



**CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

**PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Forty-ninth meeting

UNFCCC  
Bonn, Germany  
8 – 11 September 2009

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft policy framework to address non-compliance by DOEs
- Annex 2 - Use of methodologies and timelines for the process of considering submissions related to methodologies
- Annex 3 - Analysis on no / low use of approved A/R
- Annex 4 - Experts' recommendation on CCS
- Annex 5 - Draft procedures for requests for deviation from an approved methodology
- Annex 6 - Experts' recommendation on forest in exhaustion
- Annex 7 - Draft guidelines for the consideration of request for review and review cases
- Annex 8 - Draft revision to the procedures for Modalities of Communication between Project Participants and the Executive Board
- Annex 9 - Draft revision to the guidelines for assessing compliance with the calibration frequency requirements
- Annex 10 - Draft procedures for requests for deviation prior to submitting request for issuance
- Annex 11 - Draft revision to the procedures for revising monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph 57 of the Modalities and Procedures of the CDM
- Annex 12 - Status of implementation of the CDM-MAP
- Annex 13 - Status of income and expenditure
- Annex 14 - Draft revision to the definitions and preliminary classification of document types



## PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
  - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
  - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
  - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
  - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
  - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
  - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities \*
  - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry \*\*
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

\* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website ([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under\\_review.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

\*\* For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



## II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

### 1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

### 2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the forty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its forty-ninth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 18 August 2009 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

### 3. Work plan

#### (a) Accreditation of operational entities

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the thirty-third progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Martin Hession, on the work of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP held its forty-third meeting on 17 - 19 August 2009.

#### *Case specific*

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the outcome and recommendations of the CDM-AP on spot-check agreed by the Board at its last meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting the Board agreed to conduct a spot-check of the DOE “SGS United Kingdom Limited”. The Board agreed on the scope of the spot-check and requested the CDM-AP to complete the process in an expedited manner and submit its recommendation for the consideration of the Board.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on 24 August 2009.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.

*General guidance*

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree on the draft policy framework to monitor performance and address non-compliance by DOEs in a systematic manner, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

*Background:* The CMP at its fourth session requested the Board to develop and apply a system for continuous monitoring and improving of the performance of DOEs. The CMP has also requested the Board to finalize a policy framework to address non-compliance of DOEs in a systematic manner, including transparent criteria for the application of sanctions in cases of non-compliance. At its forty-seventh meeting, the Board took note of the analysis undertaken by the secretariat on the performance assessment of DOEs and requested the secretariat to provide an update of these at its forty-ninth meeting. The revised framework was presented to the CDM-AP at its forty-third meeting and comments are included in annex 1.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an oral report by the secretariat on timelines in the work plan for updating and improving of the Validation and Verification Manual (CDM-VVM), on the progress in its implementation, on the outcome of an investigation on minor updates of the CDM-VVM and an introductory presentation on the concept of materiality.

*Background:* The Board took note of the workplan containing detailed steps for the updating and improving of the CDM-VVM. The Board requested the secretariat also to include the timelines for the various steps in the workplan and to update the Board on progress on a regular basis. The Board also requested the secretariat to investigate more frequent updates of the CDM-VVM when minor issues are concerned in between the periodically scheduled comprehensive revisions and updates. At this last meeting the Board also requested the secretariat to further work on the concepts of materiality and level of assurance preparing some examples of the impact of applying these concepts in CDM project activities for consideration at a future meeting.

8. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-fourth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held from 21 - 23 September 2009, as per annex 70 of the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Board.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans***Case specific*

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to one request for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to registration/validation.

*Background:* One request for deviation has been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its last meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-ninth meeting.

*General guidance*

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the guidance on the barrier “first-of-its-kind”. No new agreed proposal has been submitted to the Board since the work could not be finalized between Board members.



