



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Twenty-ninth meeting

UNFCCC Headquarters
Bonn, Germany
14 - 16 February 2007

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1: Draft revised tool for the demonstration and assessment
- Annex 2: Draft revised terms of reference for the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT)
- Annex 3: Draft procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities
- Annex 4: Draft programme of activities design document
- Annex 5: Draft CDM programme activity design document



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including election of Chair and Vice-Chair and disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities*
 - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest and election of Chair and Vice-Chair)

1. ► **Action:** The Executive Board may wish to (a) welcome new members and alternates; (b) take note of their oaths of service; (c) elect for the year 2007 its Chair from among the members from Parties included in Annex I and its Vice-Chair from among the members from Parties not included in Annex I; and (d) consider information provided by any member or alternate member and take action, as appropriate, with relation to conflict of interest.

Background: The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP), at its second session, in accordance with paragraphs 7 and 8 (b) of the modalities and procedures for the clean development mechanism (hereafter referred as CDM modalities and procedures), has elected to the Executive Board for the clean development mechanism the following members and alternate members:

- (a) For a term of two years:
 - (i) Mr. Samuel Adejuwon (first term), as member and Mr. Kamel Djemouai (first term), as alternate member from the African regional group;
 - (ii) Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi (second term), as member and Ms. Liana Bratasida (second term), as alternate member from the Asian regional group;
 - (iii) Ms. Karen Christiana Figueres Olsen (first term), as member and Mr. José Domingos Gonzalez Miguez (first term), as alternate member from the Latin America and Caribbean regional group;
 - (iv) Ms. Ulrika Raab (first term), as member and Ms. María José Sanz (first term), as alternate member from the Western Europe and Other regional group;
 - (v) Mr. Akihiro Kuroki (first term), as member and Ms. Jeanne-Marie Huddleston (first term), as alternate member, from Parties included in Annex I.

In accordance with paragraph 8 (e) of the CDM modalities and procedures and rule 10 of the rules of procedure, members and alternate members have to take a written oath of service before being able to participate in the work of the Executive Board. The secretariat has received the written oaths of service from all newly elected members and alternate members.

At the first Executive Board meeting of each calendar year, i.e. at this twenty-ninth meeting, the Board shall elect a Chair and a Vice-Chair from among its members. In accordance with rule 12 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, the Board shall elect its own Chair and Vice-Chair, with one being a member from a Party included in Annex I and the other being from a Party not included in Annex I. The positions of Chair and Vice-Chair shall alternate annually between a member from a Party included in Annex I and a member from a Party not included in Annex I. As of 14 February 2007 the newly elected Chair shall therefore be from a Party included in Annex I and the Vice-Chair from a Party not included in Annex I.

Rule 12 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board stipulates that the secretary of the Executive Board shall preside over the opening of the first Executive Board meeting of a calendar year and conduct the election of the new Chair and Vice-Chair. The secretary of the Executive Board shall ascertain whether (a)



the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

At this twenty-ninth meeting, the secretary of the Board shall also assume, at the beginning of the meeting, the function of the Chair to request members and alternates to disclose whether they consider having any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

2. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the twenty-ninth meeting.

Background: The Board agreed, at its twenty-eighth meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its twenty-ninth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 24 January 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

3. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the sixteenth progress report on the work of the CDM accreditation panel (CDM-AP), and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Hernán Carlino, on the work of the panel.

Background: The CDM-AP held its twenty-sixth meeting on 22 - 24 January 2007.

Case specific

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on 31 January 2007.

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendations of the CDM-AP for two designated operational entities (DOEs) under spot-check.

Background: At the twenty-sixth meeting, the Board agreed to conduct the spot-check for two entities.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the appeal panel and to agree, as applicable, to suspend or confirm continuation of the accreditation of the entity under spot-check.

