



## **CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

### **PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Thirty-fifth meeting

UNFCCC  
Bonn, Germany  
15 - 19 October 2007

### **CONTENTS**

#### **I. PROPOSED AGENDA**

#### **II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA**

Annex 1 - Draft procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for A/R project activities under the CDM

Annex 2 - Draft Project Design Document form for small-scale afforestation and reforestation project activities (CDM-SSC-AR-PDD)

Annex 3 - Draft Guidelines for Completing the Project Design Document for small-scale A/R

Annex 4 - Draft CDM Programme of Activities Design Document Form for A/R (CDM-POA-DD-AR)

Annex 5 - Draft CDM Programme Activity Design Document Form for A/R (CDM-POA-CPA-DD-AR)

Annex 6 - Draft CDM Programme of Activities Design Document Form for Small-Scale A/R (CDM-POA-DD-SSC-AR)

Annex 7 - Draft CDM Programme Activity Design document Form for A/R (CDM-POA-CPA-DD-SSC-AR)

Annex 8 - Draft revised "Procedures for requests for deviation"

Annex 9 - Current status of income and expenditures



## I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
  - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
  - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
  - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
  - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
  - (e) Matters relating to programme of activities
  - (f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
  - (g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

\*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website  
([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under\\_review.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html)) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

\*\*For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website  
(<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



## II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

### 1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

### 2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirty-fifth meeting.

*Background:* The Board agreed, at its thirty-fourth meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirty-fifth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 24 September 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

### 3. Work plan

#### (a) Accreditation of operational entities

##### *Case specific*

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the oral update by the secretariat on the developments of the spot-check case.

*Background:* At its last meeting, the Board, taking into consideration an institutional issue related to a DOE, agreed to undertake a spot-check of the DOE. The Board also agreed on the scope of the spot-check and requested the CDM-AP to undertake this spot-check in an expeditious manner.

##### *General guidance*

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress of the work for the elaboration of validation and verification Manual for CDM.

*Background:* The Board agreed at its thirty-second meeting on a process to develop, in response to CMP.2, guidance for designated operational entities (DOEs) in order to promote quality and consistency in verification and validation reports through the issuance and maintenance of a CDM Validation and Verification Manual based on widely used product maintained by IETA and other partners. The secretariat will provide an oral update on the progress of the process.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to appoint one additional methodological expert for the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The Board, at its thirty-third meeting, agreed to strengthen the technical capacity of the CDM-AP by adding one additional methodological expert to the panel. The Board requested the secretariat to open a call for experts in order to appoint a new member with CDM methodological and technical expertise. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring**

7. **Note:** Due to the proximity of the closing date of the twenty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel to the date of publication of these annotations an addendum will be issued on 8 October 2007 containing the annotations relating to this agenda item.

**(c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities**

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the sixteenth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the group.

*Background:* The A/R WG held its sixteenth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 19 - 21 September 2007. The A/R WG dealt with general issues and case-specific issues.

***Case specific***

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve cases two new methodologies, ARNM0024-rev and ARNM0034 as contained in the annexes 1 and 2 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 16 report).

*Background:* Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the A/R WG are available on the UNFCCC CDM website<sup>2</sup>. The A/R WG agreed to continue its work on proposals ARNM0032-rev and ARNM0033.

***General guidance***

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to recommend to CMP the draft SSC A/R methodology for A/R CDM project activities implemented on lands classified as “wetlands” in the IPCC good practice guidance for LULUCF, as contained in the annex 3 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 16 report).

*Background:* As requested by the Board (paragraph 46 of the report of the twenty-ninth meeting of the Board), the A/R WG developed the draft SSC A/R methodology for A/R CDM project activities implemented on wetlands, and agreed to recommend it to the Board for possible recommendation to CMP (in accordance with Appendix B of decision 6/CMP.1). The methodology provides guidance for the estimation of actual net GHG removals by sinks, emissions and leakages from sources relevant to small scale A/R CDM project activities implemented on wetlands.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft revision of the tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality in A/R CDM project activity, as contained in the annex 4 of the A/R WG report (see AR WG 16 report).

