



FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -
Secrétariat

Date: 15 April 2011
Ref: CDM-EB-60

EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM

SIXTIETH MEETING

Report

Date of meeting: 11–15 April 2011

Location: Bangkok, Thailand

Attendance: The names of members and alternate members present at the meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate participated as a member.

Members	Alternates
Mr. Pedro Martins Barata ¹	Mr. Lex de Jonge ¹
Mr. Maosheng Duan ¹	Ms. June Hughes ¹
Mr. Philip M. Gwage ¹	Mr. Paulo Manso ¹
Ms. Diana Harutyunyan ¹	Ms. Danijela Bozanic ¹
Mr. Martin Hession ²	Mr. Thomas Bernheim ²
Mr. Victor Kabengele ²	Ms. Fatou Gaye ²
Mr. Shafqat Kakakhel ²	Mr. Hussein Badarin ²
Mr. Akihiro Kuroki ²	Mr. Peer Stiansen ²
Mr. Clifford Mahlung ¹	Mr. Asterio Takesy ¹
Mr. Daniel V. Ortega-Pacheco ²	Mr. José Miguel Leiva ²

¹ Term: Two years (term of service ends immediately before the first meeting in 2012)

² Term: Two years (term of service ends immediately before the first meeting in 2013)

NB: The term of service of a member, or an alternate member, starts at the first meeting of the Executive Board in the calendar year following his/her election and ends immediately before the first meeting of the Executive Board in the calendar year in which the term ends (see Rules of procedure of the Executive Board).

Quorum (in parenthesis required numbers): **10** (7) members or alternate members acting as members present of which **4** (3) from Annex I Parties and **6** (4) from non-Annex I Parties.

WWW broadcasting : < <http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Meetings> >.

**Agenda item 1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. Mr. Martin Hession, Chair of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board), opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met.
2. The Board welcomed the new member of the Board, Mr. Victor Kabengele, who assumes the vacant seat of the African Group replacing Mr. Tosi Mpanu-Mpanu.
3. The Board considered information provided by members and alternate members with respect to any potential conflict of interest on issues on the agenda. The statements on conflict of interest from members and alternate members can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/index.html>.
4. The Board considered the shortlists of applicants for members of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel), the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG), and the Small-Scale Working Group (SSC WG), received in response to the call for experts in order to replace the outgoing members of these bodies.
5. The Board agreed to appoint Mr. Ricardo Esparta, Mr. Anil Jauhri and Ms. Marina Shvangiradze as members of the CDM-AP for a term of two years from 17 August 2011. To avoid a gap period between the end of the current term and the new term for any outgoing/incoming members, the current term for Mr. Anil Jauhri will be extended until 16 August 2011.
6. The Board agreed to appoint Mr. Amr Osama Abdel-Aziz, Mr. Ambachew Admassie, Mr. Dinesh Aggarwal, Mr. Jean-Jacques Becker, Mr. Alex Dunn, Mr. Jürg Füssler, Mr. Luis Alberto de La Torre, Mr. Jan-Willem Martens, Mr. Jaime Martin, Mr. Pablo Mello e Souza, Mr. Hemant Nandanpawar, Mr. Daniel Perczyk, Mr. A. K. Perumal, Mr. Braulio Pikman, Ms. Ciska Terblanche and Mr. Kenichiro Yamaguchi as members of the Meth Panel for a term of one year from 1 June 2011. The Board requested the new incoming members to attend the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel to enable a smooth transition. The Board expressed its deep appreciation to the outgoing members Mr. Felix Babatunde Dayo and Mr. Sanjay Mande for their contributions to the work of the Meth Panel.
7. The Board agreed to appoint Mr. Neil Bird, Mr. Larwanou Mahamane, Mr. Timothy Pearson, Mr. Raul Ponce-Hernandez, Mr. Marcelo Rocha, Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh and Mr. Xiaoquan Zhang as members of the A/R WG for a term of one year from 1 June 2011. The Board requested the new incoming members to attend the thirty-second meeting of the A/R WG to enable a smooth transition. The Board expressed its deep appreciation to the outgoing members Mr. Nagmeldin G. Elhassan and Mr. Walter Oyhantcabal for their contributions to the work of the A/R WG.
8. The Board agreed to appoint Mr. Felix Babatunde Dayo, Mr. Michiel Ten Hoopen, Ms. Carolyn Luce, Mr. Gilberto Bandeira de Melo, Mr. Daniel Perczyk, Mr. A. K. Perumal and Mr. Steven Schiller as members of the SSC WG for a term of one year from 1 June 2011.
9. The Board discussed key areas and elements for the revision of terms of reference (ToRs) for panels and working groups, and requested the secretariat to prepare draft revised ToRs, taking into account the comments provided by the Board, for its consideration at its next meeting.
10. Due to time constraints, the Board could not consider the possible revision of its Rules of procedure. The Board agreed to consider this issue at its next meeting.

Agenda item 2. Adoption of the agenda

11. The Board adopted the agenda of the meeting.

**Agenda item 3. Work plan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of operational entities**

12. The Board took note of the progress report of the fifty-third meeting of the CDM-AP, and an oral report by its Vice-Chair, Mr. Hussein Badarin. The report summarized information relating to the work of the panel including the status of applications and developments with respect to performance assessments, answers to requests for clarification and other accreditation related issues.

Case specific

13. The Board considered the recommendations of the CDM-AP and agreed to accredit and provisionally designate the entities:

- (a) CDM-E-0051, “KBS Certification Services Pvt. Ltd” (KBS) for validation and verification/certification functions in the sectoral scopes 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 12, 13 and 15;
- (b) CDM-E-0052, “Carbon Check (Pty) Ltd” (Carbon Check) for validation and verification/certification functions in the sectoral scopes 1-5, 8-10 and 13.

14. The Board considered the recommendation of the CDM-AP regarding the transfer of accreditation of CDM-E-0031 “Perry Johnson Registrars Clean Development Mechanism, Inc” (PJR CDM) to another legal entity “Perry Johnson Registrars Carbon Emissions Services, Inc”, and agreed to approve the transfer. The Board took note that a desk review and an on-site regular surveillance of the new central office of the entity will take place after the transfer is effective.

