



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Thirty-third meeting

UNFCCC Headquarters
Bonn, Germany
25 - 27 July 2007

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1 - Draft procedures for bundles of small-scales A/R project activities

Annex 2 - Draft procedures to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for A/R project activities under the CDM

Annex 3 - Draft revision to the “Procedures for renewal of a crediting period of a registered CDM project activity”

Annex 4 - Draft POA guidance for small-scale and small-scale A/R project activities

Annex 5 - Current status of income and expenditures



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
 - (g) Matters relating to programme of activities
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.
2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirty-third meeting.

Background: The Board agreed, at its thirty-second meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirty-third meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 4 July 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the twentieth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted on 17 July 2007, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Hernan Carlino, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP will hold its thirtieth meeting on 13 - 15 July 2007.

Case specific

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: The CDM-AP meeting will only be held on 13 -15 July 2007 and hence the recommendations will not be submitted within the document deadline for this meeting of the Board. In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and will be sent under separate cover.

General guidance

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal on measures to address the issues relating to quality management systems of DOEs.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



Background: The Board, at its thirtieth meeting, considered the proposal submitted by the CDM-AP on the possibility for multi-site accreditation system for the DOEs. The Board took into consideration various aspects of the proposal with respect to its usefulness and potential difficulties for the CDM accreditation system. The Board requested the CDM-AP to further explore the proposal by taking into consideration views of the Board members and looking into alternative measures to address the issues relating to quality management systems of DOEs their accredited premises and use of technical resources from non-accredited premises of the DOEs. The Board requested the CDM-AP to submit its proposal for the consideration of the Board at its thirty-third meeting.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the recommendation of the CDM-AP for appropriate actions for the DOEs not complying with the requirements and/or instructions of the Board.

Background: At the thirty-first meeting, the Board considered the proposal submitted by the CDM-AP on appropriate actions for the DOEs not complying with the requirements and/or instructions of the Board. The Board took note that in accordance with the CDM modalities and procedures the DOEs are accountable to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP) through the Executive Board and therefore shall comply with the decisions/ instructions of the Board. Noting that there could be many different areas of authority and related requirements, which DOEs are required to comply with, the Board agreed that appropriate actions for non-compliance should be defined. The Board requested the CDM-AP to undertake a comprehensive review of such requirements and submit a proposal for appropriate actions for all possible requirements to the Board at its next meeting.

(b) **Methodologies for baselines and monitoring**

Background: Due to the proximity of the closing date of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel to the date of publication of these annotations an addendum will be issued on 16 July 2007 containing the annotations relating to this agenda item.

(c) **Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation project activities**

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the fifteenth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the group.

Background: The A/R WG held its fifteenth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 4 - 8 July 2007. The A/R WG dealt with general issues and case-specific issues.

Case specific

9. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers) and the public, the Board may wish, based on recommendations of the A/R WG (see A/R WG 15 report), to approve case ARNM0028-rev as contained in the annex 1 of the A/R WG report (see A/R WG 15 report).

Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the A/R WG are available on the UNFCCC CDM website². The A/R WG agreed to continue its work on proposal ARNM0024-rev.

² See <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>

*General guidance*

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft procedures to operationalize the bundling of several small-scale A/R project activities under the CDM for the purpose of validation, as contained in annex 1 of these annotations.

Background: At the thirty-second meeting, the Board agreed to consider draft procedures to operationalize the bundling of several small-scale A/R project activities under the CDM for the purpose of validation, taking into account the issue of de-bundling of large-scale AR project activities. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare such draft procedures for its consideration at its next meeting.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for A/R project activities under the CDM, as contained in annex 2 of these annotations.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board agreed to launch the second call for public input on the draft procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for A/R project activities under the CDM. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare the advanced final draft of the procedure that includes the public input for consideration by the Board at its thirty-third meeting.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft SSC A/R methodology for CDM project activities that convert settlements into forested land, and recommend it to CMP (in accordance with Appendix B of decision 6/CMP.1), as contained in the annex 2 of the A/R WG report (see AR WG 15 report).

Background: The draft SSC A/R methodology is applicable to lands classified as “settlements” in the IPCC good practice guidance for LULUCF, which include human settlements and infrastructure. The methodology provides guidance for the estimation of actual net GHG removals by sinks, emissions and leakages from sources relevant to small-scale A/R CDM project activities on settlements.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revisions to the approved small-scale A/R methodology AR-AMS0001, as contained in the annex 3 of the A/R WG report (see AR WG 15 report).

Background: The revised version of AR-AMS0001 contains simplified procedures for the estimation of (i) biomass stocks in the baseline; (ii) leakage of GHG emissions related to the displacement of animals; and (iii) GHG emissions resulting from the use of fertilizer as a result of the implementation of the A/R activity.

