



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Forty-fourth meeting

UNFCCC
Poznan, Poland
26 - 28 November 2008

CONTENTS

- I. PROPOSED AGENDA
- II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1 - Draft Validation and Verification Manual
Annex 2 - Status of income and expenditure

Please also refer to the following documents from previous meetings:

Annex 1 of the annotations of the forty-third meeting of the Board – “Enhanced barrier test – Consolidation of public comments”
Annex 2 of the annotations of the forty-third meeting of the Board – “Enhanced barrier test – Assessment of approved methodologies and registered project activities”
Annex 2 of the annotations of the forty-first meeting of the Board – “Draft guidance on common practice analysis”
Annex 3 of the annotations of the forty-second meeting of the Board – “Revised draft on standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board based on public comments received”

¹ This revision was issued to remove duplicated paragraphs.



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the forty-fourth meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its forty-fourth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 5 November 2008 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the twenty-eighth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Martin Hession, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its thirty-eighth meeting on 11 – 13 November 2008.

Case specific

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on Friday, 14 November 2008.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the outcomes and recommendations of the CDM-AP on spot-checks agreed by the Board at its last meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed to conduct spot-checks on two DOEs. The Board agreed on the scopes of the spot-checks and requested the CDM-AP to complete the spot-check process expeditiously and submit its recommendations for the consideration of the Board.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendations of the appeal panel on the appeal submitted by an entity.

Background: At its forty-second meeting, the Board considered an appeal submitted by an entity against the recommendation of the CDM-AP on phased accreditation. The CDM-AP, in accordance with the appeals procedures under the CDM accreditation process, established the appeal panel. The Board requested the secretariat to accomplish the work of the appeal panel expeditiously and submit for the consideration of the Board.

General guidance

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to continue to agree to the draft Validation and Verification Manual (VVM) as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, finalized the consideration of the draft Validation and Verification Manual prepared by the secretariat. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a clean version of the document for its adoption at its forty-fourth meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the revised accreditation procedure prepared by the CDM-AP. The draft document will be annexed to the twenty-eighth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board took note and welcomed the ongoing work on revision of the accreditation procedure and encouraged the CDM-AP to ensure that the accreditation process is focused in a manner that is both efficient and effective. The Board also requested the CDM-AP to submit the revised accreditation procedure for its consideration at its forty-fourth meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider for adoption the document on accreditation standards by the CDM-AP. The document will be submitted on Friday, 14 November 2008.

Background: The Board, at its forty-second meeting, decided to make the draft document on accreditation standard as contained annex 1 of the twenty-sixth progress report of the CDM-AP, available for public comments from 29 September 2008 to 27 October 2008, noting that the Board had not yet considered the draft. The Board requested the secretariat to submit a revised draft document at its forty-fourth meeting taking into consideration the public comments received.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the synthesis report of annual activity reports submitted by the DOEs. The document will be submitted on Friday, 14 November 2008 to the Board under confidentiality.

Background: In accordance with the paragraph 27 (g) of the modalities and procedures of the clean development mechanism (CDM M&P) DOEs shall submit an annual activity report to the Executive Board. The Board, in order to provide guidance to DOEs in preparation of their annual activity reports, at its nineteenth meeting, adopted the guidelines for the preparation of the annual activity report by a DOE to the Executive Board.

11. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-ninth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held from 14 - 16 January 2009, as per annex 16 of the forty-third meeting of the Board.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the thirty-fifth meeting of the Methodologies Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (the panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the panel, Mr. Akihiro Kuroki, on the work of the panel.



Background: The panel held its thirty-fifth meeting from 3 to 7 November 2008 in Bonn, Germany and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

13. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the panel, the Board may wish to:

- (a) Approve methodologies based on the cases NM0239 and NM070, as contained in annexes 1 and 2 of the panel report (see MP35 report);
- (b) Not to approve cases NM0273, NM0275, NM0279, NM0281, NM0283 and NM0285 that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website².

