



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Forty-first meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
30 July - 2 August 2008

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft Validation Verification Manual
- Annex 2 - Draft guidance on common practice analysis
- Annex 3 - Draft revision to “Guidelines for completing the project design document (CDM-PDD), and the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies (CDM-NM)
- Annex 4 - Draft standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board
- Annex 5 - Assessment of actions by all actors in the registration and issuance process
- Annex 6 - Status of income and expenditure



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

2. The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the forty-first meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its forty-first meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 9 July 2008 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the twenty-fifth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted on 18 July 2008, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Martin Hession, on the work of the CDM-AP. Due to the schedule of the CDM-AP meeting (14–16 July) all accreditation documentation will be submitted on Friday, 18 July 2008.

Background: The CDM-AP held its thirty-fifth meeting on 14–16 July 2008.

Case specific

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on 30 April 2008.

General guidance

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and adopt the draft Validation and Verification Manual (VVM) as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its thirty-ninth meeting, took note of the update on the progress of the work on the VVM and requested the secretariat to seek public comments and ensure that the document is in

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



accordance with all the existing guidance, clarifications and decisions relevant to the validation and verification work of the DOEs.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the outcome of the workshop and draft document on elaboration of accreditation standards for DOEs, held on 7 July 2008, in Bonn, Germany. The draft document will be annexed to the twenty-fifth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, took note of the preparations of the workshop on elaboration of accreditation standards for DOEs. The Board took note that all AEs/DOEs have been invited for the workshop. The Board also requested the panel to complete the work on the document expeditiously and submit it for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the detailed proposal on training of accreditation assessment teams and potential for expansion to other areas of the CDM by the Chair of the Accreditation Panel. The draft document will be annexed to the twenty-fifth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board, at its thirty-ninth meeting, took note of a concept proposal by the Chair of the Accreditation Panel on training of accreditation assessment teams, and potential for expansion to other areas of the CDM. The Board held a preliminary discussion on the proposal and requested the Chair of the Panel to provide a detailed proposal, taking into account the issues raised during the meeting, for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the Accreditation Panel on measures to assist in facilitating improvements in the assessments of DOEs.

Background: The Board, at its thirty-ninth meeting, taking into consideration the assessment of DOEs, requested the panel to develop measures to assist in facilitating improvements in the assessments of DOEs. The Board further requested the panel to consider the possibility for the spot-check of CDM project activity sites in addition to the offices of the DOEs. The Board requested the Accreditation Panel to submit proposals for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the thirty-third meeting of the Methodologies Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the panel, Mr. Akihiro Kuroki, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its thirty-third meeting in Bonn, Germany on 23 to 27 June 2008 and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The Meth Panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

11. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the Meth Panel, the Board may wish to:

(a) Approve cases NM00235, NM00247 and NM0262 as methodologies, contained in annexes 1 to 3 of the Meth Panel report (see MP33 report);

(b) Not to approve cases NM0208, NM0244, NM0249, NM0252, NM0253, NM0254, NM0255, NM0257 and NM0260 that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.



Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the Meth Panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website².

The panel considered the requests from the Board raised at its thirty-ninth meeting in relation to the draft methodologies based on the cases NM0235 and NM0247 recommended for approval by the panel at its thirty-second meeting. For the case NM0235, in its thirty-ninth meeting, the Board requested the panel to analyze the possible options for addressing potential double-counting of emission reductions under this methodology and report to the Board at its forty-first meeting. For the case NM0247, the Board requested the panel to (a) analyze possible options for addressing potential double-counting of emission reductions under this methodology and (b) compare upstream emissions from the production of HFC 134a and hydrocarbon, and report to the Board at its forty-first meeting.

The panel agreed to recommend the Board to approve these draft methodologies and to amend their applicability conditions to read that the DOE, performing validation of the project activity, will have to provide confirmation that no other project activity, involving the same refrigerator models, has been registered as CDM project activity, submitted for registration or uploaded for public comments. The panel also clarified that upstream emissions from the production of HFC-134a and a project refrigerant can be neglected, as their magnitude is smaller than other emissions considered under the methodology based on the case NM0247. It is also a conservative simplification since the emissions from the production of the baseline refrigerant HFC-134a are higher than the emissions from the production of the project refrigerant.

