



**CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD**

**PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS**

Thirty-first meeting

UNFCCC Headquarters  
Bonn, Germany  
2 - 4 May 2007

CONTENTS

I. PROPOSED AGENDA

II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1: Draft guidance on revisions of approved project design documents and approved methodologies

Annex 2: Current status of income and expenditure



## I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
  - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
  - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
  - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
  - (d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities
  - (e) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities
  - (f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry
  - (g) Modalities for collaboration with the Subsidiary Bodies
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

\*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and "review requested" of the UNFCCC CDM website ([http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under\\_review.html](http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html)) and (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

\*\*For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



## II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

### 1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”<sup>1</sup>.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

*Background:* The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

### 2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirty-first meeting.

*Background:* The Board agreed, at its thirtieth meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirty-first meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 11 April 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

### 3. Work plan

#### (a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the eighteenth progress report on the work of the CDM Accreditation Panel (CDM-AP) which will be submitted after 22 April 2007, and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Hernan Carlino, on the work of the CDM-AP.

*Background:* The CDM-AP will hold its twenty-eighth meeting on 19 - 21 April 2007.

#### *Case specific*

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

*Background:* In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and will be sent under separate cover.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the submission of annual activity report by the DOE ‘KPMG Sustainability B.V.’ submitted on 2 April 2007.

*Background:* At the thirtieth meeting, the Board took a serious note that the DOE ‘KPMG Sustainability B.V.’ had not submitted its annual activity report due on 30 September 2006. The Board agreed to instruct the DOE to submit its annual activity report by Friday, 30 March 2007.

---

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.

*General guidance*

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal submitted by the CDM-AP on the possibility of taking appropriate actions by the Board for DOEs not following the instructions of the Board.

*Background:* At the thirtieth meeting, the Board took note that one DOE had not submitted its annual activity report due on 30 September 2006, despite repeated reminders by the Board. The Board, in this context, agreed to consider appropriate actions for DOEs in such situations. The Board requested the CDM-AP to submit a proposal for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting.

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a note on the possibility for multi-site accreditation system for DOEs submitted by the CDM-AP.

*Background:* At the thirtieth meeting, the Board took note of the initial consideration of the accreditation procedure, in particular the procedures for “unscheduled surveillance” under the CDM accreditation process by the CDM-AP and its decision to keep the CDM accreditation procedure under review. The Board further took note of the consideration by the CDM-AP of issues relating to quality management systems of the DOEs at its accredited premises and use of technical resources from non-accredited premises as well as of the option of multi-site accreditation system for DOEs. The Board requested the CDM-AP to further explore the option and submit to the Board a proposal at its next meeting.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a guidance note submitted by the CDM-AP in response to the request from the AE/DOE Coordination Forum on the possibility for DOEs or other units of the DOE or its parent companies to provide services, such as calibration and/or laboratory services as required by some approved baseline and monitoring methodologies.

*Background:* At the thirtieth meeting, the Board took note of the CDM-AP consideration of the request from the DOE/AE Coordination Forum on the possibility for DOEs or other units of the DOE or its parent companies to provide services, such as calibration and/or laboratory services as required by some approved baseline and monitoring methodologies. The Board, taking into consideration the potential risks highlighted by the CDM-AP, requested the CDM-AP to further consider the matter and prepare a guidance note for the consideration of the Board at its next meeting.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider a proposal from the secretariat relating to strengthening the role of the secretariat in undertaking the assessment work for the accreditation of operational entities.

*Background:* At the thirtieth meeting, the Board took note of the difficulties highlighted by the Chair of the CDM-AP in availability of experts to undertake assessment work for the accreditation process. The Board considered the proposal presented by the Chair to strengthen the role of the secretariat in undertaking the assessment work for the accreditation of operational entities. The Board requested the secretariat to explore the implications in terms of procedural changes and resource requirements to operationalize the proposal for its consideration by the Board at its next meeting.

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint two members of the CDM-AP, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

*Background:* At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to replace the two outgoing members of the CDM-AP with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its thirty-first meeting.

**Spot-checks**

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the CDM-AP on the assessment the closure of non-conformities and the implementation of the corrective actions by one DOE and agree to take appropriate action.

*Background:* At the twenty-ninth meeting, the Board decided that the corrective actions related to the non-conformities shall be implemented by the DOEs and verified by the CDM assessment team expeditiously. The Board requested the CDM-AP to assess the closure of non-conformities and the implementation of the corrective actions and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board took note of the progress on the assessment of closure of non-conformities and requested the panel to submit its recommendation at the next meeting.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the hearing of the DOE under spot-check and take appropriate action.