The panel agreed on preliminary recommendations to project participants on the proposed new methodologies NM0277, NM0278, NM0280 and NM0282.

The panel could not conclude its consideration of the cases NM0248, NM0250, NM0251, NM0258, NM0264, NM0266, NM0267, NM0268, NM0269, NM0271, NM0272 and NM0284 and will further consider these cases at its next meetings.

Responses to requests for clarification

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0111, AM_CLA_0112, AM_CLA_0118 to AM_CLA_0124 and AM_CLA_0126, as provided by the panel and referred to in the panel report (see table of MP35 report).

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

The panel could not finalize its response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0084 and will further consider this request at its next meeting.

Responses to requests for revision

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the panel report (see table of MP35 report):

- (a) To accept request AM_REV_0101 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0025 to amend the applicability conditions and the calculation of emission reductions in relation to circulating fluidized bed incinerators, as contained in annex 4 to the panel report (see MP35 report).
- (b) Not to accept request AM_REV_0107 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0048 to include the generation of thermal energy to replace energy produced from the electricity from the grid in the baseline.

² See <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>



- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0111 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0058 to extend its applicability to include a new power plant installed at the same time as the start of the operation of the district heating system.
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0112 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0006 to include a new scenario for project activities that install a new biomass residues fired cogeneration plant at a site where, prior to the implementation of the project activity, an existing cogeneration plant has been operated with a fuel mix composed by biomass residues and fossil fuels.
- (e) Not to accept request AM_REV_0114 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0031 to (i) expand its applicability to include the use of electricity and blended biofuels as the transport fuel and complementary rail-based transport, and (ii) introduce changes in the calculation of baseline emissions and leakage. See also paragraph 5 (d).
- (f) Not to accept request AM_REV_0117 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0048 to extend its applicability to include the cogeneration project type of supplying steam and electricity to newly introduced project customers.
- (g) Not to accept request AM_REV_0119 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0058 to expand its applicability to include a cogeneration plant that has been in operation for less than three years.
- (h) Not to accept request AM_REV_0120 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0006 to include a new scenario for project activities involving the installation of a new biomass residue fired cogeneration plant at a site where prior to the implementation of the project activity an existing captive cogeneration plant has been operated in the baseline case co-firing fossil fuels and biomass residues.
- (i) Not to accept request AM_REV_0121 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0038 to expand its applicability to include electric arc furnaces, supply of electricity from a captive power plant and the calculation of the emission factor on an ex-post basis.
- (j) To accept request AM_REV_0122 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0002 to amend the calculation of emission reductions for capacity expansion project activities as contained in annex 9 to the panel report (see MP35 report).
- (k) To accept request AM_REV_0123 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0066 to amend equations for calculation of the project specific energy consumption and determine auxiliary power consumption for individual kilns based on their proportion of output, as contained in annex 8 to the panel report (see MP35 report).
- (l) To accept request AM_REV_0127 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0030 to adapt it to the newly available data provided by the most recent IAI survey, as contained in annex 5 to the panel report (see MP35 report).

Background: Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPrev>>).

The panel could not finalize its responses to the requests for revision AM_REV_0071, AM_REV_0088, AM_REV_0100, AM_REV_0106, AM_REV_0108 to AM_REV_0110, AM_REV_0115, AM_REV_0116, AM_REV_0118, AM_REV_0124 to AM_REV_0126 and will further consider these requests at its next meetings.

