



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Thirty-fourth meeting

UNFCCC, Langen Eugen
Bonn, Germany
12 - 14 September 2007

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1 - Proposed procedures for clarifications of SSC methodologies

Annex 2 - Proposed procedures revisions of SSC methodologies

Annex 3 - Proposed procedures for submissions of proposed SSC methodologies

Annex 4 - Revised guidelines for completing the simplified project design document and appendixes

Annex 5 - Current status of income and expenditures



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
 - (d) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
 - (e) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.
2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirty-fourth meeting.

Background: The Board agreed, at its thirty-third meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirty-fourth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 22 August 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the twenty-first progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted on Monday, 27 August 2007, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Hernan Carlino, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its thirty-first meeting on 22 - 24 August 2007.

Case specific

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and will be sent under separate cover.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the report of the appeal panel established to assess the appeal submitted by a designated operational entity against the recommendation of the CDM-AP.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board considered an appeal submitted by a designated operational entity (DOE) against the recommendation of the CDM-AP. The Board, in accordance with the CDM accreditation procedure, decided to establish an appeal panel and requested it to prepare a report for the consideration of the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.

**General guidance**

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress of the work for the elaboration of CDM accreditation standards as a measure to address the issues relating to quality management systems of DOEs covering all essential areas of the CDM accreditation requirements.

Background: The Board considered a proposal by the CDM-AP on possible alternative measures to address the issue of differences in understanding by DOEs on accreditation requirements, in particular, regarding quality management systems requirements and use of technical resources from non-accredited premises. The Board agreed with the proposal of the CDM-AP to address this particular issue by elaborating the CDM accreditation requirements (standards) against which the DOEs are assessed for their accreditation, by producing a guidance document. The Board further acknowledged that such an elaboration of requirements and guidance is required in other key areas of the accreditation process. The Board requested the CDM-AP to develop such guidance covering all essential areas of the CDM accreditation requirements. The Board took note of some resource requirements for specialized expertise to accomplish this task in a timely manner.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress by the secretariat on steps undertaken for dissemination of information on DOEs and their accredited sectoral scopes, in particular, to stakeholders in non-Annex I Countries in printed form and views of CDM designated national authorities Forum about the information needs of the non-Annex I Countries relating to DOEs.

Background: At the thirty-third meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to explore possibilities for dissemination of information on DOEs and their accredited sectoral scopes, in particular, to stakeholders in non-Annex I Countries in printed form. The Board requested the secretariat to seek views of the CDM designated national authorities Forum about the information needs of the non-Annex I Countries relating to DOEs.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress on the development of specific policy framework to address non-compliance issues by DOEs in a systematic manner prepared by the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board considered the proposal submitted by the CDM-AP on appropriate actions for the DOEs not complying with the requirements and/or instructions of the Board. The Board reminded DOEs of their obligations to comply fully with the requirements and/or instructions of the Board, and that the Board will take action proportionate to the nature and frequency of any non-compliance. The Board further requested the CDM-AP to develop a specific policy framework to address non-compliance issues by DOEs in a systematic manner. This policy should provide the framework for assessing non-compliance by a DOE on the basis of the risk it may pose to the system as well as assurance of its capability to perform CDM validation and verification functions. The policy framework should also cover, inter alia, grading of non-compliance and non-conformities according to the risk and determination of consequences of each non-compliance and non-conformities.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised accreditation procedure, following the request of the Board to revise the appeals procedure of the accreditation procedure.

Background: The Board, at its thirty-third meeting, requested the secretariat to revise the appeals procedure section of the accreditation procedure.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress of the work undertaken to enhance interaction with AEs and DOEs, including a more sophisticated document based knowledge sharing system.

Background: The Board took note of the measures envisaged by the secretariat in facilitating interactions with AEs and DOEs, including holding teleconferences with the AEs/DOEs to share lessons and



provide clarifications on reports of the meetings of the Executive Board. The Board noted that such interactions shall be focussed on matters for which no established means of communication, such as requests for clarifications and deviations, exist. Noting the issue of non-participation by some DOE/AEs in such opportunities and its expectation of a more sophisticated document based knowledge sharing system being developed as soon as possible, the Board encouraged the secretariat to use such telephone interaction with DOEs as a stop-gap measure to provide for a two way communication opportunity to for example design a useful knowledge sharing system. The Board requested AEs/DOEs to ensure their participation in these interactions and information sharing opportunities.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the information provided by DOE/AE Forum to clarify their request on the phased verification approach for CDM project activities.

