



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Thirty-seventh meeting

UNFCCC
Bonn, Germany
30 January - 1 February 2008

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1 - Draft revision of the "Tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality"

Annex 2 - Draft revision of the "Procedures for submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology"

Annex 3 - Draft revision of the "Procedure for the submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies by designated operational entities to the Meth Panel"

Annex 4 - Draft revised simplified methodology for "Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal application by the user"

Annex 5 - Draft revised simplified methodology for "Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass"

Annex 6 - Current status of income and expenditures

Annex 7 - Decision -/CMP3 "Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism"



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including election of Chair and Vice-Chair and disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (d) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
 - (f) Modalities of collaboration with the Subsidiary Bodies
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website
(http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. ► **Action:** The Executive Board may wish to (a) welcome new members and alternates; (b) take note of their oaths of service; (c) elect for the year 2008 its Chair from among the members from Parties not included in Annex I and its Vice-Chair from among the members from Parties included in Annex I; and (d) consider information provided by any member or alternate member and take action, as appropriate, with relation to conflict of interest.

Background: The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP), at its third session, in accordance with paragraphs 7 and 8 (b) of the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism (hereafter referred as CDM modalities and procedures), has elected to the Executive Board for the clean development mechanism the following members and alternate members:

- (a) For a term of two years:
 - (i) Ms. Natalia Berghi (first term), as member, and Ms. Diana Harutyunyan (first term), as alternate member from the Eastern European regional group;
 - (ii) Mr. Lex de Jonge (first term), as member and Mr. Pedro Martins Barata, as alternate member (first term), from Parties included in Annex I;
 - (iii) Mr. Philip M. Gwage (first term), as member, and Mr. Xuedu Lu, as alternate member (first term), from Parties not included in Annex I;
 - (iv) Mr. Paulo Manso (first term), as member, and Mr. Hussein Badarin, as alternate member (first term), from Parties not included in Annex I;
 - (v) Mr. Clifford Mahlun (first term), as member and H.E. Tuiloma Neroni Slade (first term), as alternate from of the small island developing States.
- (b) For a term of one year:
 - (i) Mr. Hugh Sealy (first term), as member for the remainder of term of Ms. Christiana Figueres, from the Latin America and Caribbean regional group.

In accordance with paragraph 8 (e) of the CDM modalities and procedures and rule 10 of the rules of procedure, members and alternate members have to take a written oath of service before being able to participate in the work of the Executive Board. The secretariat has received the written oaths of service from all newly elected members and alternate members.

At the first Executive Board meeting of each calendar year, i.e. at this thirty-seventh meeting, the Board shall elect a Chair and a Vice-Chair from among its members. In accordance with rule 12 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, the Board shall elect its own Chair and Vice-Chair, with one being a member from a Party not included in Annex I and the other being from a Party included in Annex I. The positions of Chair and Vice-Chair shall alternate annually between a member from a Party included in Annex I and a member from a Party not included in Annex I. As of 30 January 2008 the newly elected Chair shall therefore be from a Party not included in Annex I and the Vice-Chair from a Party included in Annex I.



Rule 12 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board stipulates that the secretary of the Executive Board shall preside over the opening of the first Executive Board meeting of a calendar year and conduct the election of the new Chair and Vice-Chair. The secretary of the Executive Board shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

At this thirty-seventh meeting, the secretary of the Board shall also assume, at the beginning of the meeting, the function of the Chair to request members and alternates to disclose whether they consider having any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirty-seventh meeting.

Background: The Board agreed, at its thirty-sixth meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirty-seventh meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 9 January 2008 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the twenty-third progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted on 25 January 2008, and an oral report by its Vice-Chair, Mr. Martin Hession, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its thirty-third meeting on 21 - 23 January 2008.

Case specific

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation from the CDM-AP on the review of work of three project activities for an entity under spot-check.

Background: At its thirty-sixth meeting, the Board considered a recommendation of the CDM-AP relating to the review of work of three project activities for an entity under spot-check. The Board, took note that the DOE had made serious efforts to improve its performance and instituted a number of measures to meet the expected quality objectives of the Board. The Board also noted that the review of three project activities had also identified some areas for further improvements. It agreed to keep the DOE under observation and requested the CDM-AP to undertake the review of three additional project activities. The Board requested that the CDM-AP in its evaluation should focus, in particular, on identified areas where improvements are still required.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation from the CDM-AP on a spot-check case.

