

 <p style="text-align: center;">CDM: Proposed new AR methodology assessment form <i>To be used by AR WG members assessing the quality of a proposed new methodology</i> (version 02)</p>			
<i>Title of proposed new AR methodology:</i>			
<i>Related F-CDM-AR-NM document ID number</i>			
<p><i>Note to the person completing this form: Please assess the quality of the submitted new methodology according to paragraph 5 of the procedures for submission and consideration for a proposed new baseline and monitoring methodology for afforestation and reforestation project activities (version 03).</i></p> <p><i>The responses to the evaluation criteria below shall be considered as substantiation to evaluate a case as 1 or 2.</i></p> <p><i>If one of the evaluation criteria below is checked as NO the documentation will be graded as 2 and is to be sent back to the project participants.</i></p> <p><i>If all the evaluation criteria below are checked as YES the documentation will be graded as 1 and shall be considered as received by the Board and be forwarded by the secretariat for consideration of the Board and the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group.</i></p>			
<i>Evaluation of the proposed new methodologies by the A/R WG Member:</i>			
No.	<i>Evaluation criteria</i>	YES	NO
1.	Coverage of the CDM-AR-NM sections as outlined in the applicable guidelines?		
2.	Transparent and precise language of methodology enough to be assessed?		
3.	The CDM-AR-NM reflects methodology-specific information and not project-specific information?		
4.	The CDM-AR-NM seems to be internally consistent?		
5.	Does the baseline methodology seem to address the identification and selection of the most likely baseline scenario candidate?		
6.	Calculating changes in carbon pools in the baseline scenario: are relevant formulas provided and explained?		
7.	Calculating changes in carbon pools, emissions by sources and leakage due to the project activity: are relevant formulas provided and explained?		
8.	Additionality: Clear and concise presentation of methodological steps to assess additionality and relationships between them?		
9.	Proper, clear documentation and drafting of Proposed New Methodology: Monitoring in accordance with applicable guidelines?		
10.	If it is a resubmitted C case, does it consider all the recommendations previously given?		

F-CDM-AR-NMas ver 2

11.	No other issue was identified that leads to a 2 rating?		
<i>No.</i>	<i>Additional information</i>		
A.	Is a similar methodology already under review / approved? (If YES, specify methodology ID number below)		
<i>No.</i>	<i>Comments (please provide explanations for "NO" answers, if any)</i>		
Information to be completed by the secretariat			
F-CDM-AR-NMas doc id number		F-CDM-AR-NMas-ARNM00xx	
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat			