



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Thirty-second meeting

UNFCCC Headquarters
Bonn, Germany
20 - 22 June 2007

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1 - Draft guidance on the registration of project activities under a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity

Annex 2 - Draft procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of CERs for a programme of activities

Annex 3 - Draft on guidance for DOEs on verification and validation in order to promote quality and consistency in verification and validation reports

Annex 4 - Draft revision in the CDM accreditation procedure

Annex 5 - Current status of income and expenditures

Annex 6 - Paper on regional distribution



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
 - (g) Modalities for collaboration with the Subsidiary Bodies
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the resignations of the following member and alternate members of the Board:

- (a) Member, Ms. Anastasia Moskalenko (Eastern Europe);
- (b) Alternate member, Ms. María Sanz Sanchez (Western Europe and Others Group); and
- (c) Alternate member, Ms. Desna Solofa (Alliance of Small Island States).

Background: Following receipt of the letters of resignation of the above-mentioned member and alternate members, the secretariat informed the relevant constituencies (Eastern Europe group, Western Europe and Others Group and Alliance of Small Island States) to facilitate and speed up the process of replacement, in accordance with the report of eighth meeting of the Board. The secretariat has not received a nomination for a replacement from Alliance of Small Island States but has received the following nominations:

- (a) Mr. Evgeny Sokolov for Eastern Europe group; and
- (b) Mr. Martin Hession for Western European and Others Group.

In accordance with previous practice, the Board is at the time of publication of these annotations conducting an electronic decision regarding the appointment of these two nominations to enable the participation of these two persons in the thirty-second meeting of the Board, as applicable

2. Adoption of the agenda

4. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirty-second meeting.

Background: The Board agreed, at its thirty-first meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirty-second meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 30 May 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the nineteenth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted on 16 June 2007, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Hernan Carlino, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP will hold its twenty-ninth meeting on 14 - 15 June 2007.

Case specific

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: As indicated in the report of the thirty-first meeting, the CDM-AP meeting will only meet on 14 -15 June 2007 and hence the recommendations will not be submitted within the document deadline for this meeting of the Board. In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and will be sent under separate cover.

General guidance

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the proposal prepared by the secretariat on a process to meet the request of the COP/MOP to develop guidance for designated operational entities on verification and validation in order to promote quality and consistency in verification and validation reports, as contained in annex 3 of these annotations.

Background: At the thirty-first meeting, the Board held discussions on the request of the COP/MOP to develop guidance for designated operational entities on verification and validation in order to promote quality and consistency in verification and validation reports. The Board requested the secretariat to submit a proposal on a process to meet the request of the COP/MOP for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting. The Board requested the secretariat to consider work already undertaken externally, including the validation and verification manual for CDM and Joint Implementation projects (VVM), as a basis for developing guidelines in order to promote quality and consistency in the validation and verification work.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal by the secretariat on a revision in the CDM accreditation procedure, in order to reflect that the secretariat will undertake the assessment work for the accreditation of operational entities, as contained in annex 4 to these annotations.

Background: At the thirty-first meeting, the Board considered a proposal for strengthening the role of the secretariat in undertaking the assessment work for the accreditation of operational entities in order to address difficulties in non-availability of experts, resulting into delays in the assessment process, to increase efficiency and to improve consistency of the assessment work. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a revision in the CDM accreditation procedure, in order to implement this proposal, for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to select a member of the Board to act as Vice-Chair of the CDM-AP.



Background: At its last meeting, the Board took note of the resignation of Ms. Anastasia Moskalenko, Vice-Chair of the CDM-AP and expressed its deep appreciation for her outstanding dedication and support to the panel.

(b) **Methodologies for baselines and monitoring**

Background: Due to the proximity of the closing date of the twenty-seventh meeting of the Meth Panel to the date of publication of these annotations an addendum will be issued on 11 June 2007 containing the annotations relating to this agenda item.

(c) **Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation project activities**

Background: Due to the proximity of the closing date of the fourteenth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) to the date of publication of these annotations an addendum will be issued on 11 June 2007 containing the annotations relating to this agenda item.

(d) **Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the tenth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Ms. Ulrika Raab on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its tenth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 23 - 25 May 2007. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note a briefing by the secretariat on the side event during the twenty-sixth meeting of the Subsidiary Bodies on methodologies that propose the switch from non-renewable biomass to renewable biomass, addressing issues related to leakage, differentiation between renewable and non-renewable biomass.