The Meth Panel agreed to provide a preliminary recommendation to project participants for the proposals NM0256, NM0261 and NM0263. The panel could not conclude its discussion on cases NM0239, NM0248, NM0250, NM0251 and NM0258. In context of NM0239, the panel noted that expert input is needed for assessing the estimation of emissions in the storage tanks. Further, the panel also noted that clarification regarding the procedure for baseline scenario selection is needed from the project proponents. The panel intends to conclude its discussions, based on the above two inputs, at its next meeting. The panel could not complete the work on the case NM0250 due to issues related to the emissions of N₂O and CH₄ associated with the operation of aerobic wastewater treatment plants, which require inputs from external experts. The panel could not conclude its discussions on the case NM0251 due to unresolved issues related to the existing methods of determination of baseline SF₆ consumption. The panel will further consider the case at its thirty-fourth meeting. The panel could not conclude the work on the case NM0258 due to a number of technical issues related to modeling of transport related activities. These issues require inputs from external experts on methodological aspects of project activities in the public transport sector prior to finalization of the consideration of the case.

Responses to clarifications

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarifications AM_CLA_0078 to AM_CLA_0099 and CLA_TOOL_0003 to CLA_TOOL_0005, as provided by the panel and referred to in the Meth Panel report (see table of MP33 report).

Background: Information on the clarification to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

² See <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPpappmeth>>

*Responses to requests for revisions and resultant revision of approved methodologies*

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to revisions and the resultant revision of the approved methodologies, as referred to in the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 14 of MP33 report):

(a) Not to accept request AM_REV_0087 concerning revision of approved methodology AM0006 on “Time boundary constraint”.

(b) Not to accept request AM_REV_0089 concerning revision of approved methodology AM0028 to expand its applicability to new nitric acid plants.

(c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0090 concerning AM0028 requesting a revision to extend the applicability to relocated nitric acid plants.

(d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0091 concerning AM0025 requesting a revision in order to include in-situ aeration of landfills in the applicability of methodology.

(e) Not to accept request AM_REV_0092 concerning AM0048 requesting a revision to extend applicability to include the cogeneration project type of supplying steam and electricity to newly introduced project customers

(f) Not to accept request AM_REV_0093 concerning ACM0006 requesting to revise the baseline methodology in accordance with the baseline of the Paramonga project.

(g) Not to accept request AM_REV_0094 concerning ACM0011 requesting the revision to make this methodology applicable to a wider range of project activities, without hindering the conservative nature of the emission reductions achieved when applying this methodology. Please refer paragraph 14(d) of this annotated agenda below.

(h) Not to accept request AM_REV_0095 concerning AM0065 requesting to revise the baseline methodology mainly to include new experimental procedure to determine baseline SF6 degradation factor. Please refer paragraph 14(a) of this annotated agenda below.

(i) Not to accept request AM_REV_0096 concerning ACM0003 requesting to extend applicability to include the use of biomass residues before the project activity.

(j) Not to accept request AM_REV_0097 concerning AM0014 requesting to extend its applicability to newly developing facility.

(k) Not to accept request AM_REV_0098 concerning ACM0010 to recognise a baseline scenario that is a counterfactual anaerobic treatment system that generates methane without destruction by flaring or energy production.

Background: Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>).

The panel could not complete the work on the request for clarification AM_CLA_0084 due to technical issues related to the application of the methodology to greenfield cement plants, which require further inputs from external experts. The panel also could not conclude its discussions on the request for revision AM_REV_0088. The objective of this request is to extend applicability of AM0021 to new adipic acid



facilities. The panel decided to seek expert inputs on issues related to the impact of CDM benefits for new adipic acid plant on the shift of production from Annex I to non-Annex I countries.

Revision/consolidation of approved methodologies/tools

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of the following approved methodologies and methodological tools:

(a) **AM0065:** The revision is made in response to request for revision AM_REV_0095. The panel recommended the Board not to approve the revision to the approved methodology as proposed in AM_REV_0095. However, the panel recommended to some suggestion in the proposed request. The draft revision includes change in the procedure to estimate the baseline emission factor of SF6 based on the minimum value of emission factor for the three years prior to the start of implementation of the project activity. The draft revision is contained in annex 4 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 17 of MP33 report).

(b) **AM0067:** The revision is in response to the Board's request (report of Board's thirty-eighth meeting) to review the applicability condition requiring that the share of the project activity technology be less than 20 per cent of all transformers installed during the last three years prior to the start of the project activity. The draft revision is contained in annex 5 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 18 of MP33 report).

(c) **ACM0001:** The revision is made in response to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0092 and AM_CLA_0095. The draft revision includes the following: clarification that the measurement of both LFG flow and methane fraction in LFG have to be conducted on the same basis (wet or dry); references to be used for procedure for conversion from wet basis measurements to dry basis in case "Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing Methane" is used. The revision also includes cases where periodical measurements are allowed, and guidance on performing periodical measurements for monitoring the fraction of methane in the landfill gas. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 6 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 19 of MP33 report).

