



**FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat**

Date: 12 February 2010
Ref: CDM-EB-51/Corr.1

EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM

FIFTY-FIRST MEETING

Report

Corrigendum

Page 16.

For

65. In accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18 (b) of the above-mentioned procedures the Board considered 10 project activities for which corrections had been submitted in response to the outcome of a previous review.

66. The Board could not register the project activities:

(a) "Inner Mongolia Huitengliang Phase II Wind Power Project"(1815) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVCH). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB49. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to provide information as to whether the tariffs could be considered to be an E- policy and if not to assess in a quantitative manner whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to either clarify that the tariff could be considered an E- policy or provide a quantitative assessment. The Board could therefore not assess the suitability of the applied tariff and therefore could not register the proposed CDM project activity.

(b) "Heilongjiang Fujin Phase II 18MW Wind Power Project"(1866) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVCH). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.

(c) "Inner Mongolia Siziwangqi Bayin'aobao Wind Power Project"(2053) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted



that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.

(d) "Heilongjiang Yilan Hezuolinchang Wind Power Project"(2062) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.

(e) "Inner Mongolia Bayannaer Chuanjingsumu Wind Power Project"(2099) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.

(f) "Huadian Kulun 201MW Wind Farm Project"(2100) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB49. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to provide information as to whether the tariffs could be considered to be an E- policy and if not to assess in a quantitative manner whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to either clarify that the tariff could be considered an E- policy or provide a quantitative assessment. The Board could therefore not assess the suitability of the applied tariff and therefore could not register the proposed CDM project activity.

(g) "Guohua Tongliao Kezuo Zhongqi Phase I 49.5 MW Wind Farm Project"(2216) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.

(h) "Liaoning Changtu Quantou Wind Power Project"(2219) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.



(i) "Yichun xiaochengshan wind power Project"(2312) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB48. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.

(j) "Xinjiang Huadian Xiaocaohu the 2nd phase of No.1 Wind Farm project"(2413) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB49. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to provide information as to whether the tariffs could be considered to be an E-policy and if not to assess in a quantitative manner whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to either clarify that the tariff could be considered an E- policy or provide a quantitative assessment. The Board could therefore not assess the suitability of the applied tariff and therefore could not register the proposed CDM project activity.

Read

65. In accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18 (b) of the above-mentioned procedures the Board considered 10 project activities for which corrections had been submitted in response to the outcome of a previous review. The Board agreed to register:

(a) "Inner Mongolia Huitengliang Phase II Wind Power Project"(1815) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVCH);

(b) "Xinjiang Huadian Xiaocaohu the 2nd phase of No.1 Wind Farm project" (2413) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV).

66. The Board could not register the project activities:

(a) "Heilongjiang Fujin Phase II 18MW Wind Power Project"(1866) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVCH). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity;

(b) "Inner Mongolia Siziwangqi Bayin'aobao Wind Power Project"(2053) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the



suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity;

(c) "Heilongjiang Yilan Hezuolinchang Wind Power Project"(2062) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity;

(d) "Inner Mongolia Bayannaer Chuanjingsumu Wind Power Project"(2099) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity;

(e) "Huadian Kulun 201MW Wind Farm Project"(2100) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB49. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to provide information as to whether the tariffs could be considered to be an E- policy and if not to assess in a quantitative manner whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to either clarify that the tariff could be considered an E- policy or provide a quantitative assessment. The Board could therefore not assess the suitability of the applied tariff and therefore could not register the proposed CDM project activity;

(f) "Guohua Tongliao Kezuo Zhongqi Phase I 49.5 MW Wind Farm Project"(2216) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity;

(g) "Liaoning Changtu Quantou Wind Power Project"(2219) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB47. The Board noted that the corrections which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity;

(h) "Yichun xiaochengshan wind power Project"(2312) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV). The Board considered the corrected project documentation which had been submitted in response to the outcome of a review finalized at EB48. The Board noted that the corrections



which had been requested following the review required the DOE to assess, in a quantitative manner, whether the observed changes in the applicable tariff had resulted in a change in the incentives for investors. The Board considered that the DOE and project participant had failed to provide this quantitative assessment and therefore the Board could not assess the suitability of the applied tariff or register the proposed CDM project activity.