15. The Board took note of the withdrawal of application for accreditation of CDM-E-0053 “Korea Institute of Construction & Transportation Technology Evaluation and Planning” (KICTEP).

16. The Board took note of a notification by the CDM-AP on the positive outcome of regular on-site surveillance assessments of the following entities:

- (a) CDM-E-0009, “Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS” (BVCH), non-central site (Beijing, China);
- (b) CDM-E-0037, “RINA S.p.A.” (RINA), central office (Genova, Italy);
- (c) CDM-E-0039, “Korean Standards Association” (KSA), central office (Seoul, Republic of Korea).

17. The Board took note of a notification by the CDM-AP on the positive outcome of performance assessments of the following entities:

- (a) CDM-E-0003, “Det Norske Veritas Certification AS” (DNV);
- (b) CDM-E-0009, “Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS” (BVCH);
- (c) CDM-E-0013, “TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd.” (TÜV Rheinland);
- (d) CDM-E-0022, “TÜV NORD CERT GmbH” (TÜV Nord);
- (e) CDM-E-0042, “Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH” (GLC);
- (f) CDM-E-0044, “China Quality Certification Center” (CQC).



18. The Board took note of a notification by the CDM-AP on the negative outcome of performance assessments of the following entities:

- (a) CDM-E-0016, “ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd.” (ERM CVS);
- (b) CDM-E-0024, “Colombian Institute for Technical Standards and Certification” (ICONTEC).

General guidance

19. The Board requested the CDM-AP to prepare a draft revised CDM accreditation procedure to elaborate the process of handling notifications of change, inter alia the cases of transfer of the central office of a DOE to another legal entity, for consideration by the Board at a future meeting.

20. The Board agreed to the recommendation by the CDM-AP regarding the request for clarification to paragraph 166 (a) of the CDM accreditation standard, as follows:

- (a) The requirement of 166 (a) of the accreditation standard is applicable to the designated operational entities' (DOEs) other sites, as described in paragraph 28 (b) of the CDM accreditation standard.

21. The Board requested the CDM-AP to further work on the response regarding the request for clarification to paragraph 166 (f) of the CDM accreditation standard, to elaborate the definitions of “personnel” and the types of relationship between this personnel and CDM project participants.

22. The Board agreed to the work plan of the CDM-AP for the year 2011, as contained in [annex 1](#) to this report. The Board agreed that it may revise the work plan during the year based on the progress made against the work plan at a future meeting.

Further schedule

23. The Board noted that the fifty-fourth meeting of the CDM-AP will be held from 3–6 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

24. The Board took note of the progress report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the panel.

Case specific

25. The Board agreed not to approve the proposed new methodologies:

- (a) NM0302 “Emission reductions in the cement production facilities of Holcim Ecuador S.A.”;
- (b) NM0331 “Displacement of Traditional Cement Production with the Use of Low-GHG Cement Substitutes”;
- (c) NM0336 “Introduction of a new, natural gas fired combined cycle power plant for supplying heat (for space and water heating and heat for cooling) to a district heating system and electricity to a power grid”;
- (d) NM0342 “Grid connection of isolated electricity system”.



The Board acknowledged the potential of these methodologies and encouraged the project participants to re-submit new improved methodologies that address the issues identified by the Board.

26. The Board took note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the following proposed new methodologies:

- (a) NM0328 “Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures in new buildings”;
- (b) NM0330 “Substitution of Fluorinated Compound (FC) gases for cleaning Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD) reactors in the semiconductor industry”;
- (c) NM0337 “Replacement of fossil fuel fired heaters with biomass residue fired heaters”;
- (d) NM0339 “N₂O abatement in New Capacity nitric acid plants”;
- (e) NM0340 “N₂O abatement in New Nitric Acid Plants”.

Responses to requests for clarification

27. The Board took note of the responses provided by the Meth Panel to the requests for clarification CLA_TOOL_0009 and AM_CLA_0194 to AM_CLA_0204 referred to in the report of the forty-eighth meeting of the Meth Panel.

28. The Board took note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the request for clarification AM_CLA_0191.

Responses to requests for deviation

29. The Board agreed on responses to the requests for deviations M-DEV_0335 and M-DEV_0394 and requested the secretariat to make the responses available in accordance with the “Procedures for requests to the Executive Board for deviation from an approved methodology”.

Responses to requests for revisions

30. The Board agreed on the responses prepared by the Meth Panel to the requests for revision and on the resultant revisions of approved methodologies:

- (a) To accept request REV_Tool_001 concerning amendment to the approved “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system”, to allow use of an operating margin emission factor different from zero in case of connected electricity systems located in countries other than the project host country;
- (b) To accept request AM_REV_0202 concerning amendment to the approved consolidated methodology AM0028, with a view to expand applicability to thermal decomposition of N₂O version 5;
- (c) To accept request AM_REV_0206 concerning amendment to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0003, to use the methodology ACM0003 for project activities involving partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels or less carbon intensive fuels in lime manufacture.

*Revision of approved methodologies*

31. The Board revised/amended the following approved methodologies:

- (a) **AM0028:** The amendment broadens the applicability allowing thermal decomposition of N_2O . The amended methodology is contained in [annex 2](#) to this report;
- (b) **ACM0003:** The amendment expands the applicability of the methodology to projects allowing for fuel switch in the calcination kiln of lime manufacturing plants. The amended methodology is contained in [annex 3](#) to this report;
- (c) **ACM0007:** The revision expands applicability, enhances consistency with other approved methodologies and simplifies the methodology. The revised methodology is contained in [annex 4](#) to this report;
- (d) **ACM0012:** The revision, inter alia, improves conservativeness of the approach applied for estimation of the emissions reduction, broadens applicability of the methodology to all types of waste energy to be recovered under the project activity; redefines the baseline scenarios and enhances consistency of the methodology. The revised methodology is contained in [annex 5](#) to this report. The Board noted that the approved revised methodology ACM0012 consolidates its previous version with the approved methodology **AM0024** “Baseline methodology for greenhouse gas reductions through waste heat recovery and utilization for power generation at cement plants” and agreed to withdraw the methodology AM0024 with the effective date on 15 December 2011 (24:00 hours GMT).