(d) **ACM0011:** This editorial revision to the methodology is made based on some elements of the request for revision AM_REV_0094. The panel recommended not to accept the request except for a few editorial changes. The editorial revision is to correct equations 8 and 9 for calculation of the efficiency of the power plant. The draft revision is contained in annex 7 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 20 of MP33 report).

(e) **ACM0012:** The revision is in response to the Board's request (report of Board's thirty ninth meeting) to assess the impact of the draft revision proposed by the panel at its thirty-second panel meeting on the applicability of the methodology. Based on the panel's assessment, the draft revision includes the following: the revised definitions of waste energy and cogeneration; changed applicability for waste pressure based energy recovery activities; clarified type I and type II activities; and inclusion of different approaches for the capping of waste energy quantity and other related changes. The draft revised approved methodology is contained in annex 8 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 21 of MP33 report).

(f) **Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from dumping waste at a solid waste disposal site:** The revisions is in response to the tool is based on the request for clarification CLA_TOOL_0005. The draft revision clarifies that the tool is not applicable to stockpiles and that the approach to determine emissions from stockpiles as described in AMS-III.E cannot be used for large-scale projects. The nature of stockpiles differ from solid waste disposal sites since they have a large surface area to volume ratio and therefore anaerobic conditions are not ensured as in the case of other solid waste disposal sites. The panel clarifies that project proponents are encouraged to suggest appropriate methods that can be



used for large-scale projects involving stockpiles. The draft revised tool is contained in annex 9 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 26 of MP33 report).

(g) **Tool to calculate project or leakage CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel combustion:** The revision to the tool is based on the request for clarification CLA_TOOL_0004. The draft revision clarifies that the usage of fixed calibrated rulers is an acceptable measurement method for monitoring the fuel consumption given that certain conditions, as explained in the proposed revised tool, are met. The draft revised tool is contained in annex 10 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 27 of MP33 report).

General guidance

15. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the proposal for an *enhanced barrier test* for projects that have a potentially high profitability without CER revenues and to request the Small-Scale Working Group to assess the potential relevance of this issue for small-scale project activities. The draft proposal is contained in annex 11 of the Meth Panel report (see paragraph 30 of MP33 report).

Background: The panel, as requested by the Board at its thirty-eighth meeting, discussed the concept note for the use of barrier analysis to demonstrate additionality for project activities where the implementation of the project activities may result in significant financial benefits without CDM revenues. The proposal provides procedures to be applied when the project activities are highly profitable without CER revenues and only use barrier analysis to demonstrate additionality. The proposal initially restricts applicability of the guidance to greenfield industrial plants and CDM project activity that use solid or liquid waste (including biomass residues), waste gas, waste heat, etc. as new feedstock for producing either a product, heat or electric power.

16. **► Action:** The board may wish to approve the revision of approved methodology AM0034, and AM0021, AM0028 and AM0051.

Background: In providing its response to clarification AM_CLA_0096 on AM0034, the panel identified certain editorial changes to AM0034 to improve clarity. The secretariat in its assessment to ensure consistency among approved methodologies, identified that these changes could be extended to other N₂O emission reduction methodologies. Further, the secretariat identified, based on the clarification, that monitoring procedures for measurements could be simplified provided appropriate equipments are available. The revision aims at clarifying the monitoring practices of volume of stack gas (VSG) and concentration of N₂O in stack gas (NCSG), which need to be normalized based on temperature and pressure of stack gas (TSG and PSG) before being used in equation for estimation of baseline emissions.

17. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the guidance on common practice analysis, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: This Board in its fortieth meeting considered the draft guidance on common practice analysis prepared by the secretariat and agreed to further discuss the draft guidance at its forty-first meeting.

18. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the oral report of the secretariat on energy efficiency and also of the proposal on possible tools and guidance related to energy efficiency.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, took note of the oral report of the secretariat on energy efficiency and also the work to identify tools and guidance related to energy efficiency. The update highlighted the key recommendations of the scoping paper prepared by the expert based on two earlier reports commissioned by the Board. The Board requested the secretariat to provide a proposal listing tools and guidance on the main energy efficiency methodological issues to be addressed in consultation with Meth Panel.



19. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the proposal of draft revision to “Guidelines for completing the project design document (CDM-PDD), and the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies (CDM-NM)”, included as annex 3 to the annotations.

