



**FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE - Secretariat  
CONVENTION - CADRE SUR LES CHANGEMENTS CLIMATIQUES -  
Secrétariat**

Date: 1 February 2008  
Ref: CDM-EB-37

**EXECUTIVE BOARD OF THE CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM**

**THIRTY-SEVENTH MEETING**

**Report**

**Date of meeting:** 30 January- 1 February 2008

**Location:** Bonn, Germany

**Attendance:** The names of members and alternate members present at the thirty-seventh meeting are in bold print below. Where only the name of an alternate member is in bold print, the alternate participated as a member.

<b>Member</b>	<b>Alternate</b>
<b>Mr. Samuel Adeoye Adejuwon</b> <sup>1</sup>	<b>Mr. Kamel Djemouai</b> <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mr. Philip M. Gwage</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Mr. Xuedu Lu</b> <sup>2</sup>
Ms. Natalia Berghi <sup>2</sup>	<b>Ms. Diana Harutyunyan</b> <sup>2</sup>
<b>Mr. Lex de Jonge</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Mr. Pedro Martins Barata</b> <sup>2</sup>
<b>Mr. Akihiro Kuroki</b> <sup>1</sup>	<b>Ms. Jeanne-Marie Huddleston</b> <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mr. Clifford Mahlung</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Mr. Tuiloma Neroni Slade</b> <sup>2</sup>
<b>Mr. Paulo Manso</b> <sup>2</sup>	<b>Mr. Hussein Badarin</b> <sup>2</sup>
<b>Ms. Ulrika Raab</b> <sup>1</sup>	<b>Mr. Martin Hession</b> <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mr. Hugh Sealy</b> <sup>1</sup>	<b>Mr. José Domingos Miguez</b> <sup>1</sup>
<b>Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi</b> <sup>1</sup>	<b>Ms. Liana Bratasida</b> <sup>1</sup>

1 Term: Two years (elected at CMP 2 in 2006),

2 Term: Two years (elected at CMP 3 in 2007)

NB: The term of service of a member, or an alternate member, starts at the first meeting of the Executive Board in the calendar year following his/her election and ends immediately before the first meeting of the Executive Board in the calendar year in which the term ends (see Rules of procedure of the Executive Board).

**Quorum** (in parenthesis required numbers): **10** (7) members or alternate members acting as members present of which **4** (3) from Annex I Parties and **6** (4) from non-Annex I Parties.

**WWW broadcasting** : < <http://cdm.unfccc.int/EB/Meetings> > .

**Agenda item 1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)**

1. The Executive Board of the clean development mechanism (CDM) (hereinafter referred to as the Board) elected Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi and Mr. Lex de Jonge as Chair and Vice-Chair, respectively, of the Executive Board until the first meeting of the Board in 2009.
2. The Board expressed deep appreciation to the outgoing Chair, Mr. Hans Jürgen Stehr, and Vice-Chair, Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi, for their excellent leadership during the sixth year of the Board's operations.
3. The Chair of the Board opened the meeting and asserted that the quorum requirement was met. No conflict of interest was identified by any member or alternate member of the Board present at the meeting.
4. The Board noted that the secretariat was informed that Ms. Natalia Berghi and Mr. Tuiloma Neroni Slade were unable to attend the meeting and had provided proper justification for their absence.

***Selection of Chairs and Vice-Chairs of panels/working groups***

5. The Board agreed to appoint Mr. Martin Hession and Mr. Samuel Adeoye Adejuwon as the Chair and Vice-Chair of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) respectively. On behalf of the Board, the Chair of the Board expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Hernán Carlino, as the outgoing Chair of the CDM-AP for his excellent work, leadership and dedication in chairing this panel.
6. The Board requested Mr. Akihiro Kuroki to continue as Chair of the Methodologies Panel (Meth Panel) and elected Mr. Philip Gwage as the Vice-Chair of the panel. Furthermore, the Board elected Mr. Pedro Martins Barata and Mr. Xuedu Lu to support the Chair and Vice-Chair in the Meth Panel. On behalf of the Board, the Chair of the Board expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Xuedu Lu as the outgoing Vice-Chair of the Meth Panel and Mr. Lex de Jonge and Mr. José Domingos Miguez as supporting members for their dedication and excellent support.
7. The Board further elected Mr. José Domingos Miguez and Ms. Diana Harutyunyan as Chair and Vice-Chair, respectively, of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG). On behalf of the Board, the Chair of the Board expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Philip Gwage and Mr. Evgeny Sokolov as the outgoing Chair and Vice-Chair, respectively, of the A/R WG for their dedication and excellent support to the working group.
8. The Board requested Ms. Ulrika Raab to continue as the Chair of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and elected Mr. Kamel Djemouai as the Vice-Chair of the SSC WG. On behalf of the Board, the Chair of the Board expressed deep appreciation to Mr. Richard Muyungi as the outgoing Vice-Chair of the SSC WG for his dedication and excellent support to the working group.
9. The Board appointed alternate members to serve in a panel as chairs and vice chairs in accordance with rule 5, paragraph 2 of the Rules of Procedures of the Executive Board. As not enough members of this Board are in a position to act as Chair or Vice-Chair at all scheduled panel and working group meetings, the Board appointed alternate member(s) to act as Chair or Vice-Chair of panels. The Board agreed to revise the "General guidelines for panels/working groups" as contained in annex 1 of this report to reflect this practice.

**Agenda item 2. Adoption of the agenda**

10. The Board adopted the agenda and agreed to the programme of work.

**Agenda item 3. Work plan****Agenda sub-item 3 (a): Accreditation of operational entities**

11. The Board took note of the twenty-third progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP), and an oral report by its outgoing Vice-Chair, Mr. Martin Hession. The report summarized information relating to the work of the CDM-AP including the status of applications and developments with respect to desk reviews, on-site assessments, witnessing activities and other accreditation related issues.

