Call for public inputs	Draft revision of methodological tool "Estimation of carbon stocks and
·	change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities"

Name of submitter:Hector Ginzo				
Affiliated organization of the submitter (if any): _Climate Institute. Argentine Academy of Environmental Sciences				
Contact email of submitter:hector.ginzo@gmail.com; hdginzo@arnet.com.ar				
Date:				

0	1	2
Issue No.	Issue to be addressed	Proposed change
	(including need for change)	(including proposed text, if applicable)
1	The name of the methodology is not much specific	The title of the methodology should be slightly modified by inserting «in the living biomass» before «of trees and shrubs» In this way the reader will be certain that the dead biomass carbon pool is not taken into account in the current methodological tool.
2	The threshold of $u_{\Delta C}$ (see equation 6). Clarification	In paragraph 17 the rationale for setting at 10% the threshold of u_{AC} (see equation 6) which calls for a conservativeness correction of that uncertainty value should be provided.
3	The threshold for crown cover of either trees or shrubs. Clarification	In each of paragraphs 19 and 43 the rationale for choosing 20% as the threshold for crown cover should be provided.
4	No-decrease (paragraph 21). Restricted	No reason is provided for not adopting the criterion of no-decrease in shrub biomass as complementary to the criterion of no-decrease in tree biomass for assessing the performance of the project.
5	Default value for R (equations 10 & 21). Clarification	In each of equations 10 and 21 the rationale for choosing 0.25 as a default value for R (root-shoot ratio) should be given.
6	Paragraph 23. Deletion	Paragraph 23 could be removed because the linearity assumption for the change in carbon stocks between any two points in time is implicit in equation 11.
7	The characterisation of the time interval <i>T</i> (equations 11 & 25). Clarification	In each of equations 11 and 25 it is stated that <i>T</i> can be a fractional number. This implies that 0 < T ≤ 1, which means that two successive measurements are made in less than one year between them. This most probably is a very short time to detect a measurable change in carbon stocks in either trees or shrubs. If « <i>real number</i> » were substituted for « <i>fractional value</i> » in the statement on <i>T</i> the idea of expressing it with a decimal representation—e.g. 2.45 years—would be unambiguous.
8	The value of CF in equations 12, 21 & 27. Unexpected	In each of equations 12, 21 and 27 the value of <i>CF</i> (= 0.47) is set to 94% of the value 0.5, which is the standard default value used in the review of inventories of GHG emissions and removals from the <i>AFOLU</i> sector, submitted by Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and/or the UNFCCC. Some justification for the choice of that particular value for <i>CF</i> is in order.
9	The threshold of $u_{\rm C}$ (see equation 15). Clarification	In paragraph 32 explain the rationale for setting at 10% the threshold of $u_{\mathbb{C}}$
10	The threshold of u_2 (see equation 23). Clarification	In paragraph 48 explain the rationale for setting at 10% the threshold of u_2
11	The value of R (shoot-root ratio) in equation 26. Clarification	No reason is given for setting R = 0.40
12	The default value of average shrub cover (table 2, Comment row; page 18). Clarification	No reason is given for setting the default value for the average shrub cover equal to 0.5.

Draft revision of methodological tool "Estimation of carbon stocks and
change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities"

0	1	2
Issue No.	Issue to be addressed	Proposed change
	(including need for change)	(including proposed text, if applicable)
13	The default value of crown cover of trees (table 3, Comment row; page 19). Clarification	No reason is given for setting that default value equal to half the maximum value to be achieved under slash-and-burn practices.
14	The expression proposed for estimating the root-shoot ratio value for any tree-species with equation 4 (appendix 1). Clarification	The source of that expression should be provided.
15	The value $BEF_{2,j}$ in equation 4 (appendix 1). Clarification	The source of $BEF_{2,j}$ = 1.15 should be provided.
16	Discounted uncertainty values (table 1; appendix 2). Clarification	The rationale for applying a «discount» to uncertainty values and the choice of the particular discount values for some of them should be provided.