*Background:* In response to the request by the Board at its thirty-third meeting to prioritise the revision of the tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality in A/R CDM project, the A/R WG agreed to recommend a draft revised methodological tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality in A/R CDM project activities (AT-A/R). The changes in the revised AT-A/R include *inter alia*:

<sup>2</sup> See <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>



- (a) An applicability condition which excludes use of the tool when forestation may lead to non-compliance with any of the applicable laws;
- (b) Explicit consideration of lands forested since 31 December 1989; and
- (c) A common practice analysis test.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for A/R project activities under the CDM, as contained in annex 1 of these annotations.

*Background:* At its thirty-third meeting, the Board considered a final draft “Procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the CDM”. The Board agreed to reconsider the draft after consideration of a revised version of the tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality in A/R CDM project and requested the A/R WG to prioritise the revision of the tool for consideration by the Board in its thirty-fifth meeting.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the proposed combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality in A/R CDM project activities (CT-A/R), as contained in annex 5 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 16 report).

*Background:* In addition to recommending the tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality in A/R CDM project activities, the A/R WG agreed to also recommend a proposed combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality in A/R CDM project activities (CT-A/R). The CT-A/R applies the same approach used in the combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality for non-A/R project activities, while including specific guidance for use in the afforestation/reforestation CDM project activities.

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a revision of the: (i) Project Design Document form for afforestation and reforestation project activities (CDM AR-PDD) and (ii) the Guidelines for Completing the Project Design Document and the Proposed New Methodology for A/R, as contained in annexes 6 and 7 respectively of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 16 report).

*Background:* The A/R WG agreed to recommend a revision of Project Design Document Form for afforestation and reforestation project activities (CDM AR-PDD) to align it with the Proposed New Baseline and Monitoring Methodologies for A/R (CDM-AR-NM) form as approved by the Board at its thirty-second meeting.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a revision of the: (i) Project Design Document form for small-scale afforestation and reforestation project activities (CDM-SSC-AR-PDD), and (ii) the Guidelines for Completing the Project Design Document for small-scale A/R which has been aligned with the changes made to the CDM AR-PDD (see above), as contained in annex 2 and annex 3 of these annotations.

*Background:* The SSC A/R PDD form has been revised, taking into consideration the changes in the large scale A/R PDD form as recommended in paragraph 15 above.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to confirm that the “additional guidance related to registration fee for proposed clean development mechanism project activities” as contained in annex 35 to the twenty-third meeting report of the Board is applicable *mutatis mutandis* to both large and small-scale afforestation/reforestation CDM project activities.

*Background:* The Board may want to confirm that the additional guidance referred to above is applicable to both large and small-scale afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities. In its recommendations on the share of proceeds to cover administrative expenses of the clean development



mechanism (annex 26 to the twenty first meeting report of the Board) the Board agreed to recommend at a later date the share of proceeds applicable for afforestation/reforestation and small scale afforestation/reforestation project activities (paragraph 8 of the above mentioned annex).

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the seventeenth meeting of the afforestation and reforestation working group will be held from 7 to 9 November 2007.

**(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twelfth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Vice Chair of the working group, Mr. Richard Muyungi on the work of the group.

*Background:* The SSC WG held its twelfth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 19 - 21 September 2007. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

***Case specific***

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve to the methodology ‘AMS III.O Hydrogen production using methane extracted from biogas’ as contained in annex 1 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report).

*Background:* In response to the submission SSC\_110, the SSC WG recommended a new methodology titled ‘SSC III.O Hydrogen production using methane extracted from biogas’. The proposed methodology is for project activities that produce hydrogen from methane recovered from biodegradable organic matter (e.g. methane recovered from a wastewater treatment system). The recovered methane will displace LPG as both feedstock and fuel in a hydrogen production unit. Please see paragraph 25 below which recommends a revision of AMS III.H as result of this proposed methodology.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve to the methodology ‘AMS III.P. Recovery and utilization of waste gas in refinery facilities’ and ‘AMS III.Q. Waste gas based energy systems’ as contained in annex 3 and 4 respectively of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report).

*Background:* In response to SSC\_111 and SSC\_106, the SSC WG recommended two new methodologies titled ‘SSC III.P. Recovery and utilization of waste gas in refinery facilities’ and ‘SSC III.Q. Waste gas based energy systems’ for project activities that use waste gas, waste heat or waste pressure gainfully to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve to the methodology ‘AMS III.R. Demand-side GHG emission reduction through reduction in cement consumption during concrete mix preparation’ as contained in annex 5 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report).