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft methodological tool for estimation of GHG emissions related to fossil fuel combustion in A/R CDM project activities, as contained in the annex 4 of the A/R WG report (see AR WG 15 report).

Background: The draft tool for estimation of GHG emissions related to fossil fuel combustion in A/R CDM project activities facilitates the development and revision of baseline and monitoring methodologies for A/R CDM project activities by providing two alternative methods by which project and leakage GHG emission from combustion of fossil fuel may be estimated and accounted for.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft methodological tool for determining when changes in the soil organic carbon pool may be conservatively neglected in development and revision of baseline and monitoring A/R CDM methodologies, as contained in the annex 5 of the A/R WG report (see AR WG 15 report).

Background: The tool for determining when changes in the soil organic carbon pool may be conservatively neglected in development and revision of baseline and monitoring A/R CDM methodologies



provides a simple method to determine if there is a requirement to include changes in soil organic carbon pool in baseline and monitoring methodology.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft tool for estimation of direct nitrous oxide emission from nitrogen fertilization, as contained in the annex 6 of the A/R WG report (see AR WG 15 report).

Background: The tool for estimation of direct nitrous oxide emission from nitrogen fertilization facilitates the development and revision of baseline and monitoring methodologies for A/R CDM project activities by providing a straightforward approach for estimation of direct nitrous oxide emission from nitrogen fertilizers applied in A/R activities.

17. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the sixteenth meeting of the afforestation and reforestation working group will be held from 19 to 21 September 2007.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the eleventh meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Ms. Ulrika Raab on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its eleventh meeting in Bonn, Germany on 4 - 6 July 2007. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Revision of methodologies

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised methodology AMS III.M, as contained in annex 3 of the SSC WG report (see SSCWG 11 report).

Background: The Board approved a new small-scale methodology titled ‘AMS III.M Reduction in consumption of electricity by recovering soda from paper manufacturing process’ at its thirty-first meeting and requested the SSC WG to explore possibilities to broaden the applicability of the methodology to include activities involving the import of caustic soda from Non-Annex I countries in the baseline calculation. As requested by the Board, the SSC WG recommended a revision of the applicability conditions of AMS III.M to include project activities that involve the import of caustic soda from a production facility located in another non Annex I country.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised approved methodology AMS I.B, as contained in annex 4 of the SSC WG report (see SSCWG 11 report).

Background: The SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS I.B, to further clarify the applicability of the methodology for irrigation applications. The proposed revisions also provides guidance for situations where electricity is a co-product of the project activity, providing mechanical energy for the user.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised approved methodology AMS I.A, as contained in annex 5 of the SSC WG report (see SSCWG 11 report).

Background: The SSC WG recommended a revision of AMS I.A to clarify the applicability of the methodology and to maintain consistency with the proposed revision of AMS I.B, as contained in annex 5 of the SSC WG report (see SSCWG 11 report).



22. **►Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised approved methodology AMS III.G, as contained in annex 6 of the SSC WG report (see SSCWG 11 report).

Background: In response to the submission SSC_103 the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS III.G. Approved methodology AMS III.G refers to the ‘Tool to determine methane emissions avoided from dumping waste at a solid waste disposal site’. The emissions in year y in the tool are calculated as the sum of emissions from waste deposited in the land fill in each year x, where x runs from the first year of the crediting period (x=1) to the year for which avoided emissions are calculated (x=y). As AMS III.G only uses a simplified approach to the FOD formulae and the tool is broadly applicable for many methane avoidance project activities, where accounting for pre-existing waste deposited before the crediting period is not relevant, the SSC WG therefore recommended a revision of AMS III.G to include emissions from the pre-existing waste in the baseline calculations of AMS III.G.

23. **Note:** The Board may wish to note that the twelfth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 19-21 September 2007.

(e) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

24. **►Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 11 July 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 729 (seven hundred and twenty-nine);
- (b) Request for registration: 47 (forty-seven);
- (c) Request for review: 25 (twenty-five);
- (d) Corrections requested: 19 (nineteen);
- (e) Under review: 10 (ten);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 20 (twenty);
- (g) Withdrawn: 4 (four).

Case specific

25. **►Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 25 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0844	Partial substitution of fossil fuels with biomass in cement manufacture	?	?	?
0896	Cervecería Hondureña Methane Capture Project	?	?	?
0988	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD 7	?	?	?
0995	Fujian Dongshan Wujiaobay 30MW Wind Power Project	?	?	?
1010	Laizhou Diaolongzui Wind Farm	?	?	?
1014	Kunak Bio Energy Project	?	?	?