*Revisions to approved methodologies*

16. **Action:** The Board may wish to approve revisions to the following approved methodologies:
- (a) **AM0009:** The draft revision includes the following: the deletion of the term ‘transportation’ in page 7 from the section “CH₄ project emissions from venting, leak or flaring of the associated gas”, as transportation fugitive emissions are not accounted for in the carbon mass balance provided in equation (3). The draft revision is contained in annex 3 of the panel report (see paragraph 25 of MP35 report).
 - (b) **AM0025:** The proposed changes in the methodology are the addition of a circulating fluidized bed incinerator as a possible technology in the project activity, the inclusion of an applicability condition to limit the use of auxiliary fossil fuels in the incinerator and clarification on the measurement procedure for fossil-based carbon in the waste. The draft revision is contained in annex 4 of the panel report (see paragraph 26 of MP35 report).
 - (c) **AM0030:** The draft revision includes the emission factor for CWPB technology, to be determined based on latest IAI survey. The draft revision is contained in annex 5 of the panel report (see paragraph 27 of MP35 report).
 - (d) **AM0031:** The editorial revision to the approved methodology is made in order to correct equation 22 used in the calculation of leakage. The correction is to introduce a previously omitted parameter in the equation. The draft revision is contained in annex 6 of the panel report (see paragraph 28 of MP35 report).
 - (e) **AM0065:** The draft revision includes the clarification that SF₆ consumption is estimated as the lower value of SF₆ consumption estimated based on IPCC default consumption rate and that estimated based on the monitored value of SF₆ consumption multiplied by the data Integrity factor. The draft revision is contained in annex 7 of the panel report (see paragraph 29 of MP35 report).
 - (f) **AM0066:** The draft revision results in the deletion of equations 13,14 and 15 and adjustment of emission reductions based on $P_{y,min}$. The draft revision is contained in annex 8 of the panel report (see paragraph 30 of MP35 report).
 - (g) **ACM0002:** The draft revision includes the changed baseline equation (equation 9) in case this methodology is applied to projects that increase the generation capacity at an existing hydropower generation facility when the existing units continue to operate and the configuration permits their generation to be measured separately. The draft revision is contained in annex 9 of the panel report (see paragraph 31 of MP35 report).
 - (h) **ACM0012:** The draft revision introduces the consistency in the description of parameter $HG_{j,y}$ (Net quantity of heat supplied to the recipient plant j by the project activity during the year y). The draft revision is contained in annex 10 of the panel report (see paragraph 32 of MP35 report).

Background: Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>).

The editorial revision to AM0009 was made in response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0118. The revision to AM0025 was made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0101. The revision to AM0030 was made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0127. The editorial revision to AM0031 was made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0114. The revision to AM0065 was made in response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_111. The revision to AM0066 was made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0123. The revision to ACM0002 was made in



response to the request for revision AM_REV_0122. The revision to ACM0012 was made in response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0126.

General guidance

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on the barrier “first-of-its-kind”, as contained in annex 10 to the report of the thirty-fourth meeting of the panel (see paragraph 28 of MP34 report).

Background: The Board at its thirty-eighth meeting considered the analysis of the application of the common practice test in demonstrating additionality to a sample of project activities and requested the panel to work on providing a definition of the “first-of-its-kind” project activity. In accordance with this request, the panel prepared draft guidance containing the following main issues:

- (a) The definition of the technologies that are eligible to use the barrier “first-of-its-kind” and the definition of what is regarded as a similar technology or project activity.
- (b) The definition of the number of similar project activities that may have already been implemented in the past, to still regard the project activity as “first-of-its-kind”.
- (c) The definition of the geographical area to be used when assessing the number of similar project activities that have already been implemented.

Due to the time constraints the Board did not consider this issue at its forty-third meeting and agreed to postpone the consideration to its forty-fourth meeting.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider (a) the input received as a response to the call for inputs on the proposal for the enhanced barrier test, as contained in annex 1 to the annotations of the forty-third meeting, along with (b) an assessment prepared by the secretariat of approved methodologies and registered project activities with a view to assessing the extent of project activity types covered by the applicability of the proposed guidance, as contained in annex 2 to the annotations of the forty-third meeting.