*Background:* In response to SSC\_105, and taking into account guidance from the Board and expert input the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology titled ‘SSC III.R. Demand-side GHG emission reduction through reduction in cement consumption during concrete mix preparation’ for demand-side GHG emission reduction project activities that reduce cement consumption during concrete mix preparation. The proposed methodology includes Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) and other types of cement used at construction project sites where concrete mix would be prepared. In particular, the technology involves the use of alternative cementitious material(s) and/or water reducing mixtures in the concrete mix preparation, thereby reducing the requirement for OPC and other types of cement.



22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology ‘AMS III.S. Methane recovery in agricultural activities at household/small farm level’ as contained in annex 12 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report).

*Background:* In response to the submission SSC\_112, the SSC WG recommended a new methodology titled ‘SSC III.S. Methane recovery in agricultural activities at household/small farm level’. The submission requested a clarification of monitoring approach based on sampling with regard to approved methodologies AMS I.C. and AMS III.D when applied to a bundled project activity involving large number of household biogas digesters in distributed locations. The submission noted that whilst AMS I.C allowed sampling for the purpose of monitoring, AMS III.D does provide this option. The group was of the view that the inclusion of a sampling option alone would not render the approved methodology AMS III.D applicable to the proposed project activity, as further changes would be required in the monitoring and baseline calculations that are based on a tier 2 approach of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Therefore the SSC WG agreed that it is appropriate to propose a new methodology, which is based on approved methodology AMS III.D for the application to project activities involving manure management systems in distributed locations.

**Revisions of approved methodologies:**

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation for revision of the SSC methodology AMS III.K, expanding its applicability to other methods of charcoal production, as contained in annex 6 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report).

*Background:* The approved methodology AMS III.K was revised in response to a submission resulting in the expansion of the applicability of the methodology to include more traditional open-ended methods to produce charcoal. The revision also includes a new approach to determine the baseline emissions, which is based on approved methodology AM0041.

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation for revision of the methodology AMS III.H as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report). The revisions expand the applicability of the methodology to allow for recovered methane to be used for hydrogen production.

*Background:* The minor revision of AMS III.H provides an expansion of the applicability of the methodology to allow for recovered methane to be used for hydrogen production as per the proposed methodology in paragraph 20 above.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation for revision of the SSC methodologies AMS II.D and AMS II.E as contained in annexes 7 and 8 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report). The revisions further clarifies that these methodologies are for use in project activities where the energy can be directly measured and recorded within the project boundary.

*Background:* SSC WG took into account the guidance from the Board at its thirty third and thirty fourth meetings to consider approved methodologies AMS II.D and AMS II.E with a view to provide additional guidance on the monitoring methodology (see paragraph 25 of EB 34 and paragraph 54 of EB 33). The SSC WG was of the view that before recommending further guidance on monitoring it is important to restrict the applicability of these methodologies as an interim solution pending the review of the boundary, definitions, baseline and monitoring sections of the methodologies. In this respect the SSC WG recommended that two new applicability conditions be immediately added to AMS II.D and AMS II.E such that the categories are only applicable to project activities where it is possible to directly measure and record the energy use within the project boundary (e.g. electricity and/or fossil fuel consumption). Further the categories are only applicable to project activities where the impact of the measures implemented



(improvements in energy efficiency) by the project activity can be clearly distinguished from changes in energy use due to other variables not influenced by the project activity (signal to noise ratio). As requested by the Board the SSC WG will continue to work on the issue in conjunction with the work being carried out by the secretariat on energy efficiency with a view to identify further guidance or revisions of approved methodologies AMS II.D and AMS II.E that may be required.

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation for revision of the SSC methodology AMS III.E as contained in annex 9 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report). The revisions broaden the applicability of the approved methodology by including thermal/mechanical treatment of biomass waste to produce refuse-derived fuel or stabilized biomass (RDF/SB) such as pellets or briquettes.

*Background:* The revision to AMS III.E took into account related aspects of the approved methodology AM0025.

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation for revision of the SSC methodology AMS III.C as contained in annex 10 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report). The revisions provide for the application of the methodology to situations involving commercial fleets.

*Background:* Future work may be undertaken on the methodology AMS III.C to include further expansion of the applicability of the methodology to non-commercial forms of transport.

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation for revision of the SSC methodology AMS III.B as contained in annex 13 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report). The revisions further clarify that approved methodology AMS III.B is not applicable to project activities which switch from fossil fuel to renewable biomass or renewable energy indicating that such project activities should apply type I methodologies.