*Background:* At the thirtieth meeting, the Board agreed to provide the opportunity of the hearing to the DOE at its next meeting.

**(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring**

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the Methodologies Panel on baseline and monitoring methodologies (Meth Panel), and an oral report by the Chair of the panel, Mr. Akihiro Kuroki, on the work of the panel.

*Background:* The Meth Panel held its twenty-sixth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 26 - 30 March 2007 and undertook its work in two parallel groups. The Meth Panel dealt with general issues relating to case-specific issues process, methodological clarifications, guidance and other issues, as specified below.

**Case specific**

15. ► **Action:** Taking into consideration the inputs by experts (desk reviewers) and the public, the Board may wish, based on recommendations of the Meth Panel (see MP26 report), to:

(a) Approve cases NM0141-rev, NM0161-rev, NM065-rev, NM0171, NM0174-rev, NM0176-rev, NM0179, and NM0186 as contained in the annexes 1 to 8, respectively, of the Meth Panel report (MP26 report);

(b) Forward cases NM0194, NM0197, NM0200, and NM0202 for revision to the project participants and for resubmission without the need for further experts and public input. If project participants wish to have the revised proposals considered at the twenty-seventh meeting of the Meth Panel (29 May - 01 June 2007), they shall exceptionally submit them by 9 AM GMT 07 May 2007;

(c) Not to approve cases NM0142-rev, NM00170-rev, NM0195, and NM0204 that, if revised taking into account comments, can be resubmitted but will require new expert and public input.

*Background:* Information on methodologies currently under consideration by the Board and the Meth Panel are available on the UNFCCC CDM website<sup>2</sup>. The case NM0174-rev was incorporated into the approved methodology AM0025, thus revising the methodology. The Meth Panel agreed on preliminary recommendations to project participants for proposals NM0160-rev, NM0172-rev, NM0192-rev, and NM0203.

<sup>2</sup> See <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPappmeth>>



The Meth Panel did not finalize its consideration of the case NM0121-rev (Bambuna Hydro electric project) it will seek expert input on a key issue in this methodology, aimed at hydro power activities with reservoirs and having power density of less than 4 watt per square meter, which is whether or not there is a scientific agreement on methods for measurement of greenhouse gas emissions from such reservoirs.

### *Responses to clarifications*

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the response to a clarification provided by the Meth Panel, as referred in the Meth Panel report (MP26)

- (a) Clarified the request AM\_CLA\_0038 concerning approved methodology ACM0002.
- (b) Clarified the request AM\_CLA\_0039 concerning approved methodology ACM0034.
- (c) Clarified the request AM\_CLA\_0040 concerning approved methodology ACM0004.
- (d) Clarified the request AM\_CLA\_0041 concerning approved methodology ACM0004.
- (e) Clarified the request AM\_CLA\_0040 concerning approved methodology ACM0006.

*Background:* Information on the clarification to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPclar>>). The Meth Panel considered the requests for clarification AM\_CLA\_0040 and AM\_CLA\_41 to the approved consolidated methodology ACM0004 and incorporated the resultant changes in recommended draft consolidated methodology for energy generation from waste energy, as it has recommended the withdrawal of approved consolidated methodology ACM0004.

### *Responses to requests for revisions and resultant revision of approved methodologies*

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to the responses to revisions and the resultant revision of approved methodologies as referred to in the Meth Panel report (MP26):

(a) Accept request AM\_REV\_0027 concerning ACM0002 requesting a revision to expand the applicability to allow exclusion of “immaterial” parts of the multination grid to which the project activity supplies power. The panel shall revise the approved consolidated methodology at its twenty-seventh meeting.

(b) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0029 concerning ACM0002 requesting a revision to expand the applicability to renewable energy based power plants that export and, hence, result in emissions reductions in another Non-Annex I country. The panel recommended that they be submitted as a request for deviation to the Board, as these are very specific cases. It further observed that the these project activities should include in the CDM-PDD, if submitting request for deviation, a proper procedure for: (i) verifying that the electricity is delivered to the grid to which the project activity is exporting; and (ii) to demonstrate that the exported electricity the result in displacement of generation in the grid to which electricity is exported.

(c) Accept request AM\_REV\_0033 concerning ACM0004 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities where electricity is generated in a turbine generator supplied by steam from waste heat recovery boilers along with fossil fuel fired boilers. The suggested procedure is incorporated in recommended draft consolidated methodology for energy generation from waste energy, as it has recommended the withdrawal of approved consolidated methodology ACM0004. See annex 7 of the Meth Panel report (MP26 report).