Background: At its thirty-sixth meeting, the Board agreed to conduct a spot-check for another DOE and further agreed on the scope of the spot-check. The Board requested the CDM-AP to finalize the spot-check assessment in an expeditious manner and submit its recommendation for the consideration of the Board.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and will be sent under separate cover on 25 January 2008.

General guidance

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the progress and a draft of the guidance for DOEs on validation and verification activities prepared by the secretariat. The draft of the guidance and an overview of comments received from the AEs/DOEs in the workshop will be presented to the Board in the meeting.

Background: In the context of the preparation of the guidance for validation and verification activities, the Board, at its last meeting, took note of the progress of the work presented by the secretariat. The Board requested the secretariat to finalize the work in an expeditious manner. The Board may wish to note that the draft of the guidance has been prepared and will be shared with the AEs and DOEs in a workshop scheduled on 17 - 18 January 2008, in Bonn, Germany.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the synthesis report of annual activity reports received from the DOEs.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 27 (g) of the CDM modalities and procedures, a DOE shall submit an activity report to the CDM Executive Board. The Board, in its nineteenth meeting, provided guidance to the DOEs for reporting and set the deadline of 30 September each year for the DOEs to submit their annual activity reports. The CDM-AP has prepared a synthesis report of annual activity reports of the DOEs. The synthesis report will be submitted for the consideration of the Board on 25 January 2008 under confidentiality.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring

Case specific

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft methodology “Replacement of SF₆ with alternate cover gas in the magnesium industry” based on cases NM0212 and NM0222, as contained in annex 4 of Meth Panel report (see MP30 report) with one of the following options:

(a) Limit the applicability of the methodology to project activities that use gases other than NOVEC-612 as cover gas; or

(b) Allow the use of NOVEC-612 as cover gas under project activity along with other gases listed in the draft methodology.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, considered the draft methodology “Replacement of SF₆ with alternate cover gas in the magnesium industry” proposed by the panel based on cases NM0212 (“Replacement of SF₆ with HFC134a as a cover gas in the magnesium industry”) and NM0222 (“Conversion from SF₆ to an Alternative Cover Gas for Magnesium Production”). The Board noted the fact that one of the proposed alternatives to cover gas, Fluoroketone (NOVEC-612), does not have a GWP value in any of the assessment reports of the IPCC, as highlighted by the panel. The Board requested the secretariat to undertake further analysis, including of existing literature on the GWP of Fluoroketone, for consideration at its next meeting before it takes a final decision on the draft methodology. The Board also considered the issue of allowing the use of “dilute-SO₂” as an alternate cover gas and agreed that the applicability requirements

specified in the draft methodology for the use of “dilute-SO₂” ensures adequate environmental safety. Therefore, it agreed that “dilute-SO₂” shall be allowed as an alternative cover gas.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft revision to the “Tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality”, as attached in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, considered the draft revision to the tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality as proposed by the Meth Panel. The Board agreed to further consider the suggested changes at its next meeting. The Board further agreed to the revision of the footnote 7, as reflected in annex 1 to this annotations, clarifying that in undertaking benchmark analysis option, either of the financial indicators, project IRR or equity IRR, can be used provided appropriate benchmark indicator for the financial indicator is chosen.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to clarify that the applicability condition in scenario 14 of approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 “thermal firing capacity of the boiler should not be increased”, is added for the following reasons: (i) If the thermal firing capacity is increased, a capacity expansion takes place and the total power generation increase as a result of the project may be different from the level calculated using equation 16; (ii) a significant increase in thermal firing capacity allows using more biomass residues than historically used, which is not consistent with the baseline scenario where it is assumed that no additional levels of biomass residues are used; and (iii) in case of cogeneration plants, if the thermal firing capacity is increased, the project could generate more heat, thereby increasing the level of service provided. This situation is not reflected in the procedure for estimating emission reductions for scenario 14. .

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, considered the clarification provided by the panel on the applicability condition in scenario 14 of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 concerning the thermal firing capacity of the boiler and agreed to further consider the clarification at its next meeting.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the oral progress report of the secretariat on the work related to energy efficiency.