32. The Board took note that the Meth Panel could not conclude its consideration of the request for revision AM_REV_0197 “Revision of AM0063 for applicability to new industrial facilities/integrated complex”.

33. Project participants may apply the approved amended/revised methodologies and tools in project activities seeking validation after the date on which the approved revised version is published on the UNFCCC CDM website.

34. For all revised methodologies and tools that were approved by the Board at its sixtieth meeting, the DOEs may upload for registration the project design documents (PDDs) of project activities in which the previous version of an approved methodology or an approved tool has been applied not later than on 15 December 2011 (24:00 hours GMT) in accordance with paragraph 36 of the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM project activities (version 01)”.

General guidance

35. The Board agreed to the work plan of the Meth Panel for the year 2011, as contained in [annex 6](#) to this report. The Board agreed that it may revise the work plan during the year based on the progress made against the work plan at a future meeting.

36. The Board clarified that the requirement to monitor the parameter “Waste heat use within the cement works and normal uses of waste heat in cement production commonly practiced in the region or Host country” in the approved methodology **AM0024** “Baseline methodology for greenhouse gas reductions through waste heat recovery and utilization for power generation at cement plants” is redundant, hence the parameter needs not be monitored.



37. The Board considered an update on the work done for the development of guidance on the use of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice and requested the secretariat to develop a document to serve as basis for a call for public inputs for consideration by the Board at its next meeting. The Board requested that the document should take into account the following guidance:

- (a) Work on both separately on the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice;
- (b) Use the terms “first-of-its-kind barrier” and “common practice” in the way they are applied in the approved additionality tool;
- (c) Develop proposals for the application of the terms in the context of the power generation from renewable sources and fuel switch projects in electricity generation for the grid;
- (d) Identify and focus on other classes of projects where the terms are expected to be easily applicable;
- (e) Apply a stepwise approach in a possible broadening of applicability of the first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice.

38. The Board approved the revised “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality” to include the definition of the potential alternative scenarios, as requested in paragraph 28 of the report fifty-sixth meeting of the Board, to enhance consistency with the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” and to add scenarios to the list of alternative scenarios to the proposed CDM project activity. The revised tool is contained in [annex 7](#) of this report.

39. The Board considered a note on standardized baselines and agreed to continue to work on top-down development of standardized baselines. In this regard the Board requested the secretariat to:

- (a) Develop a general methodological framework for development and assessment standardized baselines for consideration of the Board at a future meeting;
- (b) As a prompt start, initiate the development of standardized baselines derived from approved methodologies for small scale and possibly large scale CDM project activities;
- (c) Draft new procedures for submission and assessment of standardized baselines for consideration of the Board at the latest at its sixty-third meeting.

40. The Board approved the revised “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” to allow the use of an operating margin emission factor different from zero in case connected electricity systems are located in Non-Annex I countries other than the project host country. The revised tool is contained in [annex 8](#) to this report.

41. The Board considered the summary of the public inputs to the call on the draft “Tool for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations” and the recommendations of the Meth Panel based on the practitioner’s workshop on the draft “Tool for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations”. The Board requested the secretariat, in consultation with the Meth Panel, if appropriate, to develop a concept note on a general methodological framework for baseline determination for consideration by the Board at its sixty-fourth meeting.

42. The Board clarified that requests contained in: (a) paragraph 37 of the report from forty-eighth meeting of the Board, on revision of approved methodologies to apply the “Tool to determine the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems; and (b) paragraph 25 from fiftieth report of



the meeting of the Board, on revision of approved methodologies to apply the "Tool to determine the remaining lifetime of equipment", should be applied as a part of any revision of affected methodologies. However, taking into account the current workload of the Meth Panel, the Board agreed that revisions initiated exclusively to meet the above mentioned requests are not a priority task of the panel.

43. The Board requested the Meth Panel to continue work on the revision/amendment of the "Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline at the renewal of a crediting period" and agreed to consider the draft revised tool at a future meeting.

44. The Board took note that the Meth Panel agreed to initiate a revision of the following methodologies:

- (a) AM0023 "Leak reduction from natural gas pipeline compressor or gate stations";
- (b) AM0030 "PFC emission reductions from anode effect mitigation at primary aluminium smelting facilities";
- (c) ACM0016 "PFC emission reductions from anode effect mitigation at primary aluminium smelting facilities".

45. The Board invited stakeholders to submit comments and suggestions for improvements of the methodologies mentioned in paragraph 44 above through the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved>).

46. The Board took note that the Meth Panel could not conclude consideration of the following tools:

- (a) "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system";
- (b) "Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream";
- (c) "Tool to determine project emissions from freight transport".

47. The Board took note that the panel is preparing a revision of the "Tool to determine the mass flow of a greenhouse gas in a gaseous stream".

48. The Board took note that the panel analyzed fifteen (15) approved methodologies and one (1) approved tool with the view to further improve their objectivity, applicability, usability and consistency.

49. The Board took note that the work on the "Guidelines on the assessment of investment analysis" has been started and agreed to consider the guidelines at a future meeting.

50. The Board took note that the panel will work in cooperation with the SSC WG to revise the sampling guidelines with the view to recommend one document that is applicable to both large-scale and small-scale projects.

51. The Board took note that the request from the twenty-ninth SSC WG meeting, seeking consultation with the Meth Panel on the submission SSC_488 which requests a revision of AMS-III.W methodology (counter part of AM0064), to include the utilization of methane captured from new exploration boreholes, could not be considered due to time constraints.