*Background:* The Board, at its forty-seventh meeting, requested secretariat to make a new proposal<sup>2</sup> and present to the forty-ninth meeting of the Board, based upon the inputs received from various Board members, who have been assigned the responsibility to discuss this issue.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update by the secretariat on the guidance on common practice analysis. No new agreed proposal has been submitted to the Board since the work could not be finalized between Board members.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-seventh meeting, requested secretariat to make a new proposal<sup>3</sup> and present to the forty-ninth meeting of the Board, based upon the inputs received from various Board members, who have been assigned the responsibility to discuss this issue.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a analysis prepared by the secretariat of methodologies which have no / low use in the project activities, including A/R methodologies. These analyses are enclosed as annex 2 and annex 3 to these annotations.

*Background:* Following the request by CMP, the Board, at its forty-seventh meeting, considered a preliminary analysis of methodologies which have no / low use in the project activities that the secretariat has conducted and agreed to further consider the issue at its forty-ninth meeting, along with the analysis from the secretariat, in consultation with the Meth Panel and working groups, including the following: (a) Analysis of reasons for delay in consideration process of large scale, small-scale and A/R methodologies; (b) Time spent for consideration process by panel and working groups, and the Board; (c) Broad assessment of potential impact of approved methodologies on emission reduction based on registered cases and projects under validation; (d) Key priority emission sectors and type of project activities for which no or very few methodologies are available taking into account the regional distribution; (e) Concrete actions for the improvement in the process of methodology consideration and for prioritizing the work of the panel and working groups. The Board also launched a public call for inputs to analyze the reasons for low/no use of the approved methodologies from 8 June - 8 July 2009. The Board also requested the secretariat to obtain inputs on difficulties in application, or reasons for non-application of the methodologies. The feedback should be sought (using questionnaires) from project participants that have proposed methodologies which have been approved by the Board, and also from those known to be attempting to apply the approved methodologies, and other relevant stakeholders. The Board further requested the secretariat to compile the inputs and present them for consideration by the Board at its forty-ninth meeting.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a first draft of the experts' recommendation on the assessment of the implications of the possible inclusion of CCS in geological formations as CDM project activities taking into account technical, methodological and legal issues, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

*Background:* At its forty-seventh meeting, the Board, in accordance with the request by the CMP to assess the implications of the possible inclusion of CCS in geological formations as CDM project activities taking into account technical, methodological and legal issues, and report back to CMP5 (2/CMP.4, paragraph 41), considered (a) the draft terms of reference (ToR) to assess the implications of the possible inclusion of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) in geological formations as CDM project activities prepared by the groups of members; (b) the draft document on the process for the selection of the CCS experts; (c) the list of experts that have applied to the call for experts on CCS. The Board agreed to the proposed ToR and selected the experts for this assignment using the proposed process for the selection.

---

<sup>2</sup> Based on the annex 10 of the thirty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel.

<sup>3</sup> Based on the annex 2 to the annotated agenda for the forty-first meeting of the Board.



14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised draft versions of the "Procedures for requests to the Executive Board for deviation from an approved methodology", as contained in annex 5 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-eighth meeting, took note of the assessment by the secretariat on the current "Procedures for requests for deviation to the Executive Board". The Board requested the secretariat to prepare draft revisions to the procedure, focusing on methodology-specific deviation procedure, including indicative timelines and also indicate the resource implications of the implementation of these procedures, for consideration of the Board at a future meeting.

15. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fortieth meeting of the panel will be held from 14 - 18 September 2009 and the forty-first meeting of the panel will be held from 19 to 23 October 2009, as per annex 70 of report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Board.

16. ► **Note:** The Board may also wish to take note that the deadline for submission of requests for revision and requests for clarification to be considered at the forty-first meeting to be held from 19 - 23 October 2009 shall be 7 September 2009, 24:00 GMT.

(c) **Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities**

*General guidance*

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a first draft of the experts' recommendation on the assessment of the implications of the possible inclusion of lands with forests in exhaustion as A/R CDM project activities, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

*Background:* With reference to the request by CMP to assess the implications of the possible inclusion of lands with forests in exhaustion as A/R CDM project activities, taking into account technical, methodological and legal issues, and report back to CMP.5 (2/CMP.4, paragraph 42), the Board agreed to the terms of reference.

18. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-fifth meeting of the A/R WG will be held from 21 to 23 September 2009 and the twenty-sixth meeting of the A/R WG will be held from 28 - 30 October 2009 as per annex 70 of the forty-eighth meeting of the Board.

19. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for consideration of requests for revision and requests for clarification of A/R methodologies at the twenty-sixth meeting is 16 September 2009.

20. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for the twenty-fourth round of submissions of proposed new A/R methodologies is 26 October 2009. The Board also reminded project participants that new baseline and monitoring methodologies could be submitted at any time prior to this deadline.

(d) **Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

*Case specific*

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation concerning small-scale project activities submitted by DOEs prior to registration.



*Background:* one request for deviation in relation small-scale project activities have been submitted by DOEs to the Board since its last meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-ninth meeting.

### **General guidance**

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider providing guidance to the SSC WG with regard to further work in defining the consideration of baseline penetration in small scale methodologies as requested by the SSC WG in paragraph 14 of the twentieth meeting report of the SSC WG.

*Background:* The SSC WG agreed to request guidance from the Board with regard to further work in defining the consideration of baseline penetration in small scale methodologies. As a starting point, the Board may wish to consider the following concepts:

- (a) Specific methodologies are applicable to countries (or regions of countries where the project activity is implemented) where technology penetration rate is less than X%. (“X” to be determined by the Board in general or per specific technology (ies), statically or dynamically);
- (b) Technology penetration rate is defined as either market share or percent of total sales of a technology (e.g., residential lamps) sold during the calendar year that occurred two years prior to the start of the project activity or prior to the start of each CPA in a PoA;
- (c) That technology penetration rates are lower than X% can be determined by national or regional data or studies provided by independent third parties or compiled by project participants.

23. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-second meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 21 - 24 September 2009 and the twenty-third meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 27 - 30 October 2009, as per annex 70 of the forty-eighth meeting of the Board.

### **(e) Matters relating to programme of activities (PoA)**

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration of programme of activities.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

### **(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

### **Case specific**

26. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 32 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

*Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1745	Nanchang Maiyuan Landfill Gas Recovery and Utilisation Project	?	?	?



<i>RefNo.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1892	Jiangsu Longyuan Donghai Biomass Power Project	?	?	?
1895	CECIC Zhangbei Gaojialiang Wind farm Project	?	?	?
2124	Heilongjiang Huanan Hengdaishan East (II) Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2127	Waste Gas based Power Generation Project at Ankit Metal & Power Limited	?	?	?
2152	Heilongjiang Wuerguli Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2381	Inner Mongolia Meiyaoshan Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2427	Inno-Kwants Mewah- Palm Oil Mill Waste Recycle Scheme, Malaysia	?	?	?
2445	Pure-low Temperature Waste Heat Recovery for Power Generation (2×7MW) in Guangdong Tapai Cement Co., Ltd.	?	?	?
2453	Tal Dman Landfill Gas Capture Project in Aleppo	?	?	?
2461	BFG-fired Power Generation Project in Baosteel Co Ltd., Shanghai, P. R. China.	?	?	?
2469	NISCO Converter Gas Recovery and Utilization for Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2471	Sintex 7.5 MW Natural gas based package cogeneration project, Gujarat – India	?	?	?
2487	Reduction of Methane Emissions from Ruseifeh Landfill	?	?	?
2504	Utilization of waste gas heat for power generation	?	?	?
2506	Bayi Steel CDQ (1#, 2#) and Waste Heat Utilization Project	?	?	?
2507	Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project of Adhunik Metaliks Limited	?	?	?
2508	Hebei Wasted Gas based Captive Power Plant Project in Longgang Group	?	?	?
2511	The Waste Heat Recovery Based Coke Dry Quenching Power Generation Project of Xingang Company	?	?	?
2512	El Panul – EcoMethane Landfill Gas Project	?	?	?
2515	Shanxi Changyuan 24MW waste heat recovery and utilization for electricity generation project	?	?	?
2516	Zibo Hongda Coking Co. Ltd. Coke Dry Quenching and Waste Heat Utilization for Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2519	Bhushan Power and Steel Limited–Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project	?	?	?
2521	Wuxue Huaxin Cement 18MW Waste Heat Recovery as Power Project	?	?	?
2523	Dalian Maoyingzi Landfill Gas Recovery for Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2530	Shandong Laizhou phase I Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2537	The Bogeda 40.5 MW Wind-Farm Project in Urumqi, Xinjiang, China	?	?	?
2550	Gujarat Narmada Valley Fertilizer Company (GNFC) Nitrous Oxide Abatement Project	?	?	?
2558	Zhongliangshan Coal Mine Methane Project	?	?	?
2571	Wastewater treatment with Anaerobic Digester at Truong Think starch processing plant in Tay Ninh, Vietnam.	?	?	?
2572	Wastewater treatment with Anaerobic Digester at Viet Ma starch processing plant in Tay Ninh, Vietnam	?	?	?
2574	Guizhou Kaiyang Zijiang Hydropower Station Project	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for