***Case specific***

12. The Board considered a recommendation of the CDM-AP relating to the review of work of three project activities in a follow-up to a spot-check raised for a DOE by the Board at its thirtieth meeting. The Board, taking note that the DOE had implemented a system for contract review, strengthened the internal review process and improved its knowledge on the assessment of additionality of CDM project activities, agreed to conclude the spot-check process for the DOE and confirmed its accreditation and designation.

13. The Board considered a recommendation of the CDM-AP relating to the review of implementation of the corrective actions for a DOE under spot-check, agreed by the Board at its twenty-sixth meeting. The Board agreed that the DOE has adequately addressed the non-conformities and implemented corrective actions. The Board further agreed to confirm the accreditation and designation for this DOE.

14. The Board considered the recommendation of the CDM-AP for a DOE under spot-check, agreed by the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting, and hearing the DOE in its thirty-fifth meeting. The Board noted that the DOE has implemented the proposed policy measures, as well as other corrective actions and strengthened its operational and institutional set-up to ensure that it does not undertake validation or verification of CDM project activities supported by other departments of the DOE and/or its related bodies. The Board agreed to confirm the accreditation and designation of the DOE. The Board further agreed to conduct an on-site assessment to the DOE accredited site, within six (6) months, so as to re-confirm the implementation of these measures and assess the effectiveness of the DOE's commitment.

15. The Board considered the recommendation of the CDM-AP for a DOE under spot-check, agreed by the Board at its thirty-sixth meeting. The Board, after having provided the opportunity for hearing, agreed not to suspend the accreditation of the DOE. The Board also agreed that implementation of their corrective actions shall be verified by the CDM-AT in an expeditious manner and a recommendation shall be submitted by the CDM-AP for the consideration of the Board.

***General guidance***

16. The Board, in accordance with paragraph 27 (g) of the CDM modalities and procedures, considered the synthesis report of annual activity reports submitted by the DOEs, submitted by the CDM-AP. The Board took a serious note that this year again a number of DOEs had not submitted their annual activity reports within the deadline. The Board also took note of specific issues identified by the CDM-AP, in particular, indication of sub-contracting of validation and verification by the DOEs, incidents of attempts of falsification of documents by project participants and information on financial statements by the DOEs. The Board took note that of the conclusion of the CDM-AP that these issues merit further consideration by the CDM-AP and requested the CDM-AP to submit concrete proposals and its suggestions for the consideration of the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting.

17. The Board took note of the revision in the terms of reference for CDM assessment teams. The Board noted that the terms of reference have been revised to make necessary changes in the



qualification/competence requirements for the applicants applying to the roster of experts for the CDM assessment team members and requested the CDM-AP to issue these revised terms of reference for CDM assessment teams.

18. The secretariat presented the work undertaken on the Validation and Verification Manual (VVM). The Board members agreed to provide written feedback to the existing draft with regard to:

- Elements of missing guidance;
- Elements of missing definitions of performance and/or service level;
- Tools /lists;
- Comments on existing text.

The Board agreed that members of panels and working groups are to be invited to comment on a next version of the document individually rather than having the document considered at panel deliberations. The Board requested the secretariat to design a workplan so that the Board could consider the VVM at its thirty-ninth meeting.

#### ***Further schedule***

19. The Board noted that the thirty-fourth meeting of the CDM -AP is scheduled on 14 - 16 April 2008.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (b): Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans**

#### ***Case specific***

20. The Board approved the methodology “Replacement of SF<sub>6</sub> with alternate cover gas in the magnesium industry” based on cases NM0212 and NM0222, as contained in [annex 2](#) to this report. The Board agreed that the methodology is applicable to project activities that use Fluoroketones as a cover gas in the project activity. Based on the advice from experts of the Gas Fluid Dynamics Laboratory of the National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration, the Board agreed that there is sufficient evidence to suggest that a GWP value of 1, for fluoroketone, is a conservative assumption.

21. The Board deferred its consideration of draft revision to the “Tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality” as proposed by the Meth Panel at its thirtieth meeting. The Board agreed to consider the revision along with the revised version of guidance on investment analysis, as prepared by the secretariat, and further work being undertaken by the Meth Panel, at its thirty-eighth meeting. The Board also requested the panel that, in undertaking improvement to the additionality tool, it should ensure that the data used is objectively sourced and calculations can be replicated.

22. The Board clarified that the applicability condition in scenario 14 of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0006, which states “the thermal firing capacity is maintained”, implies that the quantity of biomass used for energy generation in the project activity and baseline is the same. The Board clarified that this scenario is not applicable to project activities in which the biomass used in project activity is greater than that in the baseline. The Board clarified that if the project activity results in an increase in thermal firing capacity, then the following implications are not addressed in the methodological procedures for this scenario: (i) increase in capacity to generate electricity would occur, whereas, the formulae to calculate additional electricity generation from the project activity assumes that electricity generation in the baseline is the same as historic generation; and (ii) increased use of biomass in the project activity, whereas, the scenario does not provides procedure to assess the baseline use of incremental biomass. The Board requested the secretariat to include this explanation in the next revision



of the approved methodology.

23. The Board also requested the panel to undertake a review of the approved consolidated methodology with a view to: (i) provide more clarity on the applicability of various scenarios; (ii) if possible consolidate the various scenarios; (iii) provide a simple guide for project participants to identify which scenario is applicable to their project activity and (iii) explore the possibility of splitting the methodology if there are very distinct types of project activities to which the methodology is applicable. The Board requested that the work on such a review should be presented for consideration by the Board at its forty-first meeting.

23. The Board took note of the oral progress report of the secretariat on the work related to energy efficiency. The Secretariat informed that experts have provided a report on identifying the key methodological reasons for non-approval of proposed methodologies for energy efficiency project activities as well as monitoring and assessment of energy savings in efforts taken in various countries to promote energy efficiency. The Board requested the secretariat to identify the possible tools and guidance for demand side efficiency CDM projects based on these reports. Further, the Board requested the secretariat to present the analysis to the Meth Panel for its commenting. The secretariat is requested to make a recommendation to the Board at its forty-first meeting.