52. The Board took note that the panel has started to work on the request by the Board, at its fifty-eighth meeting, to review the approved methodology AM0001 "Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams" and requested the Meth Panel to prepare a draft revised version of the methodology for consideration of the Board not later than at its sixty-first meeting.



53. The Board took note that, due to time constraints, the requests by the Board to provide technical inputs to the applicability and application of methodology applied by the following projects could not be considered by the Meth Panel:

- (a) “Methane Recovery and Utilisation Project at TSH Kunak Oil Palm Mill” (0916) submitted by the DOE (TÜV Nord), regarding
 - (i) The applicability of the methodology to the project activity considering that the project participants installed an aerobic effluent treatment system in addition to the an-aerobic reactor, which was not envisaged in the PDD; and
 - (ii) The assessment of location of chemical oxygen demand (COD) measurement point for the calculation of the project emissions from effluent discharged in the open lagoon, if the applicability criteria of the methodology AM0013, version 04, allows installation of an additional aerobic effluent treatment system.
- (b) “Siam Quality Starch Wastewater Treatment and Energy Generation Project in Chaiyaphum, Thailand” (1993) submitted by the DOE (DNV) regarding the acceptability of the alternative approach for the monitoring and determination of the parameter “Flow rate of the heat generation equipment stack gases”.

54. The Board took note of the reports on the Practitioners Workshop on the Improvement of CDM Methodologies for Transportation and the Practitioners Workshop on the “Standards for baseline scenario identification and baseline emission calculations”, which are available under <https://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/index.html>.

Further schedule

55. The Board noted that the forty-ninth meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 2–6 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

Agenda sub-item 3 (c): Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities

56. The Board took note of the progress report of the thirty-first meeting of the A/R WG and an oral report by its Chair, Ms. Diana Harutyunyan, on the work of the group.

Case specific

57. Taking into consideration the recommendation of the A/R WG, the Board approved the first top-down developed large scale A/R methodology:

- (a) AR-AM0013: “Afforestation and reforestation of lands other than wetlands”, as contained in [annex 9](#) to this report.

The Board commended the A/R WG and the secretariat for development of the first large scale top-down developed methodology.

58. The Board took note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of the proposed new methodology ARNM0038 “Afforestation and reforestation of degraded tidal forest habitats” because it is awaiting comments on the draft reformatted methodology from the secretariat of the Ramsar Convention.

***Responses to requests for clarification***

59. The Board took note that the A/R WG could not conclude its consideration of the requests for clarifications AR_AM_CLA_0013 and AR_AM_CLA_0014.

Revision of approved methodologies/tools

60. The Board approved the amendment to the approved methodology AR-ACM0001 “Afforestation and reforestation of degraded land”. The amended methodology is contained in [annex 10](#) to this report.

61. The Board approved the amended tool “Estimation of non-CO₂ GHG emissions resulting from burning of biomass attributable to an A/R CDM project activity” as contained in [annex 11](#) to this report. The Board noted that the amendment includes a change in the title of the tool and requested the secretariat to make consequential editorial amendments in all the affected A/R CDM methodologies.

62. The Board approved the amended “Tool for estimation of change in soil organic carbon stocks due to the implementation of A/R CDM project activities” as contained in [annex 12](#) to this report. The Board requested the secretariat to update the approved spreadsheet aimed at facilitating the application of this tool, approved by the Board at its fifty-eighth meeting, in order to reflect the changes resulting from the present amendment of the tool.

63. The Board approved the amended tool “Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities”. The amended tool is contained in [annex 13](#) to this report.

64. Project participants may apply the approved amended/revised methodologies and tools in project activities seeking validation after the date on which the approved revised version is published on the UNFCCC CDM website.

65. For all revised methodologies and tools that were approved by the Board at its sixtieth meeting, the DOEs may upload for registration the PDDs of project activities in which the previous version of an approved methodology or an approved tool has been applied not later than on 15 December 2011 (24:00 hours GMT) in accordance with paragraph 36 of the “Procedure for the submission and consideration of requests for revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies and tools for large scale CDM project activities (version 01)”.

General guidance

66. The Board agreed to the work plan of the A/R WG for the year 2011, as contained in [annex 14](#) to this report. The Board agreed that it may revise the work plan during the year based on the progress made against the work plan at a future meeting.

67. The Board took note that the A/R WG has agreed to initiate consideration of applicability of continuous forest inventory for the purpose of verification in A/R CDM project activities.

Further schedule

68. The Board noted that the thirty-second meeting of the A/R WG will be held on 9–11 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

69. The Board took note of the progress report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG and an oral report by its Chair, Ms. Fatou Gaye, on the work of the group.

*Case specific*

70. The Board approved the new small-scale methodologies:

- (a) AMS-III.AT “Transportation energy efficiency activities installing digital tachograph systems to commercial freight transport fleets”, assigned to sectoral scope 07, as contained in [annex 15](#) to this report. The methodology covers installation of digital tachograph systems in freight vehicles operating on a number of identified traceable routes to improve driving efficiency and reduce vehicle fuel consumption;
- (b) AMS-III.AU “Methane emission reduction by adjusted water management practice in rice cultivation”, assigned to sectoral scope 15, as contained in [annex 16](#) to this report. The methodology is for measures that result in reduced anaerobic decomposition of organic matter in rice cropping soils and thus the reduced generation of methane. It includes rice farms that change their rice cultivation practice from transplanted to direct seeded rice. Further the Board requested the SSC WG to explore the inclusion of default values for monitoring parameters in the methodology, where feasible, to reduce transaction costs related to monitoring;
- (c) AMS-I.J “Solar water heating systems (SWH)”, assigned to sectoral scope 01, as contained in [annex 17](#) to this report. The methodology is applicable to installation of solar water heating systems in residential, commercial, industrial, and institutional facilities. It includes three options for determining emission reductions for residential systems: a computer simulation approach; a metering approach; and a default value;
- (d) AMS-II.L “Demand-side activities for efficient outdoor and street lighting technologies”, assigned to sectoral scope 03, as contained in [annex 18](#) to this report. The methodology is for replacement of inefficient lamps and/or fixture combinations with efficient ones in public or utility owned street lighting systems resulting in electricity savings. It also covers the implementation of lighting controls;
- (e) AMS-III.AV “Low greenhouse gas emitting water purification systems”, assigned to sectoral scope 03, as contained in [annex 19](#) to this report. The methodology covers water purification systems for residential or institutional applications. In order to explore opportunities to further expand the usability of this methodology, the Board also agreed to launch a call for public input starting on **15 April 2011 and ending on 16 May 2011** and requested the SSC WG to consider the inputs at its thirty-second meeting and make a recommendation to the Board at that or a future meeting. Specific issues to be covered in the inputs include, but are not limited to:
 - (i) Appropriateness of the maximum volume of purified water per person per day set at 5.5 liters in equation 1 of the methodology taking into account the baseline defined in the methodology, i.e. use of fossil fuel or non-renewable biomass for boiling water;
 - (ii) Appropriateness of the threshold proportion of rural population using an improved drinking source specified in paragraph 4 of this methodology.