Background: The Board, at its forty-first meeting, considered draft proposal by the Meth Panel on the enhanced barrier test for project activities that have a potential for high profitability without CER revenues. The Board requested the secretariat to undertake an assessment of approved methodologies and registered project activities with a view to assessing the extent of project activity types covered by the applicability of the proposed guidance. Further, the assessment will be used to develop criteria for profitability of project activities and the list of other project activity types to which this guidance should be applicable. The Board requested the Small-Scale Working Group to assess the potential relevance of this issue for small-scale project activities. The Board further agreed to launch a call for public comments on the proposal for the enhanced barrier test from 6 August 2008 until 3 September 2008. The Board requested the secretariat to compile the inputs and present them along with the above assessment for consideration by the Board at its forty-third meeting. Due to the time constraints the Board did not consider this issue at its forty-third meeting and agreed to postpone the consideration to its forty-fourth meeting.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on common practice analysis, as contained in annex 2 to the annotations of the forty-first meeting.

Background: This Board in its fortieth meeting considered the draft guidance on common practice analysis prepared by the secretariat and agreed to further discuss the draft guidance at its forty-first meeting. The Board was not able to conclude its discussions on the draft guidance at its forty-first and forty-second meetings due to time constraints. Due to the time constraints the Board did not consider this issue at its forty-third meeting and agreed to postpone the consideration to its forty-fourth meeting.



20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on apportioning of emissions to co-products and by-products, as contained in annex 11 to the panel meeting report (see MP35 report).

Background: The Board at its thirty-eighth meeting considered the draft guidance on apportioning of emissions to co-products and by-products and requested the panel to illustrate its use by applying it to an example case. The panel included examples on each of the approaches for apportioning emissions presented in the guidance. The allocation by energy content approach was also added.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on expansion of industrial gases recovery methodologies to new facilities, as contained in annex 12 to the panel meeting report (see MP35 report).

Background: The Board at its forty-second meeting considered a note on expansion of industrial gases recovery methodologies to new facilities, prepared by the panel, and requested the panel to provide a draft guidance together with clarifications on the issues raised in the note for consideration of the Board at its forty-fourth meeting. In response, the panel prepared a guidance document covering such industrial gases as N₂O, SF₆ and PFC.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on an accurate plant load factor for wind power project activities applying ACM0002 taking into account the variability of the wind parameters and gaps of data. The Board may wish to consider the following three options, as presented in paragraph 37 of the panel report (see MP35 report):

- (a) The DOE should validate that the estimate in the CDM-PDD on the annual electricity generation is consistent with the estimate provided to banks and/or equity financiers while applying for project financing, or to the government while applying for implementation approval;
- (b) The expected annual electricity generation of the project should be determined by a third party contracted by the project participants (e.g. an engineering company);
- (c) If decided by the Board, the VVM may include a section on how to validate annual electricity generation estimates. The panel could elaborate such guidance in collaboration with the Secretariat and possibly drawing on expertise from external consultants and submit for the Board's approval.

Background: The Board at its thirty-ninth meeting requested the panel to review the approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 to arrive at an accurate plant load factor taking into account the variability of the wind parameters and gaps of data. This request was raised in relation with the consideration of requests for issuance under project activities where the quantity of electricity actually generated by the wind turbine was higher than the estimated electricity generation in the registered CDM-PDD. After considering the case, the panel developed the above three options for consideration by the Board.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to launch a call for experts on the following technical areas: coal mine fires; iron and steel industries, adipic acid production, transport, SF₆, uncertainty, and landfill aeration.

Background: The panel noted that identifying in order to contract experts on various technical issues required for the consideration of proposed new methodologies is taking a considerable amount of time and this is causing delays in the consideration process of some methodologies by the panel. The panel therefore requested the Board to launch a call for experts in these selected technical areas.

