

DOE Performance Monitoring

Report to the Public

1- Performance monitoring period: 1 January 2012 to 30 June 2012

2- Data for submissions finalized as of: 31 December 2012

3- Performance of DOEs:

DOE ¹		PA ² submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalized	PA automati- cally registered /issued	PA not admitted at the completeness-check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 ³	I2 ^{4,5}
Spanish Association for Standardisation and Certification (AENOR)	Registration	11	10	91%	8	4	1	0	1	0.40	0.10
	Issuance	11	11	100%	10	2	1	0	1	0.18	0.36
Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVCH)	Registration	87	80	92%	78	13	2	0	0	0.16	0.08
	Issuance	104	102	98%	99	15	2	0	1	0.15	0.06
China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (CEC)	Registration	33	32	97%	31	9	1	0	0	0.28	0.03
	Issuance	25	25	100%	23	2	1	0	2	0.08	0.12

DOE ¹		PA ² submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalized	PA automa- tically registered /issued	PA not admitted at the completeness- check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 ³	I2 ^{4,5}
China Quality Certification Center (CQC)	Registration	39	37	95%	36	4	1	0	0	0.11	0.14
	Issuance	19	19	100%	19	1	0	0	0	0.05	0.00
Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)	Registration	139	126	91%	123	25	3	0	0	0.20	0.06
	Issuance	206	194	94%	180	35	10	0	4	0.18	0.18
ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd. (ERM CVS)	Registration	37	37	100%	36	5	1	0	0	0.14	0.03
	Issuance	20	18	90%	16	3	2	0	0	0.17	0.33
Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH (GLC)	Registration	15	14	93%	10	2	4	1	0	0.14	1.43
	Issuance	16	15	94%	14	3	1	0	0	0.20	0.07
JACO CDM., LTD (JACO)	Registration	11	11	100%	7	5	2	0	2	0.45	0.18
	Issuance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Japan Consulting Institute (JCI)	Registration	29	27	93%	24	8	3	1	0	0.30	0.52
	Issuance	14	14	100%	12	3	0	0	2	0.21	0.00

DOE ¹		PA ² submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalized	PA automatically registered /issued	PA not admitted at the completeness-check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 ³	I2 ^{4,5}
Japan Quality Assurance Organisation (JQA)	Registration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issuance	16	16	100%	14	1	2	0	2	0.06	0.88
Korea Energy Management Corporation (KEMCO)	Registration	11	10	91%	9	5	1	0	0	0.50	0.10
	Issuance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Korean Foundation for Quality (KFQ)	Registration	19	19	100%	19	5	0	0	0	0.26	0.00
	Issuance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lloyd Register Quality Assurance Ltd. (LRQA)	Registration	39	32	82%	31	4	1	0	2	0.13	0.03
	Issuance	32	32	100%	31	6	1	0	0	0.19	0.09
Perry Johnson Registrars Carbon Emissions Services (PJRCES)	Registration	12	11	92%	11	2	0	0	0	0.18	0.00
	Issuance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RINA Services S.p.A. (RINA)	Registration	40	35	88%	32	7	3	1	0	0.20	0.54
	Issuance	17	17	100%	15	3	1	0	1	0.18	0.35

DOE ¹		PA ² submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalized	PA automati- cally registered /issued	PA not admitted at the completeness- check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 ³	I2 ^{4,5}
SGS United Kingdom Limited (SGS)	Registration	24	21	88%	18	5	3	0	0	0.24	0.48
	Issuance	125	122	98%	106	4	8	0	9	0.03	0.02
SIRIM QAS INTERNATIONAL SDN.BHD (SIRIM)	Registration	10	4	40%	4	1	0	0	0	0.25	0.00
	Issuance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV NORD)	Registration	83	72	87%	69	20	3	0	1	0.28	0.08
	Issuance	117	112	96%	105	21	4	0	3	0.19	0.02
TÜV Rheinland (China) Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland)	Registration	67	63	94%	61	15	2	0	0	0.24	0.10
	Issuance	34	31	91%	30	4	1	0	1	0.13	0.23
TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV SÜD)	Registration	16	12	75%	10	3	2	0	0	0.25	0.58
	Issuance	39	32	82%	30	9	2	0	0	0.28	0.16

Note:

1. DOEs that have finalized a tenth request of registration or issuance in a given monitoring period, are subject to monitoring.
2. Project activity
3. Rate of rejections at completeness check, $I1 = \text{Number of requests rejected at completeness check} / \text{Number of requests completed}$
4. Rate of requests for review, $I2 = \text{SUM (weights of Request for Reviews)} / \text{Number of requests completed}$
5. Definition of thresholds for $I2$

Registration	$I2 < 0,5$	
	$I2 = 0,5 \sim 3$	
	$I2 \geq 3$	

Issuance	$I2 < 0,5$	
	$I2 = 0,5 \sim 1,5$	
	$I2 \geq 1,5$	

Document information

<i>Version</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Description</i>
02.0	01 February 2013	As required according to "Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities" (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
01.0	01 November 2013	As required according to "Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities" (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).

Decision Class: Operational
Document Type: Information note
Business Function: Accreditation, Governance
Keywords: performance monitoring, DOE