Background: At the thirty-third meeting, the Board considered the request of the CDM-AP on the need for further information from the AE/DOE Coordination Forum regarding their request on the phased verification approach for CDM project activities. The Board requested the AE/DOE Coordination Forum to submit further information to clarify their request in terms of application of such an approach in case of CDM project activities.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to appoint one additional methodological expert for the CDM-AP.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting agreed to strengthen the technical capacity of the CDM-AP by adding one additional methodological expert to the panel. The Board requested the secretariat to open a call for experts in order to appoint a new member with CDM methodological and technical expertise. The Board requested the secretariat to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting. The Board noted that the expert to be appointed shall, if possible, not come from the same region as the current members of the panel. The Board, however, encourages candidates from all regions to apply.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring

Case specific

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the draft “Consolidated methodology for new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology”

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, considered the draft “Consolidated methodology for new grid connected fossil fuel fired power plants using a less GHG intensive technology” which was proposed as NM0215 (Huaneng Yuhuan Ultra-supercritical Coal-fired Power Project) and NM0217 (North Karanpura greenfield supercritical coal-fired power project, India) proposed by the Meth Panel and agreed to continue considering the draft at its thirty-fourth meeting before making a final decision.

General guidance

15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide further guidance after considering the analysis of the implications of the options B, C, and D on emissions of GHGs and gases covered under the Montreal Protocol as prepared by the secretariat in a note to the Board.

Background: At its thirty-third meeting, the Board considered the approach(es) for accounting gases covered under the Montreal Protocol, which are also greenhouse gases, in project activities that use such gases as recommended by the Meth Panel. The Board requested the secretariat to undertake an analysis of the implications of the proposed options B, C, and D on emissions of GHGs and gases covered under the Montreal Protocol for the following scenarios: replacement of CFC chillers with HCFC-22 chillers in the project activity; replacement of CFC chillers with HFC chillers in the project activity; and replacement of



CFC chillers with CO2 chillers in the project activity. The Board requested the analysis to be presented at its thirty-fourth meeting. A note detailing the analysis by the secretariat is provided Board members via the list serve.

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to appoint one additional methodological expert, with experience in industry (metal, chemical and fossil fuel industry) and additional expertise in energy efficiency in these industries as a member of the Meth Panel, bringing the total to sixteen (16) members.

Background: The Board, at its last meeting, agreed to launch a public call for experts to increase the membership of the Meth Panel to sixteen members, by appointing one more member. The Board also agreed that the member should have experience of working in industry, which may include metal, chemical and fossil fuel industry, with additional expertise in energy efficiency in these industries.

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the oral progress report of the secretariat on the work related to energy efficiency.

Background: The Board at its thirty-second meeting considered an analysis of energy efficiency projects under the CDM and ways of facilitating registration of such activities under the CDM modalities and procedures and requested the secretariat to initiate the work. It requested the secretariat to take into account the work being undertaken by various bodies and groups on energy efficiency and provide the Board with a progress report on the work at each meeting.

18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the work being undertaken by the secretariat on approved methodologies in a note to the Board, as requested at its thirty-second meeting.

Background: At its thirty-second meeting, the Board considered an analysis by the secretariat on approved methodologies and proposed new methodology cases and requested the secretariat to undertake the following: (a) the identification of approved methodologies where the combined tool and additionality tool could be integrated. The Board requested the secretariat, in doing so, to maintain the flexibility of options to identify baseline scenario and demonstrating additionality, (b) the identification of possibilities of integration of existing approved methodological tools into approved methodologies, (c) the preparation of an analysis of consolidating approved methodologies: AM0002, AM0003, AM0010, AM0011 with ACM0001; AM0013 and AM0022; and AM0033 and AM0040, (c) submit its work to the Meth Panel for review prior to reporting its progress to the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting. A note on the work undertaken to date by the secretariat, which will be presented to the Meth Panel at its twenty-ninth is provided to the Board members via the list serve.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the note prepared by the secretariat on the feasibility and benefits of conducting the meetings of the Meth Panel and the SSC Working Group and possibly also the A/R Working Group simultaneously in one meeting location.

