

### **CDM - Executive Board**



EB 52 Proposed Agenda - Annotations Addendum Annex 5

### **DRAFT**

F-CDM-Nmex\_Sect



# CDM: Proposed new methodology expert form - second review (Sectoral / industry expert)

(Version 01) (To be used by methodology lead experts providing desk review for a proposed new methodology)	
Name of expert responsible for completing and submitting this form	
Related F-CDM-NM document ID number	
Note to reviewers: Please provide recommendation methodologies based on an assessment of CDM-N draft CDM-PDD, desk reviews and public input. Plarguments and expert judgements are substantiate	NM and of its application in sections A to C of the lease ensure that the form is completed and that
History of submission (to be communicated to reviewers by UNFCCC Secretariat):	
Note to reviewers: If the methodology is a result associated Meth Panel recommendations.	ubmission, please read the previous version and
Title of the proposed new baseline methodology:	
Evaluation of the proposed new methodology by the desk reviewer	
A. Changes needed to improve the methodology	
(1) Outline any changes needed to improve	the methodology:
(a) Major changes:	
(b) Minor changes:	

### B. Details of the evaluation of the proposed new methodology

Evaluate each section of CDM-NM. Please provide your comments section by section:

- (1) Applicability conditions
- (a) Explain whether the guidance is provided to check the compliance of key applicability conditions of methodology with the project activity. What are the practical methods those can be used by project proponents to demonstrate the compliance and DOE to check it?
- (b) Describe the services provided by the CDM project activities and the technology, if any.
- (2) Definition of the project boundary

Version 01/ ## 2010 Page 1 of 3



#### CDM - Executive Board



EB 52 Proposed Agenda - Annotations Addendum Annex 5

### DRAFT

### F-CDM-Nmex Sect

(a) Indicate whether the project boundary adequately covers all the key components/ emissions of project activity and baseline situation. If not, what further could be included?

### (3) Determining the baseline scenario and demonstrating additionality

- (a) What is your opinion on the profitability or barriers presented for project activity covered under the CDM-PDD? Do you agree that the project activity under this methodology can be proven to be a "non-business-as-usual" case based on barriers or investment analysis presented? Is this kind of project activity a common practice? If yes, in which part of the world?
- (b) What are the alternative technological options to realize the same services that are provided by the technology identified by the methodology.

## (4) Methodological basis for calculating baseline emissions and emission reductions Baseline emissions

Offer your comments on technical and practical aspects of the baseline emissions covered under the methodology?

### **Project emissions**

Offer your comments on technical and practical aspects of the project emissions covered under the methodology?

### Emission reduction

Offer your comments, whether the technology referred under the methodology can lead to emission reduction as stated under PDD? What are the short-term or long-term risks and uncertainties related to the application of technology for the purpose of emission reduction?

### (5) Leakage

What are the upstream and downstream implications of the use of technology identified under project activity?

### (6) Monitoring Methodology

What is your opinion about the monitoring system/ instrumentation suggested under the methodology? Do you suggest alternative monitoring methods or instrumentation?

Version 01/ ## 2010 Page 2 of 3





### **CDM – Executive Board**



EB 52 Proposed Agenda - Annotations Addendum Annex 5

### **DRAFT**

F-CDM-Nmex Sect

(7) Project/ technology specific questions	
(a)	
(b)	
(c)	
(8) Any other comments	
(a) State which other source(s) of information (i.e. other than documentation on this proposed methodology available on the UNFCCC CDM web site) have been used by you in evaluating this methodology. Please provide specific references:	
(b) Indicate any further comments:	
Signature of desk reviewer  Date: / /	
Information to be completed by the secretariat	
F-CDM-Nmex_2d doc id number	
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	
Date of transmission to the Meth Panel and EB	
Date of posting in the UNFCCC CDM web site	

Version 01/ ## 2010 Page 3 of 3