



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Forty-second meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
24 - 26 September 2008

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft “Procedure for the submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies by designated operational entities to the Meth Panel”
- Annex 2 - Draft “Guidance on the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R project activities”
- Annex 3 - Revised draft on standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board based on public comments received
- Annex 4 - Status of income and expenditure



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
 - (h) Matters relating to membership issues
 - (i) Privileges and immunities
 - (ii) Code of conduct
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. **► Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.
2. The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. **► Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the forty-second meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its forty-second meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 3 September 2008 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the twenty-sixth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted on 12 September 2008, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Martin Hession, on the work of the CDM-AP. Due to the schedule of the CDM-AP meeting (7–9 September 2008) all accreditation documentation will be submitted on Friday, 12 September 2008.

Background: The CDM-AP held its thirty-sixth meeting on 7–9 September 2008.

Case specific

5. **► Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on Friday, 12 September 2008.

General guidance

6. **► Action:** The Board may wish to continue to consider and adopt the draft Validation and Verification Manual (VVM) as contained in annex 1 to the annotations to the forty-first meeting.

Background: The Board, at its forty-first meeting, started to consider the draft VVM. The Board held a detailed general discussion on the document and considered it up to the paragraph 67 of the document,

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



contained as annex 1 of the annotated agenda to the forty-first meeting of the Board. The Board due to time constraints could not finalize its consideration of the document and agreed to continue its discussion on the remaining parts of the document at its forty-second meeting.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft document on elaboration of accreditation standards for DOEs. The draft document will be annexed to the thirty-sixth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, considered the draft document 'elaboration of accreditation standards for DOEs', submitted by the CDM-AP. The Board also noted that the objective of the document is to promote consistency in the implementation of CDM accreditation standards in the accreditation process. The Board noted that the document has been shared with AEs/DOEs in a workshop held on 7, July 2008 in Bonn, Germany and that their views had been incorporated in the document. The Board requested further review of the standard by the CDM-AP, and requested the CDM-AP to submit a revised version for the consideration and approval of the Board at its forty-second meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the detailed proposal on training of accreditation assessment teams and potential for expansion to other areas of the CDM prepared by the Accreditation Panel. The draft document will be annexed to the thirty-sixth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board, at its forty-first meeting, considered a proposal by the Chair of the CDM-AP on training of accreditation assessment teams. The Board took note of the document, in particular, principles and components of the training programme and options for their implementation. The Board approved the development of a programme in principle, and the proposed training components. The Board requested the CDM-AP to develop a further paper on options on effective implementation of the training programme and cost implications for the consideration of the Board at its forty-second meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the Accreditation Panel on assessment of the options, to submit a revised accreditation procedure. The draft document will be annexed to the thirty-sixth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board further requested the CDM-AP, on the basis of further assessment of the options, to submit a revised accreditation procedure for the consideration of the Board at its forty-second meeting, reflecting its agreement in principle on central selection of witnessing activities, and its requests for requirements for witnessing activities in re-accreditation are met. The implementation of this decision shall be subject to revision of the accreditation procedure and recommendation of the CDM-AP to the Board.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revision of the accreditation procedure prepared by the Accreditation. The draft document will be annexed to the thirty-sixth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, considered the recommendation of the CDM-AP on proposed changes to the spot-check procedures. The proposal includes the possibility of undertaking a spot-check on the site of a CDM project activities. The Board also took note of proposals to enhance the scope of the spot-check assessments. The Board requested the CDM-AP to incorporate the changes in the revision of the accreditation procedure. The Board requested the CDM-AP to submit the revised accreditation procedure for its consideration at its forty-second meeting.

11. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that dates for the thirty-seventh meeting of the CDM-AP shall have to revised taking into consideration the workload of the panel as well as availability of accreditation panel members.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring**

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the thirty-fourth meeting of the Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the Meth Panel, Mr. Akihiro Kuroki, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its thirty-fourth meeting in Bonn, Germany, from 25 to 29 August 2008 and undertook its work in two parallel groups as well as joint sessions. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

13. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to:

(a) Approve cases NM00235, NM00247 and NM0261 as methodologies, contained in annexes 1, 2 and 4 of the Meth Panel report (see MP34 report);

(b) Not to approve cases NM0256, NM0263, NM0274 and NM0276 that, if revised taking into account comments from the Meth Panel, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the Meth Panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website².

The panel considered the request from the Board raised at its forty-first meeting in relation to the draft methodologies based on the cases NM0235 and NM0247 recommended for approval by the Meth Panel at its thirty-second meeting. The Board requested the panel to analyze (a) possible implications of a supply side methodology, encouraging producers to introduce energy efficiency improvements in manufactured appliances, on a consumer side programme of activities using the same type of appliances; (b) how to demonstrate that a technological innovation in a manufacturing facility is introduced due to the CDM and not other reasons such as safety considerations, cost reduction, availability of new materials, etc; (c) feasibility of the applicability condition requiring DOEs to confirm that no double-counting occurs, specifically in the context of the large number of appliances that may be manufactured and sold in the project activity duration, in particular in the case of PoA.

The panel agreed to recommend the Board to approve these draft methodologies on the basis of its assessment of the three issues raised by the Board. Concerning issue (a), the panel was of the opinion that project activities targeting a producer, a distributor or a consumer of a climate-friendly product should be equally acceptable. The related methodological issue of potential double-counting is adequately addressed in both proposed methodologies. On the issue (b), the panel clarified that this issue relates to the demonstration of additionality and appropriate procedures are included in the proposed new methodologies. On the issue (c), the panel was of the opinion that the implementation of the applicability condition requiring the DOE to confirm the absence of double-counting is feasible due to the monitoring procedures included in the proposed methodologies. A note on the Meth Panel assessment of the issues raised in the Board's request is contained in annex 3 to MP34 report.