Background: At the twenty-sixth meeting, the Board agreed to conduct the spot-check for a DOE. The entity submitted an appeal against the recommendation of the CDM-AP. The Board, at its twenty-eighth meeting, considered the appeal submitted by the DOE and agreed to establish an appeal panel to consider the appeal. The Board requested the appeal panel to prepare a recommendation for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.

**General guidance**

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree, as applicable, to procedural steps on the regular surveillance system under the CDM accreditation process, as contained in the annex 3 of the sixteenth progress report of the CDM-AP.

Background: At the twenty-seventh meeting, the Board considered general characteristics of the regular surveillance system under the CDM accreditation process, submitted by the CDM-AP, in response to request of the Board to propose measures to provide incentives to designated operational entities (DOEs) to meet quality standards of the Board other than, and prior to, spot check. The Board agreed with the approach of regular surveillance and provided guidance to re-consider the frequency of the conduct of regular surveillance visits to the DOEs. The Board requested the CDM-AP to define, by taking into consideration the views expressed by the Board, detailed procedural steps including the development of a tracking system for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting. The Board also took note of the progress made on short-term measures by the CDM-AP.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a new version of the synthesis report of the annual activity reports of the DOEs with more detailed information on the activities of the DOEs.

Background: At the twenty-seventh meeting, the Board considered the synthesis report presented by the CDM-AP of the DOE annual activity reports. The Board took a note with concern that some DOEs had not been able to submit their annual activity reports within the deadline and requested the CDM-AP to seek explanation from those DOEs for not submitting their annual activity reports within the deadline. The CDM-AP was also requested to ask those DOEs to re-submit their annual activity reports which had not been found in accordance with the guidelines of the Board adopted at its nineteenth meeting. The Board also requested the CDM-AP to submit a new version of the synthesis report to the Board at its next meeting with more detailed information on the activities of the DOEs.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Methodologies Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the panel, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi, on the work of the panel.

Background: The Meth Panel held its twenty-fifth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 16 - 19 January 2007 and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The Meth Panel dealt with general issues relating to case-specific issues process, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

10. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers) and the public, the Board may wish, based on recommendations of the Meth Panel (see MP25 report), to:

(a) Approve cases NM0150-rev, NM0178 and NM0180 as contained in the annexes 1 to 3 of the Meth Panel report;

(b) Forward cases NM0192 for revision to the project participants and for resubmission without the need for further experts and public input. If project participants wish to have the revised proposals considered at the twenty-sixth meeting of the Meth Panel (26-30 March 2007), they shall exceptionally submit them by 26 February 2007 (9 AM GMT);



- (c) Not to approve cases NM0155-rev, NM00157-rev, NM0159-rev, NM0193, NM0196, and NM0198 that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the Meth Panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website². The Meth Panel agreed on preliminary recommendations to project participants for proposals NM0141, NM0170, NM0176, NM0194, NM0195, NM0197, NM0199, NM0200, NM0201, and NM0202.

In addition work on cases NM0142-rev, NM0161-rev, NM0165, NM0171, NM0174, NM0179 were not concluded and will be considered at the twenty-sixth meeting of the Meth Panel.

Responses to clarifications

11. **► Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the response to a clarification provided by the Meth Panel, as referred in the Meth Panel report (MP25):

- (a) Clarified the request AM_CLA_0035 concerning approved methodology ACM0006.
- (b) Clarified the request AM_CLA_0036 concerning approved methodology ACM0006.
- (c) Clarified the request AM_CLA_0037 concerning approved methodology ACM0002.

Background: Information on the clarification to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>). The Meth Panel considered the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0038 to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 and agreed to keep their consideration pending in view of the Board decision requesting the Meth Panel not to revise methodologies more frequently than every six months. The Meth Panel is also undertaking work on expanding the methodology to project activities that export to a non-Annex I country grid, other than where the project activity is located. Moreover, the Meth Panel is developing a tool for the estimation of the grid emission factor, which will replace relevant parts of ACM0002, to be finalized at its twenty-sixth meeting, along with the consideration of the above pending requests.

