

## DOE Performance Monitoring

### Report to the Public

1- Performance monitoring period: 1 January to 30 June 2011

2- Data for submissions finalised as of: 31 December 2011

3- Performance of DOEs:

DOE <sup>1</sup>		PA <sup>2</sup> submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalised	PA automatically registered	PA not admitted at the completeness -check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 <sup>3</sup>	I2 <sup>4</sup>
Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVCH)	Registration	48	42	88%	41	9	1	0	0	0,21	0,10
	Issuance	85	85	100%	82	5	2	1	2	0,06	0,09
China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (CEC)	Registration	11	11	100%	10	3	1	0	0	0,27	0,09
	Issuance	14	14	100%	14	2	0	0	0	0,14	0

<sup>1</sup> Only DOEs that have had more than 10 projects finalised are subject to monitoring

<sup>2</sup> Project activity

<sup>3</sup> Rate of rejections at completeness check

<sup>4</sup> Rate of requests for review

<b>China Quality Certification Center (CQC)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Issuance</b>	10	10	100%	10	4	0	0	0	0,40	0
<b>Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	121	106	88%	90	14	16	4	1	0,13	0,94
	<b>Issuance</b>	139	135	97%	118	18	9	4	8	0,13	0,27
<b>ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd. (ERM CVS)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	23	21	91%	18	5	3	0	0	0,24	0,57
	<b>Issuance</b>	23	23	100%	21	1	2	0	0	0,04	0,09
<b>JACO CDM., LTD (JACO)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	11	9	82%	9	4	0	0	0	0,44	0
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Japan Consulting Institute (JCI)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	11	10	91%	9	6	1	0	0	0,60	0,30
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Korean Foundation for Quality (KFQ)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	10	9	90%	7	3	2	0	0	0,33	0,67
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Lloyd Register Quality Assurance Ltd. (LRQA)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	14	13	93%	13	1	0	0	0	0,08	0
	<b>Issuance</b>	15	14	93%	13	5	1	0	1	0,36	0,29

<b>RINA Services S.p.A. (RINA)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	13	10	77%	10	3	0	0	0	0,30	0
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>SGS United Kingdom Limited (SGS)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	43	34	79%	33	10	1	0	0	0,29	0,09
	<b>Issuance</b>	139	137	99%	132	15	4	0	4	0,11	0,16
<b>SIRIM QAS International SDN.BHD (SIRIM)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	12	8	67%	6	4	2	0	0	0,50	1,38 <sup>5</sup>
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV Nord)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	61	52	85%	42	16	10	3	0	0,31	1,08
	<b>Issuance</b>	70	69	99%	65	16	4	0	2	0,23	0,09
<b>TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd. (TUEV Rheinland)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	59	55	93%	49	17	6	0	0	0,31	0,31
	<b>Issuance</b>	33	29	88%	29	4	0	0	0	0,14	0
<b>TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV SÜD)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	48	36	75%	30	15	6	0	0	0,42	0,86
	<b>Issuance</b>	66	63	95%	56	8	7	1	4	0,13	0,83

<sup>5</sup> Due to a mistake in processing one project.  
Version 02.1

### History of the document

<b>Version</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Nature of revision</b>
02.1	23 February 2012	Editorial revision due to a mistake in processing one project (page 3, footnote 5).
02	01 February 2012	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
01	09 November 2011	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
<b>Decision Class:</b> Operational <b>Document Type:</b> Information Note <b>Business Function:</b> Accreditation, Governance		