



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat

Date: 8 June 2003
Ref: CDM-EB-09

EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM

NINTH MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 7–8 June 2003

Location: Bonn, Germany

Attendance:

The names of members and alternate members present at the meeting are in bold type. If only the name of the alternate member is bolded, the alternate participated as a member.

Member	Alternate
Mr. John W. Ashe ¹	<i>Ms. Desna M. Solofa</i> ¹
Mr. Jean-Jacques Becker ²	<i>Mr. Martin Enderlin</i> ²
Mr. John Shaibu Kilani ²	<i>Mr. Ndiaye Cheikh Sylla</i> ²
<i>(vacant)</i> ²	<i>Mr. Eduardo Sanhueza</i> ²
Mr. Sozaburo Okamatsu ²	<i>Ms Sushma Gera</i> ²
Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov ¹	<i>Ms Marina Shvangiradze</i> ¹
Mr. Hassan Tajik ²	<i>Mr. Chow Kok Kee</i> ²
Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr ¹	<i>Mr. Georg Børsting</i> ¹
Mr. Franz Tattenbach Capra ¹	<i>Mr. Fareed Al-Asaly</i> ¹
Mr. Abdelhay Zerouali ¹	<i>Mr. Xuedu Lu</i> ¹

¹ Term: 2 years e.g. 2001–03

² Term: 3 years e.g. 2001–04

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers): **8** (7) members present of which **4** (3) from Annex I Parties and **4** (4) from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting: <http://unfccc.int/cdm>

Agenda item 1. Adoption of the agenda

1. The Board adopted the agenda as proposed. The Chair highlighted the importance of this meeting as, for the first time, the Board is considering proposed new methodologies for baselines and monitoring. On behalf of the entire Board, he expressed appreciation to those who had submitted proposals to the Board and thus made a crucial contribution to the collective learning process on which the CDM is founded.

**Agenda item 2. Work plan****Agenda sub-item (a): Accreditation process for operational entities**

2. The Board took note of an oral report by the Chair of the CDM accreditation panel (CDM-AP), Mr. John Kilani, and its Vice-Chair, Mr. Oleg Pluzhnikov, on the status of work of the CDM-AP. He reported that submissions from 15 applicant entities have been received and are at various stages of consideration.
3. Taking into consideration the recommendations by the CDM-AP, the Board agreed on:
 - (a) Revised terms of reference for the accreditation teams (CDM-ATs) (see annex 1 to this report);
 - (b) Phased accreditation/designation with regard to sectoral scope(s) (see annex 2 to this report).
4. The Board agreed that the list of sectoral scope(s) for accreditation shall not be revised at this point in time. Once lists of categories for methodologies and/or project activities are developed, these lists shall be linked to the existing list of sectoral scope(s). A single list could possibly be developed over time.

Agenda sub-item (b): Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

5. The Executive Board took note of an oral report by the Chair of the Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (Meth Panel), Mr. Jean Jacques Becker, on the work of the panel.
6. The Board agreed to extend the mandate of the current members of the Meth Panel until April 2004.
7. Taking into consideration recommendations by the Meth Panel, the Board agreed on:
 - (a) “Further clarifications on methodological issues”(see annex 3 of this report); and
 - (b) “Amendments to procedures for submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology” (see annex 4 of this report).
8. The Board requested the Meth Panel members, at its next meeting, to:
 - (a) Revise and prepare recommendations for the consideration of the Board at its tenth meeting on the forms for desk review recommendations (F-CDM-NMex) and the Meth Panel recommendation (F-CDM-NMmp) and to incorporate clarifications as contained in annex 3 of this report;
 - (b) Taking into consideration a draft prepared by the secretariat, revise and prepare recommendations on the CDM-PDD, for the consideration of the Board at its tenth meeting, in order to include relevant guidance provided by the Board since the time version 01 of the CDM-PDD had been adopted;
 - (c) Prepare further recommendations on:
 - (i) Guidance on how, through the methodology, it may be demonstrated that a project activity is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario for the consideration of the Board at its tenth meeting;



- (ii) Guidance for describing a proposed new methodology and justifying the selection of the most appropriate approach from those contained in paragraph 48 of the CDM modalities and procedures.

