

**DRAFT****Annex 6****DRAFT GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSING COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIBRATION
FREQUENCY REQUIREMENTS****A. Background**

1. In accordance with paragraph 176 of the Validation and Verification Manual (version 01) particularly with regard to the calibration requirements, the designated operational entity (DOE) shall verify that the calibration of measuring equipments is conducted at a frequency specified in applied monitoring methodology and the monitoring plan.
2. The Board noted that issues were raised during verification related to the non-compliance with the calibration frequency requirements specified by the methodology, CDM Executive Board (CDM EB) guidance¹, and/or the monitoring plan.
3. The following guideline shall be used by the DOE during verification to address the non-compliance as identified in the above paragraph.

B. Cases where calibration is not conducted at a frequency specified by the methodology, CDM Executive Board guidance and/or monitoring plan.

4. If during verification of a certain monitoring period, the DOE identifies that the calibration has been delayed and the calibration has been implemented after the monitoring period in consideration (i.e. the results of delayed calibration are available), the DOE may conclude its verification, provided the following conservative approach is adopted in the calculation of emission reductions:
 - (a) Applying the maximum accuracy limit of the equipment to the measured values, if the results of the delayed calibration do not show any errors in the measuring equipment, or if the error is within the maximum accuracy limit; or
 - (b) Applying the error identified in the delayed calibration test, if the error is beyond the maximum accuracy limit of the measuring equipment.
5. In cases where the results of the delayed calibration are not available, or the calibration has not been conducted at the time of verification, the DOE, prior to finalizing verification, shall request the project participant to conduct the required calibration and shall ensure that the project participant has calculated the emission reductions conservatively using the approach mentioned in paragraph 4 above.
6. In cases where the DOE verified that it is not possible for PP to conduct the calibration at a frequency specified by either the applied methodology, CDM EB guidance, and/or the monitoring plan due to reasons beyond the control of project participant², the DOE prior to finalizing its verification, shall submit request for revision of the monitoring plan.

C. Cases where calibration frequency for measuring equipments is not specified either in the monitoring methodology or the monitoring plan

7. In cases where neither the monitoring methodology, nor the monitoring plan specify any requirements for calibration frequency for measuring equipments, the DOE shall ensure that the

¹ CDM EB General Guidance on small-scale methodologies

² For example due to the contractual terms between the project participant and purchasing/selling entities.



equipments are calibrated either in accordance with the specifications of the local/national standards or as per the manufacturer specification.