The Meth Panel agreed to provide a preliminary recommendation to project participants for the proposals NM0265, NM0268, NM0269, NM0271, NM0272, NM0273 and NM0275. The panel could not conclude its discussion on cases NM0239, NM0248, NM0250, NM0251, NM0258, NM0264, NM0266,

² See <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>



NM0267 and NM0270. In context of NM0239, the panel noted that as expert input for assessing the estimation of emissions in the storage tanks was not available, discussions could not be concluded. The case will be considered once the expert input becomes available. The panel did not consider the case NM0250 as expert inputs on the unresolved issues related to emissions of N₂O and CH₄ associated with the operation of aerobic wastewater treatment plants were required before further consideration of the case. The panel could not conclude its discussions on the case NM0251 due to unresolved issues mainly related to the robustness of the matrix model to cap SF₆ emissions, number of tests performed, mass balance in the reclamation facility and additionality assessment. The panel will further consider the case at its next meeting. The panel could not conclude the work on the cases NM0258 and NM0266 due to unresolved technical issues related to the modeling of transport related activities. These issues require inputs from external experts on methodological aspects of project activities in the public transport sector prior to finalization of the consideration of the cases. These cases will be considered once the expert input becomes available. The panel could not conclude its consideration of the case NM0264 and noted that, although no major issues were identified, many minor issues related to applicability conditions, baseline scenario and monitoring procedure must be addressed. The panel intends to conclude its consideration of this case at its next meeting. The panel could not conclude its consideration of the case NM0267 as further expertise on the issues relating to the permanence of emission reductions, procedures for calculation of baseline and project emissions and monitoring procedures is required. The panel intends to continue the consideration of this case once the required expert input becomes available. The panel could not conclude its consideration of the case NM0270 due to issues regarding baseline scenario selection for power generation and for the use of permeate/associated gas. The panel intends to conclude its consideration of this case at its next meeting.

Responses to requests for clarification

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0100 to AM_CLA_0110, AM_CLA_0113 to AM_CLA_0117 and CLA_TOOL_0006, as provided by the panel and referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table of MP34 report).

Background: Information on the clarification on the application of approved methodologies and methodological tools is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

Responses to requests for revision

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to requests for revision, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table of MP34 report):

(a) Not to accept request AM_REV_0099 concerning a revision to approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 to revise scenario15.

(b) Accept request AM_REV_0102 concerning a revision to AM0058 to expand the applicability of the approved methodology. The resulting revision is to be adopted under annotated agenda item 5 (b) below.

(c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0103 concerning a revision to AM0034 to extend its applicability to cover nitric acid plants that were already approved by the government for construction by the cut off date of 31 December 2005.

(d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0104 concerning a revision to AM0048 to expand its applicability to include cogeneration project activities that supply steam and electricity to newly introduced customers.



(e) Not to accept request AM_REV_0105 concerning a revision to ACM0008 to include procedures for calculating emission reductions from displaced household cooking fuel.

Background: Information on the requests for revision to approved methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPrev>>).

The panel could not conclude its discussions on the request for revision AM_REV_0100. The objective of this request is to (1) include a scenario where electricity produced from cogeneration is exported on ad-hoc basis to the grid without claiming emission reductions, (2) replace the term “syngas” by “methane rich gas” in the text of the methodology, and (3) revise requirements under leakage emissions. The panel considered that further analysis was required on the appropriateness of the proposal from the project proponents. The panel intends to revert to the consideration of this case at its next meeting. The panel could not complete the work on the request for revision AM_REV_0101 due to technical issues related to the estimation of the fossil carbon in the waste, which require further inputs from external experts.

Revision to approved methodologies

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision to the following approved methodologies:

(a) **AM0009:** The revision is made in response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0107. The draft revision includes the correction of error in equation 3 and the clarification on the calculation of project emissions from associated gas used within the processing facility for power generation. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 5 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 18 of MP34 report).

(b) **AM0058:** The revision is made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0102. The proposed changes in the methodology are inclusion of backpressure cogeneration plants as possible project technology, and introduction of a new baseline scenario where an isolated boiler supplies heat to one building. In the latter case historical data on fuel consumption and heat production is required. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 6 of the Meth panel report (see paragraph 19 of MP34 report).

(c) **AM0064:** The revision is made in response to the submission of a proposed new small-scale methodology SSC-NM001. The Board at its thirty-ninth meeting requested SSC WG to review SSC-NM001 in consultation with the Meth Panel to assess, *inter alia*, its consistency with AM0064. The revision is to expand the applicability of the methodology to include project activities that capture and destruct methane from exploration boreholes. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 7 (see paragraph 20 of MP34 report).

(d) **ACM0008:** The revision is made in response to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0113 and AM_CLA_0089. The revision is to expand the applicability of the approved consolidated methodology to include project activities where methane is destructed using flameless oxidation without the use of a catalyst. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 8 (see paragraph 21 of MP34 report).

(e) **ACM0010:** The revision includes the response to EB40 request to re-assess the requirement of ACM0010 for 100 per cent measurement of the animal weight and to consider if a sampling method can be applied to meet this requirement instead. The revision is to add sampling procedures for estimating the annual average weight of the livestock at 95 per cent confidence interval. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 9 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 22 of MP34 report).