review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. The project activity “Angang Waste Gas Recovery and Generation Project” (2520) was also requested for review, however the project participants have withdrawn this request for registration.

27. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for 31 project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its forty-eighth meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB48

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1577	CGN Inner Mongolia Zhurihe Phase I Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
1815	Inner Mongolia Huitengliang Phase II Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1913	Phuoc Hiep I sanitary Landfill gas CDM project in Ho Chi Minh City	?	?	?
2052	Yunnan Yingjiang Wakuhe Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2095	Henan Nanyang Zhenping Cement Waste Heat Recovery and Utilization for Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2100	Huadian Kulun 201MW Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2117	Heilongjiang Yilan Hezuolinchang Phase II Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2125	Guohua Hebei Huanghua 49.5 MW Wind Farm Project (Phase I)	?	?	?
2218	V.P. Farms Pig Manure Methanisation, Methane Recovery and Energy Production Project	?	?	?
2304	73 MW Tonghua Iron & Steel Waste Gas and Heat Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2360	Heilongjiang Yilan Jiguanlazishan Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2361	Heilongjiang Dongning Dajiazishan and Xidagang Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2369	GHG emission reductions through waste gas based power generation at Visa Steel Limited	?	?	?
2382	Jiangsu Qishuyan Natural Gas Based Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2383	Jiangsu Wangting Natural Gas Based Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2404	Leak Reduction in Above Ground Gas Distribution Equipment in the KazTransgaz-Tbilisi Gas Distribution System- Tbilisi, Georgia	?	?	?
2405	Santa Cruz I Hydroelectric Power Plant	?	?	?
2410	Sichuan Liangtan Hydropower Station Second Phase Project	?	?	?
2413	Xinjiang Huadian Xiaocaohu the 2nd phase of No.1 Wind Farm project	?	?	?
2416	Hubei Yihua Fertilizers Company Waste Heat Recovery and Utilization Project	?	?	?
2418	Tianfu Coalmine Methane Project	?	?	?
2419	Controlled combustion of municipal solid waste (MSW) and energy generation in Linyi City, Shandong, China	?	?	?



Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
2420	Inner Mongolia Keshiketeng County Wutaohai South Wind Farm 49.5 MW Project	?	?	?
2426	El Platanal Hydropower Plant	?	?	?
2433	Jiangxi Gongge 15MW Hydropower Project, China	?	?	?
2435	Jilin Xijingou Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2437	Skopje Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
2439	Zhangjiagang Nature Gas Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2441	Shanxi Wulushan 1st phase Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2449	Zhejiang Wenling Donghaitang Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2450	Xilinguole Huitengliang Wind Power Project Guotai Phase I	?	?	?

