


Request for 2nd revision of monitoring plan

Name of the entity (DOE)	JACO CDM CO., LTD
Title of the project activity	Xiaogushan Hydropower Project in People's Republic of China Reference No. 0378
Title/Subject (give a short title or specify the subject of your submission, maximum 200 characters):	Revision of monitoring plan to avoid the inconsistency of the ex-ante grid emission factor in the PDD and the description of the revised monitoring plan
Date and signature for the DOE	Nov. 05, 2008, Y. OTSUKA 
<p>Description of the request for Revision of the monitoring plan and relevant section of the PDD.</p> <p>On July 18, 2008, 407,596 CERs were issued for the China Xiaogushan Hydropower Project activity in the monitoring period (01 Jan 07 – 31 Dec 07).</p> <p>In the request for issuance of above CERs, the ex-ante grid emission factor of 0.862 tCO₂/ MWh was appropriately applied as stated in the PDD.</p> <p>However, the parameters required for yearly emission factor calculation are also listed in the 1st revised monitoring plan.</p> <p>To avoid above confusion, it is necessary to revise the 1st revised monitoring plan.</p> <p>In the 2nd revision, the parameters required for emission factor calculation for every year listed in the 1st monitoring plan were deleted.</p>	
<p>Proposed revision of the monitoring plan</p> <p>2nd Revision of the Section D and Annex 4 are attached.</p> <p>Annex 4 is the same as that of 1st revision but as an integral part of the monitoring plan, it is attached.</p>	
<p>Validation opinion</p> <p>By the 2nd revision, the inconsistency involved in the 1st revision of the monitoring plan is avoided. In addition to that, the revision satisfies the conditions of the EB26 repan 34 as follows.</p> <p>(a) The proposed revision is not related to the level of accuracy. In this project, the Build Margin emission factor is calculated ex-ante applying the Option 1 indicated in STEP 2 of the baseline methodology ACM0002 / version 05. However, in the original PDD and the 1st revised monitoring plan, parameters are also listed for calculating the Build Margin emission factor corresponding to the Option 2 in the STEP 2 of the baseline methodology ACM0002 / version 05.</p> <p>By eliminating the parameters for the Option 2, the 2nd revision can avoid the inconsistency. Therefore, the level of accuracy or completeness in the monitoring and verification process is not reduced as a result of the revision.</p> <p>(b) The proposed revision is eliminating one of the calculating methods "Option 2" of Build Margin emission factor shown in the approved monitoring methodology ACM0002 / version 05. The proposed revised monitoring plan is corresponding to the other calculating method Option 1. Therefore, this revision is in accordance with the approved monitoring methodology ACM0002 / version 05.</p> <p>(c) In the previous verification reports, there is no description of the findings about the inconsistency of the Build Margin emission factor calculation.</p>	