*Background:* Taking into account the guidance from the Board and in response to a submission the revision to AMS III.B is proposed to further clarify the applicability of the methodology. The submission requested a clarification on the applicability of AMS III.B for projects, which involve switch from fossil fuel to renewable biomass such as briquettes, bagasse making reference to registered project activity 0721 as a precedent. The SSC WG clarified that citing registration of a project activity may not be sufficient justification for proposing a revision to an approved SSC methodology and therefore further substantiation would be required.

### **General guidance**

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and agree to a compilation of non-binding best practice examples to demonstrate additionality to assist the development of PDDs, which incorporates public inputs and an analysis of additionality in registered SSC project activities, as contained in annex 14 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report).

*Background:* At its thirty-second meeting the Board, having taken note of the guidance in paragraph 15(a) of decision 1/CMP.2 to provide non-binding best practice examples on the demonstration of additionality to assist the development of PDDs agreed to launch a call for public inputs requesting non-binding best practice examples on the demonstration of additionality to assist the development of PDDs, in particular for small-scale project activities. The SSC WG at its twelfth meeting considered the submissions<sup>3</sup> and an expert summary of ways in which additionality in the registered SSC PDDs has been demonstrated before making a recommendation to the Board. The Board may wish to make the examples available by

<sup>3</sup> See [http://cdm.unfccc.int/public\\_inputs/dev\\_PDDs/index.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/dev_PDDs/index.html)





including them as an annex to the SSC guidelines to further assist project participants in the development of SSC PDDs.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the SSC WG to the revise the general guidance to the SSC methodologies as contained in annex 11 of the report of the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG (see SSC WG 12 report). The revised general guidance expands the applicability of all approved type III methodologies to include Greenfield projects (new facilities) by including eligibility criteria based on test using relevant sections of the combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality.

*Background:* In response to a request for a revision of AMS III.H to include Greenfield wastewater treatment projects (new wastewater treatment facilities), a revision of general guidance to methodologies to expand the applicability of all the approved Type III methodologies for Greenfield projects was recommended. The revised general guidance stipulates that Greenfield projects (new facilities) can use a Type III small-scale methodology provided that they can demonstrate that the most plausible baseline scenario for this project activity is the baseline provided in the respective type III small-scale methodology. The demonstration should include the assessment of the alternatives of the project activity. For the purpose of the demonstration, project participants may apply the steps 1 to 3 of the latest version of “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality”<sup>3</sup> to identify the baseline scenario. If the identified baseline scenario is coincident with the baseline of the methodology, and it can be demonstrated that the implementation of the project as ‘the proposed project activity undertaken without being registered as CDM’, is not the common practice in the region, project participants can apply the methodology.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide further guidance to the SSC WG on how to apply the guidelines to determine the occurrence of debundling specifically in transport sector project activities.

*Background:* The SSC WG noted that a submission requested a clarification on the de-bundling check for a project activity in the transport sector involving improvements in the efficiency of railway engines. In this regard, prior to finalizing its recommendation, the group requested further guidance from the Board, for transport sector project activities, on the requirement that the project boundary is within 1 km of the project boundary of the proposed small-scale activity at the closest point, as contained in the Appendix C of the Simplified Modalities and Procedures for Small-Scale CDM project activities.<sup>4</sup>

**(e) Matters relating to programme of activities**

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the following forms related to programme of activities (PoA) for afforestation and reforestation project activities:

(a) Draft CDM Programme of Activities Design Document Form for A/R (CDM-POA-DD-AR), as contained in annex 4 of these annotations;

(b) Draft CDM Programme Activity Design document Form for A/R (CDM-POA-CPA-DD-AR), as contained in annex 5 of these annotations;

(c) Draft CDM Programme of Activities Design Document Form for Small-Scale A/R (CDM-POA-DD-SSC-AR), as contained in annex 6 of these annotations;

(d) Draft CDM Programme Activity Design document Form for A/R (CDM-POA-CPA-DD-SSC-AR), as contained in annex 7 of these annotations.

<sup>4</sup> <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/pac/howto/SmallScalePA/sscdebund.pdf>



*Background:* The Board had approved procedures for registration of Programme of Activities (PoA) for CDM A/R project activities at its thirty-second meeting. The CDM PoA design document forms for A/R and small-scale A/R PoA have been prepared with a view to facilitate the implementation of A/R PoAs in accordance with the guidance on registration of project activities under a PoA as approved by the Board at its thirty-second meeting.

