



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Forty-eighth meeting

UNFCCC
St. Georges, Grenada
14 – 17 July 2009

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

- Annex 1 - Draft workplan for updating and improving the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM)
- Annex 2 - Draft guideline for the reporting and validation of plant load factors
- Annex 3 - Draft guidelines on completeness check of requests for registration
- Annex 4 - Draft procedures for notifying and requesting approval of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD
- Annex 5 - Draft guidelines on assessment of different types of changes from the project activity as described in the registered PDD
- Annex 6 - Draft guidelines for assessing compliance with the calibration frequency requirements
- Annex 7 - Draft guideline for conducting completeness checks of request for issuance
- Annex 8 - Status of income and expenditure
- Annex 9 - Analysis of submissions received on CDM efficiency



PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (d) Matters relating to programme of activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities *
 - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry **
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

* For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

** For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. **▶ Action:** The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

2. **▶ Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the forty-eighth meeting.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board agreed on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its forty-eighth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 23 June 2009 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

3. **▶ Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the thirty-second progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Martin Hession, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its forty-second meeting on 29 June - 01 July 2009.

Case specific

4. **▶ Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on 2 July 2009.

5. **▶ Action:** The Board may wish to consider an update on the appeal submitted by a designated operational entity against the recommendation of the CDM-AP.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board considered an appeal submitted by a designated operational entity (DOE) against the recommendation of the CDM-AP. The Board, in accordance with the

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.



CDM accreditation procedure, decided to establish an appeal panel and requested it to prepare a report for the consideration of the Board at its forty-eighth meeting.

General guidance

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider: (a) a draft workplan containing steps for updating and improving the Validation and Verification Manual (CDM-VVM) as contained in annex 1 to these annotations; (b) an update by the secretariat on the preparation of the workshop.

Background: At its forty-seventh meeting, the Board, in reference to the implementation of the Validation and Verification Manual (CDM-VVM), agreed to hold an additional workshop in the Asian and Pacific region. The Board also requested the secretariat to extend the duration of the workshops to a third day dedicated to the participation of representatives of designated national authorities and project participants. The Board requested the secretariat to include the costs related to the implementation plan for the VVM in the next revision of the CDM-MAP and requested the secretariat to prepare a working plan for updating and improving the VVM for consideration at its forty-eighth meeting.

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal by the CDM-AP relating to allowing DOEs to perform verification and certification functions to the same project activity for some sectoral scopes.

Background: At its forty-seventh meeting, the Board considered a proposal relating to allowing DOEs to perform verification and certification functions to the same project activity for some sectoral scopes. The Board requested the CDM-AP to prepare a recommendation for the consideration of the Board at a future meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the Accreditation Panel, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: At its forty-seventh meeting, the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to replace one outgoing member and one member with methodological expertise of the Accreditation Panel with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting.

9. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the forty-third meeting of the CDM-AP will be held from 17 - 19 August 2009, as per annex 66 of the forty-sixth meeting of the Board.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the thirty-ninth meeting² of the Methodologies Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (the panel), and an oral report by the Vice-Chair of the panel, Mr. Pedro Martins Barata, on the work of the panel.

Background: The panel held its thirty-ninth meeting from 22 - 26 June 2009 in Bonn, Germany and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The panel dealt with case-specific issues, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

11. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers), the public, and the recommendations of the panel, the Board may wish to:

- (a) Approve methodologies based on the cases NM0278, NM0292, NM0294 (see MP39 report);

² The report and annexes of thirty ninth meeting of Meth Panel will be available on the CDM website by Thursday, 2nd July 2009.



- (b) Not to approve cases NM0267, NM0297, NM0309 and NM0311 that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

Background: Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies>>).

The methodologies NM0278 and NM0292 were recommended for approval by thirty-eighth meeting of Meth Panel for the consideration of Board's forty-seventh meeting. The Board sent back these methodologies to the Panel, after having identified few issues (EB47, paragraphs 19 and 20). The Board raised the following issues on NM0278 (EB47, paragraph 19):

- (a) Review the project boundary as applied to the source of renewable biomass for production of reducing agent: (i) registered A/R CDM project activity; (ii) other biomass plantation;
- (b) Review the necessity of supplier of renewable biomass to be the project participants;
- (c) Review the proposed methodology by the afforestation and reforestation working group (A/R WG) with regard to the approach related to renewable biomass production.

As per the request of Board's forty-seventh meeting, the inputs on NM0278 were electronically collected by the secretariat from the members of Afforestation & Reforestation working group and made available for the consideration of the case by Meth Panel. The Panel considered the Board's request on NM0278 and the feedback from Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group and agreed to make the changes in methodology with respect to following : (i) Reference to various A/R tools in relevant sections, (ii) Change in the text on additionality of project when it is combined with A/R project, (iii) Making requirements of cross checking of biomass supplied to steel project with biomass grown on land (iv) clarifying that the wood supplier need not be a project participant; (vi) Making the definition of project boundary clearer with respect to plantation, particularly that if plantation is a registered A/R project then it is not included in project boundary. There are few more editorial changes made and clarification text added at few places in the methodology.

The Board raised the following issues on NM0292 (EB47, paragraphs 20).