Background: The Board at its thirty-eighth meeting considered the draft revision to “Guidelines for completing the project design document (CDM-PDD), and the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies (CDM-NM)” and requested the secretariat to seek public comments before finalized the draft revision. The secretariat, taking into account the public comments and the guidance from the panel (see paragraph 29 of MP33 report), has prepared a draft revision to the guidance for consideration by the Board.

20. **► Note:** The Board, at its thirty-ninth meeting, considered the draft guidance on addressing uncertainty in the estimation of emission reductions for CDM project activities and requested the panel to continue working on the proposal. The draft guidance provides procedure to address random uncertainty in estimation of emission reduction. This arises out of random uncertainty in data and parameters used in estimating baseline emissions, project emissions and leakage. If the estimated random uncertainty is more than 15%, the estimated emission reductions are to be discounted. The Board also requested the Meth Panel to take into account the following aspects: (i) procedure to assess level of uncertainty of a parameter; (ii) a maximum level of uncertainty should be defined as an upper limit of acceptable uncertainty; (iii) the implication of flexibility to choose level of uncertainty by project participants on prescribed frequency of monitoring. The Board may wish to take note that following request of the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting, the panel continued the work on the draft guidance on uncertainty. The panel agreed to prepare and evaluate a procedure to assess the level of uncertainty of a parameter.

21. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that panel continued working on the definition of “first of its kind project”. The panel discussed a draft proposal and agreed to further consider the draft at its next meeting.

22. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel further discussed the report of expert on the estimation of emissions from processing and production of biofuels from cultivated inputs, and noted that default emission factors from changes in soil carbon stocks following a land use change or a change in the land management should be further assessed. The panel also agreed that further expert input is needed, in particular to assess the issue mentioned above. The panel also agreed to revise AM0047 for consideration at its next meeting, taking into account expert inputs, with a view to make a recommendation to the Board for its forty-fourth meeting.

23. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that panel will undertake further work on cases NM0208 and NM0246 to develop methodological approaches to determine the conditions where construction of a grid-connected power plant can be deemed to partly or fully displace off-grid electricity, and to determine an emission factor in such cases. The Board may also wish to take note of the constraints of data and capacity in many developing countries, which hinders the application of methodologies to project activities referred above. The Panel, in its discussion on the cases NM0208 and NM0246, acknowledges that the reality in many non-Annex I countries (particularly in Africa) is that grid electricity is often insufficient to meet electricity demand, and consumers use off-grid electricity in significant quantities to meet such unmet demand.

24. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that due to a temporary shortage of resources at the secretariat the ongoing revision to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 could not be completed. The Board, at its thirty-seventh meeting, requested the Meth Panel to undertake a review of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 with a view to: (i) provide more clarity on the applicability of various scenarios; (ii) if possible consolidate the various scenarios; (iii) provide a simple guide for project participants to identify which scenario is applicable to their project activity and (iii) explore the possibility of splitting the methodology if



there are very distinct types of project activities to which the methodology is applicable. The Board requested that the work on such a review should be presented for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

25. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the panel could not complete its work on the new tool “Tool for estimation of efficiency v/s load curve for baseline equipment” and agreed to continue its consideration at the next meeting.

26. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that due to time constraints, the panel could not discuss the following two issues requested by the Board at its thirty-ninth and fortieth meeting: (1) review ACM0002 to analyzing the feasibility of developing a procedure/approach/model to sample the wind speed to arrive at an accurate plant load factor to establish energy generation pattern. (2) re-assess the requirement of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0010 for 100% measurement of animal weight and to consider if a sampling method can be applied when appropriate.

27. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the thirty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 25 to 29 August 2008, as per annex 25 of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board. The may also wish to take note that the deadline for the twenty-fifth round of submissions of proposed new methodologies is 17 September 2008 and the deadline for submission of requests for revision and requests for clarification to be considered at the thirty-fourth meeting to be held from 25 - 29 August 2008 shall be 11 July 2008, 24:00 GMT.

(c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities

28. **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the twentieth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. José Domingos Miguez, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its twentieth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 18 - 20 June 2008. The A/R WG dealt with case-specific issues and general issues.

Responses to requests for clarifications

29. **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the response to the request for clarification AR_AM_CLA_004, as provided by the A/R WG and referred to in the A/R WG 20 report (see paragraphs 4, 5, 6 and 7).

