



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

ADDENDUM

Fifty-second meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
8 - 12 February 2010

CONTENTS

I. ADDENDUM TO THE ANNOTATED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology for large scale CDM project activities
- Annex 2 - Draft revised form CDM: Proposed new methodology assessment form (F-CDM-NMas)
- Annex 3 - Draft revised form CDM: Proposed new methodology expert form - lead review CDM expert (FCDM-NMex_Lead)
- Annex 4 - Draft revised form CDM: Proposed new methodology expert form - second review CDM expert (F-CDM-NMex_Second)
- Annex 5 - Draft form CDM: Proposed new methodology expert form - second review sectoral/industry expert (F-CDM-NMex_Sect)
- Annex 6 - Draft work programme of the Meth Panel
- Annex 7 - Draft work programme of the AR WG
- Annex 8 - Draft work programme of the SSC WG



ADDENDUM TO THE ANNOTATED AGENDA

3. Work plan**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans**

1. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the forty-second meeting of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the Meth Panel, Mr. Philip Gwage, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its forty-second meeting from 18 - 22 January 2010 in Bonn, Germany and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

2. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish :

Not to approve cases NM0303, NM0305, NM0306, NM0319 and NM0323 that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the Meth Panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies>>).

The Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the cases NM0280, NM0295, NM0301, NM0310, NM0313, NM0320, NM0322 and NM0326. It will further consider these cases at its next meetings.

Responses to requests for clarification

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0169, AM_CLA_0170, AM_CLA_0171 and AM_CLA_0172, as provided by the Meth Panel and referred to in the panel report (see table of MP 42 report). In addition, the Board may also wish to take note that the Meth Panel seeks its guidance on the request for clarification AM_CLA_0164.

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>). At its fifty-first meeting, the Board, due to time constraint, could not consider the request made by the forty-first meeting of the Meth Panel (see paragraph 14, MP 41 report) to the Board for the guidance on applicability criteria of swing plants under AM0001. The Meth Panel's request resulted from an issue raised in the request for clarification AM_CLA_0164.

Responses to requests for revision

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table in paragraph 15 of MP 42 report):

(a) To accept request AM_REV_0147 concerning revision to the approved methodology AM0028. The revision expands the applicability of methodology to the Caprolactam plants using the HPO® process;

(b) Not to accept request AM_REV_0174 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0014 to expand the applicability of the methodology to cases where solid material in waste



water may not be released into open lagoons but have a different aerobic or anaerobic baseline treatment;

- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0175 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0003 to expand the applicability to new plants;
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0176 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0010 to expand the applicability of methodology to include the situations where most plausible baseline scenario is a counterfactual anaerobic treatment system that generates methane without destruction by flaring or energy production.

Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>).

The Meth Panel could not finalize its responses to the requests for revision AM_REV_0141, AM_REV_0145, AM_REV_0149, AM_REV_0157, AM_REV_0169, AM_REV_0172, AM_REV_0173 and AM_REV_0177 and will further consider these requests at its next meetings.

Revisions to approved methodologies

5. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve revisions to the following approved methodologies:
- (a) **AM0028:** The draft revision broadens the applicability of the methodology to cover project activities that use HPO[®] process for the production of Caprolactam. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 1 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 21 of MP 42 report);
 - (b) **AM0034:** The draft revision provides more precise guidance as to how the monitored data on nitrous oxide concentration (NCSG) and gas volume flow rate (VSG) should be used to calculate N₂O emission factors in both baseline and project campaigns. Furthermore, the revision removes the provision for exclusion of abnormal campaigns from the historically most recent five campaigns to be selected for the purpose of defining the normal campaign length and identifying the range of operating parameters. The revision makes it necessary for project participants to submit a request for deviation in case an abnormal campaign exists among the five campaigns immediately previous to the baseline campaign. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 2 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 22 of MP 42 report);
 - (c) **AM0048:** The draft revision: (i) incorporates the “Tool to calculate project or leakage CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion” in the project emissions section; and (ii) removes inconsistencies in the units for some parameters in equations (22) and (23). The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 3 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 23 of MP 42 report);
 - (d) **ACM0002:** The draft revision waives the projects of capacity addition in wind, solar, wave or tidal power plants from the applicability condition that requires the availability of 5 year historical data for capacity addition, retrofit and rehabilitation projects. The electricity generated by the added capacities is directly measured under these projects. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 4 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 24 of MP 42 report);
 - (e) **ACM0006:** The draft revision to the approved methodology ACM0006 complements the Meth Panel’s recommendation for approval of the new consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants (see paragraph 27 of the MP 42 report), which is applicable to project activities that generate electricity in biomass residue (co-) fired power-only plants. Consequently, the revision makes the methodology ACM0006 inapplicable to power-only plants. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 5 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 25 of MP 42 report).



Background: Information on the revisions to the methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>).

The Board may wish to note that:

- (a) The revision to AM0028 was made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0147;
- (b) The revision to AM0034 was made in response to the request of the Board (EB 51, paragraph 34) and the clarification to methodology AM0034 in Annex 12 to the EB 51 report, while taking into account the inputs provided by the secretariat.
- (c) The revision to AM0048 was made based on the issues identified by the Meth Panel.
- (c) The revision to ACM0002 was made based on the request for clarification AM_CLA_0169.
- (e) The revision to ACM0006 was complemented by the Meth Panel's recommendation to approve the new consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a new "Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants". The draft consolidated methodology is contained in annex 6 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 27 of MP 42 report);

Background: The Board, at its forty-eighth meeting, considered the proposed new "Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants" based on ACM0006 prepared by the Meth Panel. The Board agreed to defer the consideration of methodology because its approval depends on the approval of the revision to the combined tool. The Board agreed to request the Meth Panel to continue its consideration of the proposed revision of the "Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" (see paragraph 35 of the EB 48 report). The new methodology is applicable to project activities that generate electricity in biomass residue (co-) fired power-only plants, i.e., power plants in which all heat engines produce only power and do not co-generate heat; and the thermal energy is only used in heat engines and not for other processes. This methodology was recommended for approval of the Board by the Meth Panel at its 39th meeting. Following the concerns of the Board (EB 48, para 34), the Meth Panel provided alternative solution to assess the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality.

General guidance

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised "Procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology for large scale CDM project activities" and related draft revised forms. The draft revised procedure is contained in annex 1 to these annotations; the draft revised forms are contained in annexes 2, 3, 4 and 5 to these annotations.

Background: Due to time constraints, the Board at its fifty-first meeting, could not consider the draft revised procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology, procedures on requests for revisions and requests for clarifications of approved methodologies as well their related forms. The Board agreed to consider this issue at its next meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised work programme of the Meth Panel, Small-Scale Working Group and Afforestation/Reforestation Working Group. The draft work programmes are contained in annexes 6, 7 and 8 to these annotations.

Background: By considering the draft work programme of the Meth Panel, Small-Scale and Afforestation/Reforestation Working Group, the Board provided several inputs to the secretariat, which have



to be taken into account to revise the work programme before presenting it to the fifty-second meeting of the Board. The Board particularly emphasized that all the efforts should be made by panel and working groups to simplify the methodologies, to the extent possible.

9. *Note:* The Board may wish to take note that the forty-third meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 22 - 26 February 2010, as per annex 55 of the fiftieth meeting of the Board.