Responses to requests for revisions and resultant revision of approved methodologies:

12. **► Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the responses to revisions and the resultant revision of approved methodologies as referred to in the Meth Panel report (MP25):

- (a) Not to accept request AM_REV_0028 concerning AM0023 requesting a revision to expand the applicability to include pressure-regulator stations in gas distribution systems and other surface facilities on the gas distribution side besides compressor and gate stations.
- (b) Not to accept request AM_REV_0030 concerning ACM0006 requesting a revision to allow claiming of avoided methane emissions from stockpiling of biomass in the baseline.
- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0031 concerning AM0025 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that reduce emissions due to the controlled combustion of fresh waste for energy generation, and the generation of heat which displaces fossil fuel consumption at other (customer's) sites.
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0032 concerning ACM0006 requesting a revision to expand the approved consolidated methodology to project activities that represents a new facility for

² see <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>



biomass cogeneration plant that utilizes biomass in the baseline and the project scenarios to satisfy in house energy requirements.

- (e) Not to accept request AM_REV_0034 concerning ACM0009 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that switch fuel from designed/planned use of producer gas fuel³ in industrial process to natural gas.

Background: Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>). The Meth Panel considered the requests for revision AM_REV_0027 and AM_REV_0029 to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 and agreed to keep their consideration pending in view of the Board decision requesting the Meth Panel not to revise methodologies more frequently than every six months. The Meth Panel is also undertaking work on expanding the methodology to project activities that export to a non-Annex I country grid, other than where the project activity is located. Moreover, the Meth Panel is developing a tool for the estimation of the grid emission factor, which will replace relevant parts of ACM0002, to be finalized at its twenty-sixth meeting, along with the consideration of the above pending requests. Further, the Meth Panel agreed to keep its consideration of AM_REV_0033 pending and would take up the case at its twenty-sixth meeting.

Revisions of approved methodologies

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the revision of the approved methodology AM0025 as contained in the annex 3 of the Meth Panel report (MP25):

- (a) **AM0025:** to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to treatment of fresh waste using aerobic thermal treatment and its use as fuel. The approved methodology was also revised the procedure for estimating methane emissions from anaerobic pockets of waste being treated through composting.

Background: The revision was as a result of a incorporation of the proposed new methodology NM0178 (Aerobic thermal treatment of municipal solid waste (MSW) without incineration in Parobe). Further, the approved methodology was revised as per the request made by the Board at its twenty-seventh meeting (paragraph 21 (g) which states “The Board also requested the Meth Panel to review the procedure for estimation of project methane emissions from composting in approved methodology.”).

General guidance

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and approve the draft methodological tool and related guidance recommended by the Meth Panel for avoiding double counting of emission reductions from the production of biofuels, i.e. CERs being claimed by producers and consumers for the same quantity of biofuel:

- (a) Draft methodological tool as contained in annex 4 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Meth Panel;
- (b) Draft guidance to ensure that the tool is effective in avoiding double counting: “For biofuel CDM project activities, where the consumer claims CERs from displacing fossil fuel consumption with biofuel consumption, the consumer is required to seek a declaration from the producer of the biofuel, that the producer is not claiming CERs from this biofuel quantity” (paragraph 15 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Meth Panel).

Background: The Board, at its twenty-sixth meeting (paragraph 32 of the meeting report), requested a call for public input to assist in developing guidance to address double counting in methodologies for project

³ Presently approved consolidated methodology ACM0009 is applicable only to switch from existing fossil fuel used in an existing industrial facility to natural gas.



activities claiming CERs from the production of biofuels. The Meth Panel, taking into account resulting submissions, developed a tool for avoiding double counting, which also covered the case where the end users of the biofuel are outside the project boundary. (The draft tool is applicable only if the host country does not export biofuels or only exports to non-Annex I Parties that do not export biofuels). The Meth Panel also agreed to further work on the tool to expand its applicability. Approval of the tool described in (a) would require approval of the related guidance described in (b). The Board could, however, adopt the guidance without adopting the draft tool.