*Background:* At its forty-eighth meeting, the Board decided to place the 35 project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2). The project activities “Salto Small Hydro Power Plant Project – A Brascan Energética S/A Project Activity” (2380), “Angelina Small Hydro Power Plant Project – A Brascan Energética S/A Project Activity” (2393), “Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Destruction Project (plant 2)” (2398) and “Addition of a power generation micro unit at the 5 de Noviembre Power Plant” (2425) were also placed under review by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting, however the project participants have withdrawn these requests for registration.

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 3 below.

*Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review*

Ref No.	Title	A	B
1903	Electrotherm 30 MW combined waste heat recovery and coal based captive power plant at Kutch	?	?
1914	Yixing Shuanglong Cement Plant’s Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project	?	?
1916	Jiangsu Jiaoqiao Cement Plant’s Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project	?	?

*Background:* At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 3).

#### **Registration procedure/General guidance**

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a draft guidance document compiling the major criteria for decision-making during the review process and to make it publicly available, as contained in annex 7 to these annotations.



*Background:* In response to the request by the CMP through its decision 2/CMP4 to develop criteria for decision making during the review process, the Board at its forty-eighth meeting, considered a presentation from the secretariat on the need to update and to restructure the procedures and guidelines related to registration and issuance. The Board requested the secretariat to proceed with the drafting of the required documents for consideration at a future meeting and, in particular, to draft "Guidelines for the decision making during the review process" for consideration by the Board at its forty-ninth meeting.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revised version of the "Procedures for Modalities of Communication between Project Participants and the Executive Board", as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a revised version of the "Procedures for Modalities of Communication between Project Participants and the Executive Board" addressing, among others, issues related to withdrawal of project participants due to cease of operations at different stages of the CDM project cycle, conflicting scenarios when project participants are also focal point entities as well as overall improvements to the existing guidance for consideration by the Board as soon as possible.

**(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on "Issuance of CERs" on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>), indicates the status of request for issuance.

**Case specific**

32. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 12 requests for issuance listed in table 4.

*Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0009	La Esperanza Hydroelectric Project	27961	?	?	?
0028	Rio Blanco Small Hydroelectric Project	30609	?	?	?
0091	Landfill Gas to Energy Project at Lara Landfill, Mauá, Brazil	222468	?	?	?
0170	El Molle – Landfill gas (LFG) capture project	47016	?	?	?
0459	Pronaca: Afortunados Swine Waste Management	12348	?	?	?
0460	Pronaca: Tropicales-Plata Swine Waste Management	5558	?	?	?
0828	Quimvale and Gas Natural Fuel Switch Project	13075	?	?	?
0883	Liaoning Changtu Wind Farm Project	82126	?	?	?
0925	EnviroServ Chloorkop Landfill Gas Recovery Project	83135	?	?	?
0966	Mondi Richards Bay Biomass Project	78011	?	?	?
1379	6 MW Rice Husk based cogeneration plant at Bhageshwari Papers Private Limited	21253	?	?	?
1467	Qinghai Jinshaxia 70MW Hydropower Project	58604	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the "Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism", for each

case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

33. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the two project activities listed in table 5, which the Board placed under review at its forty-eighth meeting.

*Table 5: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB48*

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0261	Energy efficiency through steam optimisation projects at RIL, Hazira,		?	?	?
1441	Tianji Group Line 3 N2O Abatement Project		?	?	?

*Background:* At its forty-eighth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 5 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 5), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 5), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in Table 5). It should be noted that request for issuance of project activity “São João Landfill Gas to Energy Project (SJ)” (0373), which was placed under review by the Board at its forty-eight meeting has been withdrawn by the DOE/project participants.

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

*Background:* Six requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its forty-eighth meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-seventh meeting.