24. The Board revised the "Procedures for submission and consideration of a proposed new methodology" for both non-A/R CDM project activities as well as A/R CDM project activities, as contained in [annex 3](#) and [annex 4](#) to this report. The revision is to clarify that if technical clarifications to a preliminary recommendation by the panel are not submitted within 3 months by the project participants, the case shall be considered as withdrawn.

25. The Board revised the "Procedure for the submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies by designated operational entities to the Meth Panel" to clarify that project participants too can submit a request for clarification via the DOEs, as contained in [annex 5](#) to this report.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (d): Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

#### *Case specific*

26. In accordance with request of the CMP at its third session through its decision 2/CMP.3, the Board approved the revised simplified methodologies "AMS I.E Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal application by the user" and "AMS II.G Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass", also for application to programme of activities (POA), as contained in [annex 6](#) and [annex 7](#) to this report. The approved methodologies include the CMP requested changes; (i) to ensure that the application of these methodologies introduces new end user technologies or improves existing end user technologies and (ii) the requirement to measure the baseline energy efficiency or base it on referenced literature values.

27. The Board revised the "Procedure for the submission and consideration of a proposed new small scale methodology" as contained in [annex 8](#) to this report to clarify that in cases where the Small Scale Working Group has requested further clarifications from the project participants on a submission of a new small scale methodology, if there is no response within the timeframe of three (3) months, the case will be considered as withdrawn.

**Agenda sub-item 3 (f): Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

28. The Board took note that 909 CDM project activities have been registered by 1 February 2008. The status of requests for registration of project activities can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website at <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/index.html>.

*Case specific*

29. In accordance with the procedures for review as referred to in paragraph 41 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the Board considered a request for review of 36 (thirty-six) requests for registration by DOEs.

30. The Board agreed to register the project activity;

(a) "Sandaowan Hydropower Project in Gansu Province, P.R. China" (1264), taking note of the initial comments provided by the project participant and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) in response to the request for review;

(b) "San José del Tambo Hydroelectric Project" (1298), taking note of the initial comments provided by the project participant and the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) in response to the request for review;

(c) "Greenfield power project at Dwarikesh Dham" (1339), taking note of the initial comments provided by the project participant and the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) in response to the request for review;

(d) "7.2 MW Wind Project at Chitradurga, Karnataka" (1341), taking note of the initial comments provided by the project participant and the DOE (TÜV NORD) in response to the request for review.

31. The Board agreed to register, as corrected, the project activity

(a) "Tambun LPG Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization Project" (1144) if the revised PDD, including supporting annexes, and revised validation report submitted by the project participant and DOE (LRQA) in response to the request for review is displayed on the UNFCCC CDM website;

(b) "Quezon City Controlled Disposal Facility Biogas Emission Reduction Project" (1258) if the revised PDD and validation report submitted by the PP and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) in response to the request for review are displayed in the UNFCCC CDM website;

(c) "Baxianyuan 27 MW Hydropower Project" (1321) if the revised PDD, including supporting annexes, and revised validation report submitted by the project participant and DOE (BVC) in response to the request for review is displayed on the UNFCCC CDM website;

(d) "Yichang Yihua Waste Heat Recovery and Utilization project" (1340) if the revised PDD and corresponding validation report submitted by the project participant and DOE (TÜV-SÜD) in response to the request for review are displayed in the UNFCCC CDM website;

(e) "China Guanmenyan Hydropower Project" (1365) if the revised validation report submitted by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) in response to the request for review is displayed in the UNFCCC website.



32. The Board agreed to register with corrections the project activities:

(a) "Changshu Haike HFC 23 Decomposition Project" (1105) if the project participant and DOE (JQA) submit a further revised PDD and a corresponding validation report which provides details in the monitoring plan on how the uncertainties identified in the monitoring of the amount and purity of HFC23 waste destroyed and of the energy used in the destruction process are addressed in a systematic manner;

(b) "Terrestre Ambiental Landfill Gás Project" (1133) if the project participant and DOE (DNV Certification AS) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised report which:

(i) apply version 3 of the additionality tool;

(ii) include the explanations submitted in response to the request for review regarding the investment details in English; and

(iii) include information on the flare efficiency and adjustment factor, in particular the validation report should assess the conservativeness of the adjustment factor calculations.

(c) "Enercon Wind Farms in Karnataka Bundled Project – 33 MW" (1299) if the project participant and DOE (SGS) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which:

(i) further substantiates and validates the appropriateness of the applied benchmark, in particular as this applied benchmark is a value used to determine the electricity tariff and therefore the Board requires confirmation of how the IRR of the project activity does not reach this benchmark value without the benefits of the CDM;

(ii) provides further evidence to support the accuracy of the assumptions regarding the plant load factor in the context of this project activity;

(iii) provides validated evidence of the prior consideration of the CDM for each specific component of the project activity.