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take appropriate action on the proposed new methodologies NM0108-rev and NM0129-rev which were put on hold by the Board at its twenty-sixth meeting.

Background: The Board at its twenty-sixth meeting, considered the cases NM0108-rev and NM0129-rev, which are proposed for project activities claiming CERs from the production of biofuels only, while not taking into account consumers of these biofuels and agreed to keep its decision on the recommendation by the Meth Panel pending until guidance is provided by the Board.

Depending on its consideration of the draft tool and related guidance in paragraph 14 above, the Board may wish to take appropriate action on the cases NM0108-rev and NM0129-rev.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance to the panel on how to address the issue of shift of pre-project activities in project types that lead to land-use changes with considerable GHG emissions and resultant potential degradation of lands such as deforestation of natural forests. The panel requested guidance whether such project activities:

(a) Should be excluded by including appropriate applicability conditions for applying the relevant baseline and monitoring methodologies (as, for example, in AM0042); or

(b) Should be addressed through inclusion of methodological approaches in baseline and monitoring methodologies to estimate the GHG emissions from such land-use changes.

Background: CDM project activities that cultivate biomass for energy purposes can involve land-use changes, either directly or as a result of shifts of pre-project activities, similar as to afforestation and reforestation CDM project activities. In some cases, such land-use changes can be associated with significant GHG emissions and other negative implications for the environment, in particular in case of deforestation of natural forests, as referred in paragraph 17 of the Meth Panel report (MP25). Approved methodology AM0042 is applicable to project activities that establish dedicated plantations to produce wood for energy generation. The approved methodology is applicable to project activities where the plantation is established on “severely degraded (land) and in absence of the project activity would have not been used for any other agricultural or forestry activity”.

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to a revised tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, in response to the request by COP/MOP 1 and COP/MOP 2, as contained in annex 1 of these annotations.

Background: The Board, at its twenty-eighth meeting, postponed its consideration of the revised tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, which the Board had requested the Secretariat to revise in response to the request by COP/MOP 1 and COP/MOP 2 to make the tool consistent with the “combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality”. The consideration was postponed, as the Board revised the “combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality” and wanted the Secretariat to take these revisions into account while revising the above said tool.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and agree on guidance related to CDM project activities that could result in the displacement of production in Annex-I countries



Background: At its twenty-eighth meeting, the Board considered the proposal on the issue of CDM project activities that could result in the displacement of production in Annex-I countries and agreed to discuss the issue at its next meeting before providing guidance on the issue. The issue arose in the context of applicability of approved methodology AM0037, which is applicable to project activities to avoid flare of methane by using the flared methane in production of methanol, which is an internationally traded commodity. The twenty-fourth meeting of the Meth Panel also requested expert inputs on trends in production, exports and imports of methanol and similar chemicals for further consideration of such situations. The expert input has been made available to the panel and shall be discussed at its twenty-sixth meeting (26 - 30 March 2007).

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that the Meth Panel considering a draft of a consolidated methodology for cogeneration projects based the approved methodology AM0032, the case NM0179 and also some elements of the cases NM0155-rev and NM0192, with a view to making a recommendation for approval to the Board, at its twenty-sixth meeting.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that the twenty-sixth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 26 to 30 March 2007.

(c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation project activities

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the twelfth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the group at its next meeting. The Board may, bearing in mind the late availability of the report due to the meeting time and the date of the next Board meeting, consider taking action on recommendations as appropriate.

Background: At the drafting time of these annotations the meeting of the working group had not taken place. The recommendations by the working group to the Board, if not considered at the twenty-ninth meeting, will be transmitted in time for the thirtieth meeting of the Board.

(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and agree to the revised version of AMS III.E, as recommended by the SSC WG. Significant changes proposed include:

(a) Applicability of the methodology is expanded to include partially degraded waste with three options being provided to calculate methane emissions avoided i.e.