Background: In relation to the CMP request to the Board on simplified methodologies “for calculating emission reductions for small-scale project activities that propose the switch from non-renewable to renewable biomass”, the Board at its twenty-eighth meeting requested the secretariat to arrange a side event during the Subsidiary Body sessions in May on methodologies that propose the switch from non-renewable biomass to renewable biomass, addressing issues related to leakage, differentiation between renewable and non-renewable biomass and consistency with paragraph 7 (a) of decisions 17/CP.7. The Board further requested the secretariat to provide a briefing of this event at the thirty-second meeting of the Board.

Case specific

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to recommend the following simplified methodologies “for calculating emission reductions for small-scale project activities that propose the switch from non-renewable to renewable biomass” to the CMP at its third session as contained in annex 1 and annex 2 of the SSC WG 10 report.

(a) SSC I.E. Switch from Non-Renewable Biomass for Thermal Applications by the User

(b) SSC II.G. Energy Efficiency Measures in Thermal Applications of Non-Renewable Biomass



The proposed methodologies take into account the public inputs received in response to a call for input by the Board² and the inputs received at the side event³, to address issues related to leakage, differentiation between renewable and non-renewable biomass and consistency with paragraph 7 (a) of decision 17/CP.7.

Background: The Board noted the request by COP/MOP 2 (paragraph 29 and 30, decision 1/CMP.2) to the Board to recommend to the CMP at its third session, simplified methodologies “for calculating emission reductions for small-scale project activities that propose the switch from non-renewable to renewable biomass”. It further noted in paragraph 29 of the same decision that it extended an invitation to Parties, intergovernmental organizations and non-governmental organizations to submit to the Board proposals for methodologies for small-scale clean development mechanism project activities that propose the switch from non-renewable biomass to renewable biomass, addressing issues related to leakage, differentiation between renewable and non-renewable biomass and consistency with paragraph 7 (a) of decision 17/CP.7 and agreed to open a call for public input from 15 January to 16 April 2007 in this regard. The Board further requested the SSC WG to consider these proposals with the view to recommending a proposal for consideration by the Board at its thirty-second meeting. The Board also requested the secretariat to arrange a side event at the next subsidiary body session, in this regard

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a new small-scale methodology titled ‘AMS III.N Avoidance of HFC emissions in rigid Poly Urethane Foam (PUF) manufacturing’ as contained in annex 3 of the SSC WG10 report. The proposed methodology is for project activities that shift from using HFC foam blowing agent in the baseline with hydrocarbon (e.g. pentane) blowing agent in the manufacture of rigid foams.

Background: In response to the submission SSC_092, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology titled ‘SSC III.N Avoidance of HFC emissions in rigid Poly Urethane Foam (PUF) manufacturing’.

Revisions of approved methodologies:

Note: The Board, at its last meeting, requested the SSC WG to review the SSC methodologies which have been approved, with a view to revising them to account for leakage under a CPA. The SSC WG recommendations are referred to under the agenda item ‘Other matters - Programme of activities’.

General guidance

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the SSC WG on the review of the approach and concepts of monitoring energy efficiency originally proposed in NM0101 and NM0154 and applied in project activities 0859 and 0954.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting the Board requested the SSC WG to review at its next meeting the approach and concepts of monitoring energy efficiency originally proposed in NM0101 and NM0154 and applied in project activities 0859 and 0954 and provide input to the Board as to its suitability for small-scale CDM projects applying AMS-II.D.

As requested by the Board the SSC WG reviewed the approaches and concepts of monitoring energy efficiency originally proposed in NM0101 and NM0154 and used in project activities 0859 and 0954 applying AMSII.D. The SSC WG noted that the average annual reductions of these projects are comparable,

² See http://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/index.html

³ Side event at the twenty-sixth session of the subsidiary bodies of UNFCCC on 16 May 2006, for details see http://regserver.unfccc.int/seors/reports/events_list.html



for example reductions of the underlying project of 0154 were 24,617 per year and those of 0954 were 27,393 per year. The SSC WG noted that further simplifications were made to the monitoring methods of NM0154 used in project activities 0859 and 0954 e.g. some key parameters are now monitored on weekly instead of daily basis. Further the procedures used to estimate the baseline emissions were not clearly described in the PDDs of 0859 and 0954. In addition the SSC WG noted that there are a number of exogenous upstream and downstream factors that may affect heat inputs or outputs in the project and baseline case, which may significantly impact emission reductions. The project documentation of 859 and 954 do not account for these factors sufficiently in applying AMS II.D. Therefore the SSC WG agreed that the application of AMS II.D to project activities 0859 and 0954, which is primarily based on estimated parameters, may result in the propagation of systematic and random errors in emission reduction calculations, and therefore is not appropriate.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that the eleventh meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 4-6 July 2007.

