



United Nations
Climate Change Secretariat

Nations Unies
Secrétariat sur les changements climatiques

Mr. Gareth Phillips
Project Developer Forum
100 New Bridge Street, London,
EC4V 6JA United Kingdom

Date: 18 February 2013
Reference: 2012-219-S
Direct line: +49 228 815 1687

Sent by e-mail to: office@pd-forum.net

Re.: Proposal to require actual case CPA-DD for every technology/measure included in the PoA DD

Dear Mr. Phillips,

Thank you for your letter received on 18 January 2013, which has been made available to the CDM Executive Board.

On behalf of the Chair of the Board, I would like to thank you for presenting your views regarding the required actual case component project activity-design document (CPA-DD) for every technology/measure included in the programme of activities-design document (PoA-DD).

Please note that, at its seventieth meeting, the Board considered regulatory documents relating to the introduction of new provisions or changes to existing ones related to PoAs. In particular, the Board adopted amendments to the revised “Clean development mechanism project standard” (PS), “Clean development mechanism validation and verification standard” (VVS) and “Clean development mechanism project cycle procedure” (PCP).

The amendments clarify that, for PoAs applying more than one technology/measure or more than one methodology, specific case CPA-DDs for each technology/measure and each methodology or combinations thereof would be required. These specific case CPA-DDs shall be registered before any inclusion of CPA-DD of the same type. This registration of specific case CPA-DDs can however take place either at the time of registration of the PoA or at any time during the crediting period 1. In this regard the Board agreed that the requirements specified in the PS have precedence over any requirement for specific case CPA-DDs (also referred to as real case or actual case CPA-DDs) indicated in an approved methodology. The Board requested the Meth Panel to propose, as a matter of urgency, revisions to methodologies, where relevant, to ensure that the requirements specified in the methodologies are consistent with the requirements specified in the PS.



Page 2

Thank you once again for contacting the Board with your concerns.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'A. Howard'.

Andrew Howard
Secretary to the CDM Executive Board