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to clarify in the “Procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities” if verification and validation shall be carried out by separate DOEs for Small-Scale PoAs or Small-Scale A/R PoAs.

*Background:* As no specific guidance is provided for in the “procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities” as to whether validation, and verification and certification for Small-Scale and Small-Scale A/R PoAs should be carried out by separate or the same DOE, the Board may wish to clarify which of the below paragraphs in the modalities and procedures apply:

- (a) Small scale modalities and procedures (Annex II, 4 CMP.1, paragraph 9 (e)): The same operational entity may undertake validation, and verification and certification.
- (b) Small scale modalities and procedures for afforestation and reforestation (Annex to 6/CMP.1 paragraph 1 (e)): The same operational entity may undertake validation as well as verification and certification.
- (c) Modalities and procedures for CDM (Annex to 3 /CMP.1, paragraph 27 (e)): Perform one of the following functions relating to a given CDM project activity: validation or verification and certification. Upon request, the Executive Board may, however, allow a single designated operational entity to perform all these functions within a single CDM project activity.

**(f) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 1 October 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 803 (eight hundred and three);
- (b) Request for registration: 51 (fifty-one);
- (c) Request for review: 19 (nineteen);
- (d) Corrections requested: 29 (twenty-nine);
- (e) Under review: 30 (thirty);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 36 (thirty-six);
- (g) Withdrawn: 5 (five).

***Case specific***

35. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 19 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

*Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0916	Methane Recovery and Utilisation Project at TSH Kunak Oil Palm Mill	?	?	?
0984	Golden Hope Composting Project - Lavang	?	?	?
1054	Golden Hope Composting Project - Melalap	?	?	?
1107	Golden Hope Composting Project - Kerdau	?	?	?
1108	Golden Hope Composting Project - Merotai	?	?	?
1131	4.5 MW Wind Power Project in Kadavakallu, Andhra Pradesh	?	?	?
1132	2.76 MW Grid Connected Renewable Energy Project in Rajasthan by Kalani Industries	?	?	?
1166	Bundled wind energy power projects (2004 policy) in Rajasthan	?	?	?
1170	K water Wind Power Plant Project in Bang-a muri	?	?	?
1173	Way Ganga hydro power project, Sri Lanka	?	?	?
1175	9.8 MW Renewable Energy Generation for the grid at South Asian Agro Industries Limited in Raipur District, Chattisgarh	?	?	?
1185	LG Chem Naju plant fuel switching project	?	?	?
1192	OULJA Landfill gas recovery and flaring	?	?	?
1195	6 MW RPPL biomass based power plant	?	?	?
1201	10 MW Somasila Hydro Power Project for a grid system by Balaji Energy Pvt.Ltd.	?	?	?
1204	Erathna hydro power project, Sri Lanka	?	?	?
1216	2.5 MW BEL grid-connected wind power project at Davanagere district, Karnataka, India	?	?	?
1221	Biomass based renewable energy project in a Solvent Extraction Plant, India	?	?	?
1253	Baragran Hydro Electric Project, 3.0 MW (being expanded to 4.9 MW)	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

36. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the thirty (30) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-fourth meeting.

*Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB34*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0997	Power generation from waste heat at NSIL	?	?	?
1003	Ramgarh Chini Mills RE project	?	?	?
1035	Compañía Azucarera Hondureña S.A. cogeneration project	?	?	?
1043	Chumbagua Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1044	Ingenio Magdalena S.A. cogeneration project	?	?	?
1056	La Grecia Cogeneration Project	?	?	?