#### **General guidance**

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised draft version of the "Guidelines for assessing compliance with the calibration frequency requirements", as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-eighth meeting, discussed a proposal from the secretariat on "Guidelines for assessing compliance with the calibration frequency requirements", and requested the secretariat to revise the document, taking into account the various views expressed by members, including the need for appropriate examples, for further consideration at its forty-ninth meeting.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised draft version of the "Procedures for requests for deviation prior to submitting request for issuance", as contained in annex 10 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-eighth meeting, took note of the assessment by the secretariat on the current "Procedures for requests for deviation to the Executive Board". The Board requested the secretariat to prepare draft revision to these procedures including indicative timelines and also indicate the resource implications of the implementation of these procedures, for consideration of the Board at a future meeting.

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised draft version of the "Procedures for revising monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph 57 of the Modalities and Procedures of the CDM", as contained in annex 11 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-eighth meeting, took note of the assessment by the secretariat on the current "Procedures for revising monitoring plans in accordance with paragraph 57 of the Modalities and



Procedures of the CDM". The Board requested the secretariat to prepare draft revisions to these procedures including indicative timelines and also indicate the resource implications of the implementation of these procedures, for consideration of the Board at a future meeting.

#### **4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of implementation of activities which have been approved in the 2009 CDM-MAP including the status of recruitment of positions approved and an initial assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes, as contained in annex 12 to these annotations.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board, acknowledging the on-going efforts and progress made by the secretariat in filling the positions approved in the 2009 CDM-MAP and recognizing the skill shortage in the market for specific expertise, expressed its concerns and requested the secretariat to continue to expeditiously complete the filling of the current vacancies and to report on the status at its next meeting. The secretariat will also provide the Board with an update on the status of adhering to indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes.

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) take further action, as necessary.

*Background:* The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 13 to these annotations.

#### **5. Other matters**

##### **(a) Requests by CMP to the Board**

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the possible measures to improve the efficiency in the operation of the CDM identified through the policy retreats held back-to-back with forty-eighth meeting of the Board and this meeting.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board considered an analysis by the secretariat of the inputs received in response to the call for inputs on efficiency in the operation of the CDM and opportunities for improvement. The Board agreed to continue to consider this issue in a second policy retreat to be held back to back with its forty-ninth meeting with a view to deciding on measures to be implemented directly and agreeing on further recommendations to the CMP at that meeting.

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the status of the request made by the CMP to the Board through the decision 2/CMP4.

*Background:* At its forty-fifth meeting, the Board took note of the decision 2/CMP.4 "Further guidance to the clean development mechanism" and considered a table prepared by the secretariat which structures the guidance, mandates, tasks, actors and indicated timelines. The Board requested the secretariat to cluster the requests by CMP by issues and to update the Board on the status of implementation of the CMP requests every two meetings.

##### **(b) Transparency matters**

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revision to the definitions and preliminary classification of document type, as contained in annex 14 to these annotations, and to take note of the update by the secretariat in relation to the workplan responding to the request made by the CMP to the Board through the decision 2/CMP4 paragraph 12.

*Background:* The Board, at its forty-seventh meeting, further requested the secretariat to adjust the document type definitions published in annex 76 of the forty-fifth meeting report, to ensure that the



definitions are in-line with the classification and decision hierarchy. In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to explore the possibility of applying this classification and hierarchy of decisions retroactively and report on their findings to the Board at its forty-ninth meeting.

(c) **Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

*Background:* Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting. At its last meeting, the Chair of the Board requested the Chair of DOE/AE Forum to address the issue of timelines of validation and verification of projects.

(d) **Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(e) **Other business**

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its forty-ninth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Steve Abrams, Head of Monitoring and Verification, Ecoscurities, received on 25 August 2009, relating to the operating hours in AM0034;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Rama Chandra Reddy, Acting Team Leader, Policy and Methodology Team, Carbon Finance Unit, The World Bank, received on 25 August 2009 relating to a request to review a revised validation report and PDD for the project activity 2469;
- (c) Letter from Ms. Kim Carnahan, IETA, received on 25 August 2009 related to Chinese wind projects;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Henry Derwent, President, IETA, received on 24 August 2009 related to delays in the issuance of CERs;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Charles Purshouse, Chair, CMIA Flexible Mechanisms Working Group received on 21 August 2009 related to Length of request for issuance completeness check;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Chair, Project Developers Forum received on 20 August 2009 related to Reasons for 'incomplete' messages at completeness check;