24. **Note:** The panel requested the Board to take note that it could not discuss the issue of default emission factors from changes in soil carbon stocks following a land use change or a change in the land in relation to the ongoing revision of AM0047 as no expert input on this issue was available for this meeting. The panel intends to finalize the revision of AM0047 for consideration at its thirty-seventh meeting, taking



into account input mentioned above, with a view to make a recommendation to the Board for its forty-sixth meeting.

25. **Note:** The panel requested the Board to take note that it considered the first draft of one of the proposed three methodologies that should replace ACM0006. The panel will further consider the revision to this approved methodology at its next meeting.

26. **Note:** The panel requested the Board to take note that it could not discuss a possible revision to ACM0014 in relation to a request for deviation due to the unavailability of the panel member who had been assigned the responsibility for this case.

27. **Note:** The panel requested the Board to take note that it continued its work on the new tool for estimation of efficiency v/s load curve for baseline equipment and agreed to finalise its consideration at the next meeting.

28. **Note:** The panel requested the Board to take note that it discussed a draft tool for determination of moisture content of a stream containing water vapour and residual or combustion gases, which could be used to convert parameters of a gas, such as the gas flow or the gas composition, from a dry basis to a wet basis. The panel agreed to finalize its recommendation to the Board at its next meeting.

29. **Note:** The panel requested the Board to take note that it further worked on the issues related to the renewal of a crediting period and agreed to continue its consideration at the next meeting.

30. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the oral report of the secretariat on energy efficiency work.

31. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-sixth meeting of the panel will be held from 19 to 23 January 2009, as per annex 16 of the forty-third meeting of the Board.

32. **Note:** The Board may also wish to take note that the deadline for the twenty-sixth round of submissions of proposed new methodologies is 16 December 2008 and the deadline for submission of requests for revision and requests for clarification to be considered at the thirty-sixth meeting shall be 8 December 2008.

(c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities

33. **Note:** Due to the proximity of the closing date of the twenty-second meeting of the A/R WG to the date of publication of these annotations an addendum will be issued containing the annotations relating to this agenda item.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

34. **Note:** Due to the proximity of the closing date of the eighteenth meeting of the SSC WG to the date of publication of these annotations an addendum will be issued containing the annotations relating to this agenda item.

(e) Matters relating to programme of activities

35. **Action:** The Board may wish to further consider the options prepared by the secretariat to address issues associated with the development of the Programme of Activities (PoA) and difficulties in the validation and submission for registration of a PoA.



Background: At its forty-third meeting, the Board took note and discussed the options prepared by the secretariat to address issues associated with the development of the PoA and difficulties in the validation and submission for registration of a PoA, which takes into account the inputs received from stakeholders in response to a call for public inputs launched by the Board. The Board agreed to consider further these issues at its next meeting.

(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 12 November 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 1205 (one thousand two hundred and five);
- (b) Request for registration: 104 (one hundred and four);
- (c) Request for review: 42 (forty-two);
- (d) Corrections requested: 127 (one hundred and twenty-seven);
- (e) Under review: 8 (eight);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 84 (eighty four);
- (g) Withdrawn: 22 (twenty two).

Case specific

37. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the twenty-nine (29) requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1526	Saldanha Small Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
1744	Yiyang Xiushan Hydropower Project, P.R. China	?	?	?
1755	Roncheng Wind Power Project, 48.75MW	?	?	?
1787	Valdivia biomass power plant	?	?	?
1817	Fengguang 24.9MW Hydropower Project in Guangdong Province, China	?	?	?
1819	Ceran’s Castro Alves Hydro Power Plant CDM Project Activity	?	?	?
1824	Wind power project by HZL in Karnataka	?	?	?
1833	Inner Mongolia Duolun Daxishan 30.6MW Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1834	Xacbal Hydroelectric project	?	?	?
1837	Zhejiang Cixi Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
1848	Sichuan Kangding Simaqiao 24MW Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
1849	Jinji 25.2 MW Hydropower Project (the Project) in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China	?	?	?
1850	Jiangxi Liujinba 20MW Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
1856	Wind power project by HZL in Gujarat	?	?	?