Background: The Board at its thirty-sixth meeting considered an update of the energy efficiency. The two consultants provided their draft reports, on which the secretariat has provided its comments. The final report is expected to be received end of this month. These reports shall then be used to develop possible guidelines and tools for energy efficiency projects.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revision of “Procedures for submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology” to provide a maximum time limit of 3 months for submission of technical clarifications to a preliminary recommendation by the panel, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The Board at its thirty-second meeting approved the revised procedures for submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology to, inter alia, remove the “B” case submission. The revised procedures only define a period (4 weeks) within which the technical clarification is to be submitted for its consideration at the next Meth Panel meeting after the meeting where the panel gave the preliminary recommendation. They do not describe the consequences of non-submission within the defined period. The previous version of the procedures had an automatic process of assigning a final “B” recommendation to a case, if the technical clarification is not submitted within the prescribed period. As the “B” recommendation is no longer assigned, there is a need to define the maximum period, within which the technical clarification can be accepted for consideration by the panel and consequences of non-submission within that time period.

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revision of “Procedure for the submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies by designated operational



entities to the Meth Panel” to enable project participants to submit a request for clarification via the DOEs, as contained in annex 3 to these annotations.

Background: The present procedure for requesting clarification allows submission of queries to the Methodologies Panel regarding the application of approved methodologies by the DOEs only. The proposed revision is to expand the procedure to enable project participants to also submit query on approved methodology through the DOEs.

(c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

15. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve, as requested by CMP3, the simplified methodologies “AMS I.E Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal application by the user” and “AMS II.G Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass” as contained in annexes 4 and 5 to this annotations. The annexed draft methodologies are revised as per the guidance from CMP.3.

Background: The CMP, at its third session (paragraph 24 of decision -/CMP.3²), requested the Executive Board to approve, at its first meeting in 2008, the simplified methodologies for “Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal application by the user” and “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, as recommended by the Executive Board, for use for clean development mechanism project activities, as contained in annexes 3 and 4 to document FCCC/KP/CMP/2007/3 (Part II), incorporating the necessary changes to ensure that the application of these methodologies introduces new or improves existing end-user technologies and that, in case of the methodology “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, the baseline energy efficiency is measured or is based on referenced literature values. The draft methodologies forwarded to the CMP by Board, at its thirty-fourth meeting, have been revised to include necessary guidance to ensure that the application of these methodologies introduces new end-user technologies or improves existing end-user technologies. Further the requirement to measure the baseline energy efficiency or base it on referenced literature values has been introduced.

(d) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

16. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 16 January 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 901 (nine hundred and one);
- (b) Request for registration: 42 (forty-two);
- (c) Request for review: 42 (forty-two);
- (d) Corrections requested: 51 (fifty-one);
- (e) Under review: 10 (ten);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 52 (fifty-two);
- (g) Withdrawn: 8 (eight).

² Advanced unedited version at <http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/cop_13/application/pdf/cmp_guid_cdm.pdf>

*Case specific*

17. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 36 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1105	Changshu Haike HFC 23 Decomposition Project	?	?	?
1133	Terrestre Ambiental Landfill Gas Project	?	?	?
1144	Tambun LPG Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization Project	?	?	?
1258	Quezon City Controlled Disposal Facility Biogas Emission Reduction Project	?	?	?
1264	Sandaowan Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, P.R. China	?	?	?
1273	Someshwara small hydropower project (24.75 MW) in Karnataka, India	?	?	?
1279	Fundão-Santa Clara Energetic Complex Project (FSCECP)	?	?	?
1285	Tradewinds Methane Extraction and Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1289	Fuel switchover from higher carbon intensive fuels to Natural Gas (NG) at Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd (IFFCO) in Phulpur Village, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd (IFFCO)	?	?	?
1296	"Waste Heat Recovery project" at Saraikela, Kharsavan, Jharkhand by M/s Kohinoor Steel Private Limited	?	?	?
1298	San José del Tambo Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
1299	Enercon Wind Farms in Karnataka Bundled Project – 33 MW	?	?	?
1300	155 MW Gas based combined cycle power project at Hazira	?	?	?
1304	Henan Zhengzhou Grid Connected Natural Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant	?	?	?
1307	Durango – EcoMethane Landfill Gas to Energy Project	?	?	?
1309	Jiangsu Qingshi Cement Plant's Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1311	Hunan Dongping 72MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1313	MEN-Tangerang 13.6MW Natural Gas Co-generation Project	?	?	?
1319	Shanxi Coal Transport Market Co., Ltd. Yangquan Branch CMM Utilization Project	?	?	?
1320	Beijing Taiyanggong CCGT Trigenation Project	?	?	?
1321	Baxianyuan 27 MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1324	Power generation from waste heat of submerged arc furnaces	?	?	?
1326	Jorethang Loop Hydroelectric Project, India	?	?	?
1331	Fuel Free Electricity to Grid	?	?	?
1333	Zhongzhou 16.5 MW Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1339	Greenfield power project at Dwarikesh Dham	?	?	?
1340	Yichang Yihua Waste Heat Recovery and Utilization project	?	?	?
1341	7.2 MW Wind Project at Chitradurga, Karnataka	?	?	?
1343	Xiaoshan Power Plant's NG Power Generation Project of Zhejiang Southeast Electric Power Co., Ltd.	?	?	?
1344	Zhejiang Provincial Energy Group Zhenhai Natural Gas Power Generation Co., Ltd.'s NG Power Generation Project	?	?	?
1356	Reducing the Average Clinker Content in Cement at CEMEX Mexico	?	?	?



Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
	Operations			
1363	5 MW renewable energy project for a grid system” at Rohru Tehsil, Shimla District in Himachal, India	?	?	?
1365	China Guanmenyan Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1367	China Changniping Hydropower Project	?	?	?
1377	Bundled Wind Power Project of JeJu Special Self-Governing Province in Korea	?	?	?
1390	Power Generation (20MW) by utilizing Coke Oven Gas of China Coal and Coke Jiuxin Limited in Lingshi, Shanxi, P. R. China	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

18. **► Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the ten (10) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-sixth meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB36

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0929	Demand side energy efficiency project at IPCL-Vadodara Complex	?	?	?
1117	Fuel oil to animal tallow switching at Companhia de Fiação e Tecidos Santo Antônio	?	?	?
1148	DSM-Asmoli Bagasse Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1181	6.0 MW Biomass based cogeneration power plant of Rama Paper Mills Limited, Kiratpur, Uttar Pradesh	?	?	?
1184	BHL Palia Kalan Project	?	?	?
1215	DSM-Dhampur Bagasse Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1235	Rio Grande do Sul Cooperatives Small Hydro Power Plants			
1272	Effective utilization of waste heat by installing vacuum pre-concentrator in urea section at Indo Gulf Fertilisers (A Unit of Aditya Birla Group), Jagdishpur	?	?	?
1288	Nava Bharat RE Bagasse Project	?	?	?
1297	Shree Chhatrapati Shahu RE Project	?	?	?

Background: At its thirty-sixth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2).

19. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 3.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
1003	Ramgarh Chini Mills RE project	?	?
1042	19.27 MW Grid connected wind electricity generation project by KPR Mills in Tamil Nadu	?	?
1115	75MW wind power project in Maharashtra by Essel Mining Industries Limited	?	?
1142	Priyata Intercontinental Wind Power Project, India.	?	?
1154	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-19, Goias, Brazil	?	?
1157	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-20, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?
1158	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-21, Goias, Brazil	?	?
1159	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-24, Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil	?	?
1160	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-25, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?
1161	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-26, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?
1162	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-27, Goias, Brazil	?	?
1163	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-28, Santa Catarina, Brazil	?	?
1164	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-29, Sao Paulo, Brazil	?	?
1175	9.8 MW Renewable Energy Generation for the grid at South Asian Agro Industries Limited in Raipur District, Chattisgarh	?	?
1201	10 MW Somasila Hydro Power Project for a grid system by Balaji Energy Pvt.Ltd.	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 3).

20. **► Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to registration.

Background: One (1) request for deviation has been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its thirty-sixth meeting for consideration by the Board at its thirty-seventh meeting.

General guidance

21. **► Action:** The Board may wish to revise the “Procedures for registration of a proposed CDM project activity” in order to implement the request by CMP3 to abolish the payment of the registration fee and share of proceeds at issuance for CDM project activities hosted in least developed countries.

Background: The CMP, at its last session, through its decision -/CMP3³, decided to abolish the payment of the registration fee and share of proceeds at issuance for CDM project activities hosted in least developed countries. The secretariat will present the changes for the procedures that would be required.

³ Advanced unedited version at <http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/cop_13/application/pdf/cmp_guid_cdm.pdf>



22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that, as requested by the Board at its last meeting, the Secretary of the Board wrote to DOEs informing of the recurring errors identified by the Board in their respective submissions from last year.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board requested the Secretary of the Board to write to each DOE informing of the recurring errors identified by the Board in their respective submissions from last year. The Board, noted that addressing those issues could contribute to reduce the number of requests for review, and that the Board will continue to monitor the performance of DOEs.