Responses to requests for clarification

71. The Board took note of the responses provided by the SSC WG on the requests for clarification SSC_499, SSC_503 to SSC_514, SSC_516 to SSC_518, SSC_520 to SSC_521 and SSC_523, and the responses to the requests for revisions SSC_515, SSC_519 and SSC_522, as provided by the SSC WG and referred to in the report of the thirtieth meeting of the SSC WG.

**Revisions of approved methodologies**

72. The Board agreed to the revised approved small-scale methodologies:

- (a) AMS-I.E “Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user” and AMS- II.G “Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass”, to enhance the usability of these methodologies, as contained in annexes 20 and 21 to this report, including:
 - (i) Simplified leakage calculations;
 - (ii) Clarity and further options for stove performance test; and
 - (iii) A default emission factor for the projected fossil fuel;
- (b) AMS-III.Q “Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects”, to cover project activities with multiple fuels use and multiple waste heat sources, as contained in annex 22 to this report;
- (c) AMS-II.H “Energy efficiency measures through centralization of utility provisions of an industrial facility”, to expand the methodology to cover a project activity that exports electricity to a grid and those that involve continued operation of pre-project equipment (e.g., as a back-up unit), as contained in annex 23 to this report.

73. The revised versions of the SSC methodologies referred to in the paragraph above will come into effect on 29 April 2011(24:00 GMT) in accordance with the procedure for the revision of approved SSC methodologies.

General guidance

74. The Board agreed to the work plan of the SSC WG for the year 2011, as contained in annex 24 to this report. The Board agreed that it may revise the work plan during the year based on the progress made against the work plan at a future meeting.

75. The Board approved the revised “Guidelines for demonstrating additionality of microscale project activities”, as contained in annex 25 to this report to expand the scope of the guidelines to type III projects and project activities with more than one component. Revisions also clarify that the guidelines are applicable to component project activities (CPAs) under the programme of activities (PoAs). The Board agreed to postpone to its sixty-first meeting the consideration of providing further guidance to the secretariat and to the SSC WG on the conditions and on the process allowing additionality to a project activity that employs specific renewable energy technologies/measures to be additional in the host country, as recommended by the host country's designated national authority (DNA) and approved by the Board.

76. The Board considered the best practices examples to illustrate the application of sampling guidelines developed by the SSC WG (see annex 13 of SSC WG 30 report). The Board agreed to set up a joint task force comprising of members of the Meth Panel and the SSC WG to further work on the issue to develop one set of common sampling guidelines and best practices examples covering large and small-scale projects and PoAs. It further agreed that the scope of the guidelines shall include guidance to the DOEs to review sampling and survey designs in PDDs as well as application of sampling to validation/verification work. The Board further requested the secretariat to develop a sampling design document template to be completed by project participants that submit PDDs for SSC projects, large scale projects and PoAs.



77. The Board clarified that project activities supplying renewable electricity to identified users via national/regional grid (e.g., through contractual arrangement such as wheeling/banking) shall apply AMS-I.D (consistent with response to SSC_466). However, it agreed that application of AMS-I.F for such cases (i.e., wheeling/banking) is acceptable only in instances where the project participant has demonstrated that there are no differences in estimated emission reductions on account of choosing AMS-I.F over AMS-I.D and the choice was made before the effective date of the clarification SSC_466.

78. The Board took note that the SSC WG provided its response to the public comments received while preparing the draft methodologies AMS-I.J “Solar water heating systems (SWH)” and AMS-II.L “Demand-side activities for outdoor and street efficient lighting technologies”.

79. The Board took note that the SSC WG will continue working on the top-down development of a new methodology for solar cooker applications, clarifying issues related to baseline determination and monitoring (e.g., thermal energy output, efficiency testing).

Further schedule

80. The Board noted that the thirty-first meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 9–12 May 2011 in Bonn, Germany.

Agenda sub-item 3 (e): Matters relating to programme of activities

81. The Board noted that eight (8) CDM programmes of activities have been registered by 15 April 2011. The status of requests for registration of PoAs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<https://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/>>.

82. The Board took note of a summary of public inputs to the call on PoAs launched at fifty-ninth meeting of the Board and agreed to clarify concerns raised in the inputs, as contained in [annex 26](#) to this report. The Board also agreed on priority areas related to the work of PoA to be addressed during this current year and decided on its workprogramme, as contained in [annex 27](#).

Agenda sub-item 3 (f): Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

83. The Board took note that 2,976 CDM project activities have been registered by 15 April 2011. The status of requests for registration of project activities can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/>>.

Case specific - processed in accordance with previous review procedures

84. In accordance with the procedures for review, as referred in paragraph 41 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the Board considered one (1) request for registration which was placed “Under review” at the fifty-ninth meeting of the Board.