Background: Information on the clarification to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/ARclar>>). The request for clarification AR_AM_CLA_004, sought to confirm if on an operational level, large amounts of numerical data on geographic coordinates of the project boundary may be included in the PDD in the form of digital information, e.g. a shape file (.shp as used in ArcGIS by ESRI). The A/R WG was of the opinion that project boundary may be reported in the PDD in the form of digital information provided in a universally accessible format and recommended to the Board to adopt this option based on its feasibility. Further, the A/R WG requested the secretariat to assess the feasibility of such reporting option for consideration by the Board. The Secretariat's assessment shall be made available to the Board at the time of the meeting.

General guidance

30. **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft “Guidance on the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R project activities”, as contained in annex 1 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 20 report).



Background: The A/R WG agreed to recommend a draft “Guidance on the application of the definition of project boundary to A/R project activities”. The draft guidance allows for more flexibility in application of the definition of project boundary, for those projects, which are not intended to be submitted as a Programme of Activities (PoA).

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft methodological tool: “Estimation of Carbon Stocks, Removals and Emissions for the Dead Organic Matter Pools due to Implementation of a CDM A/R Project Activity”, as contained in annex 2 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 20 report).

Background: The A/R WG agreed to recommend a draft methodological tool: "Estimation of Carbon Stocks, Removals and Emissions for the Dead Organic Matter Pools due to Implementation of a CDM A/R Project Activity". The tool provides methodological procedures to estimate the changes in carbon stocks in the dead organic matter (DOM), pools—that is, the dead-wood and litter pools—due to implementation of a CDM A/R project activity. The tool identifies the circumstances of a project activity under which emissions and removals by the existing DOM pools need not be accounted and provides guidance for their estimation when project participants want to claim credits for enhancing DOM pools due to project activity.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a draft “Tool for the identification of degraded or degrading lands for consideration in implementing CDM A/R project activities”, as contained in annex 3 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 20 report).

Background: The A/R WG agreed to recommend a draft “Tool for the identification of degraded or degrading lands for consideration in implementing CDM A/R project activities”. The tool provides procedure for the identification of degraded, and/or degrading lands, for the purpose of application of these terms in the context of A/R CDM project activities.

33. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-first meeting of the A/R WG will be held from 1–3 September 2008, as per annex 25 of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board.

34. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for the nineteenth round of submissions of proposed new A/R methodologies is 4 August 2008.

35. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to remind project participants that the deadline for consideration of request for revision and request for clarification of A/R methodologies at the twenty-first meeting to be held from 1 September 2008 shall be 21 July 2008, 24:00 GMT

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

36. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the sixteenth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Ms. Ulrika Raab on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its sixteenth meeting in Stockholm, Sweden from 30th June to 2nd July 2008. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘AMS II.J. Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies’, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the sixteenth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 16 report).



Background: In response to the submission SSC_192, the SSC WG recommended a new methodology. The methodology is applicable to project activities replacing incandescent lamps with compact fluorescent lamps (CFLs).

Revisions of approved methodologies:

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS II.C Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies’ as contained in annex 2 of the report of the sixteenth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 16 report).

Background: The Board at its thirty-ninth meeting, after considering the revisions SSC WG to the approved methodology ‘AMS II.C Demand-side energy efficiency activities for specific technologies’, requested the working group to review the draft revision to ensure that the applicability of the methodology to new facilities is maintained. In addition to the revisions requested by the Board the SSC WG also proposed revisions to the guidance for applicability of the methodology for Programme of Activities to consider the penetration of the project activity technology in the baseline and the interactive effects of lighting and heating.

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS III.B. Switching fossil fuels’ as contained in annex 4 of the report of the sixteenth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 16 report).

Background: In response to submissions SSC_178 and SSC_183, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision to AMS III.B, expanding the applicability of the methodology to new facilities and including guidance on treatment of capacity expansions.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS III.F. Avoidance of methane production from decay of biomass through composting’ as contained in annex 5 of the report of the sixteenth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 16 report).

Background: In response to submissions SSC_181, SSC_186 and SSC_194, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision to AMS III.F. The proposed revision expands the applicability of the methodology to include controlled anaerobic digestion of solid organic waste which otherwise would have been left to decay in a waste disposal site.

General guidance

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and approve the draft revision of general guidance to SSC methodologies as contained in annex 3 of the report of the sixteenth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 16 report).

Background: The proposed revisions include guidance on baseline identification and consideration of lifetime of existing equipment drawing elements from Board approved tools and guidance (e.g. Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality).

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to thank the Swedish Energy Agency and the Government of Sweden for hosting the sixteenth meeting of the SSC WG and the excellent meeting facilities provided and the warm hospitality offered to the members and the secretariat staff.

Background: The sixteenth meeting of SSC WG was held in Stockholm and was hosted by the Swedish Energy Agency, which provided the venue, covered the incremental costs of covering the meeting.



43. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the cases SSC-NM003-rev, SSC-NM008, SSC-NM0011, SSC_172 and SSC_184 were not deemed suitable for recommendation to the Board³ as made publicly available on the UNFCCC CDM website at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/Clarifications/index.html> or <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/SSCmethodologies/NewSSCMethodologies/index.html>

44. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the seventeenth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 1 - 3 September 2008, as per annex 25 of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board.

(e) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 16 July 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 1118 (one thousand one hundred and eighteen);
- (b) Request for registration: 72 (seventy-two);
- (c) Request for review: 37 (thirty-seven);
- (d) Corrections requested: 43 (forty-three);
- (e) Under review: 17 (seventeen);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 70 (seventy);
- (g) Withdrawn: 17 (seventeen);

Case specific

46. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the twenty-nine (29) requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1479	USJ Açúcar e Álcool S/A – Usina São Francisco Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1572	20MW Bagasse based Cogeneration power project” at Bannari Amman Sugars Limited Sathyamangalam, Tamil Nadu	?	?	?
1598	Emission reductions through partial substitution of fossil fuel with alternative fuels in the 2 cement plants of PT Holcim Indonesia Tbk	?	?	?
1600	40 MW Grid Connected Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1602	22.5 MW grid connected wind farm project by RSMML in Jaisalmer, India	?	?	?
1603	Zhengzhou Coal Industry (Group) Co., Ltd. Coalmine Methane Utilization Project	?	?	?

³ In accordance with paragraph 13 of the procedures for the submission and consideration of a proposed new small-scale methodology.



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1605	Shaba 24MW Hydropower Project in Yunnan Province, China	?	?	?
1608	Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Yingkou) Blast Furnace Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project	?	?	?
1609	Anshan Iron and Steel Group Corporation (Anshan) Blast Furnace Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant Project	?	?	?
1611	Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Chizhou Conch Cement Company Limited	?	?	?
1613	Yima Coal Industry (Group) Co., Ltd. CMM utilization project	?	?	?
1614	Pingdingshan Coal (Group) Co., Ltd. Methane Utilization Project, Henan Province, China	?	?	?
1619	Yuhe Tongli WHR Project	?	?	?
1622	Huanghe Tongli WHR Project	?	?	?
1625	A power generation project using waste heat from the Coke Dry Quenching (CDQ) equipment in China	?	?	?
1627	Shibeishan Wind Power Generation Project in Huilai County, Guangdong Province	?	?	?
1640	SSPL 4.5 MW WHRB CPP	?	?	?
1642	SHYAM DRI WHR CPP	?	?	?
1659	13.5MW WHR Project in Hunan Niuli Cement Co., Ltd.	?	?	?
1663	GREEN ENERGY TO GRID at Dhule, Maharashtra	?	?	?
1666	SEPL CDM CPP	?	?	?
1669	Tangshan Xinfeng Thermal & Power Co., Ltd. Waste Gas Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1685	Zhang Jiagang waste heat recovery from sulphuric acid production for electricity generation project	?	?	?
1689	Chongqing Iron & Steel Co. Ltd. Waste Gas to Electricity Project	?	?	?
1691	Captive power generation through waste heat recovery system in a steel plant in Jinan City, China	?	?	?
1696	Power Generation by Waste Heat Recovery Project of Xinjiang Tianshan Cement Co. Ltd. in Urumqi City, Xinjiang Autonomous Region, P. R. China.	?	?	?
1703	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Shanxi Shouyang County Boda Industries Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1704	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Lan County Fengda Coking and Chemicals Smelting Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1720	Power generation from coking waste heat utilization project at Qinyuan County Mingyuan Coal and Coke Co., Ltd in Shanxi, China	?	?	?
1724	36MW Power generation from coking waste heat generated in the clean-type heat-recovery coke ovens at Shanxi Qinxin Coal and Coke Co., Ltd, China	?	?	?
1743	Yunnan Yuanjiang Lutong Hydropower Station	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request



that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. It should be noted that one proposed project activity which received at least three requests for reviews (“GREEN ENERGY TO GRID at Dhule, Maharashtra”(1663)) has been withdrawn by the project participants. Furthermore for one project activity which had received at least three requests for reviews (“40 MW Grid Connected Wind Power Project” (1600) the DOE and project participants have opted to have the case considered at the forty-second meeting of the Board.

47. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the seventeen (17) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its fortieth meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB40

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1166	Bundled wind energy power projects (2004 policy) in Rajasthan	?	?	?
1253	Baragran Hydro Electric Project, 3.0 MW (being expanded to 4.9 MW)	?	?	?
1259	Enercon Wind Farm (Hindustan) Ltd in Karnataka	?	?	?
1268	Tungabhadra wind power project in Karnataka	?	?	?
1328	Brasil Central Energia S.A. – Sacre 2 Small Hydro Power Plant Project	?	?	?
1447	Use of FINEX Off Gas for power generation in Pohang Steel Works	?	?	?
1470	Chuanwei Group 24 MW Waste Gas based Captive Power Plant	?	?	?
1544	Dwarikesh 8 MW Bagasse-Based Power Generation Project, Bijnor, UP India	?	?	?
1549	9 MW Neria Hydroelectric project, Karnataka, India	?	?	?
1574	20 MW Bagasse Based Co-generation Power Project at Bannari Amman Sugars Limited, Nanjangud, Karnataka	?	?	?
1586	Santo Domingo Wind Energy Project	?	?	?
1596	Guangxi Baijiangkou Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1599	Shandong Zaozhuang 15MW waste heat recovery for electricity generation project (1)	?	?	?
1604	Guangxi Xiafu Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
1607	Hubei Xiakou Hydropower Project of Nanzhang County, Xiangfan City, Hubei Province, P.R. China	?	?	?
1623	Yulong Tongli WHR Project	?	?	?
1624	Pingyuan Tongli WHR Project	?	?	?

Background: At its fortieth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2).



48. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 3 below.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a request for review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
1252	5 MW Upper Awa small hydroelectric project, Himachal Pradesh, India
1309	Jiangsu Qingshi Cement Plant's Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the request for reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider, in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 10 of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.

49. **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs in the context of undertaking validation.

Background: One (1) request for deviation has been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its fortieth meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

General guidance

50. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal from the secretariat with a view to approving a standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the Board, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

Background: At its fortieth meeting, the Board gave an initial consideration to the proposal for the standardization of the format of the modalities of communications between project participants and the agreed to further consider the proposal at its forty-first meeting.

51. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an assessment of actions by all actors in the registration and issuance process prepared by the secretariat, as contained in annex 5 of these annotations.

Background: At its fortieth meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to prepare an assessment of actions by all actors in the registration and issuance process which do not at present have defined timelines and to prepare a proposal for the introduction of such timelines for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

52. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to selecting additional members of the registration and issuance team (RIT), taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: Due to time constraints at its fortieth meeting the Board agreed to consider the shortlist of experts for membership of the registration and issuance team (RIT) at its forty-first meeting.

**(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

53. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 16 July 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 688 issuances, aggregate 166,295,788 CERs;
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 22 requests, 7,719,995 aggregate CERs;
- (c) Requests for review: 19 requests, 2,419,604 aggregate CERs;
- (d) Under review: 1 (one).

Case specific

54. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the eighteen (18) requests for issuance listed in table 5.

Table 5: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0009	La Esperanza Hydroelectric Project	21632	?	?	?
0136	Biogas Support Program - Nepal (BSP-Nepal) Activity-1	95468	?	?	?
0139	Biogas Support Program - Nepal (BSP-Nepal) Activity-2	47714	?	?	?
0151	Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project	619878	?	?	?
0156	CECECAPA Small Hydroelectric Project	14014	?	?	?
0227	6MW Somanamaradi grid connected SHP in Karnataka, India	28908	?	?	?
0253	Rithwik 6 MW Renewable Sources Biomass Power Project	21984	?	?	?
0274	TSIL – Waste Heat Recovery Based Power Project	25649	?	?	?
0325	Generation of Electricity through combustion of waste gases from Blast furnace and Corex units at JSW Steel Limited (in JPL unit 1), at Torangallu in Karnataka, India	752332	?	?	?
0476	Switching of fossil fuel from Naptha & Diesel to Biomass (agricultural residue) for 9 MW Power Generation Unit of M/s. My Home Power limited (MHPL) and Supply to APTRANSCO Grid	41233	?	?	?
0494	Switching of fuel from naphtha to natural gas in the captive power plant(CPP) at Dahej complex of Gujarat Alkalies and Chemicals Limited	85632	?	?	?
0498	SIDPL Methane extraction and Power generation	69818	?	?	?



Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
	project				
0538	AWMS Methane Recovery Project MX06-S-35, Jalisco and Michoacán, México	4039	?	?	?
0649	Trojes Hydropower project	15653	?	?	?
0765	Catalytic N ₂ O destruction project in the tail gas of three Nitric Acid Plants at Hu-Chems Fine Chemical Corp.	418453	?	?	?
0844	Partial substitution of fossil fuels with biomass in cement manufacture	40613	?	?	?
1102	Yunnan Heier 25MW Hydropower Project	28784	?	?	?
1314	Switching of fuel from coal to palm oil mill biomass waste residues at Industrial de Oleaginosas Americanas S.A. (INOLASA)	1531	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 5 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 5), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 5) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 5). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

55. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the one (1) project activities listed in table 6, which the Board placed under review at its fortieth meeting.

Table 6: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB40

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0751	Sanquhar and Delta Small Hydro Power Projects	9114	?	?	?

Background: At its fortieth meeting the Board decided to place the project activity identified in table 6 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review team and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 6), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 6), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in Table 6).

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the request for issuance for the CDM project activities listed in table 7 below.

Table 7: Projects to have CERs issued subject to corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
	Cases may be included in these section subject to prior notification to the EB Chair	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for issuance for the project activities listed in table 7 above, the Board agreed that CERS could be issued subject to



satisfactory corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the CERs shall be issued (case A in table 7), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 7).

57. **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Two (2) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its fortieth meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

58. **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) consider the update by the secretariat on the operationalization for implementing the CMP request; and (c) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 6 of these annotations.

5. Other matters

(a) Regional distribution

59. **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the current status of distribution of CDM project activities and an update from the secretariat on the various activities in this area.

Background: The CMP, at its second session, adopted decision 1/CMP.2, which contains several elements relating to regional distribution and capacity building, including an encouragement to the Executive Secretary to continue to facilitate the regional distribution of project activities.

(b) Relations with Designated National Authorities

60. **Action:** The Board may wish to note the briefing of the secretariat on the preparations of the sixth meeting of the CDM DNA Forum to be held on 27 - 28 October 2008 in Santiago de Chile.

Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(c) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

61. **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting.

**(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 2 August 2008; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(e) Other business

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its forty-first meeting:

- (a) Letter from Dr. Charlotte Streck, Director and Dr Sandra Greiner, Manager, Climate Focus B.V. received on 3 June 2008 regarding Request for Revision AM_REV_070;
- (b) Letter from P. Ananthanarayanan, Advisor for Ihsedu Agrochem Pvt. Ltd. received on 10 June 2008 regarding Amendment to the definition of the term 'Crediting Period' of project activity of any kind;
- (c) Letter from Ms. Sophie Chou, Senior Project Manager, Camco received on 13 June 2008 related to SSC 192 Deemed Savings letter of support;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Nic Frances, Chairman, Cool nrg International Pty. Ltd received on 16 June 2008 related to the SSC 192;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Lakshman Prasad, Advisor (Mineral Resources & Environment, Godawari Power and Ispat Limited related to issuance of CERs for project 0772;
- (f) Letter from Mr. John Cowan, President, Environmental Interface Limited related to SSC 192;
- (g) Letter from Mr. Brian Parry, Project Development Manager, Climate Care received on 1 July 2008 related to SSC 192;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Vlatko Cingosky, General Manager and Chairman of the Board of Directors, JSC Elektrani na Elektrani na Makedonija and Hajime Watanabe, Chairman, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Co., Ltd. received on 1 July 2008 related to Project No1412 (HPP Rehabilitation projects in Marcedonia);
- (i) Letter from Mr. Miles Austin, Head of European Regulatory Affairs, EcoSecurities and Chair of the Carbon Markets Associations Flexible Mechanisms WG received on 9 July 2008 related to a submission to the CDM Executive Board for a Project Developers Forum;



- (j) Letter from Mr. Richard Bowman, RuMeth International received on 11 July 2008 related to the proposed CDM Methodology NM0260;
 - (k) Letter from Mr. Johannes Heister, Team Leader Policy and Methodology, Carbon Finance Unit, The World Bank received on 16 July 2008 related to the recommended SSC Category II J - Demand-side activities for efficient lighting technologies;
 - (l) Letter from Mr. Nic Frances, Executive Chairman, Cool nrg International Pty Ltd received on 16 July 2008 related to the recommended SSC Category II.J;
 - (m) Letter from Mr. Nic Frances, Executive Chairman, Cool nrg International Pty Ltd received on 16 July 2008 related to the Revisions to AMS II C: Energy efficiency improvement projects.
64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6. Conclusion of the meeting

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the forty-first meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