9. The Board agreed that the Chair of the Board, with the assistance of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Meth Panel, shall elaborate draft procedures for communication between the Meth Panel and project participants. The draft procedures are to be considered by members of the Board before its next meeting with a view to taking a decision in accordance with rule 30 of the rules of procedure.

10. The Board expressed its deep appreciation to the desk reviewers, those individuals contributing comments and, in particular, to the members of the Methodologies Panel for having undertaken an enormously challenging task and for carrying out work on the first 14 cases of proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies with such a high level of professionalism and integrity.

11. The Board, taking into consideration recommendations by the Meth Panel, desk reviews and 34 public inputs, considered the first 14 cases of proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies, and agreed on the following recommendations:

Cases NM0001, NM0004, NM0005, NM0010 and NM0012:

12. The Board agreed with the recommendation of its Meth Panel which suggested that the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for the cases NM0001, NM0004, NM0005, NM0010 and NM0012 may be reconsidered subject to:

- (a) Required changes being made by the project participants, taking into account the “Recommendations” and “Details of the evaluation of the proposed new methodology” by the Meth Panel, and re-submission of a revised proposal. The secretariat shall make a revised proposal publicly available upon receipt;
- (b) Reconsideration of a revised proposal directly by the Meth Panel, without further review by desk reviewers; and
- (c) Reconsideration of the respective recommendation of the Meth Panel by the Executive Board.

13. In order to provide and/or seek further clarification, project participants are invited to communicate with the Meth Panel through the secretariat until different procedures are elaborated (see paragraph 9 above).

14. Project participants wishing that their revised proposal is considered at the next meeting of the Meth Panel shall submit it by 26 June 2003.

Case NM0007:

15. In order to ensure that the proposed baseline methodology is consistent with and reflects proposed changes in the monitoring methodology, the Board agreed that the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for case NM0007 may be reconsidered subject to:

- (a) Required changes being made by the project participants, taking into account “Recommendations” and “Details of the evaluation of the proposed new methodology” by the Meth Panel, and re-submission of a revised proposal. The secretariat shall make a revised proposal publicly available upon receipt;
- (b) Reconsideration of a revised proposal directly by the Meth Panel, without further review by desk reviewers; and
- (c) Reconsideration of the respective recommendation of the Meth Panel by the Executive Board.



16. In order to provide and/or seek further clarification, project participants are invited to communicate with the Meth Panel through the secretariat until different procedures are elaborated (see paragraph 9 above).

17. If project participants wish that their revised proposal is considered at the next meeting of the Meth Panel, they shall submit it by 26 June 2003.

Cases NM0002, NM0003, NM0006, NM0009, NM0011, NM0014 and NM0015:

18. The Board agreed with the recommendation of its Meth Panel not to approve the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for cases NM0002, NM0003, NM0006, NM0009 and NM0011, NM0014 and NM0015. The Board invites the project participants to consider the views and suggestions made (see: http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Panels/meth/PNM_Recommendations/index.html) and encourages them to make a further submission.

Case NM0008:

19. The Board agreed not to approve the proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies for case NM0008. The Board invites the project participants to consider the views and suggestions made (see: http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Panels/meth/PNM_Recommendations/index.html), in particular with regards to annexes 3 and 4 of the proposed draft CDM-PDD, and encourages them to make a further submission.

Agenda sub-item (c): Matters related to the registration of CDM project activities

20. The Board considered the drafts prepared by the Chair with assistance of Mr. Georg Børsting, Mr. Chow Kok Kee and Mr. Abdelhay Zerouali, and agreed on:

- (a) “Procedures for registration of a proposed project activity” (see annex 5 of this report);
- (b) “Procedures for review as referred in paragraph 41 of the CDM modalities and procedures” (see annex 6 of this report); and
- (c) “Procedures on public availability of the CDM project design document and for receiving comments as referred to in paragraphs 40 (b) and (c) of the CDM modalities and procedures” (see annex 7 of this report).