- (a) Analyze possible issues related to generation of waste gas of multiple types and their inter-linkages in the complex industry like integrated iron & steel plant. The analysis shall take into account the outcome of ongoing consultancy assignment on waste energy recovery in complex iron & steel industry;
- (b) Review the applicability condition requiring that the power generated in the project activity is used within the industrial facility and/or exported to the grid by the industrial facility;
- (c) Review the rationale behind the requirement of determination of baseline efficiency based on the top 15% efficient power plants.

The panel considered the Board's request and agreed to revise the applicability conditions, in order to ensure that the project activities applicable under this methodology do not lead to any leakage emissions due to competing uses of waste gas in a network of various gases of complex iron and steel industry. The panel also agrees to change the requirement of determination of baseline efficiency from the top 15% efficient power plants to top 20% efficient power plants, which is commonly used in other methodologies.

The Board at its forty-seventh meeting (EB47, paragraph 22) decided that the underlying project activities of the proposed new methodologies NM0267 and NM0297 are not eligible under CDM.



The panel agreed on preliminary recommendations to project participants on the proposed new methodologies NM0300, NM0301, NM0302, NM0303, NM0304, NM0305, NM0306, NM0307, NM0308 and NM0310.

The panel could not conclude its consideration of the cases NM0258, NM0266, NM0269, NM0272, NM0280, NM0282, NM0288, NM0293 and NM0295. The panel will further consider these cases at its next meetings.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an input from the panel on the request for deviation from the approved methodology ACM0006 and a draft revision of ACM0006. The Board may wish to take note that the request for deviation is correct in pointing out an error in equation 15 when applied to scenario 13 (which is the scenario used by the underlying project activity). However the equation is correct in case of scenarios 9 and 11, to which it is also applicable. The panel recommended the Board the revision of ACM0006 (see paragraph 15 (e) of these annotations) by introducing two separate equations in place of equation 15: one equation for scenarios 9 and 11 (the same equation 15 as in the current version of ACM0006) and another for scenario 13 (revised equation 15 as per the request for deviation). Concerning the request from project proponents for the use of the additionality tool in place of the combined tool, the panel requested the Board to take note that the deviation proposed by project participants in their request can be accepted, on an exceptional basis. The panel has emphasised that a revision to the “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality” in the context of the overall revision of ACM0006 has been recommended for approval (see paragraph 15 (e) of these annotations). This revision addresses the issue raised in this request for deviation.

Background: The Board in its forty-seventh meeting considered a request for deviation from the approved methodology ACM0006 related to a project activity undergoing validation that asked for the deviation from the use of combined tool prescribed by the methodology and suggested a change in equation 15 of methodology. The Board had requested the Meth Panel to review the possibility of (a) changing the equation 15 of methodology ACM0006 as per the request and (b) allowing the use of additionality tool, in place of combined tool.

Responses to requests for clarification

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the responses to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0148 to AM_CLA_0155 as provided by the panel and referred to in the panel report (see table of MP39 report).

Background: Information on the clarifications to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>).

The panel could not finalize its response to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0084, CLA_Tool_0007 and will further consider these requests at its next meeting.

Responses to requests for revision

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the following responses to the requests for revision, as referred to in the panel report (see table of MP39 report):

- (a) Not to accept request AM_REV_0088 and AM_REV_0110 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0021 to expand its applicability to new adipic acid plants.
- (b) To accept request AM_REV_0142 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0031 to expand the applicability of the methodology to situations in which electricity is used in the transport systems in the baseline scenario and/or in the project scenario; the region where the



project is implemented has a rail-based MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) system which is not affected by the project activity; and, higher amounts of biofuels are used in the baseline scenario than in the project scenario.

- (c) Not to accept request AM_REV_0146 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0006 to include the use of waste bagasse to generate electricity.
- (d) Not to accept request AM_REV_0150 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0061 proposing modifications in the monitoring tables, according to the project proponents, (i) to avoid inconsistencies in the texts, and (ii) to allow the use of national standards as data source for the fuels characteristics and measurement units.
- (e) Not to accept request AM_REV_0151 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0006 to include new scenario.
- (f) Not to accept request AM_REV_0152 concerning revision of the approved methodology ACM0011 to include project activities with operational history of less than three years.
- (g) Not to accept request AM_REV_0153 concerning revision of the approved methodology AM0014 to expand its applicability to natural gas-based energy generation facilities owned by a third-party and providing power or heat directly to an industrial user.

Background: Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPrev>>).

The panel could not finalize its responses to the requests for revision AM_REV_0125, AM_REV_0126, AM_REV_0141, AM_REV_0145, AM_REV_0147 and AM_REV_0149, and will further consider these requests at its next meetings.

Revisions to approved methodologies

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve revisions to the following approved methodologies:

- (a) **AM0031:** The draft revision expands the applicability of the methodology to situations in which electricity is used in the transport systems in the baseline scenario and/or in the project scenario; the region where the project is implemented has a rail-based MRT (Mass Rapid Transit) system which is not affected by the project activity; and, higher amounts of biofuels are used in the baseline scenario than in the project scenario. The draft revision is contained in annex 05 of the panel report (see MP39 report).
- (b) **AM0034:** The draft revision includes: (i) the change in the name of the Annex 1; (ii) change in language to ensure that use of EN14181 is not mandatory and provision in methodology that project proponents can propose the adoption of other national or international standards that provide similar guidance. The draft revision is contained in annex 06 of the panel report (see MP39 report).
- (c) **AM0036:** The draft editorial revision clarifies that: (i) in case of fossil fuels co-fired with biomass, the fossil fuel amount shall not exceed 50% of the total fuel fired on an energy basis; and (ii) for the purpose of this methodology, RDF (Refused Derived Fuel) and RPF (Refused Plastic Fuel) should be considered as fossil fuels. The draft revision is contained in annex 07 of the panel report (see MP39 report).
- (d) **AM0061:** The draft editorial revision replaces the term “*nameplate*” power production capacity by the term “*design*” power production capacity, in order to avoid misinterpretation on whether the parameter refers to gross- and net- power production capacity in the monitoring parameters. The draft revision is contained in annex 08 of the panel report (see MP39 report).



(e) **ACM0006:** The draft revision introduces two separate equations in place of equation 15: one equation for scenarios 9 and 11 (the same equation 15 as in the current version of ACM0006) and another one for scenario 13 (revised equation 15 as per the request for deviation). The revision also aims at making the ACM0006 applicable only for cogeneration projects, as a new “power only” methodology is separately recommended for approval by the Panel in this meeting. The draft revision is contained in annex 09 of the panel report (see MP39 report).

Background: Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPrev>>).

The revision to AM0031 was made in response to the request for revision AM_REV_0142. The revision to AM0034 was made in response to the EB46 request. The editorial revision to AM0036 was made in response to the requests for clarification AM_CLA_0152. The editorial revision to AM0061 was made based on issues related to AM_REV_0150. The revision to ACM0006 was made in response to the EB47 request based on the request for deviation and as a result of new “power only” methodology recommended by Panel for the Board’s approval, in response to a request from the Board (EB37, paragraph 23) to undertake the review of ACM0006.

General guidance

16. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal on various options to be used for the determination of the plant load factor of wind power plants, as contained in annex 2 to these annotations.

Background: The Board at its forty-seventh meeting considered the recommendation of the Meth Panel on various options to be used for determination the plant load factor of wind power plants and requested the secretariat to redraft the proposal for its consideration at a future meeting.

17. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the new “Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants” based on ACM0006 as contained in annex 04 to the MP39 report. The new methodology is applicable to project activities that generate electricity in biomass residue (co-) fired power-only plants, i.e. power plants in which all heat engines produce only power and do not co-generate heat; and the thermal energy is only used in heat engines and not for other processes. Further to the above, the Board may wish to take note that panel will continue to work on the overall revision of ACM0006 so as to simplify the use of the methodology for heat and power plants (including cogeneration), in line with the Board’s request.

Background: The Board at its thirty-seventh meeting (EB37, paragraph 23), requested the panel to undertake a review of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006 with a view to: (i) provide more clarity on the applicability of various scenarios; (ii) if possible consolidate the various scenarios; (iii) provide a simple guide for project participants to identify which scenario is applicable to their project activity and (iii) explore the possibility of splitting the methodology if there are very distinct types of project activities to which the methodology is applicable. The first part of simplification work undertaken by the Panel is ready in form of this “power only” methodology.

18. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision to “Combined tool to identify the baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality” as contained in annex 11 to the MP39 report. An explanatory note explaining the proposed revision is included in annex 12 to the MP39 report. The tool is currently restricted to situations in which all potential alternative baseline scenarios to the proposed project activity are available options to the project participants. The revision aims at removing this restriction in the applicability condition and expands the use of the tool. To that end, a more detailed description of the alternative scenarios, available to project participants, was provided, and the investment analysis was amended to cover the alternative



scenarios where the project participants would not undertake an investment. The barrier analysis as well as the common practice analysis is not affected by the revision.

Background: This revision to the tool addresses issues related to: (i) some requests considered by the panel (e.g. request for clarification AM_CLA_0120, request for deviation on ACM0006 based on EB47 request to the Panel) and; (ii) new methodology “Consolidated methodology for electricity generation from biomass residues in power-only plants” proposed for approval in the context of simplification of ACM0006 (see paragraph 17 above).

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the "Tool to determine the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems" as contained in annex 13 to the MP39 report, taking into account the public inputs received between the period starting 18 February 2009 and ending 31 March 2009. The tool provides various options to determine the baseline efficiency of an energy generation system with the purpose of estimating baseline emissions.. Once the tool is approved, the panel recommends the Board to revise relevant approved methodologies with the view to incorporating the use of the tool.

Background: The Board in its forty-fifth meeting (Paragraph 34, EB45) considered this tool which was recommended for approval by thirty-sixth meeting of Meth Panel and agreed to launch a call for public inputs on the draft tool, highlighting issues with regards it's ease of application, starting on 18 February and ending on 31 March. The Board, at its forty-seventh meeting (Paragraph 35, EB47) considered the inputs submitted for the call and requested the Meth Panel to submit a revised tool taking into account the public inputs for consideration of its forty-ninth meeting.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the draft "guidelines to calculate the fraction of Methane in the landfill gas from periodical measurements" as contained in annex 10 to the MP39 report. The draft guidelines provides procedure to calculate the fraction of Methane in the landfill gas from periodical measurements and is applicable to all the previous version of approved consolidated methodology ACM0001, where periodical monitoring of Methane fraction is an available option. These guidelines is not applicable to current version (version 11), as this version makes continuous monitoring mandatory.

Background: As per the internal report of EB47, the Board noted that the approved revision to ACM0001 in this meeting, to allow only the option of continuous measurement of methane content of the landfill gas, addresses the issues for new projects to be registered under the methodology, however the issue of periodic monitoring has to be addressed for the projects which are under validation/ registration or already registered, or for those projects which will use the older version due to applicable grace period. The Board therefore requested the Meth Panel to provide the guidance on how to do the periodical measurements of the fraction of methane in the landfill gas, which will be applicable to all the previous versions of methodology, except the latest one.

21. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Panel could not conclude its discussions on the revision to AM0047 as further work is required to assess the expert reports ("Greenhouse gas emissions resulting from changes in soil carbon stocks following a change in land use or land management of degraded lands" and "Peer reviews of the compilation of the emission factors for the production of biofuels from the seeds") as well as to include the findings of these reports in the revised methodology. The panel intends to conclude the revision of AM0047 at its next meeting.

22. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Panel discussed the issues related to the request from the Board (EB46, paragraph 31) on the approved consolidated methodology ACM0005, taking into account information from existing and rejected projects provided by the secretariat. Based on this discussion, the panel agreed on an approach and decided to prepare a draft revised version of ACM0005 for final recommendation of the revised methodology at its next meeting scheduled after the Board's forty-ninth meeting, with a view to providing a revised version of the methodology for the consideration of the Board at



it's fiftieth meeting. Due to the schedule of it's fortieth meeting, the panel will not be able to provide the revised methodology to the Board's forty-ninth meeting.

23. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Panel discussed the EB46 request (EB46, paragraph 32) and considered an assessment of the consistency of approved methodologies with the "Tool to assess the validity of the original/current baseline and to update the baseline on renewal of the crediting period", as prepared by the secretariat. The panel agreed to conduct a detailed assessment of 11 methodologies. The methodologies to be assessed will be selected based on the urgency in terms of requests for renewal of the crediting period, and frequency of use of the methodology. The Panel will report to the Board after this detailed assessment.

24. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the Panel discussed the methodological aspects of projects activities where a grid-connected power plant partially or fully displaces off-grid generation capacity. The panel further considered the development of methodological approaches to estimate emission reductions for grid-connected power plants that partially or fully displace off-grid generation capacity. The second draft revision of the "Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system" incorporating those methodological approaches was considered, but the panel was not able to conclude on a final recommendation. The panel will continue work on this issue in its next meeting, and report as progress is made.

25. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the fortieth meeting of the panel will be held from 14 - 18 September 2009, as per annex 16 of the forty-sixth meeting of the Board.

26. ► **Note:** The Board may also wish to take note that the deadline for submission of requests for revision and requests for clarification to be considered at the fortieth meeting to be held from 14 - 18 September 2009 shall be 3 August 2009, 24:00 GMT.

(c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Mr. Hugh Sealy on the work of the group.

Background: The SSC WG held its twenty-first meeting on 16 - 19 June 2009 in Bonn, Germany. The SSC WG dealt with case-specific issues, process and methodological clarifications and other issues, as specified below.

Case specific

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the methodology AMS-III.AE.Energy efficiency and renewable energy measures in new housing, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: In response to SSC-NM023-rev, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology. This new methodology is for activities that lead to reduced consumption of electricity in new grid connected residential buildings (single or multiple-family residences) through the use of one or more of the following measures: efficiency building design practices, efficiency technologies, and renewable energy technologies. Examples include efficient appliances, high efficiency heating and cooling systems, passive solar design, thermal insulation, and solar photovoltaic systems. It is expected to be used for project activities and POA's involving development of new, sustainably designed housing. The methodology takes into account related guidelines from Board (e.g. consideration of project emissions from appliances containing refrigerants). It provides two options for emission reduction calculations:



Option (a): Annual ex post comparison of measured electricity consumption of a sample of project residences with calibrated computer simulation model of baseline residences.

Option (b): Annual ex post comparison of measured electricity consumption of a sample of project residences with a sample of baseline residences (comparison group) using regression analysis.

Revisions of approved methodologies:

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-II.A’ as contained in annex 2 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: in response to SSC_310, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-II.A. The revisions include an option to determine technical energy losses in rural electricity distribution system using a well established peer reviewed method included in the guidelines of a relevant national level Government agency (e.g. rural electrification corporation/agency in the public sector or standards bureau/organizations in the region/country).

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-II.C’ as contained in annex 3 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: in response to the submission SSC_311, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-II.C. The recommended revisions clarify the consideration of increased output over the historic average and boundary definition. An option to use specific energy consumption for the baseline emission calculations has been added.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.A’ as contained in annex 4 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: in response to SSC_303, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.A taking into account expert inputs. The recommended revisions include options to choose from a range of grass-legume combinations for the project. Together with the possibility to have a range of baseline nitrogen fertilizer usage, this change would result in expanded applicability of the methodology as currently the methodology is restricted to corn-soybean rotations and urea fertilisation.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.D’ as contained in annex 5 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.D to provide additional guidance on consideration of the storage time of animal manure taking into account the fact that the manure could be transported from other locations than the farm at which the anaerobic digester is located.

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.E’ as contained in annex 6 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.E to include additional guidance on monitoring for project activities involving production and sale of refuse derived fuel (RDF).

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.F’ as contained in annex 7 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: In response to the submission SSC-NM026-rev ‘Avoidance of Methane emissions through Manure Composting’ requesting a new methodology for project activities shifting from existing anaerobic manure management systems to manure composting, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.F to expand its applicability to include composting of manure.



35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.H’ as contained in annex 8 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.H to include additional eligible technologies for upgrading biogas for bottling or feeding to natural gas distribution grid.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-III.I’ as contained in annex 9 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: in response to the submission SSC_313, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-III.I to include additional guidance on determination of baseline methane generation potential based on Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_{5,20}) with a view to maintain consistency between AMS-III.I and AMS-III.H.

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-I.D’ as contained in annex 10 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: in response to the submission SSC_315 and other related submissions, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-I.D to include additional guidance regarding the monitoring of electricity generated. The revision also includes guidance on calculation of project emissions for geothermal project activities and editorial changes in the methodology.

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revision of ‘AMS-I.C’ as contained in annex 11 of the report of the twenty-first meeting of the SSC WG.

Background: in response to the submission SSC_318, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS-I.C to include simplified procedures for determining efficiency of small thermal appliances used in household or commercial applications (<45kW thermal capacity). The recommended revisions also include procedures for estimation of baseline emission factors for co-fired systems.

39. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the case SSC-NM029, SSC-NM025-rev and SSC-NM027-rev were deemed not suitable for recommendation to the Board³ by the SSC WG (see SSC WG 21 report).

40. ► **Note:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-second meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 21 to 24 September 2009, as per annex 66 of the forty-sixth meeting of the Board.

(d) Matters relating to programme of activities

27. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the request for review for the programme of activities (POA) listed in table 1 below..

Table 1: Programmes for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
2535	PoA CUIDEMOS Mexico (Campana De Uso Inteligente De Energia Mexico) - Smart Use of Energy Mexico	?	?	?

³ In accordance with paragraph 13 of the procedures for the submission and consideration of a proposed new small scale methodology.



Background: Three or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case. Further details related to programmes of activities are available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/ProgrammeOfActivities/index.html>>).

(e) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration.

Case specific

42. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 55 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1577	CGN Inner Mongolia Zhurihe Phase I Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
1815	Inner Mongolia Huitengliang Phase II Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2095	Henan Nanyang Zhenping Cement Waste Heat Recovery and Utilization for Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2100	Huadian Kulun 201MW Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2117	Heilongjiang Yilan Hezuolinchang Phase II Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2125	Guohua Hebei Huanghua 49.5 MW Wind Farm Project (Phase I)	?	?	?
2132	Pengkalan Chepa Renewable Energy Plant	?	?	?
2161	Straw-fired Power Generation Project in Chuzhou District, Huaian City, Jiangsu Province	?	?	?
2184	Shuangqiao, Banqiao and Longtoushan Bundled Small Hydropower Project in Heilongjiang Province	?	?	?
2218	V.P. Farms Pig Manure Methanisation, Methane Recovery and Energy Production Project	?	?	?
2223	Liaoning Faku 1st phase Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2270	Inno-Abeldon - Palm Oil Mill Waste Recycle Scheme, Malaysia	?	?	?
2304	73 MW Tonghua Iron & Steel Waste Gas and Heat Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2360	Heilongjiang Yilan Jiguanlazishan Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2361	Heilongjiang Dongning Dajiazishan and Xidagang Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2366	Korea East-West Power Dangjin small hydro power plant project (5MW)	?	?	?
2368	Suoi Tan hydropower project	?	?	?
2369	GHG emission reductions through waste gas based power generation at Visa Steel Limited	?	?	?



<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
2372	So Lo hydropower project	?	?	?
2380	Salto Small Hydro Power Plant Project – A Brascan Energética S/A Project Activity	?	?	?
2382	Jiangsu Qishuyan Natural Gas Based Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2383	Jiangsu Wangting Natural Gas Based Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2390	Fuerza Eolica del Istmo Wind Farm	?	?	?
2392	Renkeng Hydropower Project, Longchuan County, Guangdong Province	?	?	?
2393	Angelina Small Hydro Power Plant Project – A Brascan Energética S/A Project Activity.	?	?	?
2397	Shandong Penglai Pingdingshan Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2398	Quimobásicos HFC Recovery and Destruction Project (plant 2)	?	?	?
2404	Leak Reduction in Above Ground Gas Distribution Equipment in the KazTransgaz-Tbilisi Gas Distribution System- Tbilisi, Georgia	?	?	?
2405	Santa Cruz I Hydroelectric Power Plant	?	?	?
2410	Sichuan Liangtan Hydropower Station Second Phase Project	?	?	?
2413	Xinjiang Huadian Xiaocaohu the 2nd phase of No.1 Wind Farm project	?	?	?
2416	Hubei Yihua Fertilizers Company Waste Heat Recovery and Utilization Project	?	?	?
2417	Chile: Lircay Run-Of-River Project	?	?	?
2418	Tianfu Coalmine Methane Project	?	?	?
2419	Controlled combustion of municipal solid waste (MSW) and energy generation in Linyi City, Shandong, China	?	?	?
2420	Inner Mongolia Keshiketeng County Wutaohai South Wind Farm 49.5 MW Project	?	?	?
2422	Soroosh & Nowrooz Early Gas Gathering and Utilization Project (S&N project)	?	?	?
2423	Hubei Yunlonghe Hydropower Project of Enshi City, Enshi Prefecture, Hubei Province, P.R. China	?	?	?
2425	Addition of a power generation micro unit at the 5 de Noviembre Power Plant	?	?	?
2426	El Platanal Hydropower Plant	?	?	?
2433	Jiangxi Gongge 15MW Hydropower Project, China	?	?	?
2434	Methane recovery from wastewater generated at Paper manufacturing unit of Sree Sakthi Paper Mills Ltd., Kerala	?	?	?
2435	Jilin Xijingou Hydropower Project	?	?	?
2437	Skopje Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
2439	Zhangjiagang Nature Gas Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2440	Anhui Anqing 30MW Biomass Power Generation Project	?	?	?
2441	Shanxi Wulushan 1st phase Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2448	Qingxi 28MW Hydropower Project in Guizhou Province, China	?	?	?
2449	Zhejiang Wenling Donghaitang Wind Power Project	?	?	?
2450	Xilinguole Huitengliang Wind Power Project Guotai Phase I	?	?	?
2459	Guangdong Liucheng 25.5MW Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
2460	Tao River Ewuduo 12 MW Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, the People's Republic of China	?	?	?
2466	Hubei Yichang Qilinguan Daquan River Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2482	Sarbari-I small hydro project of DSL Hydrowatt Limited (DSLHL),	?	?	?



Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
	Himachal Pradesh, India			
2498	Shaanxi Provincial Yang County Kafang 12 MW Small-scale Hydro Power Project	?	?	?

Background: Three or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

43. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for 12 project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its forty-seventh meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB47

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1662	Inner Mongolia Erlianhaote Phase I Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
1825	Guohua Tongliao Kezuo Zhongqi Phase II 49.5 MW Wind Farm Project	?	?	?
2101	Santa Rosa Hydropower Plant Project	?	?	?
2238	Yunnan Yingjiang Mangya River 2nd Hydropower Station	?	?	?
2279	Rural Education for Development Society (REDS) CDM Photovoltaic Lighting Project	?	?	?
2285	Displacement of the electricity of the national electric grid by the auto-generation of renewable energy in the Cañaveralejo Wastewater Treatment Plant of EMCALI in Cali, Colombia	?	?	?
2312	Yichun xiaochengshan wind power Project	?	?	?
2341	Introduction of the recovery and combustion of methane in the existing sludge treatment system of the Cañaveralejo Wastewater Treatment Plant of EMCALI in Cali, Colombia	?	?	?
2344	Zhumadian Zhongyuan Gas-Steam Combined Cycle Power Project in Henan China	?	?	?
2359	7.5 MW Bundled Small Hydropower Project in Qiandongnan Autonomous Region, Guizhou Province, P.R. China	?	?	?
2362	Monjolinho Energética S.A.’s CDM Project	?	?	?
2373	Ningxia Yinchuan No. 1 Natural Gas Cogeneration Project	?	?	?

Background: At its forty-seventh meeting, the Board decided to place the 14 (fourteen) project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2). It should be noted that project activities “The Blended Cement project utilizing the additives to decrease the clinker content in Shanxi Guashan Cement” (2226) and “Energy Efficiency Measures at Desalination Plant in Chennai” (2411) were also placed under review by the Board at its forty-seventh meeting, however the project participants have withdrawn these requests for registration.



44. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 3 below.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a request for review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
1913	Phuoc Hiep I sanitary Landfill gas CDM project in Ho Chi Minh City
2052	Yunnan Yingjiang Wakuhe Hydropower Station

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the request for reviews of requests for registration and following request for review for minor issues of the project activities listed in table 3 above, the Board agreed that the project activities could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 10 of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.

45. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activities listed in table 4 below.

Table 4: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>
1806	Emission reductions through partial substitution of fossil fuel with alternative fuels in three cement plants of Holcim Philippines Inc.	?	?

Background: At previous meetings, following consideration by the Board of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activity listed in table 4 above, the Board agreed that the project activity could be registered following corrections. The DOE has submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 4), otherwise the requests are rejected (case B in table 4).

Registration procedure/General guidance

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider to develop a guidance document compiling the major criteria for decision-making during the review process and make it publicly available.

Background: Paragraph 13 of Decision 2/CMP4 requested the Executive Board to develop such a document. Such guidance will facilitate CDM stakeholders in understanding and interpreting decisions by the Board on specific cases in the review process. Due to time constraints, the Board at its forty-seventh meeting agreed to postpone the discussion on this issue to its forty-eighth meeting.

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Guideline for conducting completeness checks of request for registration”, as contained in annex 3 of these annotations, and information on its implications.

Background: In assessing the ways and means of improving the efficiency of the processing of requests for registration, the Board at its forty-seventh meeting, requested the secretariat to assess the implications of expanding the completeness checks of new submissions to include a check of compliance with all reporting requirements and to draft necessary revisions to the relevant procedures for consideration at its forty-eighth meeting.

**(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance.

Case specific

49. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the seven requests for issuance listed in table 5.

Table 5: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0261	Energy efficiency through steam optimisation projects at RIL, Hazira	23,147	?	?	?
0373	São João Landfill Gas to Energy Project (SJ)	171603	?	?	?
1031	Rio Taquesi Hydroelectric Power Project	207,926	?	?	?
1441	Tianji Group Line 3 N2O Abatement Project	315,312	?	?	?
1542	Generation of electricity from 9.6 MW capacity wind mills by Sun-n-Sand Hotels Pvt. Ltd. at Bhambarwadi, Maharashtra	8,940	?	?	?
1550	Generation of electricity from 12.8 MW capacity wind mills by Avinash Bhosale group at Bhambarwadi, Maharashtra	17,259	?	?	?
1758	Fuel Switching Project of the Aqaba Thermal Power Station (ATPS)	215,513	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or a Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 5 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 5), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 5) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 5). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

50. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the 10 requests for issuance listed in table 6, which the Board placed under review at its forty-seventh meeting.

Table 6: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB47

<i>RefNo.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0211	Usinas Itamarati Cogeneration Project (01 Jun 06 - 31 Jul 07)	15629	?	?	?
0211	Usinas Itamarati Cogeneration Project (01 Aug 07 - 31 Aug 08)	23080	?	?	?
0244	Aleo Manali 3 MW Small Hydroelectric Project, Himachal Pradesh, India	23727	?	?	?
0268	Lages Methane Avoidance Project	247668	?	?	?



0340	Reduction in steam consumption in stripper reboilers through process modifications	63961	?	?	?
0489	Repowering Small Hydro Plants (SHP) in the State of São Paulo, Brazil	14032	?	?	?
0663	Santa Lúcia II Small Hydro Plant	31469	?	?	?
0889	RIMA Fuel Switch in Bocaiúva	25494	?	?	?
1011	N ₂ O Emission Reduction in nitric acid plant Paulínia, SP, Brazil	44973	?	?	?
1229	Catalytic N ₂ O destruction project in the tail gas of the nitric acid plant PANNA 3 of Enaex S.A.	189871	?	?	?

Background: At its forty-seventh meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 6 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in table 6), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 6), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case C in Table 6). It should be noted that requests for issuance of project activities “Vale do Rosário Bagasse Cogeneration (VRBC)” (0199), “Tianji Group Line 2 N₂O Abatement Project”(1437), and “Jinxiang – Golden Elephant Line 1 N₂O Abatement Project” (1455), which were placed under review by the Board at its forty-seventh meeting have been withdrawn by the DOE/project participants.

51. **► Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to 16 requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: 15 requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its forty-seventh meeting for consideration by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting. Further, one request for deviation which was referred by the Board to Meth Panel at its forty-third meeting is included for consideration by the Board at this meeting.

General guidance

52. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider matters relating to changes from project description in the registered PDD and the proposed revised options and procedures to address such changes, as contained in annex 4 and annex 5 to these annotations.

Background: The Board discussed a proposal from the secretariat for "Procedures for Requesting Changes from the project activity as described in the registered Project Design Document" and "Guidelines on assessment of different types of changes from the project activity as described in the registered Project Design Document", agreed in principle on the proposed approach, and requested to secretariat to revise these documents, taking into account views expressed by members with respect to the issues of major and minor changes, for further consideration at its forty-eighth meeting.

53. **► Action:** The Board may wish to consider a draft guideline for complying with calibration requirements required by the methodology and national/local regulations, as contained in annex 6 to these annotations.

Background: The Board discussed an assessment by the secretariat related to the calibration requirements required by the methodology and national/local regulations and requested the secretariat to prepare a guideline for complying with these requirements for its consideration at its forty-eighth meeting.



54. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft “Guideline for conducting completeness checks of request for issuance”, as contained in annex 7 of these annotations, and information on its implications.

Background: In assessing the ways and means of improving the efficiency of the processing of requests for issuance, the Board requested the secretariat to assess the implications of expanding the completeness checks of new submissions to include a check of compliance with all reporting requirements, and to draft necessary revisions to the relevant procedures for consideration at its forty-eighth meeting.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

55. **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 8 of these annotations.

5. Other matters

(a) Requests by CMP to the Board

56. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an analysis of the secretariat of the inputs received as a response to the call for inputs on efficiency in the operation of the CDM and opportunities for improvement, as contained in annex 9 to these annotations.

Background: The Board considered the inputs received as a response to the call for inputs on efficiency in the operation of the CDM and opportunities for improvement, as made available on the UNFCCC CDM website, and requested the secretariat to prepare an analysis of these submissions for consideration by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting.

(b) Regional distribution

57. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider an input for discussion prepared by the secretariat based on the response to the DNA call for inputs on Regional Distribution matters available on the UNFCCC CDM website⁴.

Background: The Board, at its forty-seventh meeting, considered the inputs received in response to the call for inputs for DNAs on Regional Distribution matters available on the UNFCCC CDM website and requested the secretariat to prepare a draft recommendation to CMP in response to its request to the Board, taking into account these submissions, for consideration for its forty-eighth meeting.

(c) Relations with Designated National Authorities

58. **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the update by the secretariat on the preparations of the eighth meeting of the CDM DNA Forum to be held in October 2009 in Singapore.

Background: The CMP, at its first session, requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum on a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA Forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

⁴ <https://cdm.unfccc.int/public_inputs/2009/CMPreq/index.html>.

**(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the meeting. At its last meeting the Chair of the Board requested the Chair of DOE/AE Forum to address the issue of timelines of validation and verification of projects.

(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of the last day of the meeting; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(f) Other business

61. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its forty-seventh meeting:

- (a) Letter from Mr. Henk Harmsen, EcoSecurities, received on 29 June 2009, relating to project 1455: Jinxiang - Golden Elephant Line 1 N₂O Abatement Project, Issuance Request;
- (b) Letter from Mr. Henry Derwent, IETA, received on 29 June 2009, relating to the wind power projects in China;
- (c) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Project Developer Forum, received on 29 June 2009, relating to the expected effective electricity generation;
- (d) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Project Developer Forum, received on 29 June 2009, relating to the procedures for changes in PDD;
- (e) Letter from Mr. Guman Singh, Mr. Kulbhushan Upmanyu, Mr. Manhi Asher, Mr. Rashul Saxena, Himalay Niti Abhiyan Samiti, received on 27 June 2009, relating to the application by Rampur Hydroelectric Project (India) for CDM benefits;
- (f) Letter from Mr. Anri Engel, on behalf of CAPEX, received on 23 June 2009, relating to the rejection of project activity 0443;
- (g) Letter from Mr. Rama Chandra Reddy, World Bank, received on 19 May 2009, relating to Land Eligibility and Baseline Assessment for AR Projects;



- (h) Letter from Mr. Zhang Xuejin, Electrification Bureau, Ministry of Water Resources - China, received on 19 May 2009, relating to the coefficient of effective power;
- (i) Letter from Mr. Rama Chandra Reddy, World Bank, received on 19 May 2009, relating to the Revision of AR Methodologies as per EB44;
- (j) Letter from Mr. Giambattista Retegno, Edison Spa, received on 15 June 2009, relating to the Application of the coefficient of effective power to the project activity 1696;
- (k) Letter from Ms. Maria Teresa Szauer, CAF, received on 9 June 2009, relating to the Procedures to request changes in the project activity as described in the registered Project Design Document;
- (l) Letter from Ms. Maria Teresa Szauer, CAF, received on 9 June 2009, relating to the revision of AM0031;
- (m) Letter from Ms. Maria Teresa Szauer, CAF, received on 9 June 2009, relating to the revision of ACM0006;
- (n) Letter from Quang Nguyen, received on 29 May 2009, relating to the Promulgation of an official emission factor for the electricity grid of Vietnam;
- (o) Letter from Auroskanda Vepari, EDF Trading, received on 25 May 2009, relating to the draft revision of SSC methodology AMS III (v.02) proposed by the SSC WG in its 20th meeting for discussion and consideration by CDM EB at EB47;
- (p) Letter from Mr. Casper van der Tak, Caspervandertak Consulting BV, received on 25 May 2009, relating to the Coefficient of effective power;
- (q) Letter from Mr. Nejib Osman, National Agency for Energy Conservation, Tunisia, received on 22 May 2009, relating to the PoA and the starting date of CPAs;
- (r) Letter from Mr. Martin Enderlin, Project Developer Forum, received on 22 May 2009, relating to Materiality;
- (s) Letter from Mr. Christoph Sutter, South Pole Carbon Asset Management Ltd., received on 22 May 2009, relating to the Coefficient of effective power;
- (t) Letter from Mr. Christoph Sutter, South Pole Carbon Asset Management Ltd., received on 22 May 2009, relating to AM_REV_0139: calculation error in ACM0014;
- (u) Letter from Mr. Kenneth J. Newcombe, C-Quest Capital, received on 19 May 2009, relating to Recommendation on the procedures for registration of a Programme of Activities;
- (v) Letter from Mr. David Qiu, Cambridge Funds Investment Co. Ltd., received on 14 May 2009, relating to the inaccurate recording of details on Modality of Communication (MOC) - Project number 1898 Fujian Jinjiang Power, China;
- (w) Letter from Wang Shu, National Development & Reform Commission, China, received on 13 May 2009, relating to the Clarification on grid tariff of renewable energy projects in China.

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.



6. Conclusion of the meeting

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the forty-eighth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
