



CDM: Proposed New Methodology
Meth Panel recommendation to the Executive Board
(To be used by methodology panel to make a recommendation regarding a proposed new methodology)

<i>Date of Meth Panel meeting:</i>	7 - 8 July, 2003
<i>Related F-CDM-NM document ID number (already available to EB members)</i>	NM 0007 – HFC decomposition project in Ulsan
<i>Related F-CDM-NMex document ID number(s) (electronically available to EB members)</i>	F-CDM-NMex0007: Michael See/Yash Pal Abbi
<i>Related F-CDM-NMpu document ID number(s) (electronically available to EB members)</i>	F-CDM-NMpu0007: The Federation of Electric Power Companies
Recommendations by the Meth Panel	
Recommendations on baseline methodology(ies): Panel selected option (a) below.	
<p>a. <u>Methodology approved/Approved with minor changes as attached</u></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.)</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">The title of the methodology shall be: “Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams”</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">This baseline methodology is applicable to HFC 23 waste streams destroyed by incineration and produced by facilities being monitored and verified under the associated NM 0007 monitoring methodology. The methodology is applicable in all regions.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">ii. Minor changes</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Modifications to Annex 3 were already made in accordance with previous Meth Panel recommendation of the 21-23 May 2003 meeting and are accepted. Further minor edits are needed to remove repetitive paragraphs, improve syntax and incorporate “methodology-specific” information from Annex 5 of the draft CDM-PDD.</p>	

b. Methodology may be approved, subject to required changes

i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.)

ii. Required changes

(Project participants shall make required changes in the proposed new methodology and send it back to the Meth panel. The proposed project new methodology will be reconsidered by the Meth Panel if changes recommended are correctly prepared by project participants. The Executive Board will only consider this proposed new methodology after changes proposed are made and reconsidered by the Meth panel)

c. Methodology not approved

i. Reasons for non approval

(May be resubmitted in accordance with the procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new methodologies of the Executive Board)

Recommendations on monitoring methodology(ies): [Panel selected option \(a\) below.](#)

a. Methodology approved/Approved with minor changes

i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.)

[The title of the methodology shall be: "Incineration of HFC 23 waste streams"](#)

[This monitoring methodology is applicable to HFC 23 waste streams produced by facilities being monitored and verified and where HFC 23 waste streams are destroyed by incineration. The methodology is applicable in all regions.](#)

ii. Minor changes

[Modifications to Annex 3 were already made in accordance with previous Meth Panel recommendation of the 21-23 May 2003 meeting, and are accepted. Further minor editing is needed to remove repetitive paragraphs and improve syntax.](#)

b. Methodology may be approved, subject to required changes

- i. Conditions under which methodology is applicable to other potential projects (e.g. project type, region, data availability, etc.)
- ii. Required changes

(Project participants shall make required changes in the proposed new methodology and send it back to the Meth panel. The proposed project new methodology will be reconsidered by the Meth Panel if changes recommended are correctly prepared by project participants. The Executive Board will only consider this proposed new methodology after changes proposed are made and reconsidered by the Meth panel)

c. Methodology not approved

- i. Reasons for non approval

(May be resubmitted in accordance with the procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new methodologies of the Executive Board)

Details of the evaluation of the proposed new methodology by the Meth Panel:

New baseline methodology(ies)

Section 2. Description of the methodology:

Section 2.1. General approach

Is the approach selected the most appropriate (see paragraph 48 of the CDM M&P)?

Section 2.2. Overall description

Adequacy of methodology description

Appropriateness of determining the baseline scenario proposed. Does the baseline scenario reasonably represent the anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases that would occur in the absence of the proposed project activity? Explain.

Section 3. Key parameters/assumptions (including emission factors and activity levels), and data sources considered and used:

Reliability, accuracy and adequacy of data required (e.g. your expert judgement on the emissions factors and activity data used)

Key implicit and explicit assumptions (if any)

- a. Identification*
- c. Acceptability*
- d. Transparency*

Section 4. Definition of the project boundary related to the baseline methodology:

Coverage of project boundary (adequate?):

- a. Gases and sources*
- b. Physical delineation*

Section 5. Assessment of uncertainties:

Key implicit and explicit assumptions (if any)

- a. Identification*
- e. Acceptability*

Section 6. Description of how the baseline methodology addresses the calculation of baseline emissions and the determination of project additionality:



Please evaluate the proposed new methodology:

“Description of how the anthropogenic emissions of GHG by sources are reduced below those that would have occurred in the absence of the registered CDM project activity (i.e. explanation of how and why this project is additional and therefore not the baseline scenario)”

Section 7. Description of how the baseline methodology addresses any potential leakage of the project activity:

Section 8. Criteria used in developing the proposed baseline methodology, including an explanation of how the baseline methodology was developed in a transparent and conservative manner:

Section 9. Assessment of strengths and weaknesses of the baseline methodology:
Section 10. Other considerations, such as a description of how national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances have been taken into account:

<i>In addition, please address the following aspects</i> Applicability of methodology across project types and regions	
Any other comments	
New monitoring methodology(ies)	
<i>In respect of new monitoring methodology(ies), evaluate each section of Annex 4. Please provide your comments section by section:</i>	
Please also address the following	
Applicability of methodology across project types and regions	
Any other comments	
Signature of Meth Panel Chair	
	
	Date: 11 July 2003
Signature of Meth Panel Vice-Chair	
	
	Date: 11 July 2003
F-CDM-NMmp doc id number	F-CDM-NMmp0007-rev2
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat	11 July 2003
Date of transmission to the EB	11 July 2003