(d) "155 MW Gas based combined cycle power project at Hazira" (1300) if the project participant and DOE (BVC) submit a revised PDD, with supporting annexes, and corresponding revised validation report which include the information submitted in response to the request for review;

(e) "Henan Zhengzhou Grid Connected Natural Gas Combined Cycle Power Plant" (1304) if the project participant and DOE (TÜV-Rheinland) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which include further substantiation of the exclusion of the importation of electricity from the Central China Power Grid;

(f) "Durango – EcoMethane Landfill Gas to Energy Project" (1307) if the DOE (SGS) undertakes a complete validation to determine the appropriateness of the application of an adjustment factor of zero. Such a validation should, as a minimum, be based on the physical evidence gathered during a site visit and by interviews with relevant independent local stakeholders. The DOE should then supply a revised validation report and, if necessary a revised PDD;



- (g) "Jiangsu Qingshi Cement Plant's Low Temperature Waste Heat Power Generation Project" (1309) if the project participant and the DOE (TÜV Rheinland) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which:
- (i) select the appropriate baseline in accordance with the methodology, i.e. for those alternatives, including the project activity, which cannot be eliminated due to prohibitive barriers an economic comparison should be conducted to determine the appropriate baseline; and
  - (ii) ensure that the input values used in this economic comparison are independently validated by the DOE.
- (h) "Hunan Dongping 72MW Hydropower Project" (1311) if the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submits a revised validation report which includes a full independent validation opinion of the input values used in the investment analysis and a validation opinion regarding how a 10% increase in electricity generation can be considered not a probable scenario;
- (i) "MEN-Tangerang 13.6MW Natural Gas Co-generation Project" (1313), if the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submits a revised validation which includes:
- (i) all the clarifications submitted in response to the request for review;
  - (ii) a more precise validation assessment regarding the applicability of the simple OM method, i.e. that low-cost/must run resources constitute less than 50% of the total grid generation; and
  - (iii) more precise validation assessments of the input values in the investment analysis, in particular the assumptions regarding annual increase in gas prices and the breakdown of the "other costs" in the investment analysis.
- (j) "Shanxi Coal Transport Market Co., Ltd. Yangquan Branch CMM Utilization Project" (1319) if the project participant and DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submit a revised PDD and a corresponding revised validation report which incorporate the additional explanation regarding the prior consideration of the CDM submitted in response to the request for review.
- (k) "Beijing Taiyanggong CCGT Trigeneration Project" (1320) if the project participant and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which include the information provided in response to the request for review regarding:
- (i) the investment, sensitivity, common practice and levelised cost analyses; and
  - (ii) the monitoring of natural gas and diesel
- (l) "Jorethang Loop Hydroelectric Project, India" (1326) if the project participant and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) submit a revised PDD and a corresponding revised validation report containing the responses to the request for review and further explanation regarding the assumption of supplying of free energy to the state;
- (m) "Xiaoshan Power Plant's NG Power Generation Project of Zhejiang Southeast Electric Power Co., Ltd" (1343) if the project participant and DOE (DNV Certification AS) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which include further substantiation of the exclusion of the importation of electricity from the Central China Power Grid;
- (n) "Zhejiang Provincial Energy Group Zhenhai Natural Gas Power Generation Co., Ltd.'s NG Power Generation Project" (1344) if the project participant and DOE (DNV Certification AS)



- submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which include further substantiation of the exclusion of the importation of electricity from the Central China Power Grid;
- (o) "China Changniping Hydropower Project" (1367) if the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submits a revised validation report which includes a full independent validation opinion of the input values used in the investment analysis;
- (p) "Power Generation (20MW) by utilizing Coke Oven Gas of China Coal and Coke Jiuxin Limited in Lingshi, Shanxi, P. R. China" (1390) if the project participant and DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which:
- (i) select the appropriate baseline in accordance with the methodology, i.e. for those alternatives, including the project activity, which cannot be eliminated due to prohibitive barriers an economic comparison should be conducted to determine the appropriate baseline; and
  - (ii) ensure that the input values used in this economic comparison are independently validated by the DOE.
33. After the submission of the specified documentation, the secretariat, in consultation with the Chair of the Board, will check the revised documentation before the activity is displayed as registered.
34. The Board agreed to undertake a review of the project activity:
- (a) "Someshwara small hydropower project (24.75 MW) in Karnataka, India" (1273), submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 9](#) to this report;
  - (b) "Fundão-Santa Clara Energetic Complex Project (FSCECP)" (1279), submitted for registration by the DOE (BVC), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 10](#) to this report;
  - (c) "Tradewinds Methane Extraction and Power Generation Project" (1285), submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 11](#) to this report;
  - (d) "Fuel switchover from higher carbon intensive fuels to Natural Gas (NG) at Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd (IFFCO) in Phulpur Village, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh by M/s Indian Farmers Fertiliser Cooperative Ltd (IFFCO)" (1289), submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 12](#) to this report;
  - (e) "Waste Heat Recovery project" at Saraikela, Kharsavan, Jharkhand by M/s Kohinoor Steel Private Limited" (1296), submitted for registration by the DOE (SGS), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 13](#) to this report;
  - (f) "Power generation from waste heat of submerged arc furnaces" (1324), submitted for registration by the DOE (TUEV-SUED), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 14](#) to this report;



- (g) "Fuel Free Electricity to Grid" (1331), submitted for registration by the DOE (BVC), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 15](#) to this report;
- (h) "Zhongzhou 16.5 MW Hydropower Project" (1333), submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 16](#) to this report;
- (i) "Reducing the Average Clinker Content in Cement at CEMEX Mexico Operations" (1356), submitted for registration by the DOE (SGS), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 17](#) to this report;
- (j) "5 MW renewable energy project for a grid system" at Rohru Tehsil, Shimla District in Himachal, India (1363), submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 18](#) to this report;
- (k) "Bundled Wind Power Project of JeJu Special Self-Governing Province in Korea" (1377), submitted for registration by the DOE (KFQ), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with validation requirements, as contained in [annex 19](#) to this report.
35. The Board agreed on the nomination of the members of the review teams for the above. The review teams may call on outside expertise in consultation with the Chair of the Board, as appropriate.
36. In accordance with the procedures for review as referred to in paragraph 41 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the Board considered the recommendations of the review teams for the ten (10) project activities which were placed "Under review" at the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board.
37. In accordance with paragraphs 17 and 18 (a) of the procedures mentioned in paragraph 36, the Board agreed to register the project activities:
- (a) "6.0 MW Biomass based cogeneration power plant of Rama Paper Mills Limited, Kiratpur, Uttar Pradesh" (1181) taking into consideration the responses provided by the project participant and DOE (SGS);
- (b) "Indo Gulf Fertilisers (A Unit of Aditya Birla Group), Jagdishpur" (1272) taking into consideration the responses provided by the project participant and DOE (TÜV SÜD).
38. In accordance with paragraphs 17 and 18 (b) of the procedures mentioned in paragraph 36, the Board agreed to register, subject to satisfactory corrections, the project activities:
- (a) "Fuel oil to animal tallow switching at Companhia de Fiação e Tecidos Santo Antônio" (1117) if the DOE (TÜV NORD) and the project participant submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which include:
- (i) The comparison of the relative costs of fuel oil and tallow; and
- (ii) The annual assessment of the surplus availability of biomass in the region.
- (b) "Rio Grande do Sul Cooperatives Small Hydro Power Plants" (1235) if the DOE (SGS) and the project participant submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report in which:
- (i) Validated evidence is provided to confirm that the CDM was seriously considered prior to the start date of the project activity; and