Background: At its last meeting, the Board requested the secretariat to prepare a note, for consideration at its thirty-fourth meeting, on the feasibility and benefits of conducting the meetings of the Meth Panel and the SSC Working Group and possibly also the A/R Working Group in simultaneously in other words jointly in one combined session in one meeting location, in order to facilitate addressing cross-cutting issues and consistency in recommendations.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to further clarify the requirement to republish the PDD where the project participants wish to change the version of approved methodology applied, due to the expiry of the version originally applied, after the PDD was available to public for comments.



Background: The Board at its twenty-fifth meeting (paragraph 93) clarified that in cases where during validation of a project activity the project participants wish to change the version of a methodology applied due to the expiry of the version originally applied after the PDD was available to the public for comments (note the PDD is to be made public as received from project participants), the DOE shall make publicly available, for 30 days, the CDM-PDD in accordance with guidance specified in the corresponding revised methodology. This was further clarified by the Board at its twenty seventh meeting report (paragraph 29), so that only those project activities that are listed on the revised approved methodology need to make the PDD public again. In view of the revision of the grace period from 8 weeks to 8 months for the application of revised methodologies decided by the Board at its thirty first meeting (paragraph 37), the Board may wish to further clarify that the specification in the corresponding revised methodology referred to in paragraph 29 is no longer required to be implemented and all project activities using the revised version the approved methodology shall make publicly available, for 30 days, the CDM-PDD.

(c) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities

Case specific

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to recommend the following simplified methodologies “for calculating emission reductions for small-scale project activities that propose the switch from non-renewable to renewable biomass” to the CMP at its third session as contained in annex 1 and annex 2 of the SSC WG11 report.

- (a) SSC I.E. Switch from Non-Renewable Biomass for Thermal Applications by the User
- (b) SSC II.G. Energy Efficiency Measures in Thermal Applications of Non-Renewable Biomass

The proposed methodologies take into account the request by the Board at its thirty-second meeting to provide further guidance on technical issues including leakage and differentiation of renewable and non-renewable biomass.

Background: At its thirty-second meeting the Board considered two draft simplified methodologies “for calculating emission reductions for small-scale project activities that propose the switch from non-renewable to renewable biomass” proposed by the SSC WG with a view to recommend to the CMP at its third session. The Board noted that the proposed methodologies took into account the public inputs received in response to a call for input by the Board and the inputs received at a side event during the session of the subsidiary bodies. On that basis the Board discussed issues related to leakage, differentiation between renewable and non-renewable biomass and consistency with paragraph 7 (a) of decision 17/CP.7 in the proposed methodologies. Following the discussion the Board requested the SSCWG to further consider technical issues including leakage and the differentiation of renewable and non-renewable biomass and report back to it at its thirty-fourth meeting, with a view to re-considering the issue of providing a recommendation to the CMP at its third meeting.

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to recommend the following procedures for small-scale CDM for clarifications, revisions and submissions of proposed SSC methodologies, as contained in annexes 1, 2 and 3 of these annotations and resultant revisions to the Guidelines for completing the simplified project design document in annex 4 with appendixes². The Board may further wish to recommend that these revised procedures, guidelines and forms come into effect on 1 November 2007.

² These appendices include the “Guidelines for completing the form for submission of bundled small-scale CDM project activities”, which has been now separated from the “Guidelines for completing the simplified project design, the revised form for Submissions on Small Scale Methodologies and Procedures” (F-CDM-SSC-Subm), a new form for “Proposed New Small Scale Methodologies” (F-CDM-SSC-NM), a new form for “Proposed New Small Scale Methodology -



Background: The Board at its thirty-second meeting noted that the procedures for small-scale CDM for clarification, revision and submission of proposed SSC methodologies are contained in the “Guidelines for completing the simplified project design document (CDM-SSC-PDD), the form for submissions on methodologies for small-scale CDM project activities (F-CDM-SSC-subm) and the form for submission of bundled small-scale CDM project activities (F-CDM-SSC-bundle)” and in the UNFCCC web pages. Furthermore it noted the gradual increase in the number of proposed new SSC methodologies, proposed revisions to approved SSC methodologies and requests for clarification, which may in some instances require additional review by experts. It therefore agreed to request the secretariat to provide separate draft procedure, based on the above guidelines and material, which shall include the possibility to invite a desk review for proposed new methodologies, for consideration by the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting.