Background: The revision to the approved methodology AM0009 is made in response to the request for clarification AM_CLA_0107. The revision to the approved methodology AM0058 is made in response to



the request for revision AM_REV_0102. The revision to the approved methodology AM0058 is made in response to the submission of a proposed new small-scale methodology SSC-NM001 and the request of the Board to assess its consistency with AM0064. The revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0008 is made in response to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0113 and AM_CLA_0089. The revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0010 is made in response to the request of the Board. Information on the requests for revision to and clarification on approved methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPrev> and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>).

General guidance

17. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on the barrier “first-of-its-kind”, as contained in annex 10 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 28 of MP34 report).

Background: The Board at its thirty-eighth meeting considered the analysis of the application of the common practice test in demonstrating additionality to a sample of project activities and requested the panel to work on providing a definition of the “first-of-its-kind” project activity. In accordance with this request, the panel prepared draft guidance containing the following main issues:

- (a) The definition of the technologies that are eligible to use the barrier “first-of-its-kind” and the definition of what is regarded as a similar technology or project activity.
- (b) The definition of the number of similar project activities that may have already been implemented in the past, to still regard the project activity as “first-of-its-kind”.
- (c) The definition of the geographical area to be used when assessing the number of similar project activities that have already been implemented.

18. **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on expansion of industrial gas recovery methodologies to new facilities as contained in annex 11 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 29 of MP34 report).

Background: The panel noted an increasing number of submissions of new methodologies and requests for revision to expand the scope of approved methodologies on industrial gas recovery and destruction to cover project activities implemented in new facilities. The panel developed a note listing the main issues to be considered when developing and assessing future submissions in this category.

19. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision to the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies by designated operational entities to the Meth Panel” as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The draft revision to the procedure is to clarify that requests for clarification submitted with an apparent intention to expand the applicability of an approved methodology to project activities not included (explicitly or otherwise) in this methodology should not be accepted. Project participants submitting, through the DOE, such a request for clarification should be advised by the DOE to submit a request for revision to the approved methodology, complete with a draft PDD and a draft revised methodology.

20. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel further discussed the issue of default emission factors from changes in soil carbon stocks following a land use change or a change in the land management. Expert inputs on this issue will be available for consideration at the next panel meeting. Further, the panel discussed the issue of upstream emissions for the production of fossil fuels, noting that additional data from different regions is needed. The panel intends to revise AM0047 for consideration at its next meeting, taking



into account inputs mentioned above, with a view to make a recommendation to the Board at its forty-fourth meeting.

21. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the panel's on-going work on the revision of ACM0006. Further developments on this revision will be reported at the forty-fourth meeting of the Board.

22. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel initiated the work on the feasibility of developing a procedure/approach/model to sample the wind speed to arrive at an accurate plant load factor to establish energy generation pattern for project activities applying ACM0002, as requested by the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting, and will report its conclusions to the Board at its forty-fourth meeting.

23. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel continued its work on the new tool for estimation of efficiency v/s load curve for baseline equipment and agreed to finalise its consideration at its next meeting.

24. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel discussed and agreed upon the timelines for the development of tools and guidance on methodologies and project activities in the area of energy efficiency. These tools and guidance documents will be developed in support of the work of the Board on energy efficiency and in cooperation with SSC WG.

25. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel conducted an assessment of the requirements for the renewal of the crediting period as reflected in the approved methodologies with a view to ensuring consistency between the methodologies and related Board guidance. The panel will continue work on this issue at its next meeting.

26. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel considered the issue of assignment of sectoral scopes in the context of, *inter alia*, a submitted request for clarification. The panel clarified that there is a difference between assigning a sectoral scope to a methodology and to a project activity. The assignment of sectoral scopes to a methodology is done by the Meth Panel. Given the broad applicability of some methodologies, several sectoral scopes can be assigned to a methodology. However, generally only one sectoral scope should be assigned to a project activity. Where as the assignment of a sectoral scope to a given project activity is done by the CDM-AP. Only the most relevant sectoral scope can be assigned after assessment by the CDM-AP, on a case-by-case basis.

27. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-fifth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 3 to 7 November 2008, as per annex 25 of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board. The Board may also wish to take note that the deadline for the twenty-sixth round of submissions of proposed new methodologies is 17 December 2008 and the deadline for submission of requests for revision and requests for clarification to be considered at the thirty-fifth Meth Panel meeting shall be 22 September 2008, 24:00 GMT.

(c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities

28. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the twenty-first meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. José Domingos Miguez, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its the twenty-first meeting on 1 - 3 September 2008 in Bonn, Germany. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

Responses to requests for clarifications

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the A/R WG agreed to continue consideration of the request AR-AM_CLA_0005 in its twenty-second meeting, as referred to in the A/R WG 21 report (see paragraphs 4 and 5).

Background: Information on the clarification to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/ARclar>>). The request for clarification AR-AM_CLA_0005 sought clarification on the application of definition of project boundary to CDM afforestation and reforestation projects that are implemented on lands owned by communities and small or marginal farming households. The A/R WG agreed to continue consideration of the request AR-AM_CLA_0005 in its twenty-second meeting taking account of inputs provided following the request for public input on the draft guidance and the issue of flexibility in the application of the definition of project boundary to CDM A/R project activities, as agreed by the Board at its forty-first meeting and the resultant guidance by the Board from its forty-second meeting.

Revision of approved methodologies/tools

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of the following approved methodologies:

(a) **AR-AM0005:** The revision is made in response to request for revision AR-AM_REV_0002. The draft revised methodology applies a methodological approach which makes reference to the approved tool “Estimation of GHG emissions related to displacement of grazing activities in A/R CDM project activity”. The A/R WG agreed to several editorial changes to correct minor errors and provide further clarity. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 1 of the A/R WG report (see paragraph 6 of A/R WG 21 report).