(e) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 6 June 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 690 (six hundred and ninety);
- (b) Request for registration: 78 (seventy-eight);
- (c) Request for review: 26 (twenty-six);
- (d) Corrections requested: 11 (eleven);
- (e) Under review: 8 (eight);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 14 (fourteen);
- (g) Withdrawn: 4 (four).

Case specific

17. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 25 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
740	Zafarana Wind Power Plant Project	?	?	?
864	Waste heat recovery based captive power project in integrated Iron & Steel plant	?	?	?
871	Increase of Power Generation of the hydroelectric power station Fortuna in Panama (IPGFP)	?	?	?



890	Efficient use of industrial biomass residue for thermal energy generation	?	?	?
891	Atiaia – Buriti Small Hydropower Plant	?	?	?
911	ESTRE Itapevi Landfill Gas Project (EILGP)	?	?	?
918	Energas Varadero Conversion from Open Cycle to Combined Cycle Project	?	?	?
934	8.0 MW Biomass Based Power Project at Mahasamund, India	?	?	?
947	Biomass Based Cogeneration Units at Uttar Pradesh	?	?	?
964	San Ramón Rural Electrification project	?	?	?
971	7.5 MW Grid-Connected Biomass Power Project, by Ravi Kiran Power Projects Private Limited	?	?	?
972	Reduction of Flaring and Use of Recovered Gas for Methanol Production	?	?	?
977	Dalmia Sugars Limited Nigohi project	?	?	?
987	Energy Efficiency through Alteration of fuel oil atomizing media in coal-fired thermal power plant	?	?	?
989	Lianghekou 15MW Small Hydropower Project, Gansu Province	?	?	?
990	Dalmia Sugars Limited Jawaharpur RE project	?	?	?
993	Hainan Province Diaoluohu Hydropower Project	?	?	?
996	Zhoubai Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
1002	Electricity generation by utilization of waste heat from calcined petroleum coke production process	?	?	?
1004	2.25 MW Rice Husk based cogeneration plant at Siddeshwari Industries Pvt Ltd	?	?	?
1016	Kunak Jaya Bio Energy Plant	?	?	?
1020	Dan Chang Bio-Energy Cogeneration project (DCBC)	?	?	?
1036	Khon Kaen Sugar Power Plant	?	?	?
1045	4.5 MW Industrial Waste based Grid-connected Power Project	?	?	?
1062	Santa Terezinha – Tapejara Cogeneration Project. (Usina de Açúcar Santa Terezinha Ltda.)	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1),



request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

18. **►Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the eight (8) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-first meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB31

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
754	Use of blast furnace slag in the production of blended cement at Votorantim Cimentos	?	?	?
854	Production of blended cement with blast furnace slag at Cimento Mizu	?	?	?
859	Vikram Cement: Energy efficiency by up-gradation of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing	?	?	?
861	ACEL Blended cement project at Sankrail grinding unit	?	?	?
863	Optimum utilisation of clinker for Pozzolana Portland Cement (PPC) production at Birla Plus Cement in Bathinda, Punjab, India	?	?	?
872	4.0 MW Power Plant Using Clinker Cooling Gas Waste Heat	?	?	?
951	Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures in the caustic soda and sodium cyanide plant at Vadodara complex of GACL	?	?	?
954	GHG emission reduction by energy efficiency improvement of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing at Rajashree cement at District Gulbarga, Karnataka India	?	?	?

Background: At its thirty-first meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2).

19. **►Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 3.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
685	Modification of clinker cooler for energy efficiency improvement in cement manufacturing at Binani Cement Limited	?	?



Background: At its thirtieth meeting the Board considered the outcome of the review of request for registration of the project activity listed in table 3 above, and decided that the project could be registered following corrections. The DOE have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the project shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the request is rejected (case B in table 3).

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to registration.

Background: Two (2) request for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its thirty-first meeting for consideration by the Board at its thirty-second meeting.