(d) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 29 August 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 765 (seven hundred and sixty-five);
- (b) Request for registration: 73 (seventy-three);
- (c) Request for review: 46 (forty-six);
- (d) Corrections requested: 21 (twenty-one);
- (e) Under review: 14 (fourteen);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 26 (twenty-six);
- (g) Withdrawn: 5 (five).

Case specific

24. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 46 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0876	Partial substitution of fossil fuels with biomass in cement manufacture	?	?	?
0905	Jiangsu Qidong 91.5 MW Wind Power Project	?	?	?
0997	Power generation from waste heat at NSIL	?	?	?
1000	Hangyeong second phase SS-wind power Project	?	?	?
1003	Ramgarh Chini Mills RE project	?	?	?
1034	Inversiones Hondurenas Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1035	Compañía Azucarera Hondureña S.A. cogeneration project	?	?	?
1043	Chumbagua Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1044	Ingenio Magdalena S.A. cogeneration project	?	?	?

public comment form” (F-CDM-SSC-NMpu) and a new form “Proposed New Small Scale Methodology expert form” (F-CDM-SSC_NMex).



<i>RefNo.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
1056	La Grecia Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1065	Cargill Uberlândia Biomass Residues Fuel Switch Project	?	?	?
1074	Yunnan Yingjiang Nandihe Hydro Power Project	?	?	?
1075	Guangzhou Xingfeng Landfill Gas Recovery and Electricity Generation CDM Project	?	?	?
1076	SRBSL – Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project	?	?	?
1077	Montecristo Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
1084	Optimal utilization of clinker by increasing the additives in cement production at Holcim Lanka Ltd (HLL), Sri Lanka	?	?	?
1085	Emission reduction through partial substitution of fossil fuel with alternative fuels like agricultural byproducts & Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) in the manufacturing of portland cement at Vikram Cement (VC), Neemuch (MP), India	?	?	?
1086	BHL Bilai Project	?	?	?
1089	GEEA Biomass 5 MW Power Plant Project	?	?	?
1091	Bandar Baru Seriting Biomass Project	?	?	?
1092	GEEA-SBS Biomass Treatment Project in Alegrete, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	?	?	?
1103	Manasi River Stage I Hydropower Project of Hongshanzui Hydropower Plant, Xinjiang Tianfu Thermolectric Co., Ltd.	?	?	?
1104	Ancon – EcoMethane Landfill Gas Project	?	?	?
1109	M/S. Kothari Sugars and Chemicals Ltd (KSCL)'s Bagasse Based Co-generation Project, at Perambalur district, Tamil Nadu, India	?	?	?
1112	SSML – Simbhaoli Biomass Power Project	?	?	?
1114	4MW Waste Heat Recovery based power project by GRSPL, India	?	?	?
1115	75MW wind power project in Maharashtra by Essel Mining Industries Limited	?	?	?
1119	Project for the catalytic reduction of N2O emissions with a secondary catalyst inside the ammonia oxidation reactors of the NAN1 and NAN2 nitric acid plants at Abonos Colombianos SA (“Abocol”), Colombia	?	?	?
1120	Jiaozishan Landfill Gas Recovery and Utilisation Project	?	?	?
1123	Ciudad Juarez Landfill Gas to Energy Project	?	?	?
1130	Rice husk based cogeneration plant (5 MW) at Shibzada Ajit Singh Nagar District, Punjab by M/s Nahar Industrial Enterprises limited	?	?	?
1135	Jiangxi Fengcheng Mining Administration CMM Utilization Project	?	?	?
1137	7.25 MW wind energy project of Aruppukottai Sri Jayavilas Ltd, Tamilnadu, India	?	?	?
1140	MSPPL WHR based power project at Chattisgarh, India	?	?	?
1142	Priyata Intercontinental Wind Power Project, India	?	?	?
1149	10MW Waste Heat Recovery based Captive Power Project at Vikash Metal and Power Limited	?	?	?
1154	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-19, Goias, Brazil	?	?	?
1157	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-20, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?
1158	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-21, Goias, Brazil	?	?	?
1159	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-24, Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil	?	?	?
1160	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-25, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?



Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
1161	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-26, Minas Gerais, Brazil	?	?	?
1162	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-27, Goias, Brazil	?	?	?
1163	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-28, Santa Catarina, Brazil	?	?	?
1164	AWMS Methane Recovery Project BR06-S-29, Sao Paulo, Brazil	?	?	?
1217	Renewable biomass residue based steam generation at Arvind Mills, Santej	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

25. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the fourteen (14) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-third meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB33

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
0988	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD7	?	?	?
1014	Kunak Bio Energy Project	?	?	?
1022	Fuel switch at BSM sugar mills	?	?	?
1023	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD3	?	?	?
1024	Phu Khieo Bio-Energy Cogeneration project (PKBC)	?	?	?
1030	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD2	?	?	?
1033	Central Izalco Cogeneration Project	?	?	?
1041	Eliane Natural Gas fuel switch project	?	?	?
1042	19.27 MW Grid connected wind electricity generation project by KPR Mills in Tamil Nadu	?	?	?
1050	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD4	?	?	?
1055	Pão de Açúcar – Demand side electricity management – PDD1	?	?	?
1057	Pao de Acucar - Demand Side electricity management - PDD6	?	?	?
1058	Pao de Acucar - Demand side electricity management - PDD8	?	?	?
1060	Pao De Acucar- Demand side electricity management -PDD5	?	?	?

Background: At its thirty-third meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activities (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activities (case C in table 2). At its thirty-third meeting the Board also decided to place the project activity “BCML Haidergarh Bagasse Co-generation Project (India)” (1069) under review. The project participants have subsequently decided to withdraw the proposed CDM project activity.



26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 3.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>
0785	Chilatán Hydroelectric Project	?	?

Background: At its thirty-first meeting the Board considered the outcome of the review of request for registration of the project activity listed in table 3 above, and decided that the project could be registered following corrections. The DOE have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the project shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the request is rejected (case B in table 3).

General guidance

27. ► **Action:** The Board may take note that in light of the heavy workload for this meeting and considering that the next meeting will be only in four weeks time, the Chair of the Board agreed that the secretariat prepares the draft revision of the current “Procedures for requests for deviation” for consideration by the Board at its thirty-fifth meeting.

Background: The Board, at its thirty-third meeting, agreed to request the secretariat to assess the current “Procedures for requests for deviation”, with a view to make a proposal to revise such procedures for consideration by the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting in order to enhance their efficiency in terms of consideration and response.

(e) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock of the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 29 August 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 335 issuances, aggregate 76,046,435 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 9 requests, 3,645,935 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 19 requests, 2,959,349 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 1 request

Case specific

29. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the 19 requests for issuance listed in table 4.

Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref. no.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0031	Methane capture and combustion from swine manure	45710	?	?	?



<i>Ref. no.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
	treatment for Corneche and Los Guindos				
0032	Methane capture and combustion from swine manure treatment for Peralillo	39551	?	?	?
0033	Methane capture and combustion from swine manure treatment for Pocillas and La Estrella	150537	?	?	?
0045	Cuyamapa Hydroelectric Project	13596	?	?	?
0089	Vaturu and Wainikasou Hydro Projects	18176	?	?	?
0099	N2O Emission Reduction in Onsan, Republic of Korea	1425890	?	?	?
0112	Nagda Hills Wind Energy Project (India)	10986	?	?	?
0124	Methane Extraction and Fuel Conservation Project at Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Paper Limited (TNPL), Kagathipuram, Karur District, Tamil Nadu	33435	?	?	?
0187	Jalles Machado Bagasse Cogeneration Project (JMBCP)	11692	?	?	?
0268	Lages Methane Avoidance Project	274958	?	?	?
0288	Sahabat Empty Fruit Bunch Biomass Project	13552	?	?	?
0395	LDEO Biomass Steam and Power Plant in Malaysia	15579	?	?	?
0402	SEO Biomass Steam and Power Plant in Malaysia	20966	?	?	?
0432	VGL - Waste Heat based 4 MW Captive Power Project at Raipur	31541	?	?	?
0458	Advanced swine manure treatment in Maitenlahue and La Manga	228648	?	?	?
0490	Catalytic N2O destruction project in the tail gas of the Nitric Acid Plant of Abu Qir Fertilizer Co.	427144	?	?	?
0575	Água Doce Wind Power Generation Project	5231	?	?	?
0858	Grasim Cement: Energy efficiency by up-gradation of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing	36070	?	?	?
1037	Aços Villares Natural gas fuel switch project	156087	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

30. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the project activity listed in table 5, which the Board placed under review at its thirty-third meeting.

