania, Indus Technical and Financial Consultants, Ltd., received on 8 February 2010, relating to a request for clarification about the applicability of ACM0012;
- (j) Letter from Ms. Joan McNaughton, Alstom Power, received on 8 February 2010, relating to a request to consolidate AM0061 and NM0305;
- (k) Letter from Mr. Manish Dabkara, EnKing International, received on 7 February 2010, relating to a clarification of guidelines for reporting and validation of plant load factors;
- (l) Letter from Mr. Lalit Kumar Singhania, Indus Technical and Financial Consultants Ltd., received on 3 February 2010, relating to a request for correction and re-interpretation of the word “existing facility” in ACM0012 and AMS III.Q;
- (m) Letter from Mr. Rama Chandra Reddy, The World Bank, received on 27 January 2010, relating to a request for clarification on the procedures for registration of a Programme of Activities;



- (n) Letter from Ms. Mary Gomez Torres, CAF, received on 27 January 2010, relating to the clarification of paragraph 38, EB50 relating to Afforestation/Reforestation methodology;
 - (o) Letter from Mr. Jiwan Acharya, Asian Development Bank, received on 26 January 2010, relating to a request to extend the validation deadline for a Programme of Activities;
 - (p) Letter from Mr. A. Prathap Reddy, Balaji Amines Ltd., received on 26 January 2010, relating to the clarification on applicability of AMS III.B for a project under prior consideration.
72. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6) Conclusion of the meeting

73. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the fifty-third meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