Registration procedure

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint additional members of the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT) taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: Due to time constraints at its thirty-first meeting the Board did not consider the shortlist for members of the Registration and Issuance team (RIT) for a term of one year and agreed to consider it at its thirty-second meeting.

General guidance

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the options prepared by the secretariat to address the issues related to authorization and participation in a comprehensive manner to ensure consistency between modalities and procedures, glossary of terms and EB guidance and clarifications.

Background: The Board considered the initial assessment prepared by the secretariat regarding issues related to authorization and participation and agreed to request the secretariat to present options to address these issues in a comprehensive manner to ensure consistency between modalities and procedures, glossary of terms and EB guidance and clarifications, for consideration at its thirty-second meeting.

(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 6 June 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 269 issuances, aggregate 54,114,987 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 17 requests, 6,140,401 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 10 requests, 5,797,656 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 0 requests

*Case specific*

24. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 9 requests for issuance listed in table 4.

Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref. no.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
065	Santa Cândida Bagasse Cogeneration Project (SCBCP)	17762	?	?	?
130	Antonio Moran Wind Power Plant Project in Patagonia Region, Argentina	119678	?	?	?
213	Serra Bagasse Cogeneration Project (SBCP)	8692	?	?	?
264	Waste heat based 7 MW Captive Power Project Godawari Power and Ispat Ltd (GPIL)	18793	?	?	?
325	Generation of Electricity through combustion of waste gases from Blast furnace and Corex units at JSW Steel Limited (in JPL unit 1), at Torangallu in Karnataka, India	1364852	?	?	?
350	Use of waste gas use for electricity generation at JSW Energy Limited	4058375	?	?	?
447	Generation of electricity from 6.25 MW capacity wind mills by Sun-n-Sand Hotels Pvt. Ltd at Soda Mada Rajasthan	25520	?	?	?
471	56.25 MW bundled wind energy project in Tirunelveli and Coimbatore districts in Tamilnadu, India	46211	?	?	?
560	Generation of electricity from 1.2 MW capacity wind mills by Sun-n-Sand Hotels Pvt. Ltd. at Satara, Maharashtra	13294	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Five (5) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its thirty-first meeting for consideration by the Board at its thirty-second meeting.

(g) Modalities for collaboration with the Subsidiary Bodies

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of progress on the issues related to the limit for small-scale afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities, HFC23 and the International Transaction Log (ITL), as appropriate.



Background: At its thirty-first meeting the Board requested Mr. José Domingos Miguez, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi and Ms. Anastasia Moskalenko to follow negotiations at Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) related to agenda item “Implications of possible changes to the limit for small-scale afforestation and reforestation clean development mechanism project activities” and report on the outcome to the Board.

The Board further requested Mr. José Domingos Miguez to continue following the agenda item the SBSTA relating to “Implications of the establishment of new hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 (HCFC-22) facilities seeking to obtain certified emission reductions for the destruction of hydrofluorocarbon-23 (HFC-23)” and report on the outcome to the Board.

The Board further requested Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi and Ms. Anastasia Moskalenko to continue to follow the agenda item under the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) relating to ITL and report on the outcome to the Board.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) consider a revision of CDM Management Plan, (c) indicate its appreciation to Parties which have generously contributed resources for the work on the CDM; (d) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The current status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 5 of these annotations.

5. Other matters

(a) Programme of Activities

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to issue version two of the guidance on the registration of project activities under a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and the procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 20 of decision by 7/CMP.1, indicating that a local/regional/national policy or standard cannot be considered as a clean development mechanism project activity, but that project activities under a programme of activities can be registered as a single CDM project activity, the Board has considered and worked on project activities under a programme of activities at its last meetings and agreed to “guidance on the registration of a programme of activities as a single project activity” (Version 01). At its last meeting the Board requested the secretariat to prepare, based on the comments from members, a revised version of the documents for consideration at its thirty-second meeting. The drafts of these documents are contained in annex 1 and annex 2 of these annotations and have been made available to the Board and the public one week prior to the publications of these annotations.

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to revise the approved small-scale methodologies to allow for their application under a programme of activities (PoA), as contained in annexes 4 to 25 of SSC WG10 report. The proposed revisions include additional guidance on leakage. Furthermore, the SSC WG requested confirmation from the Board that methodological issues related to additionality and monitoring will be addressed at registration of the PoA and therefore the proposed recommendation on guidance for leakage is sufficient.