- (g) Letter from Mr. Li Jianfen, Chief Executive Officer, Greenensign (Beijing) New Energy Technology Ltd received on 18 August related to the ongoing revision to ACM0005 by Meth Panel;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Seung-Ho Han, GHG Auditor, Korea Energy Management Corporation (KEMCO) received on 18 August 2009, relating to how to deal with mandatory regulations to be implemented since the adoption by the COP of the CDM M&P;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Chair, Project Developers Forum received on 17 August 2009 relating to participation of project developers at upcoming VVM dissemination workshops;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Flavio Rufino Gazani, Presidente, ABEMC (Associação Brasileira das Empresas do Mercado de Carbono), received on 14 August 2009 relating to ACM0006 review and biomass projects in Brazil;
- (k) Letter from Mr. Marcos Sawaya Jank, President, Brazilian Sugarcane Industry Association (UNICA) received on 14 August 2009 related to biomass to energy CDM projects in the Brazilian sugarcane sector;
- (l) Letter from Mr. José Domingos Gonzalez Miguez, Executive Secretary, Brazilian Designated National Authority, Brazilian Federal Government, received on 12 August 2009, relating to problems related to the use of consolidated methodology ACM002 for project activities in Brazil;
- (m) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Chair, Project Developers Forum received on 11 August relating to the consideration of reviews of “proposed project activities” vs. “proposed issuances” and delays during the issuance completeness check;
- (n) Letter from K.L. Chandak, Executive Director, The West Coast Paper Mills Ltd, received on 8 August 2009, related to WCPM Energy Efficiency Project (Ref. No. 1025);
- (o) Letter from Mr. Nejib Osman, Agence Nationale pour la Maitrise de l’Energie, Tunisia, received on 30 July 2009, relating to the starting date of CPA ;
- (p) Letter from Atty. Analiza R. The, Department of Environment and National Resources, Republic of the Philippines, received on 29 July 2009, relating to the rejection of the revision of AM0060;
- (q) Letter from Ms. Agnes Dudek, DNV, received on 23 July 2009, relating to a clarification on the definition of the start date of a CDM project activity;
- (r) Letter from Mr. P.M. Mason, JP Morgan Climate Care, received on 20 July 2009, relating to NM0297;
- (s) Letter from Mr. Ricardo Laporta, Mr. Marcos Arantes, Dr. Andéa Zhouri, Dr. Klemens Laschefski, Glenn Switkes, GEA (Grupo Ecologico de Aiuruco); Association of Tour Guides; GESTA/UMFG; International Rivers, received on 10 July 2009, relating to ERSA Aiuroca Small Hydro Project in Brazil not meeting the requirements for CDM;
- (t) Letter from Ms. Joelle Chassard, Carbon Finance Unit Manager, World Bank, received on 10 July 2009, relating to the submission of input regarding consideration of new methodology AMS.III.AE (Energy efficiency and renewable energy measures in new residential buildings);



- (u) Letter from Mr. Agus Purnomo, Head of secretariat, National Council on Climate Change, Indonesia, received on 7 July 2009, relating to a request to the Board to re-examine NM0297;
- (v) Letter from Mr. Mohua Banerjee De, Vice President, CantorCO2e India Private Ltd., received on 6 July 2009, relating to the communication channel with CDM authorities;
- (w) Letter from Mr. Ludwig Kons, Vice President and Mr. Antonio Aguilera, Senior Manager, RWE, received on 1 July 2009, relating to the coefficient of effective power.
- (x) Letter from Ms. Anne Arquit Niederberger, Policy Solutions, received on 17 June 2009, relating to AMS.III.X.

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

#### **6. Conclusion of the meeting**

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the forty-ninth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

-----