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1859	China Fujian Putian LNG Generation Project	?	?	?
1874	25.3MW WHR Project of Zhejiang Leomax Group	?	?	?
1890	Biomass based Hot Air Generation at Fertilizer Unit of Tata Chemicals Ltd., Haldia, West Bengal	?	?	?
1893	Energy efficiency improvement of the existing Frame V Gas Turbine by steam injection and change of drive (from steam to electricity) of Ammonia cooling water pumps at NFCL	?	?	?
1898	Fujian Jinjiang LNG Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1899	Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AIN07-W-01, Sumatera Utara (North Sumatera), Indonesia	?	?	?
1900	Duerping Coal Mine Methane Utilization Project	?	?	?
1903	Electrotherm 30 MW combined waste heat recovery and coal based captive power plant at Kutch	?	?	?
1904	Avoidance of methane emissions from Municipal Solid Waste and Food Waste through Composting	?	?	?
1905	Generation of power from process waste heat at Hi-Tech Carbon, Tamil Nadu	?	?	?
1929	Nantong Coalmine Methane	?	?	?
1936	“Listrindo Kencana Biomass Power Plant”	?	?	?
1951	ANAEROBIC DIGESTION SWINE WASTEWATER TREATMENT WITH ON-SITE POWER PROJECT (ADSW RP2001)	?	?	?
1966	Sichuan Miyaluo Hydroelectric Station	?	?	?
2026	5.8 MW Wind Energy Generation by M/s Patspin India Limited	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

38. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for nine (9) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its forty-third meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB43

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1633	28MW Jinkouba Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1670	Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Anshan) Coke Dry Quenching Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1671	Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Yingkou) Coke Dry Quenching Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1749	Xiaoxi Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1804	Hejiang County Yuanxing Hydro Project	?	?	?
1806	Emission reductions through partial substitution of fossil fuel with alternative fuels in three cement plants of Holcim Philippines Inc.	?	?	?



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1828	Guangzhou Zhujiang Power Plant Gas (LNG) Combined Cycle Project	?	?	?
1858	Longzhou 1st Hydro Power Project	?	?	?

Background: At its forty-third meeting the Board decided to place the eight (8) project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2). A ninth project activity (Pig City confined swine feeding operations methane capture and combustion from improved animal waste management system - 1812) was also placed under review by the Board at its forty-third meeting, however the project participants have withdrawn this request for registration.

39. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 3 below.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a request for review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
1068	Energy efficiency measures at cement production plant

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the request for reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 10 of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.

40. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 4 below.

Table 4: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>
1328	Brasil Central Energia S.A. – Sacre 2 Small Hydro Power Plant Project	?	?
1544	Dwarikesh 8 MW Bagasse-Based Power Generation Project, Bijnor, UP India	?	?
1574	20 MW Bagasse Based Co-generation Power Project at Bannari Amman Sugars Limited, Nanjangud, Karnataka	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 4 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 4), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 4).

41. **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to registration.



Background: One (1) request for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its forty-third meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-fourth meeting.

Registration procedure/General guidance

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised proposal on standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board, as contained in annex 4 of the annotations of the forty-second meeting of the Board.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board took note of a revised proposal from the secretariat regarding the standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board which takes into account the inputs received from stakeholders in response to a call for public inputs launched by the Board. Due to time constraints the Board could not consider further this proposal and agreed to consider it at its next meeting.

(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 12 November 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 830 issuances, aggregate 208,742,804 CERs;
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 28 requests, 7,728,710 aggregate CERs;
- (c) Requests for review: 18 requests, 936,087 aggregate CERs;
- (d) Under review: Zero (0) requests.

Case specific

44. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the fourteen (14) requests for issuance listed in table 5.