- (ii) The low financial returns of the project activity are substantiated by means of a validated investment analysis, as the barriers have not been sufficiently substantiated.
  - (c) “Nava Bharat RE Bagasse Project” if the DOE (1288) if the DOE (SGS) and the project participant submit a revised PDD and corresponding revised validation report which:
    - (i) Clearly identify and validate the proposed benchmark; and
    - (ii) Account for leakage in accordance with the approved methodology.
39. In accordance with paragraphs 17 and 18 (c) of the procedures mentioned in paragraph 36, the Board could not register the following project activities:
- (a) “Demand side energy efficiency project at IPCL-Vadodara Complex” (0929) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVC) because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate that the applied methodology would be sufficient to allow adequate monitoring of the impacts of this project activity on the baseline scenario and therefore this particular methodology could not be considered to be applicable to the project activity;
  - (b) “DSM-Asmoli Bagasse Cogeneration Project” (1148) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVC) because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate that the biomass residues would in the absence of the project activity be used for heat generation in boilers at the project site and therefore scenario 12 of the approved methodology has not been demonstrated to be applicable;
  - (c) “BHL Palia Kalan Project” (1184) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS) because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate, either by means of the barriers listed in the PDD or the investment analysis supplied during the review process, that the project activity is additional;
  - (d) “DSM-Dhampur Bagasse Cogeneration Project” (1215) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVC) because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate that the biomass residues would in the absence of the project activity be used for heat generation in boilers at the project site, and therefore it has not been demonstrated that scenario 12 of the applied methodology is applicable to the project activity;
  - (e) “Effective utilization of waste heat by installing vacuum pre-concentrator in urea section at Shree Chhatrapati Shahu RE Project” (1297), submitted for registration by the DOE (SGS), because the DOE and project participant failed to substantiate the additionality of the project activity, in particular as the suitability of the applied benchmark has not been substantiated and or the adequacy of the completeness of the evidence to support the claim that CDM was needed in securing financing for the project is not credible.
40. In accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18 (b) of the above-mentioned procedures the Board considered fifteen (15) project activities for which corrections had been submitted in response to the outcome of a previous review.
41. The Board agreed to register, as corrected, the project activities:
- (a) “Ramgarh Chini Mills RE project” (1003) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS);
  - (b) 5MW wind power project in Maharashtra by Essel Mining Industries Limited (1115) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS);



- (c) Priyata Intercontinental Wind Power Project, India. (1142) submitted for registration by the DOE (SGS);
  - (d) AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-19, Goias, Brazil (1154) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (e) AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-20, Minas Gerais, Brazil (1157) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (f) AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-21, Goias, Brazil (1158) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (g) AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-24, Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil (1159) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (h) "AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-25, Minas Gerais, Brazil (1160) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (i) "AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-26, Minas Gerais, Brazil (1161) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (j) "AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-27, Goias, Brazil (1162) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (k) "AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-28, Santa Catarina, Brazil (1163) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (l) "AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-29, Sao Paulo, Brazil (1164) submitted for registration by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD);
  - (m) "9.8 MW Renewable Energy Generation for the grid at South Asian Agro Industries Limited in Raipur District, Chattisgarh (1175) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS).
42. The Board could not register the project activities:
- (a) "19.27 MW Grid connected wind electricity generation project by KPR Mills in Tamil Nadu" (1042) submitted for registration by the DOE (BVC), because the corrections submitted by the DOE and project participant have failed to demonstrate that additionality of the project activity, in particular as the corrections submitted do not confirm the appropriate benchmark for the first investment decision and do not assess the likelihood of an increase of generation output and its impact on the financial viability of the project activity;
  - (b) "10 MW Somasila Hydro Power Project for a grid system by Balaji Energy Pvt.Ltd." (1201) submitted for registration by the DOE (DNV Certification AS), because the corrections submitted by the DOE and project participant have failed to demonstrate the additionality of the project activity, in particular as the period of assessment in the investment analysis does not give adequate consideration to the costs and benefits attributable to the project activity.
43. The Board considered one (1) request for deviation from an approved methodology related to a project activity undergoing validation, agreed to answer it, and requested the secretariat to inform the DOE accordingly.



### *General guidance*

44. The Board, in order to implement the request by CMP3 to abolish the payment of the registration fee and share of proceeds at issuance for CDM project activities hosted in least developed countries, agreed to revise the "Additional guidance related to registration fee for proposed clean development mechanism project activities" as contained in [annex 20](#) to this report.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (g): Matters relating to the issuance of CERs and the CDM registry**

45. The Board took note that 114,289,167 CERs have been issued as at 1 February 2008, and that the secretariat, in its capacity as the CDM registry administrator, continues to process requests for opening of holding accounts and for forwarding of CERs. The status of requests for issuance of CERs can be viewed on the UNFCCC CDM website.

### *Case specific issues*

46. In accordance with the procedures for review as referred to in paragraph 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the Board considered a request for review of fourteen (14) requests for issuance.

47. In accordance with paragraph 10 of these procedures the Board agreed to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue 9,540 CERs for "Fuel oil to natural gas switching at Votorantim Cimentos Cubatão" (0755), taking note of the initial comments from the DOE (TÜV NORD) and project participant in response to the request for review.

48. In accordance with paragraph 10 of these procedures, the Board agreed, subject to a check by the secretariat of the revised documentation and in consultation with the Chair of the Board, to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue CERs for:

- (a) "18 MW Biomass Power Project in Tamilnadu, India" (0111), if the PP and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which incorporate:
  - (i) Monitored efficiency of the boiler, the average calorific values of coal and bagasse, and the monitored values of methane in stack gas submitted in response to the request for review;
  - (ii) Clarification on the difference between the capacity of the boiler in the PDD and the actual capacity of the boiler; and
  - (iii) Clarification on the monitoring of the auxiliary electricity consumption and the electricity transmission losses which were not in the monitoring plan submitted in response to the request for review.
- (b) "Rang Dong Oil Field Associated Gas Recovery and Utilization Project" (0152), if the PP and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which include the clarifications submitted in response to the request for review regarding:
  - (i) Fluctuation in the monthly gas composition data at the Rang Dong oil field; and
  - (ii) Gas composition of recovered gas at Point A from October to December 2003.



(c) "Jepirachi Wind Power Project" (0194), if the PP and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which include:

- (i) Additional information regarding the main and back up meters submitted in response to the request for review; and
- (ii) Clarification on the starting date of the electricity generation provided in the response to request for review and the confirmation that the electricity generated between 18 and 30 January 2004 is not included in the calculation of CERs.

(d) "Biomass Energy Plant-Lumut" (0249), if the PP and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which include the information on the meter reading resolution submitted in response to the request for review;

(e) "8MW Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project at OCL" (0367), If the PP and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which incorporate the clarification on the net calorific value and the oxidation factor of diesel fuel submitted in response to the request for review;

The Board further noted that, prior to the next request for issuance, a revision of the monitoring plan should be submitted that includes the monitoring of net calorific value of other fuels used by the project activity in accordance with the methodology ACM0004 version 01;

(f) "Aguascalientes – EcoMethane Landfill Gas to Energy Project" (0425), if the PP and the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which include:

- (i) Clarification on how the electricity consumption during the period 1-17 October 2006 was estimated to calculate the electricity generation for the period of 18-31 October 2006 in this monitoring period, taking into account the electricity generation during the period 1-17 October 2006 verified by the DOE in the previous verification; and
- (ii) Corrections to the description of the methane content measurement and calculation of the values of methane destroyed by flaring submitted in response to the request for review.

The Board further noted that the DOE should submit a new request for issuance which corresponds to the corrected certified emission reductions.

(g) "AWMS GHG Mitigation Project BR05-B-12, Mato Grosso, Mato Grosso do Sul, Minas Gerais, and Sao Paulo, Brazil" (0472), if the PP submits a revised monitoring report that incorporates the information on biogas flow extracted by digester, percentage of biogas that is methane, flare efficiency and the correction of a typographical error in the monitoring report submitted in response to the request for review, and the DOE (DNV Certification AS) submits a corresponding revised verification report and submit a new request for issuance which corresponds to the correct CERs calculation.

The Board further noted that, prior to the next request for issuance, a revision of the monitoring plan should be submitted that includes the monitoring of all parameters in accordance with the methodology AM0016 version 03 applied by the project activity;



- (h) "Maguan Daliangzi Hydro Power Project" (0791), if the PP and the DOE (TÜV-SÜD) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report that incorporate the recalculation of the emission reduction subtracting the emission reduction claimed from the electricity supply to the Laqi construction site and submit a new request for issuance which corresponds to the corrected certified emission reductions;
- (i) "GHG emission reduction by thermal oxidation of HFC 23 at Navin Fluorine International Limited (NFIL), Surat, Gujarat, India" (0838), if the PP and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised monitoring report which include monthly data of the monitoring parameters as verified by the DOE in accordance with AM0001 version4, and a corresponding revised verification report;
- (j) "Xinjiang Dabancheng Sanchang First Phase Wind Farm Project" (0894), if the PP and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report that incorporate the information on how the electricity generation between 15-27 April 2007 has been determined in accordance with ACM0002 version 06 submitted in response to the request for review;
- (k) "SESL 6 MW Municipal Solid Waste Based Power Project at Vijayawada & Guntur, Andhra Pradesh" (0959), if the PP and the DOE (SGS) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which include:
- (i) Clarification regarding the transport of other biomass and transport of waste in 2006 provided in response to the request for review;
  - (ii) Justification on the selection of the methane correction factor used in the monitoring report and its applicability to the corresponding waste disposal site; and
  - (iii) Additional information to demonstrate that the amount of waste combusted in the project activity facilities would have been disposed in a solid waste disposal site without methane recovery in the absence of the project activity provided in response to the request for review.
49. In accordance with the provisions of paragraph 10 of these procedures, referred in paragraph 46 , the Board agreed to undertake a review of the request for issuance of CERs and to appoint members of the review team for:
- (a) "CAMIL Itaqui Biomass Electricity Generation Project" (0231), submitted by the DOE (TÜV-SÜD), and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with verification requirements, as contained in [annex 21](#) to this report;
  - (b) "15.4 MW wind farm at Satara District, Maharashtra" (0593), submitted by the DOE (DNV Certification AS) and that the scope of this review is relating to issues associated with verification requirements, as contained in [annex 22](#) to this report.
50. The Board agreed on the nomination of the members of the review teams for the above. The review teams may call on outside expertise in consultation with the Chair of the Board, as appropriate.
50. With regard to the remaining project activity "Bagasse Based cogeneration power project of Rana Sugars Limited, Amritsar District, Punjab"(0355), which was requested for review for this meeting, the project participant has communicated to the Board its intention to withdraw the request of issuance.
51. In accordance with the procedures for review as referred to in paragraph 65 of the CDM modalities and procedures, the Board considered the recommendation of the review team for one project



activity which was placed “Under review” at the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board.