(b) **AR-AM0007:** The revision is made in response to request for revision AR-AM_REV_0003. The draft revised methodology applies a methodological approach, which makes reference to the approved tool “Estimation of GHG emissions related to displacement of grazing activities in CDM A/R project activity”. The A/R WG agreed to improve the section for establishing the baseline scenario and to apply several editorial changes to correct minor errors and provide further clarity. The draft revised methodology is contained in annex 2 of the A/R WG report (see paragraph 7 of A/R WG 21 report).

Background: Information on the requests for revisions of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/ARrev>>). The A/R WG recommended the Board to approve the following responses to requests for revisions of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies: AR-AM_REV_0002 and AR-AM_REV_0003 (see paragraphs 3 and 4 of A/R WG 21 report).

General guidance

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of the "Guidelines for completing the Project Design Document for A/R (CDM-AR-PDD), the proposed new methodology for A/R: baseline and monitoring (CDM-AR-NM)" as contained in annex 3 of the A/R WG report. Additionally, the Board may wish to approve the change of the title of the above-mentioned document to: “Guidelines for completing CDM A/R forms for: the project design document (CDM AR PDD) and the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology (CDM-AR-NM)”.

Background: The A/R WG agreed to recommend a revision of "Guidelines for completing the Project Design Document for A/R (CDM AR PDD), the proposed new methodology for A/R: Baseline and Monitoring (CDM-AR-NM)". The revision simplifies the forms for project design document (CDM-AR-PDD) and the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology for A/R (CDM AR-NM), and updates references and



guidance approved by the Board. Additionally it simplifies standard variables and nomenclature. The A/R WG further agreed to recommend to change the title of the guidance to: “Guidelines for completing CDM A/R forms for: the project design document (CDM AR PDD) and the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology (CDM-AR-NM)” (see paragraph 8 of A/R WG 21 report).

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendations by the A/R WG to further clarify guidance on accounting for GHG emissions from N₂O emissions from fertilizer application in A/R CDM project activities (see paragraph 50 of the EB 26 report), that GHG emissions from fertilizer application, and GHG emissions from the removal of herbaceous vegetation and transportation are considered as insignificant in A/R CDM project activities and therefore these emissions may be neglected in A/R baseline and monitoring methodologies. The A/R WG further agreed to recommend that the Board request the secretariat to revise those affected approved A/R CDM baseline and monitoring methodologies including tools reference therein, in order to apply the above-mentioned guidance.

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the compilation of inputs provided following the request for public input on the draft guidance and the issue of flexibility in the application of the definition of project boundary to CDM A/R project activities, as agreed by the Board at its forty-first meeting. The Board may wish to consider a revised draft “Guidance on the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R project activities” based on the above-mentioned compilation of inputs and related guidance approved by the Board, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its forty-first meeting, considered the draft “Guidance on the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R project activities” recommended by the A/R WG. The Board agreed to launch a call for public inputs on the draft guidance on the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R CDM project activities from 6 August 2008 until 3 September 2008. The Board requested the secretariat to compile the inputs in order to prepare a revised document for consideration by the Board at its forty-second meeting. The Board reiterated that the guidance should allow for more flexibility in the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R CDM project activities which are not intended to be submitted as a Programme of Activities (PoA). In particular, the flexibility in the geographical delineation of the afforestation or reforestation project activity under the control of the project participants shall be considered (see paragraph 35 of EB 41 report).

34. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-second meeting of the A/R WG will be held from 10–12 November 2008, as per annex 25 of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board.

35. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for consideration of request for revision and request for clarification of A/R methodologies at the twenty-second meeting shall be 29 September 2008.

36. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for the 20th round of submissions of proposed new A/R methodologies is 27 October 2008.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

37. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Ms. Ulrika Raab on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its seventeenth meeting on 1 to 3 September 2008 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

*Case specific*

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘AMS III.U Cable Cars for Mass Rapid Transit System’, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 4 of SSC WG 17 report).

Background: In response to the submission SSC-NM006, the SSC WG recommended a new methodology. The methodology is for public transport projects involving a new cable car line as part of a mass transit system, which will displace fossil fuel based motorized transport modes.

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘AMS III.V Decrease of coke consumption in Blast Furnace by installing dust/sludge recycling system in steel works’, as contained in annex 2 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 5 of SSC WG 17 report).

Background: In response to the submission SSC-NM010, the SSC WG recommended a new methodology. The methodology is for activities resulting in decrease in coke consumption in a blast furnace of steel works by feeding direct reduced iron (DRI) pellet into the blast furnace. The DRI pellet is produced by dust/sludge recycling system from dust/sludge in project activity, which is not currently utilized inside the steel works but sold outside and/or land-filled.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘AMS III.W Methane capture and destruction in non-hydrocarbon mining activities’, as contained in annex 3 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 6 of SSC WG 17 report).

Background: As requested by the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting and taking into account the inputs from the thirty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel, the SSC WG recommended a revised draft methodology for fugitive methane recovery from exploratory boreholes for non-hydrocarbon minerals.

Revisions of approved methodologies:

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS I.A Electricity generation by the user’ as contained in annex 4 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 7 of SSC WG 17 report).

Background: In response to submissions SSC_210, the SSC WG recommended a revision to AMS I.A to include project activities for renewable energy based lighting (e.g. solar-lamps) to displace fossil fuel usage in lighting in rural households that are not grid connected or connected to a grid prone to blackouts/brownouts.