(e) **Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 16 January 2008, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 450 issuances, aggregate 102,689,032CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 24 requests, **13,421,353** aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 15 requests, 5,764,951 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 1 (one)

Case specific

24. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 14 requests for issuance listed in table 4.

Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0111	18 MW Biomass Power Project in Tamilnadu, India	143915	?	?	?
0152	Rang Dong Oil Field Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization Project	4486500	?	?	?
0194	Jepirachi Wind Power Project	48485	?	?	?
0231	CAMIL Itaquí Biomass Electricity Generation Project	56917	?	?	?
0249	Biomass Energy Plant-Lumut	21845	?	?	?
0367	8MW Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project at OCL	23131	?	?	?
0425	Aguascalientes – EcoMethane Landfill Gas to Energy Project	102418	?	?	?
0472	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project BR05-B-12, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, Minas Gerais, and Sao Paulo, Brazil	57401	?	?	?
0593	15.4 MW wind farm at Satara District, Maharashtra	99414	?	?	?
0755	Fuel oil to natural gas switching at Votorantim Cimentos Cubatão	9540	?	?	?
0791	Maguan Daliangzi Hydro Power Project	79119	?	?	?
0838	GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC	434591	?	?	?



CDM – Executive Board

Thirty-seventh meeting
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

	23 at Navin Fluorine International Limited (NFIL), Surat, Gujarat, India				
0894	Xinjiang Dabancheng Sanchang First Phase Wind Farm Project	24981	?	?	?
0959	SESL 6 MW Municipal Solid Waste Based Power Project at Vijayawada & Guntur, Andhra Pradesh	75896	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. One further request for issuance for project activity, “Bagasse Based cogeneration power project of Rana Sugars Limited, Amritsar District, Punjab”(0355), received three requests for review prior to the deadline for consideration at the thirty-seventh meeting of the Board. The project participants have subsequently decided to withdraw the request for issuance.

25. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the one (1) project activity listed in table 5, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-sixth meeting.

Table 5: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB36

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0361	Optimum utilisation of clinker by PPC production at Binani Cement Limited, Rajasthan	38926	?	?	?

Background: At its thirty-sixth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 5 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 5), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 5), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in Table 5).

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 6.

Table 6: CERs to be issued with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	697987	?	?
0203	Cerradinho Bagasse Cogeneration Project (CBCP)	34655	?	?
0578	Deoband Bagasse based Co-generation Power Project	190404	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for issuance of the project activities listed in table 6 above, the Board agreed that CERs could be issued following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers



the corrections as satisfactory, the CERs shall be issued (case A in table 6), otherwise the request shall be rejected (case B in table 6).

27. **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Three (3) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its thirty-sixth meeting for consideration by the Board at its thirty-seventh meeting.

28. **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that, as requested by the Board at its last meeting, the Secretary of the Board wrote to DOEs informing of the recurring errors identified by the Board in their respective submissions from last year.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board requested the Secretary of the Board to write to each DOE informing of the recurring errors identified by the Board in their respective submissions from last year. The Board, noted that addressing those issues could contribute to reduce the number of requests for review, and that the Board will continue to monitor the performance of DOEs.

(f) Modalities for collaboration with the Subsidiary Bodies

29. **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of issues considered at the twenty-seventh session of the Subsidiary Bodies related to the clean development mechanism.

Background: SBSTA 27 considered agenda item 9 (a) “Implications of the establishment of new hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 (HCFC-22) facilities seeking to obtain certified emission reductions for the destruction of hydrofluorocarbon-23 (HFC-23)” and agreed to further consider this item at the next session, SBSTA 28. The SBSTA conclusion can be found in document FCCC/SBSTA/2007/L.18/Add.1.

SBSTA 27 considered agenda item 9 (b) “Limit for small-scale afforestation and reforestation clean development mechanism project activities” and agreed to a draft decision to the CMP. The adopted CMP decision can be found in document FCCC/SBSTA/2007/L.18/Add.1

SBSTA 27 considered agenda item 9 (c) “Carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as clean development mechanism project activities” and agreed to continue to consider this agenda item at the next session SBSTA 28. The SBSTA conclusion can be found in document FCCC/SBSTA/2007/L.19.