- (i) Based on the weighted average age of the waste; or
- (ii) Based on the yearly methane generation potential of the disposal site and the relative amount of waste removed from it for combustion; or
- (iii) Based on the profile of the disposal site and historic waste disposal data.

(b) It is clarified that the methodology is applicable only in cases where it can be demonstrated that organic matter combusted by the project activity would have remained disposed under clearly anaerobic conditions throughout the crediting period in the absence of the project activity.

Background: In response to the submissions SSC_054 and SSC_056 the SSC WG agreed to recommend revision of AMS III.E, as contained in annex 2 to its eighth meeting report.

Further to the revisions proposed above, the working group recommended further revisions of the applicability conditions of AMS III.E to explicitly state that the methodology is applicable only if biomass or other organic matter utilized by the project activity would have remained in clearly anaerobic conditions in a



solid waste disposal site throughout the crediting period in the absence of the project activity. The SSC WG also proposed to undertake further work to investigate to which extent and in which cases methane emissions may occur from stockpiling biomass residues and subject to further insights on this issue to propose further revisions of this methodology in future.

(e) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 31 January 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 492 (four hundred and ninety-two);
- (b) Request for registration: 69 (sixty-nine);
- (c) Request for review: 15 (fifteen);
- (d) Corrections requested: 3 (three);
- (e) Under review: 3 (three);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 11 (eleven);
- (g) Withdrawn: 3 (three).

Case specific

24. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 15 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
535	Sesa-Waste Heat Recovery Based Power Generation	?	?	?
577	The Godavari Sugar Mills Ltd (TGSML)’s 24 MW Bagasse Based Co-generation Power Project at Sameerwadi	?	?	?
697	6 MW Renewable energy generation project by Varam Power Projects in India	?	?	?
685	Modification of clinker cooler for energy efficiency improvement in cement manufacturing at Binani Cement Limited	?	?	?
695	Yanling Shendu Hydropower Project	?	?	?
707	India-FaL-G Brick and Blocks Project No.1	?	?	?
610	Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation Project GCM 4	?	?	?
618	Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation Project GCM 20	?	?	?



626	Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation Project GCM 23	?	?	?
644	Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation Project GCM 8	?	?	?
650	Methane Recovery and Electricity Generation Project GCM 14	?	?	?
737	Switching fossil fuels in an industrial facility by Indorama Cement Ltd	?	?	?
761	Uruba Renewable Irrigation Project	?	?	?
683	Power generation from the proposed 11.2 MW waste heat recovery boiler at the ISA Smelt furnace of the Copper Smelter, Sterlite Industries India Limited (SIIL), Tuticorin	?	?	?
715	Blended Cement Project with Fly Ash – Lafarge India Private Limited	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

25. **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendations of the review teams for the three (3) project activities listed in table 2, which were placed under review at EB28.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB28

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
457	Ramirana Emission Reduction Project of Agrícola Super Limitada	?	?	?
463	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project MX06-B-32, Aguascalientes, Guanajuato and Michoacán, México	?	?	?
517	Bii Nee Stipa III	?	?	?

Background: At its twenty-eighth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. In each case a review has been conducted by a review team and recommendations have been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activity (case C in table 2). At its twenty-eighth meeting the Board also decided to place the project activity “16 MW Bagasse based cogeneration plant by GMR Industries Ltd. (GIDL)”(0522) under review. The project participants have subsequently decided to withdraw the proposed CDM project activity.



26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 2.

Table 2: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>
499	Destruction of HFC-23 at refrigerant (HCFC-22) manufacturing facility of Chemplast Sanmar Ltd	?	?

Background: At its twenty-eighth meeting the Board considered the outcome of the review of a request for registration of the project activity listed in table 2 above, and decided that the project could be registered following corrections. The DOE (DNVCert) has submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the project shall be registered (case A in table 2), otherwise the request is rejected (case B in table 2).