85. In accordance with paragraphs 17 and 18 (b) of the above-mentioned procedures, the Board agreed to register, subject to satisfactory corrections, the project activity “Bundled wind energy power projects (2003 policy) in Rajasthan” (1167), if the project participants and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised PDD and the corresponding validation report that incorporates the information submitted in response to the review team's questions regarding:

- (a) The suitability of the 16.09% benchmark calculated using capital asset pricing model (CAPM) assuming 24-year data for the estimation of the market return; and
- (b) The changes to the input values to the equity internal rate of return (IRR) calculations.



In doing so, the DOE shall submit the corresponding spreadsheets used to calculate the equity IRRs and the benchmark.

86. After the submission of the specified documentation, the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, will assess the revised documentation, before the project activity is displayed as registered.

87. In accordance with the procedures for review, as referred to in paragraph 41 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the Board considered one (1) request for renewal of a crediting period which was placed “Under review” at the fifty-sixth meeting of the Board.

88. In regard to the request for renewal of crediting period for project activity “HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan” (0003) submitted by the DOE (DNV), the Board was not able to reach an agreement and decided to continue its deliberations on the request at its sixty-first meeting.

89. There was agreement that the existing rules could allow for the renewal of the crediting period. A further consideration raised was that a principle of environmental integrity raised issues related to the version of the methodology used in the request for renewal of the crediting period. The methodology is under revision by the Meth Panel.

Case specific - processed in accordance with Procedures for review of requests for registration (EB 55, annex 40)

90. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for registration”, adopted by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting, the Board considered thirty-three (33) requests for registration cases for which assessments of the secretariat and the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT Team) contained different proposed decisions or the same proposed decision which received an objection from a member of the Board.

91. The Board agreed to register the following project activities:

- (a) “Hebei Weichang Zhangjiawan Wind Power Project” (3093);
- (b) “Sichuan Songxin 20 MW Hydropower Project” (3097);
- (c) “Liusha River First Cascade 12.6 MW Hydropower Project” (3114);
- (d) “Yunnan Province Yingjiang County Nandan River 3rd Level Hydropower Station” (3123);
- (e) “Wugang Gas-Steam Combined Cycle Power Plant (CCPP)” (3166);
- (f) “Biomass based thermal energy generation at M/s. Ester Industries limited, Khatima, Uttarakhand, India” (3182);
- (g) “Sichuan Baoxing Dengchigou Second Level Hydropower Station” (3205);
- (h) “Jiulong County Rekuhe Stage III Hydropower Project, Sichuan Province” (3236);
- (i) “SK E&S fuel switching CDM bundling project” (3247);
- (j) “Wugang Waste Gas Recovery and Power Generation Project” (3328);
- (k) “Caruquia 9.76 MW Hydroelectric project” (3347);
- (l) “Yunnan Baoshan Songshanhekou Hydropower Station” (3390);
- (m) “Shanxi Zezhou Dongjiaohe Hydropower Station” (3408);



- (n) “Shanxi Yu County Yanjiazhuang Hydropower Station” (3409);
 - (o) “Shanxi Yangcheng Motan Hydropower Station” (3412);
 - (p) “Shanxi Yu County Wangjiazhuang Hydropower Station” (3414);
 - (q) “SANIA fuel switching from natural gas to renewable biomass Project” (3463);
 - (r) “Hanyang Municipal Solid Waste Incineration for Energy Generation Project in Haining City” (3480);
 - (s) “Organoeste Dourados & Andradina Composting Project” (3517);
 - (t) “Yunnan Luquan HayiRiver 4th and 5th Level Hydropower Stations” (3547);
 - (u) “Hebei Shangyi Longyuan Wind Power Project” (3704);
 - (v) “11.4 MW Bundled Small Hydropower Project in Shanjunyan and Liaoli, Guizhou Province, P. R. China” (3729);
 - (w) “Hebei Weichang Zhuzixia Wind Power Project” (3743);
 - (x) “Yunnan Yongchanghe 9.6 MW Hydropower Project” (3755);
 - (y) “Jiangxi Huichang Bai’e 21 MW Hydro Power Project” (3789);
 - (z) “Fujian Putian Nanri Phase III Wind Power Project” (3799);
 - (aa) “Hebei Shiren II Wind Power Project” (3800);
 - (ab) “Liaoning Chaoyang Waste Gas Recovery for Electricity Generation” (3832);
 - (ac) “Biomass Generation Project in Xun county, Henan province, P.R. China” (3838);
 - (ad) “Karya Makmur Bahagia Co-composting Project” (3850);
 - (ae) “Relleno Norte Landfill Gas Project” (3877);
 - (af) “Guanhães Energia CDM Project, Minas Gerais, Brazil (JUN1123)” (3898).
92. The Board could not register the following project activity:
- (a) “SSL Wind Power Project” (3433).

General guidance

93. The Board clarified that project activities using waste gas for energy generation and using methodology ACM0012 shall, when applying the investment analysis to demonstrate additionality, provide a detailed breakdown of project related cost and shall avoid an internal price for the waste gas coming from the project owner.

94. The Board discussed policy issues encountered through the experience of the assessment of cases related to continuing and real actions taken to secure the CDM status. The Board requested the secretariat to further assess options to revise and strength the Guidelines for the demonstration and assessment of prior consideration of the CDM to be considered by the Board at a future meeting.

95. The Board took note with appreciation of the response submitted by the DNA of the People's Republic of China regarding the request by the Board at its fifty-fifth meeting to provide information on



the historically highest tariffs applicable for wind and hydro facilities. The Board requested the secretariat to update appropriately the highest tariffs on the UNFCCC CDM website. The Board further requested the secretariat to provide an assessment of this response to be considered by the Board at a future meeting.

Agenda sub-item 3 (g): Matters relating to the issuance of CERs and the CDM registry

96. The Board took note that 592,245,746 certified emission reductions (CERs) have been issued as of 15 April 2011 and that the secretariat, in its capacity as the CDM registry administrator, continues to process requests for opening of holding accounts and for forwarding of CERs. The status of requests for issuance of CERs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>>.

Case specific

97. In accordance with the “Procedures for review of requests for issuance”, adopted by the Board considered nine (9) requests for issuance for which assessments of the secretariat and the RIT Team contained different proposed decisions or the same proposed decision which received an objection from a member of the Board.