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS III.H Methane recovery in wastewater treatment’ as contained in annex 5 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 8 of SSC WG 17 report).

Background: In response to submissions (e.g. SSC_199, SSC_215), the SSC WG recommended a revision to AMS III.H including additional guidance on baseline determination and project emission calculations. Further the methodology has been restructured for clarity and provisions related to the methane correction factor and related uncertainties have been revised.

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS III.I Avoidance of methane production in wastewater treatment through replacement of anaerobic lagoons by aerobic systems’ as contained in annex 6 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 9 of SSC WG 17 report).



Background: The SSC WG recommended a revision to AMS III.I to be consistent with the methods of AMS III.H.

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS III.Q Waste gas based energy systems as contained in annex 7 of the report of the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG (see paragraph 22 of SSC WG 17 report).

Background: In response to submissions (e.g. SSC_198) and following a consistency check with ACM0012 (version 03), the SSC WG recommend revisions to AMS III Q to broaden the applicability of the methodology by including an option to use other fuels to supplement the use of waste energy, to meet the balance energy needed for electricity and/or steam generation. Revisions also include a precise definition of waste gas and further guidance on baseline emission calculations.

General guidance

45. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to note that, as requested by the Board at its forty-first meeting, the SSC WG considered the eligibility of charcoal based biomass energy generation project activities, to apply AMS I.C and agreed to recommend the following:

Only charcoal, produced from renewable biomass sources³, is eligible under the CDM provided:

- The charcoal is produced in kilns equipped with methane recovery and destruction facility; or
- If the charcoal is produced in kilns not equipped with a methane recovery and destruction facility, methane emissions from the production of charcoal shall be considered. These emissions shall be calculated as per the procedures defined in the approved methodology AMS III.K. Alternatively, conservative emission factor values from peer reviewed literature or from a registered CDM project activity can be used, provided that it can be demonstrated that the parameters from these are comparable e.g. source of biomass, characteristics of biomass such as moisture, carbon content, type of kiln, operating conditions such as ambient temperature.

46. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to note that, as requested by the Board at its forty first meeting, to clarify paragraph 18.C⁴ of AMS I.C the SSC WG agreed to recommend that it is appropriate to employ survey methods to determine annually the number of systems operating in the absence of other evidence such as on-going rental/lease payments as long as the sample units for estimating the annual hours of operation are excluded from the sample units for estimating the continued operation of the units. This is also in accordance with other approved methodologies e.g. AMS II.C.

Background: The SSC WG agreed to include the changes to AMS I.C indicated in paragraphs 45 and 46 above together with the other ongoing revisions to the methodology (e.g. consideration of project emissions when the methodology is applied to geothermal energy projects, further guidance on cogeneration project activities) for consideration by Board at its forty-fourth meeting.

³ Refer to Annex 18, EB 23 for the definition of renewable biomass

⁴ Paragraph 18.C. states “If the emissions reduction per system is less than 5 tonnes of CO₂e a year:

(i) Recording annually the number of systems operating (evidence of continuing operation, such as on-going rental/lease payments could be a substitute); and

(ii) Estimating the annual hours of operation of an average system, if necessary using survey methods. Annual hours of operation can be estimated from total output (e.g. tonnes of grain dried) and output per hour if an accurate value of output per hour is available.



47. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the eighteenth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 10–12 November 2008, as per annex 25 of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board.

(e) **Matters relating to programme of activities**

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the comments received from a call for public input related to issues associated with the development of the Programme of Activities (PoA) and difficulties in the validation and submission for registration of a PoA, as available at http://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/2008/PoA/index.html.

Background: At its forty-first meeting, the Board agreed to launch a call for public inputs on Programme of Activities (PoA) to seek comments on issues associated with the development of the Programme of Activities (PoA) as a CDM Project Activity and difficulties in the validation and submission for registration of a PoA. and agreed to consider these comments at its next meeting

(f) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 10 September 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 1157 (one thousand one hundred and fifty seven);
- (b) Request for registration: 55 (fifty-five);
- (c) Request for review: 70 (seventy);
- (d) Corrections requested: 63 (sixty-three);
- (e) Under review: 23 (twenty-three);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 70 (seventy);
- (g) Withdrawn: 20 (twenty).

Case specific

50. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the sixty-six (66) requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1350	Shri Chamundi Captive Energy Private Limited”, 16MW biomass fired cogeneration plant for supply of power and steam to an industrial facility in Karnataka	?	?	?
1401	Apaqui run-of-river hydroelectric project	?	?	?
1494	Burning of solid biomass for process steam generation for beer manufacture in place of fuel oils at AMBEV’s Branchs Agudos (SP) and Teresina (PI)	?	?	?