52. The Board agreed to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue CERs, subject to satisfactory corrections, for “Optimum utilisation of clinker by PPC production at Binani Cement Limited, Rajasthan” (0361) for the monitoring period 1 April 2006 - 31 March 2007 if the project participant and the DOE (TUEV-SUED) submit a revised monitoring report and a corresponding revised verification report which incorporate the clarifications submitted in response to the review.

53. In accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18 (b) of the above-mentioned procedures, the Board agreed to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue CERs, considering that the corrections requested by the Board at its thirty-sixth meeting following a review had been made, for:

- (a) “HFC Decomposition Project in Ulsan” (0003) submitted by the DOE (DNV Certification AS);
- (b) “Cerradinho Bagasse Cogeneration Project (CBCP)” (0203) submitted by the DOE (DNV Certification AS);
- (c) “Deoband Bagasse based Co-generation Power Project” (0578) submitted by the DOE (SGS).

54. The Board considered 3 (three) requests for deviation related to monitoring reports undergoing verification, agreed to answer them and requested the secretariat to inform the DOEs accordingly.

### **Agenda sub-item 3 (g): Modalities for collaboration with the Subsidiary Bodies**

55. The Board took note of the oral report of the secretariat on the developments during the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) at its twenty-seventh session on the agenda item related to “Implications of possible changes to the limit for small-scale afforestation and reforestation clean development mechanism project activities”. The SBSTA considered the issue and recommended a revision to the limit for small-scale A/R project activities to the CMP, who revised the limit for small-scale A/R project activities under the CDM from 8 to 16 kilotonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> per year as contained in decision 9/CMP.3.

56. The Board took note of the oral report of secretariat on the developments during the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) at its twenty seventh session on the agenda item related to “Implications of the establishment of new hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 (HCFC-22) facilities seeking to obtain certified emission reductions for the destruction of hydrofluorocarbon-23 (HFC-23)”. The SBSTA took note of the submissions made as per its twenty-sixth meeting conclusion, but could not conclude its discussions. It therefore agreed to continue its deliberation on the issue at its twenty-eighth session.

57. The Board took note of the oral report of the secretariat on the developments during the agenda item at the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) at its twenty-seventh session on the agenda item related to “carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as clean development mechanism project activities”. The SBSTA noted that the CMP by its decision 1/CMP.2, requested the Board to continue to consider proposals for new methodologies, including project design documents for CCS in geological formations as CDM project activities and that the approval of such methodologies for use for CDM project activities by the Board can occur only after further guidance from the CMP. The SBSTA also took note of and considered the submissions from Parties and accredited non-governmental organizations and agreed to request the secretariat to prepare a synthesis report on these, highlighting the technical, methodological, legal and policy issues therein, for consideration at its twenty-eighth session. The SBSTA also invited Parties, intergovernmental organizations and accredited



non-governmental organizations to submit their views on, and including but not limited to, technological, methodological, legal, policy and financial issues additional to those referred to in decision 1/CMP.2, and in particular reflecting the informal discussions that took place during the twenty-seventh session of the SBSTA, highlighting the particular concerns of Parties and further requested the secretariat to prepare a synthesis report based on these submissions. This synthesis report, along with the synthesis report referred to above, shall be considered by the SBSTA at its twenty-ninth session.

58. The Board took note of the oral report of Mr. Rajesh Kumar Sethi on the developments during the agenda item 13 at the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) relating to the "Report of the administrator of the international transaction log under the Kyoto Protocol". The third annual report of the administrator of the ITL provides information on the status of implementation of the ITL and the cooperation with registry system administrators. This annual report covers the reporting period from 27 October 2006 to 20 November 2007. The ITL administrator awarded a contract in 2006 to two external service providers to implement the ITL and conduct its day-to-day operation through the first commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol. This work resulted in registries commencing operations with the ITL in November 2007. Three registries (Japan, New Zealand and Switzerland) and the CDM Registry have successfully connected with the ITL, and CERs were successfully forwarded to accounts of project participants in those national registries.

59. The Board took note of the oral report of the secretariat on the developments during the agenda item at the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI) relating to "Privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies established under the Kyoto Protocol": At CMP 3 in Bali, the CMP noted the Executive Secretary's report on actions taken in accordance with decision 9/CMP.2 to address/minimize claims, disputes or complaints against members of constituted bodies and the need for an effective, legally sound and long-term solution to the problem of privileges and immunities (P&I) and agreed to consider P&I further at the fourth session of the CMP under the item on the second review of Article 9 of the Kyoto Protocol.

#### **Agenda item 4. CDM management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

##### ***CDM-MAP***

60. In accordance with decision 1/CMP.2 relating to the Management plan (CDM-MAP), the Board approved the proposed version of the CDM-MAP to cover CDM activities in 2008 which included the needs identified by the Board at its last meeting including strengthening the management capacity of the secretariat and addressing the requests identified by the CMP. The Board agreed to the CDM-MAP for 2008 (version 01), as contained in [annex 23](#). The Board noted that the secretariat may wish to re-assess the allocation of management capacity between accreditation, quality and information system in light of operating experience. The Board encouraged the Secretariat to continue its good record in ensuring geographical and gender balance among professional staff.

61. In response to the encouragement by the CMP in relation to enhancing the executive and supervisory role of Board members (para 9 a, 2/CMP.3) and in relation with the adopted CDM MAP 2008 (version 01), the Board requested the secretariat to explore options to operationalize the implementation including the cost estimates for consideration by the Board at its next meeting with the view to discuss and consider a revision of the CDM MAP 2008 to address these needs.