Registration procedure

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised terms of reference prepared by the secretariat for the registration and issuance team (RIT), as contained in annex 2 to this annotated agenda, with a view to determining the future activities of the RIT after March 2007.

Background: At its twenty-eighth meeting the Board requested the secretariat to prepare a draft revision to the terms of reference for the RIT, which have to be reviewed by 31 March 2007. The main proposed modification, in line with the provisions of the current CDM-MAP, requires the secretariat to finalize each RIT appraisal through the preparation of a summary note.

(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 31 January 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs Issued: 155 issuances, aggregate 28,504,072 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 12 requests, 3,058,430 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 4 requests, 203,765 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 0 requests

*Case specific*

28. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the one request for issuance listed in table 3.

Table 3: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref. no.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
0127	RSCL cogeneration expansion project	79,049	?	?	?
0298	4.5 MW Biomass (low density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of Malavalli Power Plant Pvt Ltd.	77,294	?	?	?
0453	NorthWind Bangui Bay Project	27,807	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 3 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 3), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 3) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 3). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs related to monitoring reports undergoing verification.

Background: Thirty-three (33) requests for deviation, related to monitoring reports undergoing verification, have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its twenty-eighth meeting. The Board may wish to consider these requests and provide guidance to the DOE.

One (1) request for deviation was previously referred by the Board to the Small-Scale Working Group. The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the Working Group and provide guidance to the DOE.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) consider, the implications on the CDM MAP of decisions by COP/MOP at its second sessions in terms of operational needs, (b) consider the financial implications of an eventual proposal from the secretariat on costs for services provided to PBM, (c) discuss the possibility of requesting the secretariat to provide clarity on trust fund/separate account for CDM fees and SOPs and on interest accumulated on the operating reserve, (d) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (f) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The current status of income and expenditure is presented in table below.

<i>In million USD</i>	2006-2007	Accumulation of operating reserve
Carry over from 2005	5.60	n/a
+ Party contributions*	5.31	n/a
+ Accreditation fees	0.14	n/a
+ Methodology fees		0.07
+ Registration fees		9.84
+ SOP Admin		3.58



+ Interest on operating reserve and unspent balances	tbd	tbd
TOTAL income in 2006	11.05	13.49
- Total expenditure 2006	5.10	
- Carry-over into 2007	5.95	13.49
Outstanding contributions	3.21	
TOTAL expected resources available in 2007	9.16	
- Total budget need in 2007	-13.99	
Shortfall for resources till end of 2007	-4.83	
Expected 2008 budget to be funded by SOP		13.99
Accumulated operating reserve as % of 2008 budget		97%
Accumulated operating reserve as % of 1.5 year budget		66.6%

* Note that since the twenty-eighth meeting of the CDM Executive Board, no further contributions have been received by the CDM.

5. Other matters

(a) CDM Programme of Activities

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the proposal prepared by the secretariat on the procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities, a draft programme of activities design document and a draft CDM programme activity design document, as contained in annex 3, annex 4 and annex 5 of these annotations, taking into account the guidance provided by the Board at its last meeting, with a view to finalizing such procedures.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 20 of decision by 7/CMP.1, indicating that a local/regional/national policy or standard cannot be considered as a clean development mechanism project activity, but that project activities under a programme of activities can be registered as a single CDM project activity, the Board, at its twenty-eighth meeting, considered and agreed to “guidance on the registration of a programme of activities as a single project activity” (Version 01). In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to further refine the proposal on the modalities for the project cycle taking into account the guidance in this annex and other comments by the Board.

(b) CDM Joint Coordination Workshop

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the status of preparation for the second meeting of the “CDM Joint Coordination Workshop”, to be held on 24 - 25 March 2007 in Bonn, Germany, in conjunction with thirtieth meeting of the Executive Board, which will provide the opportunity for an interaction with other players of the CDM process.