1022	Fuel switch at BSM sugar mills	?	?	?
1023	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD 3	?	?	?
1024	Phu Khieo Bio-Energy Cogeneration project (PKBC)	?	?	?
1027	Transalloys Manganese Alloy Smelter Energy Efficiency Project	?	?	?
1030	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD 2	?	?	?
1033	Central IZALCO Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1041	Eliane Natural Gas fuel switch project	?	?	?
1042	19.27 MW Grid connected wind electricity generation project by KPR Mills in Tamil Nadu	?	?	?
1050	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD 4	?	?	?
1051	Mitigation of Methane Emissions in the Charcoal Production of Plantar, Brazil	?	?	?
1055	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD 1	?	?	?
1057	Pão de Açúcar - Demand Side electricity management - PDD 6	?	?	?
1058	Pão de Açúcar - Demand side electricity management - PDD 8	?	?	?
1060	Pão de Açúcar - Demand side electricity management -PDD 5	?	?	?
1064	Metrogas Watt's Alimentos Package Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1068	ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES AT CEMENT PRODUCTION PLANT	?	?	?
1069	BCML Haidergarh Bagasse Co-generation Project (India)	?	?	?
1071	Grid-connected electricity generation from renewable sources at Kadavakallu, Putluru Mandal, Dist.	?	?	?
1072	ENERGY EFFICIENCY MEASURES AT CEMENT PRODUCTION PLANT IN CENTRAL INDIA	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

26. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the ten (10) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-second meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB32

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0871	Increase of Power Generation of the hydroelectric power station Fortuna in Panama (IPGFP)	?	?	?
0890	Efficient use of industrial biomass residue for thermal energy generation	?	?	?
0964	San Ramón Rural Electrification project	?	?	?
0972	Reduction of Flaring and Use of Recovered Gas for Methanol Production	?	?	?
0977	Dalmia Sugars Limited Nigohi project	?	?	?



0990	Dalmia Sugars Limited Jawaharpur RE project	?	?	?
1004	2.25 MW Rice Husk based cogeneration plant at Siddeshwari Industries Pvt Ltd	?	?	?
1016	Kunak Jaya Bio Energy Plant	?	?	?
1020	Dan Chang Bio-Energy Cogeneration project (DCBC)	?	?	?
1036	Khon Kaen Sugar Power Plant	?	?	?

Background: At its thirty-second meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2).

27. **►Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 3.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
0859	Vikram Cement: Energy efficiency by up-gradation of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing	?	?

Background: At its thirty-second meeting the Board considered the outcome of the review of requests for registration of the project activity listed in table 3 above, and decided that the projects could be registered following corrections. The DOE have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 3).

General guidance

28. **►Action:** The Board may wish to consider the options prepared by the secretariat to address the issues related to authorization and participation in a comprehensive manner to ensure consistency between modalities and procedures, glossary of terms and EB guidance and clarification.

Background: Due to time constraints at its thirty-second meeting the Board agreed to postpone the consideration of the assessment prepared by the secretariat regarding the issue of withdrawal of project participants from registered project activities and the effects of this on the rights of Parties involved and further agreed to consider this issue at its thirty-third meeting.

29. **►Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revision prepared by the secretariat of the “Procedures for renewal of a crediting period of a registered CDM project activity”, as contained in annex 3 of these annotations.

Background: The Board at its thirty-second meeting noted a need for further clarifications to be given with respect to “Procedures for renewal of a crediting period of a registered CDM project activity” and requested the secretariat to prepare a revision of these procedures for consideration at its thirty-third meeting.

**(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 11 July 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 294 issuances, aggregate 62,677,945 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 14 requests, 930,399 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 12 requests, 3,853,723 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 0 requests

Case specific

31. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 12 requests for issuance listed in table 4.

Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref. no.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0001	Project for GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 in Gujarat, India	487529	?	?	?
0003	HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan	740584	?	?	?
0099	N2O Emission Reduction in Onsan, Republic of Korea	1094957	?	?	?
0116	N2O Emission Reduction in Paulínia, SP, Brazil	709721	?	?	?
0151	Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project	455954	?	?	?
0200	Termoelétrica Santa Adélia Cogeneration Project (TSACP)	16747	?	?	?
0202	Zillo Lorenzetti Bagasse Cogeneration Project (ZLBC)	62603	?	?	?
0209	Central Energética do Rio Pardo Cogeneration Project (CERPA)	19379	?	?	?
0476	Switching of fossil fuel from Naptha & Diesel to Biomass (agricultural residue) for 9 MW Power Generation Unit of M/s. My Home Power limited (MHPL) and Supply to APTRANSCO Grid	42435	?	?	?
0484	Fuel oil to natural gas switch at Solvay Indupa do Brasil S.A.	64642	?	?	?
0697	6 MW Renewable energy generation project by Varam Power Projects in India	108066	?	?	?
0713	Biomass based captive cogeneration project at Shri Renuga Textiles Limited	51106	?	?	?



Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Five (5) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its thirty-second meeting for consideration by the Board at its thirty-third meeting. In addition, three (3) more requests for deviation requiring further clarifications from the DOE were deferred from EB 32 to EB 33.

(g) **Matters relating to programme of activities**

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree the draft POA guidance with regard to the application of methodologies and debundling for small-scale and small-scale afforestation and reforestation CPAs, as contained in annex 4 of these annotations and (b) revise the approved small-scale methodologies to allow for their application under a programme of activities (PoA), as contained in annexes 4 to 25 of SSC WG10 report and request the secretariat to carry out the same relevant changes to those methodologies approved by the Board since (AMS III.N).

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare draft POA guidance for small-scale and small-scale afforestation and reforestation project activities taking into account the report by the small-scale and the A/R working groups and comments by members at this meeting. As requested by the Board the SSC WG reviewed all approved SSC methodologies and recommended additional guidance on leakage to allow for their application to a project activity under a programme of activities (PoA).

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following proposal on modalities for the payment of fees for POA registration and/or for inclusion of CPAs:

(a) The registration fee for a PoA is based on the total expected annual emission reductions of the CPA(s) that will be submitted together with the request for registration of the PoA. The calculation of the amount to be paid and the procedures for payment will follow *mutatis mutandis* the existing rules for the payment of a registration fee (Annex 35 to EB 23 Report). For each CPA which is included subsequently, no fee is to be paid. Fees are to be paid by the coordinating/managing entity to the secretariat.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, requested the secretariat to develop draft modalities for the payment of fees for POA registration and/or for inclusion of CPAs.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) consider to request to the secretariat to establish a Trust Fund for the accounting of fees and share of proceeds which would streamline and enhance transparency the management of that income stream; (c) indicate its appreciation to Parties which have generously contributed resources for the work on the CDM; (d) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The current status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 5 of these annotations.



5. Other matters

(a) Regional distribution

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (i) consider the paper prepared by the secretariat containing an analysis of how the barriers identified by the Board in its recommendations to CMP.2 could be addressed and an analysis of type of projects and methodologies that could be more suitable for regions with limited participation in the CDM, particularly in Africa, SIDS and LDCs, as contained in annex 6 of EB32 annotated agenda; and (b) agree on further action.

Background: The Board at its thirty-second meeting, took note of the above mentioned paper prepared by the secretariat and due to the absence of some members, the Board agreed to postpone the consideration of this paper to its thirty-third meeting in order to determine further actions in this area.

(b) Relations with Designated National Authorities

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note of progress regarding the preparation of the third meeting of the CDM DNA Forum.

Background: The CMP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(c) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirty-third meeting.

(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 27 July 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(e) Other business

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.



Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its thirty-third meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Kallol Basu, Vice President, Industrial Ecology related to a rejected blended cement Project with Fly Ash - Lafarge India Private Limited, UNFCCC Ref. No. 0715 received on 08 June 2007;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Lew Smyrnios, Vice President, AgCert International requesting to discuss new SSC methodology proposal for "Methane avoidance in AWMS", received on 13 June 2007;
- (c) Letter from Ms. Jutta Kill, FERN requesting for clarification regarding registered CDM Project 0143, received on 14 June 2007;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Johannes Heister, Team Leader, Policy and Methodology, Carbon Finance Unit, The World Bank regarding the 27th Methodology Panel - recommendation for C-rating for NM0121-rev received on 17 June 2007;
- (e) Letter from Ms. Fatou Gaye, Chair of the JISC regarding potential collaboration of CDM & JI accreditation processes received on 17 June 2007;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Francois Beaurain, Technical Director, ecosur regarding queries related to ACM0010 version 2 methodology received on 20 June 2007;
- (g) Letter from Ms. Adi Dishon, CEO, EcoTraders regarding a request for clarification on the status of consideration of NM0212 by the Meth Panel received on 7 June 2007;
- (h) Letter from Ms. Sandra Greiner, Climate Focus regarding the assessment of SSC methodologies received on 25 June 2007;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Umesh Srivastava, Manager, GRASIM Industries Ltd requesting for more information on the rejected project activity 0863 received on 3 July 2007;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Junji Hantano, Chairman, Clean Energy Finance Committee, Mitsubishi UFJ Securities regarding preliminary recommendations as an effective means of communication received on 4 July 2007.

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6. Conclusion of the meeting

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirty-third meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