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1525	GHG emission reductions through pre-heat train optimization in the CDU and VDU of Digboi Refinery, Indian Oil Corporation Limited (Assam Oil Division)	?	?	?
1581	Bii Stinu Wind Energy Project	?	?	?
1589	Sichuan Chenjiaheba 20MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1600	40 MW Grid Connected Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1601	Fujian Jiangle Gaotang Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1621	Inner Mongolia Bayannaouer Chuanjingsumu 49.3MW Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1637	Jiangsu Huaerrun Waste Heat Recovery Project	?	?	?
1647	YZICL 4.5MW Waste Heat Generation Project	?	?	?
1648	Top Gas Pressure Recovery based Power Generation from 'G' Blast Furnace	?	?	?
1649	AARTI CDM CPP	?	?	?
1654	AIPL WHRB 1&2	?	?	?
1656	Coke Dry Quenching (CDQ) Waste Heat Recovery for Power Generation Project of Laiwu Iron & Steel Group Corp	?	?	?
1657	Laiwu Iron & Steel Group Laigang Inc. 25MW Waste Gas Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1658	Yinshan Profiled Iron Co., Ltd. 25 MW Waste Gas Power Generation Project of Laiwu Iron & Steel Group Corp.	?	?	?
1667	2.5 MW Rice husk based cogeneration plant at Hanuman Agro Industries Limited	?	?	?
1668	Baotou Iron & Steel Coke Dry Quenching #3 and Waste Heat Utilization for Electricity Generation Project	?	?	?
1672	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Digang Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1673	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Huaining Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1674	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Jiande Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1675	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Tongling Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1676	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Zongyang Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1693	HITECH CDM CPP	?	?	?
1699	Landfill Gas Management Project Puerto Vallarta Landfill site, Mexico	?	?	?
1702	SMC WHRB 1&2	?	?	?
1705	Shanxi Taigang Stainless Steel Co., Ltd. Sinter Machine Waste Heat Recovery and Generation Project	?	?	?
1707	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Taiyuan Gangyuan Coking & Chemicals Co., Ltd in China	?	?	?
1708	SML WHRB CPP	?	?	?
1709	Angang Sinter Machine Waste Heat Recovery and Generation Project	?	?	?
1710	24MW power generation from coking waste heat generated in the clean-	?	?	?



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
	type heat-recovery coke ovens at Shanxi Province Gaoping City Sanjia Coking Co., Ltd. in China			
1711	Shanxi Taigang Stainless Steel Co., Ltd. Waste Saturated Steam Recovery and Generation Project	?	?	?
1718	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Taiyuan Yingxian Coking & Chemicals Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1719	WHR CDM CPP	?	?	?
1725	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Taiyuan City Wanguang Coal and Coking Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1726	Ma Steel (new plant) CDQ and waste heat utilization project	?	?	?
1728	Jingdezhen Kaimenzi Ceramics Chemical Industry Group Limited Company CDQ Technology-Reform Project	?	?	?
1729	Ma Steel (old plant) CDQ and waste heat utilization project	?	?	?
1735	PAA Biogas Extraction Project for Heat Generation	?	?	?
1737	Methane Recovery for Onsite Utilisation Project at Desa Kim Loong Palm Oil Mill, Sook, Keningau, Sabah, Malaysia	?	?	?
1754	Visakhapatnam (India) OSRAM CFL distribution CDM Project	?	?	?
1757	Offis Textile Ltd. Fuel Switch, Israel	?	?	?
1758	Fuel Switching Project of the Aqaba Thermal Power Station (ATPS)	?	?	?
1760	GCL biomass gasification based power generation	?	?	?
1762	Wind Electricity Generation Project	?	?	?
1764	China Xieshui Small Rundle Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1768	Gansu Luqu Dazhuang Hydropower Station Project	?	?	?
1771	Taebaek Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1772	China Tuanjie Small Rundle Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1773	China Chuandongxia Small Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1774	Electricity generation from mustard crop residues: Tonk, India	?	?	?
1778	15 MW Wind Energy Project in Maharashtra	?	?	?
1779	Yunnan Jinping Dapo Hydropower Station	?	?	?
1783	Methane capture from POME for electricity generation in Batu Pahat	?	?	?
1786	Energy efficiency and fuel switch project at Welspun India Limited	?	?	?
1789	Shandong Tuoji Island Windfarm Project	?	?	?
1794	Energy efficiency measures in "Technopolis".	?	?	?
1797	15 MW grid-connected wind power project by MMTC in Karnataka	?	?	?
1801	Shanxi Datuhe Coal Mine Methane Utilization Project	?	?	?
1808	Danian 14MW Hydropower Project in Gansu Province	?	?	?
1810	Shanshuping 12 MW Small Hydropower Project in Sichuan Province, China	?	?	?
1814	Yuexi Dayan Small Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1831	Fujian Wuyishan Wenlin River 2nd and 3rd Level Hydropower Station	?	?	?
1835	Jradzor Small Hydroelectric CDM project	?	?	?
1846	ANAEROBIC DIGESTION SWINE WASTEWATER TREATMENT WITH ON-SITE POWER PROJECT (ADSW RP2002)	?	?	?



Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. It should be noted that two (2) proposed project activity which received at least three requests for review (“4 MW biomass based power generation project at Guntur, Andhra Pradesh” (1803), “15 MW Grid Connected Wind Turbine Project in Karnataka”(1576)) have been withdrawn by the project participants.

51. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the twenty-three (23) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its forty-first meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB41

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1252	5 MW Upper Awa small hydroelectric project, Himachal Pradesh, India	?	?	?
1309	Jiangsu Qingshi Cement Plant's Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1479	USJ Açúcar e Alcool S/A – Usina São Francisco Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1602	22.5 MW grid connected wind farm project by RSMML in Jaisalmer, India.	?	?	?
1608	Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Yingkou) Blast Furnace Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project	?	?	?
1609	Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Anshan) Blast Furnace Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project	?	?	?
1611	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Chizhou Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1619	Yuhe Tongli WHR Project	?	?	?
1622	Huanghe Tongli WHR Project	?	?	?
1625	A power generation project using waste heat from the Coke Dry Quenching (CDQ) equipment in China	?	?	?
1640	SSPL 4.5 MW WHRB CPP	?	?	?
1642	SHYAM DRI WHR CPP	?	?	?
1659	13.5MW WHR Project in Hunan Niuli Cement Co., Ltd	?	?	?
1666	SEPL CDM CPP	?	?	?
1669	Tangshan Xinfeng Thermal & Power Co., Ltd. Waste Gas Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1685	Zhang Jiagang waste heat recovery from sulphuric acid production for electricity generation project	?	?	?
1689	Chongqing Iron & Steel Co. Ltd. Waste Gas to Electricity Project	?	?	?
1691	Captive power generation through waste heat recovery system in a steel plant in Jinan City, China	?	?	?
1696	Power Generation by Waste Heat Recovery Project of Xinjiang	?	?	?



