

## DOE Performance Monitoring

### Report to the Public

1- Performance monitoring period: 1 January to 30 June 2010

2- Data for submissions finalised as of: 31 March 2011

3- Performance of DOEs:

DOE <sup>1</sup>		PA <sup>2</sup> submitted for registration /issuance	PA for which a final decision was made	% of PA finalised	PA automatically registered	PA not admitted at the completeness -check stage	PA reviewed	PA rejected	PA withdrawn	I1 <sup>3</sup>	I2 <sup>4</sup>
Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS (BVCH)	Registration	36	29	81%	19	17	10	2	0	0,59	1,59
	Issuance	47	46	98%	38	4	8	1	2	0,09	0,46
China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (CEC)	Registration	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Issuance	13	12	92%	12	6	0	0	0	0,50	0
Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)	Registration	122	111	92%	66	31	35	4	0	0,28	1,11
	Issuance	144	132	92%	108	64	24	4	1	0,48	0,79

<sup>1</sup> Only DOEs that have had more than 10 projects finalised are subject to monitoring

<sup>2</sup> Project activity

<sup>3</sup> Rate of rejections at completeness check

<sup>4</sup> Rate of requests for review

<b>ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd. (ERM CVS)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	<b>Issuance</b>	11	11	100%	10	2	1	0	0	0,18	0
<b>Japan Consulting Institute (JCI)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	16	11	69%	6	0	5	0	0	0	1,09
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>SGS United Kingdom Limited (SGS)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	51	42	82%	28	13	14	1	0	0,31	0,83
	<b>Issuance</b>	106	104	98%	83	15	21	1	1	0,14	0,24
<b>TÜV NORD CERT GmbH (TÜV Nord)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	52	47	90%	35	20	11	1	1	0,43	1,19
	<b>Issuance</b>	28	28	100%	25	12	3	1	1	0,43	0,68
<b>TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd. (TUEV Rheinland)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	41	32	78%	25	11	7	1	0	0,34	0,78
	<b>Issuance</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV SÜD)</b>	<b>Registration</b>	58	39	67%	23	25	16	0	0	0,64	1,18
	<b>Issuance</b>	42	37	88%	31	10	6	1	0	0,27	0,32

**PS:** Due to improvement in IT system and the change in the procedures a number of additional projects that were submitted during the reporting period but missed out in the previous reports were identified

### History of the document

Version	Date	Nature of revision
02	02 May 2011	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
01	08 February 2011	As required according to “Procedure on performance monitoring of designated operational entities” (EB58, Annex 01, paragraphs 31-34).
<b>Decision Class:</b> Operational <b>Document Type:</b> Information Note <b>Business Function:</b> Accreditation, Governance		