## CDM – Executive Board

Thirty-fifth meeting  
Proposed Agenda - Annotations

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1065	Cargill Uberlândia Biomass Residues Fuel Switch Project	?	?	?
1076	SRBSL – Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project	?	?	?
1077	Montecristo Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
1084	Optimal utilization of clinker by increasing the additives in cement production at Holcim Lanka Ltd (HLL), Sri Lanka	?	?	?
1085	Emission reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuel with alternative fuels like agricultural byproducts & Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) in the manufacturing of portland cement at Vikram Cement (VC), Neemuch (MP), India	?	?	?
1086	BHL Bilai Project	?	?	?
1104	Ancon – EcoMethane Landfill Gas Project	?	?	?
1109	M/S. Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Ltd (KSCL)'s Bagasse Based Co-generation Project, at Perambalur district, Tamil Nadu, India	?	?	?
1114	4MW Waste Heat Recovery based power project by GRSPL, India	?	?	?
1115	75MW wind power project in Maharashtra by Essel Mining Industries Limited	?	?	?
1120	Jiaozishan Landfill Gas Recovery and Utilisation Project	?	?	?
1123	Ciudad Juarez Landfill Gas to Energy Project	?	?	?
1140	MSPPL WHR based power project at Chattisgarh, India	?	?	?
1142	Priyata Intercontinental Wind Power Project, India	?	?	?
1154	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-19, Goias, Brazil	?	?	?
1157	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-20, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?
1158	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-21, Goias, Brazil	?	?	?
1159	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-24, Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil	?	?	?
1160	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-25, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?
1161	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-26, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?
1162	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-27, Goias, Brazil	?	?	?
1163	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-28, Santa Catarina, Brazil	?	?	?
1164	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-29, Sao Paulo, Brazil	?	?	?
1217	Renewable biomass residue based steam generation at Arvind Mills, Santej	?	?	?

*Background:* At its thirty-fourth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2).



37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 3.

*Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review*

Ref No.	Title	A	B
0872	4.0 MW Power Plant Using Clinker Cooling Gas Waste Heat	?	?
1004	2.25 MW Rice Husk based cogeneration plant at Siddeshwari Industries Pvt Ltd	?	?
1016	Kunak Jaya Bio Energy Plant	?	?
1020	Dan Chang Bio-Energy Cogeneration project (DCBC)	?	?
1024	Phu Khieo Bio-Energy Cogeneration project (PKBC)	?	?

*Background:* At its thirty-second, thirty-third and thirty-fourth meetings the Board considered the outcome of the review of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, and decided that the projects could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the project shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the request is rejected (case B in table 3).

#### **General guidance**

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of the “Procedures for requests for deviation”, as contained in annex 8 to these annotations.

*Background:* Due to time constraints at its thirty-fourth meeting, the Board agreed to consider the draft revision of the current “Procedures for requests for deviation” prepared by the secretariat, at its thirty-fifth meeting.

#### **(g) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 1 October 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 362 issuances, aggregate 82,867,157 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 11 requests, 2,197,172 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 16 requests, 7,910,132 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 7 requests

#### **Case specific**

40. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 16 requests for issuance listed in table 4.

*Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

Ref. no.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0001	Project for GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 in Gujarat, India	1852977	?	?	?
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	705570	?	?	?
0085	Hapugastenne and Hulu Ganga Small Hydropower Projects	43661	?	?	?
0091	Landfill Gas to Energy Project at Lara Landfill, Mauá, Brazil	98365	?	?	?
0115	GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 at refrigerant (HCFC-22) manufacturing facility of SRF Ltd	972340	?	?	?
0184	Electric Power Co-Generation by LDG Recovery – CST - Brasil	213899	?	?	?
0203	Cerradinho Bagasse Cogeneration Project (CBCP)	34655	?	?	?
0232	Shandong Dongyue HFC23 Decomposition Project	3080173	?	?	?
0348	Cogeneration system based on biomass (rice-husk) replacing oil fired boiler for process steam and generating power for partly replacement of grid power supply to the plant at M/s Indian Acrylics Ltd., District Sangrur, Punjab, India	38679	?	?	?
0431	Puente Gallego Landfill gas recovery project, Gallego, Rosario, Argentina	5244	?	?	?
0505	Methane recovery and power generation in a distillery plant	20743	?	?	?
0528	Shri Bajrang WHR CDM Project	66885	?	?	?
0530	ARAPUtanga Centrais ELétricas S. A. - ARAPUCEL - Small Hydroelectric Power Plants Project	432630	?	?	?
0544	Jilin Taobei Fuyu 49.5MW Wind Power Project	18218	?	?	?
0578	Deoband Bagasse based Co-generation Power Project	190404	?	?	?
0773	Ceran's Monte Claro Run of River Hydropower Plant CDM Project Activity	135689	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

41. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the seven (7) project activities listed in table 5, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-fourth meeting.

*Table 5: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB34*

Ref No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0033	Methane capture and combustion from swine manure treatment for Pocillas and La Estrella	150537	?	?	?