### *Resources*

62. The Board took note of information provided by the secretariat on the status of resources received as reflected in tables 1-4 of [annex 24](#). It was noted that since the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, the income generated by registration fees and share of proceeds has reached a total of USD 41,97 million. As the operational reserve is set at USD 30 million, the remainder USD 10.74 million is currently used to finance the activities of the CDM in 2008. Germany, Canada and Sweden contributed to the support of the work of the SDM in December 2007. In December 2007, Sweden also contributed towards the DNA forum to be held in Chile in 2008. These contributions have been received with thanks.

### **Agenda item 5. Other matters**

63. The Board welcomed the presentation of the draft outline of the study on the potential use of microfinancing in support of CDM projects in LDC countries to be undertaken by the Danish Government and requested the secretariat to update the Board on the progress of this work at its future meetings.

#### **Agenda sub-item 5 (a): Guidance by CMP**

64. The Board took note of the decision 2/CMP.3 "Further guidance to the clean development mechanism". It considered a table prepared by the secretariat which structures the guidance, mandates, tasks, actors and indicated initial timelines. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare for each item a timetable with key milestones and actors. It agreed to maintain this table, as practiced in the previous year, to monitor progress of its work.

#### **Agenda sub-item 5 (b): Relations with Designated National Authorities**

65. The Board took note of the status of planning and preparation for the three DNA Forum meetings in 2008. The dates for the meetings are mid-April 2008 (in conjunction with the annual Joint Coordination Workshop), in the week of 20 October 2008 and prior to the fourth session of the CMP.

66. The Board further took note with appreciation of the invitation of the Government of Chile to host a meeting of the DNA Forum and a Board meeting in Santiago de Chile in October 2008. The meeting of the DNA Forum is to be held in conjunction with the meeting of the Board. The Board further took note that the secretariat started preparing the formal, legal and logistical arrangements for these two meetings.

#### **Agenda sub-item 5 (c): Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

67. The Chair of the DOE/AE Coordination Forum elaborated the input received from entities for the consideration of the Board, and sought guidance from the Board on the following:

- Definition of start date of a CDM project activity in respect to the assessment of additionality;
- Clarity and consistency of approach when applying investment/benchmark analysis; and
- For cases seeking registration as CDM project activities who have already commenced operation for some time.

Additionally, the forum also identified that under current conditions the CDM project participants face no risk in presenting misleading information or hiding required information from the DOE/AE.

68. The Board members responded to some of the questions raised by the Chair of the DOE/AE Forum and also requested the Forum to provide options and/or concrete measures to address their concerns on the submission of falsified information by the PPs. The Board also took note of the remaining issues and agreed to further consider these issues.



69. The Board members also thanked Mr. Flavio Gomez for his contributions, in his capacity of the Chair of the AE/DOE Coordination Forum and wished for the Forum's continual cooperation and interaction with the Board.

**Agenda sub-item 5 (d): Relationship with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations (registered accredited observers)**

70. The Board met with registered observers for an informal interaction on 31 January 2008 and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated. These meetings are available on webcast.

71. The Board further agreed to continue to meet with the same type of arrangement at its thirty-seventh meeting, with space being made available for 70 observers, and to reconsider the issue when necessary. Observers to the thirty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board shall have registered with the secretariat by **20 February 2008, no later than 17:00 GMT**. In order to ensure proper security and logistical arrangements, the Board emphasized that this deadline will be strictly enforced by the secretariat.

**Agenda sub-item 5 (e): Other business**

72. The Board took note of its calendar of meetings for 2008, which is contained in annex 25 to this report.

73. The Board agreed on the provisional agenda for its thirty-eighth meeting (12-14 March 2008) as contained in annex 26 to this report, with an open session on the 13 to 14 March 2008. The meeting will be preceded by two days of Board consultations (10-11 March 2008).

74. The Board expressed its appreciation to Ms. Mong-Quyen Tran-Phuc of the secretariat, who will leave the CDM team at the end of February, for the outstanding support she provided to the Board.

**Agenda item 6. Conclusion of the meeting**

75. The Chair summarized the main conclusions. The Board thanked the secretariat for preparation and servicing the meeting.

**Agenda sub-item 6 (a): Summary of decisions**

76. Any decisions taken by the Board shall be made publicly available in accordance with paragraph 17 of the CDM modalities and procedures and with rule 31 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board.

**Agenda sub-item 6 (b): Closure**

77. The Chair closed the meeting.

-----

**Annexes to the report**

Annex 1 - General guidelines for panels/working groups (version 03)

**Methodologies**

Annex 2 - AM0065 "Replacement of SF6 with alternate cover gas in the magnesium industry" (version 01)



Annex 3 - Procedures for submission and consideration a proposed new methodologies (version 13)

Annex 4 - Procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new methodologies for Afforestation and Reforestation of project activities under the CDM (version 07)

Annex 5 - Procedure for the submission and consideration of queries regarding the application of approved methodologies by DOEs to the Meth Panel (version 05)

### **Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

Annex 6 - AMS I.E Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal application by the user (version 01)

Annex 7 - AMS II.G Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass (version 01)

Annex 8 - Procedures for the submission and consideration of a proposed new small scale methodology (version 02)

### **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

Annex 9 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1273

Annex 10 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1279

Annex 11 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1285

Annex 12 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1289

Annex 13 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1296

Annex 14 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1324

Annex 15 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1331

Annex 16 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1333

Annex 17 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1356

Annex 18 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1363

Annex 19 - Scope of review (registration) - Project 1377

Annex 20 - Additional guidance related to registration fee for proposed CDM project activities (version 02)

### **Matters relating to the issuance of CERs and the CDM registry**

Annex 21 - Scope of review (issuance) - Project 0231

Annex 22 - Scope of review (issuance) - Project 0593

### **Resources**

Annex 23- CDM-MAP 2008 (version 01)

Annex 24 - Status of resources and pledges to support 2008 CDM activities



**Other matters**

Annex 25 - Calendar of meeting for 2008

Annex 26 - Provisional agenda for EB38

-----