SBI 27 under agenda item 13 “Report of the administrator of the international transaction log under the Kyoto Protocol” took note of the successful launch of the ITL and the full connection of three national registries so far (Japan, New Zealand and Switzerland) as well as the CDM Registry. CERs were successfully forwarded to accounts of project participants in those registries. Other Annex B Parties were urged to initiate the operation of their national registries with the ITL in order to facilitate delivery of CERs held in remaining temporary holding accounts in the CDM Registry and in order to facilitate their participation in emissions trading after full eligibility is achieved. The report of the ITL administrator can be found in document FCCC/KP/CMP/2007/5 and the draft conclusions proposed by the Chair in document FCCC/SBI/2007/L.21.

SBI 27 under agenda item 17 “Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies under the Kyoto Protocol” the CMP noted the Executive Secretary's report on actions taken in accordance with decision 9/CMP.2 to address/minimize claims, disputes or complaints against members of constituted bodies and the need for an effective, legally sound and long-term solution to the problem of P&I; and agreed to consider P&I further at CMP 4 under the item on the second review of Article 9 of the Kyoto Protocol.



4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) take note of the presentation of the secretariat highlighting the main activities defined by the latest version of the CDM-MAP and their financial implications, with the view of adopting it (c) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure as of 31 December 2007 (close of financial biennium) is presented in annex 6 of these annotations.

5. Other matters

(a) Guidance by CMP

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the CMP decision -/CMP.3 “Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism” other than those mentioned in these annotations and take action, as appropriate. The decision adopted by the CMP is contained in annex 7 to these annotations.

Background: The CMP, at its third session, took note of the annual report (2006–2007) of the Board and adopted its decision -/CMP.3 “Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism”.

(b) Relations with Designated National Authorities

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the briefing of the secretariat on the outcomes of the fourth meeting of the CDM DNA Forum held on 29 - 30 November 2007 in Bali, Indonesia.

Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(c) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirty-seventh meeting.

(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 1 February 2008; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

**(e) Other business**

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its thirty-fifth meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Keisuke Iyadomi Institute of Global Environmental Services IGES CDM Capacity Building Programme in Cambodia and Mr. Sum Thy, Ministry of Environment of the Royal Government of Cambodia, received on 9 November 2007 related submission of joint proposal regarding procedures for registration of CDM project activities in Least Developed Countries (LDCs);
- (b) Letter from Mr. Lim Fook Hin, Director, TSH-Wilmar (BF) SDN. BHD received on 12 November 2007 related to an appeal on rejection of request for registration as a Clean Development Mechanism Project (“CDM Project”);
- (c) Letter from Mr. Tan Aik Sim, TSH received on 12 November 2007 related to a response to request for clarifications on Approved Methodological Tools - Consideration of Empty Fruit Bunches (EFBs) as wood waste or food waste (AM_CLA_TOOL_0001);
- (d) Letter from Mr. Luis Fernando Leal, General Manager - Ingenio Magdalena S.A. received on 15 November 2007 related to project activity 'Ingenio Magdalena S.A. Cogeneration Project'(1044) registration rejection reconsideration;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Marc Stuart, Founder, Director of New Business Development, EcoSecurities received on 15 November 2007 related to a request for creation of Project Participant Forum;
- (f) Letter from Ms. Gisela Ulloa, Coordinator, Bolivian CDM Office, Ministerio de Planificación del Desarrollo received on 21 November 2007 related to a formal complaint against the DOA/AENOR on behalf of the project participant Cooperative Rural Electrification Ltd (CRE);
- (g) Letter from Ms. Barbara Haya, Consultant, International Rivers Network received on 23 November 2007 related to concerns about the large number of Chinese hydropower projects currently undergoing CDM validation;
- (h) Letter from EcoTraders received on 27 November 2007 related to a response to the decisions made by the Meth Panel regarding NM0212 and NM0222;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Luis Alberto Radilla P., Beta San Miguel received on 20 December 2007 and related to project activity "Fuel switch at BSM sugar mills" (1022) registration rejection reconsideration;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Simon Dent, Head of European Power and Gas Trading, BNP Paribas received on 08 January 2008 and related to the revision of AM009 on EB36;
- (k) Letter from Mr. Rovnag Abdullayev, State Oil Company of Azerbaijan received on 09 January 2008 related to AM009 - revised with errors.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.



6. Conclusion of the meeting

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirty-sixth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -