Page 5, paragraph 23

Please note that due to technical reasons paragraph 23 was repeated. Second paragraph 23 should read as follows: 23 bis.

Page 10, paragraph 37 (b)

For

(b) “Indo Gulf Fertilisers (A Unit of Aditya Birla Group), Jagdishpur” (1272) taking into consideration the responses provided by the project participant and DOE (TÜV SÜD).

Read

(b) “Effective utilization of waste heat by installing vacuum pre-concentrator in urea section at Indo Gulf Fertilisers (A Unit of Aditya Birla Group), Jagdishpur” (1272), taking into consideration the responses provided by the project participant and DOE (TÜV SÜD).

Page 11, paragraph 39 (e)

For

(e) “Effective utilization of waste heat by installing vacuum pre-concentrator in urea section at Shree Chhatrapati Shahu RE Project” (1297), submitted for registration by the DOE (SGS), because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate the additionality of the project activity, in particular as the suitability of the applied benchmark has not been substantiated and or the adequacy of the completeness of the evidence to support the claim that CDM was needed in securing financing for the project is not credible.
Read

(e) “Shree Chhatrapati Shahu RE Project” (1297), submitted for registration by the DOE (SGS), because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate the additionality of the project activity, in particular as the suitability of the applied benchmark has not been substantiated and/or the adequacy of the completeness of the evidence to support the claim that CDM was needed in securing financing for the project is not credible.