0045	Cuyamapa Hydroelectric Project	13596	?	?	?
0112	Nagda Hills Wind Energy Project (India)	10986	?	?	?
0288	Sahabat Empty Fruit Bunch Biomass Project	13552			
0458	Advanced swine manure treatment in Maitenlahue and La Manga	228648	?	?	?
0858	Grasim Cement: Energy efficiency by up-gradation of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing	36070	?	?	?
1037	Aços Villares Natural gas fuel switch project	156087	?	?	?

*Background:* At its thirty-fourth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 5 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in Table 5), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 5), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs. (case C in Table 5).

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 6.

*Table 6: CERs to be issued with corrections following consideration of a review*

Ref No.	Title	A	B
0209	Central Energética do Rio Pardo Cogeneration Project (CERPA)	?	?

*Background:* At its thirty-fourth meeting the Board considered the outcome of the review of the request for issuance of the project activity listed in table 6 above, and decided that CERs could be issued following corrections. The DOE has submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the CERs shall be issued, (case A in table 6), otherwise the request shall be rejected (case B in table 6).

43. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

*Background:* One (1) request for deviation has been submitted by a DOE to the Board before its thirty-fourth meeting and it was deferred for consideration by the board at its thirty-fifth meeting. In addition, one (1) request for deviation requiring further clarification from the DOE was deferred from EB33 to EB35.

#### **4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) take note of the fact that since late September 2007 due to the lack of financial resources generated from supplementary contributions, financing from fee based income has been initiated, (c) take note of the presentation of the secretariat highlighting the main activities defined by the Board recently contained in the CDM MAP 2008 and their financial implications, with the view of adopting it (d) take further action, as necessary.

*Background:* The current status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 9 of these annotations.



## 5. Other matters

### (a) EB report to the COP/MOP

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to its report to CMP 3, covering the period 18 November 2006 to 19 October 2007.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraphs 2 to 5 of the CDM modalities and procedures and bearing in mind paragraph 11 annex 1 CMP.1, the report is submitted for consideration to each session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) with a view to the CMP reviewing, *inter alia*, these annual reports and taking decisions, as appropriate, on:

(a) Recommendations made by the Executive Board, in accordance with provisions of the CDM modalities and procedures and relevant decisions of the COP and CMP;

(b) The designation of operational entities accredited, and provisionally designated, by the Executive Board in accordance with Article 12, paragraph 5.

### (b) Regional distribution

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft recommendation to CMP.3 on the issue of regional distribution prepared by the secretariat on the basis of inputs provided by members, which would be reflected in the Board report to the CMP at its third session.

*Background:* The Board at its thirty-fourth meeting, took note of the paper prepared by the secretariat, containing an analysis of how the barriers identified by the Board in its recommendations to CMP.2 could be addressed and an analysis of type of projects and methodologies that could be more suitable for regions with limited participation in the CDM, particularly in Africa, SIDS and LDCs and on that basis the Board had a fruitful discussion on possible elements to be included in its recommendations to be forwarded to CMP.3. The Board further agreed that a group of members will be working to further develop these elements including the possibility of linking some activities to the area of micro finance, in order to finalize its recommendations at its thirty-fifth meeting.

### (c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the briefing of the secretariat on the third meeting of the CDM DNA Forum held on 4 - 6 October 2007 in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

*Background:* The CMP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

### (d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

*Background:* Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirty-fourth meeting.



**(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 19 October 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

**(f) Other business**

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its thirty-fifth meeting:

(a) Letter from Mr. Naoki Matsuo, Chair, Senior Research Fellow, Climate Experts Ltd, received on 30 August 2007 related to the top-down approach for general methodological issues.

(b) Letter from Mr. Walter Schalke, CEO, Votorantim regarding rejection of project activity 0754 received on 31 August 2007.

(c) Letter from Ms. Courtney Blodgett, Principal CDM Project Manager, Ecosecurities regarding delays in project registration received on 13 September 2007.

(d) Letter from Mr. Lim Fook Hin, Director, TSH Bio-Energy SDN. BHD. regarding an appeal to the rejection of request for registration for proposed CDM activity 1014 Kunak Bio Energy Project received on 24 September 2007.

(e) Letter from Mr. Eduardo Garcia, GAMESA Energia regarding the implementation of Bii Nii Stipa Project according to last local Mexican laws and change of the crediting period received on 27 September 2007;

51. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

**6. Conclusion of the meeting**

52. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirty-fourth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

- - - - -