Agenda sub-item (d): CDM registry

21. The Board took note of an oral report by Mr. Lu Xuedu and Ms. Sushma Gera on deliberations by the SBSTA on registries and work relating to the CDM registry.

22. The Board considered a technical paper on some aspects of the development of the CDM registry prepared by the secretariat and agreed to:

- (a) Issue a public call to Parties and organizations for inputs to the development of the CDM registry. In particular, contributions may be in the form of functional or technical specifications, other documentation or programming code for systems or system components. The secretariat is to coordinate the receipt of these inputs;
- (b) Request the secretariat to begin development work on the CDM registry, along with its general work on registries, subject to the availability of resources, in particular through preparing a draft functional specification for the CDM registry, and report back to the Board on progress and a timeline for continuing work at its meeting held in conjunction with COP 9.



23. The Board requested Mr. Lu Xuedu and Ms. Sushma Gera to continue following the negotiations during SBSTA 19 and report on progress to the Board.

Agenda sub-item (e): Modalities for collaboration with the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice

24. The Board took note of an oral report by Mr. Eduardo Sanhueza on the deliberations by the SBSTA on “Definitions and modalities for including afforestation and reforestation project activities under the CDM in the first commitment period”. It requested Mr. Eduardo Sanhueza and Mr. Martin Enderlin to continue following the negotiations during SBSTA 19 and report on progress to the Board.

25. The Board requests the Chair to consult with the SBSTA Chair regarding relevant areas and report to the Board at its tenth meeting.

Agenda item 4. Other matters

Agenda sub-item (a): Schedule of Board meetings in 2003

26. The Board considered the schedule for its meetings in 2003 and agreed on further dates on meetings between July and December 2003 (see annex 8 of this report).

27. The Board agreed on the provisional agenda for its tenth meeting (see annex 9 of this report) and invited comments before 1 July 2003.

28. The Board agreed to continue to meet in the same type of arrangement as during its ninth meeting, with space being made available for 50 observers, and to reconsider the issue when necessary. Observers to the tenth meeting of the Executive Board shall have registered with the secretariat by 7 July 2003 at 17:00 GMT, at the latest.

Agenda sub-item (b): Availability of resources

29. The Board took note of a report by the secretariat on income and expenditures in 2002, income to date in 2003 and a tentative budget for the CDM prompt start in the biennium 2004-2005.

30. The Board agreed that those panel members who are requested to provide a specific input to a panel meeting shall be paid a fee equivalent to the fee paid for attendance of one (1) day of a panel meeting.

31. The Board acknowledged commitments by Parties made to the UNFCCC Trust Fund for supplementary activities in response to the call made at its eighth meeting. The Board continues to call on Parties to provide resources, in accordance with decision 38/CP.7 and decision 21/CP.8, which allow it to carry out its tasks in a sustainable manner and ensure the necessary support by the secretariat.

Agenda sub-item (c): Relationship with intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

32. The Board agreed to meet with registered observers for informal briefings on 8 June 2003 and to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the second day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated. These meetings are available on webcast.

33. The Board took note with appreciation of information forwarded and correspondence received from the public since its eighth meeting.

**Agenda sub-item (e): Membership**

34. The Board, having taken note of a report by its Chair on the consultations with the Small Islands Developing States (AOSIS) constituency, agreed to appoint Ms. Desna Solofa as an alternate member for the remainder of the mandate of Mr Tuiloma Neroni Slade.

35. The Board took note of the report by Mr. Sanhueza on consultations within the Latin America and Caribbean region for nominating a member to replace Mr. Meira Filho for the remainder of his mandate.

36. The Board agreed that once a nomination is received from a constituency, the Chair shall submit the nomination to the Board for electronic decision making in accordance with rule 30 of the rules of procedure, bearing in mind the proximity of the next meeting of the Board.

Agenda item 4. Conclusion of the meeting

37. The Chair summarized the main conclusions.

Agenda sub-item (a): Summary of decisions

38. Any decisions taken by the Board shall be made publicly available in accordance with paragraph 17 of the CDM M&P and with rule 31 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board.

Agenda sub-item (b): Closure

39. The Chair closed the meeting.