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
	Tianshan Cement Co. Ltd. in Urumqi City, Xinjiang Autonomous Region, P. R. China			
1703	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Shanxi Shouyang County Boda Industries Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1704	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Lan County Fengda Coking and Chemicals Smelting Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1720	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Qinyuan County Mingyuan Coal and Coke Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1724	36MW Power generation from coking waste heat generated in the clean-type heat-recovery coke ovens at Shanxi Qinxin Coal and Coke Co., Ltd, China	?	?	?

Background: At its forty-first meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2). It should be noted that project activity “20MW Bagasse based Cogeneration power project” at Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Sathyamangalam, Tamil Nadu”(1572), which was placed under review at EB 41 has been withdrawn by the DOE.

52. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 4 below.

Table 4: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>
1447	Use of FINEX Off Gas for power generation in Pohang Steel Works	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 4 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 4), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 4).

53. **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to registration.



Background: Two (2) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its forty-first meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-second meeting.

Registration procedure/General guidance

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to selecting additional members of the registration and issuance team (RIT), taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: Due to time constraints at its forty-first meeting the Board agreed to consider the shortlist of experts for membership of the registration and issuance team (RIT) at its forty-second meeting.

55. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised proposal on standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

Background: At its forty-first meeting, the Board considered the draft standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board. The Board agreed to launch a call for public inputs on this draft from 6 August 2008 until 3 September 2008 and requested the secretariat to incorporate the inputs received in a highlighted manner in a revised document for consideration by the Board at its forty-second meeting. The Board was, in particular, interested in the views of Designated National Authorities (DNAs).

(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 10 September 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 748 issuances, aggregate 185,355,905 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 17 requests, 9,035,541 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 34 requests, 8,668,731 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 5 (five).

Case specific

57. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the thirty (30) requests for issuance listed in table 5.

Table 5: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0027	Onyx Landfill Gas Recovery Project – Trémembé, Brazil	46320	?	?	?
0096	Copiulemu landfill gas project (Center for the Storage and Transfer, Recovery and Control of Waste,	16403	?	?	?



<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
	Treatment and Disposal of Industrial and Household Waste)				
0099	N2O Emission Reduction in Onsan, Republic of Korea	1053305	?	?	?
0123	Energy efficiency through installation of modified CO2 removal system in Ammonia Plant	21720	?	?	?
0124	Methane Extraction and Fuel Conservation Project at Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited (TNPL), Kagithapuram, Karur District, Tamil Nadu	45125	?	?	?
0141	Abanico Hydroelectric Project	148920	?	?	?
0151	Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project	360929	?	?	?
0187	Jalles Machado Bagasse Cogeneration Project (JMBCP)	14975	?	?	?
0207	Alto Alegre Bagasse Cogeneration Project (AABCP)	17760	?	?	?
0213	Serra Bagasse Cogeneration Project (SBCP)	8924	?	?	?
0260	Landfill gas recovery at the Norte III Landfill, Buenos Aires, Argentina	85528	?	?	?
0312	18 MW Kemphole Mini Hydel Scheme (KMHS), by International Power Corporation Limited, India	44522	?	?	?
0395	LDEO Biomass Steam and Power Plant in Malaysia	34245	?	?	?
0431	Puente Gallego Landfill gas recovery project, Gallego, Rosario, Argentina	26539	?	?	?
0453	NorthWind Bangui Bay Project	36761	?	?	?
0486	Horizonte Wind Power Generation Project	5061	?	?	?
0491	Rudong County Wind Farm Project – China	23607	?	?	?
0505	Methane recovery and power generation in a distillery plant	9328	?	?	?
0556	12MW Captive Power Project based on Waste Heat Recovery of Industrial Waste Gases	86269	?	?	?
0717	India Cements WHR project	47550	?	?	?
0818	MSPSPL Waste Heat Recovery Based Captive Power Project	45989	?	?	?
0839	Talia Landfill Gas Recovery Project and Electricity Production	21847	?	?	?
0868	No.2 HFC-23 Decomposition Project of Zhejiang Juhua Co., Ltd, P. R. China	1342210	?	?	?
0868	No.2 HFC-23 Decomposition Project of Zhejiang Juhua Co., Ltd, P. R. China	1315220	?	?	?
0889	RIMA Fuel Switch in Bocaiúva	30250	?	?	?
0893	Canabrava Landfill Gas Project	9477	?	?	?
0932	Energy Efficiency Measures At Paper Production Plant	26110	?	?	?
0949	Fuel switch from fossil fuel to renewable biomass for thermal energy applications in Rajasthan	13106	?	?	?
1002	Electricity generation by utilization of waste heat from calcined petroleum coke production process	81158	?	?	?



<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1083	N2O decomposition project of Henan Shenma Nylon Chemical Co., Ltd	430514	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 5 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 5), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 5) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 5). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. It should be noted that one proposed project activity which received at least three requests for review (“AWMS Methane Recovery Project MX06-S-36, Coahuila, Durango and Nuevo León, México”(0658)) has been withdrawn by the project participants.

58. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the five (5) project activities listed in table 6, which the Board placed under review at its forty-first meeting.

Table 6: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB41

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0136	Biogas Support Program - Nepal (BSP-Nepal) Activity-1	95468	?	?	?
0139	Biogas Support Program - Nepal (BSP-Nepal) Activity-2	47714	?	?	?
0274	TSIL – Waste Heat Recovery Based Power Project	25649	?	?	?
0494	Switching of fuel from naphtha to natural gas in the captive power plant(CPP) at Dahej complex of Gujarat Alkalies and Chemicals Limited	85632	?	?	?
0498	SIDPL Methane extraction and Power generation project	69818	?	?	?

Background: At its forty-first meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 6 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 6), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 6), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in Table 6). It should be noted that request for issuance for the project activity “AWMS Methane Recovery Project MX06-S-35, Jalisco and Michoacán, México” (0538), which was placed under review at EB 41 has been withdrawn by the project participants.

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 7 below.



Table 7: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
0508	Onyx Alexandria Landfill Gas Capture and Flaring Project	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for issuance of the project activities listed in table 7 above, the Board agreed that CERs could be issued following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the CERs shall be issued (case A in table 7), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 7).

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Seven (7) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its forty-first meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-second meeting.

(h) Matters related to membership issues

(i) Privileges and immunities

61. ► **Action:** The Board might wish to consider the oral report by the secretariat on privileges and immunities of members and alternate members of the Executive Board.

Background: At its last meeting, members of the Board asked that the issue of privileges and immunities of members and alternate members of the Executive Board be included in the agenda of the forty-second meeting. The Board further requested the secretariat to report in detail on this issue.

(ii) Code of conduct

62. ► **Action:** The Board might wish to consider the development of a code of conduct for members and alternate members of the Executive Board.

Background: At its last meeting, members of the Board asked that the issue of a code of conduct for members and alternate members of the Executive Board be included in the agenda of the forty-second meeting.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) consider the update by the secretariat on the operationalization for implementing the CMP request; and (c) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 4 of these annotations.



5. Other matters

(a) EB report to the CMP

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the first draft of its report to CMP 4, covering the period 20 October 2007 to 24 October 2008. To facilitate this task an informal initial draft will be made available by the secretariat.

Background: In accordance with paragraphs 2 to 5 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the report is submitted for consideration to each session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) with a view to the CMP reviewing, *inter alia*, these annual reports and taking decisions, as appropriate, on:

(a) Recommendations made by the Executive Board, in accordance with provisions of the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP and CMP;

(b) The designation of operational entities accredited, and provisionally designated, by the Executive Board in accordance with Article 12, paragraph 5.

(b) Regional distribution

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree on recommendations to CMP.4 on regional distribution, to be reflected in the Board report to the CMP at its fourth session.

Background: Following the encouragement of CMP related to the facilitation of the regional and subregional distribution of CDM project activities, the Board requested members and alternate members to provide inputs to the secretariat. The Board may wish to consider these inputs and agree final recommendations to CMP.

(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the briefing of the secretariat on the preparations of the sixth meeting of the CDM DNA Forum to be held on 27 - 28 October 2008 in Santiago de Chile.

Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the briefing of the secretariat on the preparations of the sixth meeting of the CDM DNA Forum to be held on 27 - 28 October 2008 in Santiago de Chile.

Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

**(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

68. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 26 September 2008; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(f) Other business

69. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its forty-first meeting:

- (a) Letter from K. Madhusudan, Chairman & Managing Director, Sree Rayalseem Green Energy Limited received on 18 July 2008 and related to issuance request of SRGEL Non conventional Biomass Power project (0546);
- (b) Letter from Dr. (Ing.) S. C. Bhatia, Consultancy Services - Power Projects, Clean Development Mechanism, received on 19 July 2008 related to 1754 Visakhpatnam;
- (c) Letter from Ms. Mary Gómez Torres, Head of Latin American Programme for Carbon, Clean Energy and Alternatives - Plac+E, Andean Development Corporation, Corporación Andina de Formento (CAF) received on 22 July 2008 and related to revision to ACM0006.
- (d) Letter from Sadia's Institute - 3S Program, on behalf of Paloma Cavalcanti received on 29 July 2008 regarding clarification to PoA-DD of Sadia Institute - Brazil;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Arvind Bansal, Executive Director, Mission Biofuels India PVT Ltd., received on 4 August 2008 related to the request for revision of AM REV 070;
- (f) Letter from Dr. Anri Engel, LL.M., Rechtsanwalt, Turpprecht, Engel & Kornisch received on 15 August 2008 and related to Project 0443 Capex s.a. - Agua de Cajon thermal power plant - open and combined cycle conversion and response to letters 29 April and 19 March 2008;
- (g) Letter from Ze Wu, Shanghai Tepia Environmental Protection Co., Ltd received on 15 August 2008 related to a question about ACM0012 Version 03;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Naveen Sharma received on 21 August 2008 related to UNFCCC Ref. 1002 - Electricity generation by utilization of waste heat from calcinated petroleum coke production process;



- (i) Letter from Mr. P. Ananthanarayanan, Mumbai, India received on 25 August 2008 related to EB41 Report, Annex 46;
- (j) Letter from Letter from Mr. P. Ananthanarayanan, Mumbai, India received on 25 August 2008 related to the Validation and Verification Manual;
- (k) Letter from Ms. Susanne Haefeli-Hestvik, Technical Director, Tricorn received on 4 September 2008 related to Project 1590: China Tongwan Hydropower Project;
- (l) Letter from Mr. Ferdinand Heilig, Managing Director received on 10 September 2008 related to discussion on how to reduce increasing N₂O emissions under the CDM as a contribution to effectively mitigate global climate change.

70. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6. Conclusion of the meeting

71. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the forty-second meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
