



### Annex 3

#### DRAFT

## PROCEDURES FOR THE REVISION OF AN APPROVED BASELINE OR MONITORING METHODOLOGY BY THE EXECUTIVE BOARD

### I. INTRODUCTION

1. In accordance with paragraph 39 of the CDM modalities and procedures, a revision of a methodology shall be carried out in accordance with the modalities and procedures for establishing new methodologies as set out in paragraph 38 of the CDM modalities and procedures. Any revision to an approved methodology shall only be applicable to project activities registered subsequent to the date of revision and shall not affect registered CDM project activities during their crediting period.
2. At its fifteenth meeting, the Board agreed on the need to develop procedures for the revision of approved methodologies, bearing in mind the need for transparency and for these procedures to be flexible in order to also easily accommodate minor revisions and minor corrections (to be issued as “versions” and not “revisions” as is the practice of the Board).
3. Sections II-IV-III of this document contain procedures for the revision of approved baseline and monitoring methodologies by the Executive Board that operationalize the provisions of paragraph 39 of the CDM modalities and procedures bearing in mind the above criteria.
4. At its fifteenth meeting, the Board also agreed that if an approved methodology is expected to require a significant revision, its further use shall be put on hold. This shall be announced on the UNFCCC CDM web site and the CDM News facility. The revision of such a methodology shall be undertaken in an expedited manner. Section V-IV of this document provides procedures for putting an approved methodologies “on hold”.

### II. SUBMISSION OF A PROPOSED REVISION BY PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

5. If project participants intend to propose a revision to an approved baseline or monitoring methodology for consideration and approval by the Executive Board, they shall submit to a DOE a draft revised version of the approved methodology highlighting proposed changes together with a draft project design document (CDM-PDD) with complete sections A to E, including relevant annexes applying to a proposed revision to the methodology.
6. Having checked that the above requirements are met and documentation is complete, the DOE shall transmit the documentation to the secretariat. The secretariat shall forward the documentation to the Executive Board and the Methodologies Panel after having checked that (a) the “CDM: Proposed revision of approved methodology form” has been duly filled by the DOE, and (b) the documentation provided by the DOE is complete. The date of transmission by the secretariat to the Executive Board is to be considered as the date of receipt of a proposed revision to an approved methodology by the Board.
7. Bearing in mind the timelines and deadlines for the consideration of documents by the Methodologies Panel and priorities set by the Board and the Chair of the Methodologies Panel, the Methodologies Panel shall consider the proposed revision at its next meeting, if feasible, and recommend to the Board, whether the proposed revision should be accepted for consideration.



8. Bearing in mind the timelines and the deadlines for the submission of documents to the Board, in accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, the Board shall consider the recommendation by the Methodology Panel.

### III. REVISION PROPOSED BY BOARD MEMBERS OR ALTERNATE MEMBERS

9. If one member or alternate member of the Board, bearing in mind the timelines and the deadlines for document consideration by the Board, in accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, presents substantiated reasons for the possible revision of an approved baseline or monitoring methodology, the issue will be included in the agenda for the next meeting of the Board.

10. The Board shall at this meeting agree on whether the revision shall be considered or not and whether the methodology should be put on hold.

### IVIII. CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF A OF A PROPOSED REVISION TO AN APPROVED BASELINE OR MONITORING METHODOLOGY

11.8. If the Board agrees decides that a to the consideration of a possible revision of a baseline and monitoring methodology, shall be considered, it shall request the Methodologies Panel to further analyze the case and prepare a recommendation to the Board, no later than at the second meeting following the request by the Board.

12.9. Depending on the proposed revision of a methodology, the Board may decide to request the secretariat to invite public inputs on the proposed revision for a period of 15 working days.

13.10. Up to two member(s) of the Methodologies Panel shall, under the guidance of the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Panel, be selected for preparing draft recommendations for the Panel. The selected Panel member(s) shall each be paid a fee of a maximum of two (2) working days for the preparation of the draft recommendation<sup>1</sup>.

14.11. The Methodologies Panel shall recommend, based on substantiated justification, a revision to an approved methodology or the continued validity of the already approved methodology, possibly with minor revisions and/or corrections.

15.12. The Methodologies Panel may also recommend a review of an approved methodology based on the experience gained through the examination of submissions of new methodologies in order to ensure a consistent approval process.

16.13. The Executive Board shall consider the recommendation by the Methodologies Panel at the next meeting.

17.14. If the Board approves the revision of an approved methodology, this methodology shall replace the previously approved methodology. In accordance with paragraph 39 of the CDM modalities and procedures, any revision to an approved methodology shall only be applicable to project activities registered subsequent to the date of revision and shall not affect registered CDM project activities during

<sup>1</sup> The selection of Panel members to undertake tasks relating to the revision of a proposed new methodology as well as the number of days to be dedicated in a case is to be decided by the Chair of the Methodologies Panel, taking into consideration availability of budgetary resources. Depending on the approved methodology the Board may decide that the Methodologies Panel may draw on expertise outside the Panel if it is necessary for the assessment of a case.



their crediting period. The date of revision shall be the date/time (Bonn, GMT) at which the Board has agreed on the case (not adoption of report).

15. ~~17 bis.~~ In addition, project activities that use the previously approved methodology, and that have been submitted for registration prior to the date of revision, shall not be affected by the revision.

#### **VIV. STATUS OF AN APPROVED METHODOLOGY IF THE EXECUTIVE BOARD PUTS IT “ON HOLD”**

~~18.16.~~ If the Board ~~based on the information put forward~~ considers that the possible revision of the methodology could have significant implications for the use of the methodology, the Board may agree to suspend the use of the methodology by putting it “on hold”.

18. Project activities that use this methodology and that have not been submitted for registration within ~~[6 weeks]~~ ~~[4 weeks]~~ ~~[2 weeks]~~ ~~[3 weeks]~~ ~~[10 days]~~ after a decision to put the use of the methodology “on hold”, will not be able to use the methodology until the Board has decided on any revision of the methodology.

17. If the Board decides to put a methodology “on hold”, ~~in accordance with paragraph 18 above,~~ a revised methodology should be ~~approved not later than at the third meeting of the Board after agreeing to put the methodology “on hold”.~~