

**NINETEENTH PROGRESS REPORT  
OF THE  
CDM ACCREDITATION PANEL (CDM-AP)**

**Twenty-ninth Meeting of the CDM-AP  
14 -15 June 2007**

CONTENTS

		<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I.	Introduction.....	1	2
II.	Expert resources.....	2–3	2
III.	Status of applications.....	4–9	2
IV.	Indicative letters and recommendation for accreditation.....	10–11	3
V.	Other recommendations.....	12	3
VI.	Key issues under consideration.....	13	3

## I. Introduction

1. This nineteenth progress report covers the period from 21 April 2007 to 15 June 2007. During this period the accreditation panel (CDM-AP) held one meeting.

## II. Expert Resources

2. The Chair of the CDM-AP briefed the members on the decision of the Board relating to the strengthening of the role of the secretariat in the assessment work. The secretariat provided an overview of internal work processes that will be established in order to implement the decision of the Board. The members recognized that such an approach would help to overcome the difficulties in the assessment work and improve the overall performance of the accreditation system. The members also provided comments highlighting the need to maintain the roster of experts and use resources from it as required. The CDM-AP also took note of the revision of the accreditation procedure, in particular, noting that time required for various assessment steps have been reduced to expedite the accreditation process.

3. The CDM-AP considered the recent additions to the roster of experts and taking into consideration the evaluation of the applicants agreed to include them in the roster. In addition, the CDM-AP considered detailed profiles of competency requirements for auditors with the aim to develop training modules for assessment team members. The CDM-AP provided further inputs to the assigned CDM-AP member in order to fully incorporate the CDM requirements. The CDM-AP recognized that these competency requirements can further be made available to the applicant entities (AEs) and designated operational entities (DOEs) for them to determine and design their systems for competency requirements.

## III. Status of applications

4. The total number of active applications currently under consideration by the CDM-AP are 38. It may be noted that three applications are withdrawn.

5. The **geographical distribution of the 38 applications** under consideration is as follows: 18 are from Asia and Pacific region, 17 from Western Europe and Other region, two from Latin America and Caribbean region and one from the African region. Eight applicants from the Asia and Pacific region, two from Latin America and Caribbean region and one from the African region are from Non-Annex I Parties (Republic of Korea (4), Malaysia (2), China (2), Colombia, Brazil and South Africa). Thus a total of eleven applications are from Non-Annex I Parties and one from economies in transition country.

6. With regard to the status of work, five CDM-ATs are at the stage of finalizing the desktop reviews and coordinating for their on-site assessments. Remaining entities are at different stages of the accreditation procedure. The Board may wish to note that the CDM-AP have already issued indicative letters to 25 entities. It indicates that these entities have successfully passed through the stage of desk review and on-site assessment and require witnessing activities to complete their accreditation.

7. With regard to witnessing activities, in the case of nine entities CDM-ATs are undertaking witnessing activities for validation and verification functions. Out of them, seven for validation functions and one for verification functions.

8. The Board may wish to note that a total of seventeen entities are accredited for validation functions and six for verification functions, covering a wide range of sectoral scopes. It may be noted that at least one DOE exists for each sectoral scope.

9. The CDM-AP also considered documentation received from four DOE for their re-accreditation. The CDM-AP undertook the preliminary consideration of the application and agreed to proceed in accordance with the procedure. The CDM-AP established new CDM-ATs to proceed with the detailed assessment work and also determined the additional documents required to be submitted by these DOE.

#### **IV. Indicative letters and recommendation for accreditation**

10. The CDM-AP agreed to issue the indicative letter to the entity ‘LGAI technological Center, S.A.’
11. The CDM-AP considered three cases for the phased accreditation of operational entities. The deliberations of the CDM-AP on this matter are presented to the Board under strict confidentiality.

#### **V. Other recommendations**

12. The CDM-AP due to time constraints and taking into consideration that deadline of the Board for submitting documentation for its consideration has already passed agreed to defer a number of process/procedural related issues to the next meeting.

#### **VI. Key Issues under consideration**

13. Following key issues are under the consideration of the CDM-AP:
  - (a) Proposal for the consideration of the Board on measures to address the issues relating to quality management systems of DOEs their accredited premises and use of technical resources from non-accredited premises of the DOEs;
  - (b) Proposal on appropriate actions for the DOEs not complying with the requirements and/or instructions of the Board;
  - (c) Revisions in the validation and verification witnessing assessment forms. The revision is being undertaken to ensure that recent decisions of the Board on different methodological and technical aspects of CDM project activities are incorporated in order to assess the competency of the AEs.

-----