Table 5: Project which was placed “Under review” at EB33

<i>RefNo.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>No. of CERs</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
0209	Central Energética do Rio Pardo Cogeneration Project (CERPA)	19379	?	?	?

Background: At its thirty-third meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by the review teams and a recommendation has



been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to approve the proposed issuance of CERs (case A in Table 5), to request the DOE to make corrections based on the findings from the review before approving the issuance of CERs (case B in Table 5), or to decline to approve the proposed issuance of CERs. (case C in Table 5).

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to requests for deviation submitted by DOEs prior to issuance.

Background: Four (4) requests for deviation have been submitted by a DOE to the Board since its thirty-third meeting for consideration by the Board at its thirty-fourth meeting. In addition, one (1) request for deviation requiring further clarification from the DOE was deferred from EB33 to EB34.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) take note that the due to the lack of financial resources generated from supplementary contributions financing form fee based income is expected to initiated earlier than expected, (c) indicate its appreciation to Parties which have generously contributed resources for the work on the CDM; (d) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The current status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 5 of these annotations.

5. Other matters

(a) Regional distribution

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider recommendations to CMP on the issue of regional distribution which would be reflected in its report to CMP at its third session.

Background: The Board at its thirty-third meeting, took note of the paper prepared by the secretariat containing an analysis of how the barriers identified by the Board in its recommendations to CMP.2 could be addressed as well as an analysis of type of projects and methodologies that could be more suitable for regions with limited participation in the CDM, particularly in Africa, SIDS and LDCs, agreed to reflect further on the paper and to consider the issue at its thirty-fourth meeting with a view to formulate recommendations which would be reflected in its report to CMP at its third session.

(b) Relations with Designated National Authorities

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note progress regarding the preparation of the third meeting of the CDM DNA Forum.

Background: The CMP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of CMP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(c) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.



Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirty-fourth meeting.

(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 14 September 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its last meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(e) Other business

37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its thirty-first meeting, the Board revised its procedures for public communication, as contained in annex 37 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The following communications have been sent to the Board prior to its thirty-fourth meeting:

(a) Letter from Mr. A.K. Sachdeva, Executive Director, NHPC Ltd., Faribad, India received on 5 July 2007 regarding suggestions for improvement of CDM Process specifically for Hydro Power Industry.

(b) Letter from Mr. Eduardo García Molina, Gamesa Energia S.A. received on 10 July 2007 regarding change of Focal Point and change of design of Bii Nee Stipa project.

(c) Letter from Ms. Francesca Maria Cerchia, Managing Director, Econergy, SP - Brazil received on 20 July 2007 regarding a clarification request over several issues faced by project developers with regard to the development of CDM Project and the CDM process.

(d) Letter from Ms. Gisela Ulloa, Coordinator, Bolivian CDM Office, Ministerio de Planificación del Desarrollo received on 31 July 2007 regarding a rejected proposed CDM activity - San Ramón Rural Electrification project (0964).

(e) Letter from Dr. B.N. Vyas, Vice President (Research and Technical Development), Godrej Agrovat Limited received on 16 August 2007 regarding the rejection of CDM Project methodology NM0214.

(f) Letter from Ms. Pauline Heck Bellaver, 3S Program Specialist, Sadia Institute received on 17 August 2007 regarding CDM Sadia Institute Projects in Brazil.

(g) Letter from Mr. Ian D. Nelson, Large Accounts Manager, Metrogas received on 22 August 2007 regarding the modification of a CDM registered project.

(h) Letter from Mr. Alexei Sankovski, MCCF Team Leader, ICF International received on 24 August 2007 regarding the approval process of the NM0202 - "AzDRES Power Plant Energy Efficiency and Change in Fuel mix".



(i) Letter from Mr. Umesh Srivastava, Manager, CDM Planning and Budgeting, Vikram Cement - unit of Grasim Industries Ltd. received on 24 August 2007 related to rejection of project no. 0859.

(j) Letter from Mr. B. B. Mehta, Executive Director, Dalmia Sugars Limited received on 27 August 2007 related to two rejected projects no. 0990 and 0977.

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

6. Conclusion of the meeting

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirty-fourth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