98. The Board agreed to approve the request for issuance and to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue CERs for:

- (a) “Project for GHG Emission Reduction by Thermal Oxidation of HFC23 in Jiangsu Meilan Chemical CO. Ltd., Jiangsu Province, China” (0011), monitoring period 1 December 2009 to 31 March 2010, for 3,487,401 CERs;
- (b) “Electricity generation at 8 MW captive power plant using enthalpy of flue gases from blast furnace operations of Kalyani Steels Limited, in Karnataka state of India” (0427), monitoring period 1 January 2008 to 31 December 2008, for 27,459 CERs;
- (c) “HFC23 Decomposition Project at Zhonghao Chenguang Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Zigong, SiChuan Province, China” (0767), monitoring period 26 December 2009 to 30 April 2010, for 617,137 CERs;
- (d) “Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AMA07-W-01, Perak, Malaysia” (1616), monitoring period 17 June 2008 to 31 October 2009, for 14,417 CERs;
- (e) “BRASCARBON Methane Recovery Project BCA-BRA-01” (2318), monitoring period 16 March 2009 to 16 August 2009, for 19,778 CERs.

99. The Board could not approve the requests for issuance for:

- (a) “Chuanhua N₂O Abatement Project” (1781), monitoring period 24 October 2008 to 15 November 2009, for 173,130 CERs, submitted for issuance by the DOE (DNV);
- (b) “Methane Recovery in Wastewater Treatment, Project AIN07-W-04, Sumatera Utara, Indonesia” (2130), monitoring period 16 January 2009 to 28 February 2010, for 6,480 CERs, submitted for issuance by the DOE (Sirim Qas);
- (c) “Datong River Zhuchaxia Hydropower Station” (2845), monitoring period 18 December 2009 to 29 May 2010, for 24,715 CERs, submitted for issuance by the DOE (LRQA);
- (d) “Bailongjiang Shuiboxia Hydropower Station” (2866), monitoring period 21 December 2009 to 29 May 2010, for 19,167 CERs, submitted for issuance by the DOE (LRQA).



100. In accordance with paragraph 96 of the report of the twenty-eighth meeting of the Board, the Board considered three (3) requests from a DOE to be permitted to submit previously rejected requests for issuance for the same monitoring period. The Board decided to permit the re-submission of the request for issuance for the following project activities:

- (a) “Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Decomposition Project” (0151) submitted by the DOE (TÜV SÜD) for the monitoring period 30 September 2008 to 30 December 2008;
- (b) “4.5 MW Biomass (low density Crop Residues) based Power Generation unit of Malavalli Power Plant Pvt Ltd.” (0298) submitted by the DOE (DNV) for the monitoring period 1 October 2007 to 31 July 2008;
- (c) “6 MW renewable energy project for a grid system by Ind-Barath Energies Limited” (0970) submitted by the DOE (DNV) for the monitoring period 25 March 2008 to 24 March 2009.

General guidance

101. The Board discussed policy issues arising from the experience of assessment of cases related to initial verification. The Board agreed that initial verification is not recognized as a verification activity under its procedures and rules. The Board further clarified that only verification activities undertaken after the publishing of monitoring report on the UNFCCC CDM website shall be used as a basis for DOEs to conclude their verification and submit a request for issuance of CERs to the Board.

102. The Board advised, pending further deliberations that project participants try, to the extent possible, to plan the end date of their monitoring periods in order to coincide with the end of the first commitment period.

Agenda item 4: CDM management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

Resources

103. The Board took note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources and status of the secretariat’s recruitment as reflected in [annex 28](#) to this report. It was noted that the total income generated up to 16 March 2011 amounted to USD 52,956,366 which includes the carry-over from 2010 of USD 38,045,707. The Board further noted that figures for fees for the period 1 January to 16 March 2011 were also provided, indicating income of USD 4,660,526 from registration fees and USD 10,248,133 from shares of proceeds. The Board also noted the data contained in the same annex regarding the current status of recruitment.

104. The Board considered an assessment of compliance with indicative timelines set by the Board in different processes and requested the secretariat to continue to report on all processes. The Board further requested the secretariat to make publicly available the status of cases under different processes as requested by the CMP, in its decision 3/CMP.6 paragraph 17 and in accordance with the CDM-MAP 2011.

**Agenda item 5: Other matters****Agenda sub-item 5 (a): Regional and sub-regional distribution and capacity-building**

105. The Board considered a note on the establishment of a consultative mechanism to support the Board, contained in annex 10 to the annotations to the sixtieth meeting of the Board¹. The Board emphasized the importance of this area of work for the successful implementation of the 2-year business plan, provided guidance on specific areas and requested the secretariat to proceed with concrete implementation actions in a manner that ensures broad consultation. In this regard, the Board noted the importance of the work stated in paragraph 114 below, in order to alleviate linguistic barriers to participating in the mechanism.

106. The Board considered an update on a training event for DNAs, the eleventh DNA Forum and the seventh Joint Coordination Workshop (JWS). The Board took note of the plans for a regional DNA Forum and training for the African region to be held in Marrakesh in July 2011 and the twelfth DNA Forum to be held in Durban in December 2011. The Board requested the secretariat to explore options for the organization of regional DNA forums for the Latin America and the Caribbean region and the Asia-Pacific region, and, where possible, to maximize the possibilities for DNAs to interact with other participants in the mechanism.

Agenda sub-item 5 (b): Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

107. The Board took note of the report by the Chair of the DOE/AE Coordination Forum, Mr. Werner Betzenbichler.

108. The Chair of the DOE/AE Coordination Forum elaborated the input received from entities for the consideration of the Board, and sought guidance from the Board on the following:

- (a) DOE/AE Coordination Forum written inputs to the Board's sixtieth meeting;
- (b) Interaction with the CDM-AP;
- (c) CDM-MAP 2011;
- (d) Comments on the annotated agenda of this Board meeting.