Table 5: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	506954	?	?	?
0218	CDM SOLAR COOKER PROJECT Aceh 1	1077	?	?	?
0535	Sesa-Waste Heat Recovery Based Power Generation	43130	?	?	?
0674	“Waste Heat Recovery based captive power generation by SKS Ispat Ltd”	27120	?	?	?
0686	Improvement in Energy Consumption of a Hotel	1745	?	?	?
0695	Yanling Shendu Hydropower Project	19056	?	?	?
0745	Demand side energy conservation and reduction measures at ITC Tribeni Unit	9934	?	?	?
0798	Zámbezka Landfill Gas Project	12609	?	?	?
0804	Bagasse based Co-generation Project at Nanglamal Sugar Complex	12707	?	?	?



<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0855	Waste heat recovery based power plant at Hindustan Zinc Limited, Chanderia	15933	?	?	?
0919	Malwa Industries, Ludhiana Small Scale Biomass Project	27477	?	?	?
1018	Fuel efficiency improvement in glass melting	41088	?	?	?
1037	Aços Villares Natural gas fuel switch project	49542	?	?	?
1151	KSPCL Waste Heat to Power project, India	3506	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 5 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 5), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 5) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 5). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Seventeen (17) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its forty-third meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-fourth meeting.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) take note of the presentation of the secretariat highlighting the main activities defined by the latest version of the CDM-MAP and their financial implications, with the view of adopting it (c) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 2 of these annotations.

5. Other matters

(a) Code of conduct

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a code of conduct for members and alternate members of the Executive Board.

Background: At its forty-second meeting, the Board considered a draft code of conduct prepared by the secretariat and agreed to discuss this issue further at subsequent meetings.

(b) Regional distribution

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a progress report on the study on micro-finance and the CDM undertaken by the government of Denmark.

Background: At its thirty-fifth meeting, the Board noted with appreciation the intention of the government of Denmark to initiate work, in cooperation with the Board, to identify potential and scope for synergy and co-operation between CDM and micro-finance mechanisms.

**(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities**

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report by the secretariat on the sixth meeting of the CDM DNA Forum held on 27-28 October 2008 in Santiago de Chile.

Background: The CDM, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 28 November 2008; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(f) Other business

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its forty-fourth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. José Domingos Gonzalez Miguez, Executive Secretary, Brazilian DNA received on 8 October, 2008 related to a request to review the 9th version of ACM0001;
- (b) Letter from Ms. Seleha Lockwood, CDM Methodologies Officer, Sindicatum Carbon Capital Ltd received on 8 October, 2008 related to the site name “Bekasi” in the title of the new methodology NM0256;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Matthew Mendis, Corporate Vice President, International Resource Group (IRG) received on 10 October, 2008 related to AMS IIC and Bachat Lamp Yojana (BLY);



- (d) Letter from Mr. Ken Newcombe, CEO, C-Quest Capital received on 10 October, 2008 related to AMS IIC and Bachat Lamp Yojana (BLY);
- (e) Letter from Mr. Joe Sun, Lead Assessor, Mr. Siddharth Yadav, Global Technical Manager (CDM/JI), SGS received on 14 October, 2008 related to a request for clarifications received - Treatment of different type and number of wind turbine with the total install capacity remaining the same in a registered CDM wind project;
- (f) Letter from Ms. Karen O'Reilly, Director of Product Development, Lexington Insurance Company received on 14 October, 2008 related to catastrophe loss of a CDM Project;
- (g) Letter from Mr. Kerry Helston, Coordinator, Carbon Reduction Fund received on 16 November 2008 related to questions Regarding the AM0035 CDM protocol;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Ivan Marcelo Neves, Executive Secretary, Fórum Brasileiro de ONGs e Movimentos Sociais para o Meio Ambiente e o Desenvolvimento (FBOMS) received on 5 November, 2008 related to solicitation to reject CDM Project BAESA (HPP Barra Grande).

53. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6. Conclusion of the meeting

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the forty-fourth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