Background: The Board at its thirty-first meeting requested the SSC WG to review the SSC methodologies, which have been approved, with a view to revising them to account for leakage under a CPA. It further requested the SSC WG to forward its recommendation in this regard to the EB, as a priority.



As requested by the Board the SSC WG reviewed all approved SSC methodologies and recommended additional guidance on leakage to allow for their application to a project activity under a programme of activities (PoA). In doing so the SSC WG compared the leakage sections of the SSC methodologies with the corresponding large-scale CDM (LSC) methodologies. The SSC WG noted that leakage emissions from shift of pre-project activities and production of biomass are considered in the SSC methodologies in a simplified way while leakage from upstream emissions from fossil fuel use are not considered. The SSC WG therefore recommended that the procedures for calculation of leakage from upstream emissions, shift in pre project activities and emissions from production of biomass in the corresponding large-scale methodologies be applied when the SSC methodologies are used for a PoA.

Furthermore, the SSC WG agreed that the following guidance should be recommended for inclusion in all SSC methodologies for application to a PoA: “In case the project activity involves the replacement of equipment, and the leakage effect of the use of the replaced equipment in another activity is neglected because the replaced equipment is scrapped, an independent monitoring of scrapping of replaced equipment needs to be implemented. The monitoring should include a check if the number of project activity equipment distributed by the project and the number of scrapped equipment correspond with each other. For this purpose scrapped equipment should be stored until such correspondence has been checked. The scrapping of replaced equipment should be documented and independently verified”.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation by the A/R WG on the review of the SSC AR methodologies, taking into account the leakage provisions under CPA.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, requested the A/R WG to review the SSC AR methodologies, with a view to revising the leakage provisions, as appropriate, to account for leakage under a CPA. The A/R WG shall forward its recommendations to the EB in this regard, as a priority.

(b) Regional distribution

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the paper prepared by the secretariat with an analysis of how the barriers identified by the Board (see recommendations to CMP 2) could be addressed and an analysis of type of projects and methodologies that could be more suitable for regions with limited participation in the CDM, particularly in Africa, SIDS and LDCs, as contained in annex 6 of these annotations with a view to determine further steps in this area.

Background: The Board, at its thirtieth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a paper which includes an analysis of how the barriers identified by the Board in its recommendations to CMP 2 could be addressed and an analysis of type of projects and methodologies that could be more suitable for regions with limited participation in the CDM, particularly in Africa, SIDS and LDCs. The Board may wish to consider this paper at its thirty-second meeting with a view to provide guidance relating to developing possible tools, such as manuals, to help these countries to stimulate more CDM project activities.

(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note of progress regarding the preparation of the third meeting of the CDM DNA Forum.

Background: The CMP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

**(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirty-first meeting.

(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 22 June 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its thirty-first meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(f) Other business

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its thirty-second meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Albrecht von Ruffer, N.serve Environmental Services GmbH, Germany, related to methodological issues sent to the Board on 30 April 2007;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Christoph Sutter, South Pole Carbon Asset Management Ltd., Switzerland related to methodological issues sent to the Board on 30 April 2007;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Johannes Heister, World Bank, USA related to methodological issues sent to the Board on 2 May 2007;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Keith Allot, Head of WWF-UK Climate Change Programme regarding the CDM project for reduction of flaring and use of recovered gas for methanol production in Equatorial New Guinea received on 9 May 2007;
- (e) Letter from Mr./Ms. P. Ananthanarayanan, Lead Auditor - IRCA Regd, (ISO 9000 Quality Management System, regarding the SSC methodologies received on 28 May 2007;
- (f) Letter from Ms. Francesca Maria Cerchia, Managing Director, Econergy Brasil, Carbon Markets regarding a request for clarification on the procedures for renewal of the crediting period received on 23 May 2007;



(g) Letter from Mr. Taïeb Chérif, International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) regarding aviation projects under the CDM received on 25 May 2007;

(h) Letter from Mr. Andre Marcu, President of International Emissions Trading Association (IETA), regarding issues on programme of activities received on 5 June 2007.

(i) Letter from Ms. Belinda Kinkead, Head of Implementation, EcoSecurities regarding the methodological issues received on 6 June 2007.

(j) Letter from Mr. Andre Marcu, President of International Emissions Trading Association (IETA), regarding attendance as observer at EB meetings received on 6 June 2007.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6. Conclusion of the meeting

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirty-second meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