Background: The Board convenes every year a coordination workshop which provides an opportunity for exchanging experience, ensure a common understanding and identify new issues and solutions. The workshop involves all Board, panel, working group, RIT members, selected desk reviewers, assessment team members as well as participants to the DOE Forum.

(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the status of preparation for the second meeting of the CDM DNA Forum to be held on 26 - 27 March 2007 in Bonn, Germany, in conjunction with “CDM Joint Coordination Workshop”, which will provide the opportunity to DNA representatives for an interaction with other players of the CDM process.



Background: The COP/MOP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of COP/MOP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(d) Regional distribution of project activities⁴

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the current status of distribution of CDM project activities and to consider further actions in this area.

Background: The COP/MOP, at its second session, adopted a decision (-/CMP.2), which contains several elements relating to regional distribution and capacity building, including an encouragement to the Executive Board to continue to facilitate the regional distribution of project activities.

(e) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the twenty-eighth meeting.

(f) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 16 February 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its twenty-nineteenth meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(g) Other business

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its twenty-first meeting, the Board agreed on procedures for public communication with the CDM Executive Board as contained in annex 27 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The Board has received the following communications from DOEs/AES and the general public prior to the twenty-eight meeting of the Board:

(a) Letter from Mr. Michael Lehmann, DNV Certification, Høvik, Norway related to the request for clarifications on review conclusion for project activities 0150 sent to the Board on 1 December 2006;

(b) Letter from Ms. Belinda Kinkead, EcoSecurities, Oxford, United Kingdom related to the rejection of the Villares and Cosipar projects to the Board on 1 December 2006;

⁴ Statistics relating to registered CDM project activities can be found at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Statistics>



- (c) Letter from Mr. Richard Bradley, International Energy Agency, Paris, France related to the CDM decisions on energy efficiency sent to the Board on 5 December 2006;
- (d) Letters from Mr. Manuel Antonio Avelino da Silva, Biogas Energia Ambiental S.A., Sao Paulo, Brazil through Mr. Fritz Welling, related to the Bandeirantes project landfillgas to energy project 0164 sent to the Board on 5 December and 9 December 2006 accordingly;
- (e) Letter and attachment from Mr. Brian Dawson, UNDP, New York, USA related to UNDP's comments on the draft guidelines on the programme of activities under the CDM sent to the Board on 12 December 2006;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Naoki Matsuo, Climate Experts Ltd. Tokyo, Japan, related to the comments on the programme of activities sent to the Board on 12 December 2006;
- (g) Letter from Mr. Richard Bradley, International Energy Agency, Paris, France related to the CDM decisions on energy efficiency sent to the Board on 12 December 2006;
- (h) Letter from Mr. Chunyu Liang, MESPOM Consortium, Manchester, United Kingdom related to the concern on Programmatic CDM sent to the Board on 12 December 2006;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Rob Fowler, Abatement Solutions - Asia Pacific, Matraville, Australia related to program-based CDM projects sent to the Board on 12 December 2006;
- (j) Letter from from Ms. Anne Arquit Niederberger, A + B International, Hoboken, USA related to "energy efficiency and programmatic CDM" issue sent to the Board on 13 December 2006;
- (k) Letter from Mr. Steven Kaufman, Green Markets International, Inc., Arlington, USA related to Small-scale issue sent to the Board on 14 December 2006;
- (l) Letter from Mr. Johannes Heister, World Bank, Washington, USA related to "programmes of activities" under the CDM sent to the Board on 14 December 2006;
- (m) Letter from Mr. A. K. Sachdeva, National Hydroelectric Power Corporation Ltd., Haryana, India related to suggestions for rationalization and improvement of clean development mechanism (CDM) CDM sent to the Board on 29 January 2007;
- (n) Letter and attachments from Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Indian Acrylics Ltd., Chandigarh, India contesting the decision of the Executive Board to reject project no. 0348 sent to the Board on 31 January 2007.

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

5. Conclusion of the meeting

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the twenty-seventh meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