109. The Board took note that the secretariat will answer the individual DOE submissions. In addition, members responded to some of the questions raised by the Chair of the DOE/AE Forum.

110. The Chair of the Board thanked Mr. Betzenbichler for his inputs and encouraged the Forum to continue to raise issues related to the implementation of its guidance. In particular, the Chair of the Board requested the Forum to provide an update on timelines for validation and verification to the Board at a future meeting.

Agenda sub-item 5 (c): Relationship with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations (registered accredited observers)

111. The Board considered the information note on measures to enhance communication with stakeholders and requested the secretariat to draft a procedure for direct communication with stakeholders in line with this information note, taking into account the inputs given by the Board, to be considered at a future meeting of the Board.

112. The Board met with registered observers for an informal interaction on the last day of the meeting and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated. These meetings are available on webcast.



113. The Board further agreed to continue to meet with the same type of arrangement and to reconsider the issue when necessary. Observers to the sixty-first meeting of the Board shall have registered with the secretariat by **9 May 2011**. In order to ensure proper security and logistical arrangements, the Board emphasized that this deadline will be strictly enforced by the secretariat.

Agenda sub-item 5 (d): Other business

114. With reference to decision 3/CMP.1 requesting to make available decisions by the Board in all six official languages of the United Nations (UN), the Board, taking into account cost effectiveness, agreed to translate summaries of its meeting reports into the six UN official languages and requested the secretariat to take necessary actions in this regard. The Board took note that these translations will not be part of the official documentation of the Board. The Board further requested the secretariat to prepare a recommendation on selected documents and products of the Board to be translated in these languages and assess its financial implications. When preparing this recommendation, the secretariat should take into account the outcome of a secretariat's survey to CDM stakeholders on the documents and/or products of the Board that would be beneficial to increase the participation in the CDM if translated into these languages. In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to liaise with DNAs and other institutions to assess the already existing translated versions of CDM documentation.

115. The Board agreed on the revised tentative calendar of meetings of the Board and its panels and working groups for 2011 to reflect the changes in the meeting dates of the Meth Panel and Small Scale Working Group. The revised tentative calendar is contained in [annex 29](#) to this report.

116. The Board agreed on the provisional agenda for its sixty-first meeting, to be held in Bonn, Germany, from 30 May to 3 June 2011, as contained in [annex 30](#) to this report.

Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting

117. The Chair summarized the main conclusions.

118. The Board expressed its deep appreciation to Mr. Daniele Violetti, Secretary of the Board, who will leave the SDM programme, for the leadership, dedication and outstanding support he provided to the Board and to the CDM and its process.

Agenda sub-item 6 (a): Summary of decisions

119. Any decisions taken by the Board shall be made publicly available in accordance with paragraph 17 of the CDM modalities and procedures and with rule 31 of the Rules of procedure of the Board.

Agenda sub-item 6 (b): Closure

120. The Chair closed the meeting.

**Annexes to the report***Accreditation of operational entities*

Annex 1 - Work plan of the CDM Accreditation Panel (2011) (version 01)

Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

Annex 2 - AM0028 - N₂O destruction in the tail gas of Nitric Acid or Caprolactam Production Plants (version 05.1.0)

Annex 3 - ACM0003 - Emissions reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuels with alternative fuels or less carbon intensive fuels in cement or quicklime manufacture (version 07.4.0)

Annex 4 - ACM0007 - Conversion from single cycle to combined cycle power generation (version 05.0.0)

Annex 5 - ACM0012 - Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions from waste energy recovery projects (version 04.0.0)

Annex 6 - Work plan of the Methodology Panel (2011) (version 01)

Annex 7 - Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality (version 03.0.0)

Annex 8 - Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system (version 02.1.0)

Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities

Annex 9 - AR-AM0013 - Afforestation and reforestation of lands other than wetlands (version 01.0.0)

Annex 10 - AR-ACM0001 - Afforestation and reforestation of degraded land (version 05.1.0)

Annex 11 - Estimation of non-CO₂ GHG emissions resulting from burning of biomass attributable to an A/R CDM project activity (version 03.1.0)

Annex 12 - Tool for estimation of change in soil organic carbon stocks due to the implementation of A/R CDM project activities (version 01.1.0)

Annex 13 - Estimation of carbon stocks and change in carbon stocks of trees and shrubs in A/R CDM project activities (version 02.1.0)

Annex 14 - Work plan of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (2011) (version 01)

Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

Annex 15 - AMS-III.AT - Transportation energy efficiency activities installing digital tachograph systems to commercial freight transport fleets (version 01)

Annex 16 - AMS-III.AU - Methane emission reduction by adjusted water management practice in rice cultivation (version 01)

Annex 17 - AMS-I.J - Solar water heating systems (SWH) (version 01)



Annex 18 - AMS-II.L - Demand-side activities for efficient outdoor and street lighting technologies (version 01)

Annex 19 - AMS-III.AV - Low greenhouse gas emitting water purification systems (version 01)

Annex 20 - AMS-I.E. - Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user (version 04)

Annex 21 - AMS-II.G. - Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass (version 03)

Annex 22 - AMS-III.Q. - Waste energy recovery (gas/heat/pressure) projects (version 04)

Annex 23 - AMS-II.H. - Energy efficiency measures through centralization of utility provisions of an industrial facility (version 03)

Annex 24 - Work plan of the Small-Scale Working Group (2011) (version 01)

Annex 25 - Guidelines for demonstrating additionality of microscale project activities (version 02)

Matters relating to programme of activities

Annex 26 - Clarifications regarding the “Procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities”

Annex 27 - Priority areas for the forthcoming revision of the regulatory framework for the programme of activities

Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

Annex 28 - Status of resources and status of the secretariat’s recruitment

Other matters

Annex 29 - Calendar of meetings of the CDM Executive Board and its panels and working groups in 2011 (version 04)

Annex 30 - Provisional agenda for EB 61



Endnotes

1. Please refer to <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Meetings/MeetingInfo/DB/AGMVUQ5YSJ41X93/view>>