(d) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0035 concerning AM0026 requesting a revision to the approved methodology to allow exclusion of hydro projects from estimating carbon emission factor for grid power displaced by the CDM project activities.



- (e) Accept request AM\_REV\_0036 and AM\_REV\_0038 concerning AM0014 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that use oil or coal to generate energy in the absence of the project activity. The request also was for use of Board approved additionality tool to demonstrate additionality. See annex 9 of the Meth Panel report (MP26 report).
- (f) Accept request AM\_REV\_0037 concerning ACM0007 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to diesel engines. See annex 12 of the Meth Panel report (MP26 report).
- (g) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0039 and AM\_REV\_0045 concerning ACM0003 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to: project activities that use biomass as alternative fuel from dedicated plantation; and project activities that increase the share of alternative fuel use used in existing fuel mix.
- (h) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0040 concerning AM0037 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that use previously flared coke over gases to displace use of natural gas in production of methanol.
- (i) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0041 concerning AM0043 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that replace steel pipes with polyethylene pipes.
- (j) Accept request AM\_REV\_0042 concerning AM0023 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that reduce leakage in distribution system above ground. See annex 10 of the Meth Panel report (MP26 report).
- (k) Not to accept request AM\_REV\_0043 concerning AM0014 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology to project activities that in the baseline use natural gas and oil use.
- (l) Accept request AM\_REV\_0044 concerning ACM0006 requesting the revision to expand the applicability of the approved methodology by including new scenario for project activities that improve the efficiency of biomass use in generating electricity. See annex 11 of the Meth Panel report (MP26 report).

*Background:* Information on the revisions to methodologies is available on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/MPprev>>). The Meth Panel discussed the proposal for revision of approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 in response to the requests for revision AM\_REV\_0018 and AM\_REV\_0029 (and the related request for revision AM\_REV\_0026). These requests were for expanding the applicability of the approved consolidated methodology ACM0002 to project activities where renewable based power generation plant export electricity to a grid other than the one in which it is located. The panel noted that the key issue in such project activities is the traceability of the impact of the project activity generation plant, i.e., whether the exported electricity displaces generation in the receiving grid or in other connected grids. The panel noted that a number of aspects have to be analyzed to address this issue for a general class of such project activities and as the requests submitted are specific instances of such a class of project activities, the panel recommended that they be submitted as a request for deviation to the Board. It further observed that these project activities should include in the CDM-PDD a proper procedure for: (i) verifying that the electricity is delivered to the grid to which the project activity is exporting; and (ii) to demonstrate that the exported electricity the result in displacement of generation in the grid to which electricity is exported. The Meth Panel agreed to consider the request for revision AM\_REV\_0027 at its next meeting. The proposal presented by the project participant, in the request for revision, to identify the immaterial parts of the multinational grid were found to be appropriate by the panel.



18. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to clarify that the approved consolidated methodology ACM0003 is not applicable to project activities where the plants were already using the alternative fuels prior to the implementation of the project activity.

*Background:* This clarification on use of ACM0003 is necessary because the algorithm in ACM0003 to calculate emission reductions is based on the total amount of alternatives used and additional methodological approaches would be required to determine the level of alternative fuels that would be used in the absence of the project activity. The issue was identified in the request for revision AM\_REV\_0045. The Meth Panel also agreed to prepare a draft revision to ACM0003 for consideration at its next meeting, with the aim to:

- (a) Broaden the applicability, based on the request for revision AM\_REV\_0039, to project activities that use renewable biomass as an alternative fuel from dedicated plantations;
- (b) To expand the applicability to project activities that already use an alternative fuel in the baseline;
- (c) To improve the clarity and consistency, in particular with respect to the monitoring of the applicability conditions, consistent with ACM0006, AM0036 and other approved methodologies;
- (d) Use of approved tools to make it consistent with recently approved methodologies; and
- (e) Simplify the methodology by neglecting very minor emission sources.

19. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to clarify that either validating or verifying DOE could undertake the task of determination of the permitted operating conditions for project activities using approved methodology AM0034.

*Background:* The Board, in response to the request made by the DOEs at twenty ninth meeting, requested the Meth Panel to provide its opinion on the role of the validating DOE and verifying DOE with respect to determination of permitted operating conditions according to AM0034. The Meth Panel was of the view that establishing a baseline through a campaign can be validated by a DOE. As this is conducted through monitoring, it therefore can also be undertaken by the verifying DOE.

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve:

- (a) “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions for waste gas or waste heat or waste pressure based energy system”, as contained in annex 7 of Meth Panel’s report (see MP26 report).
- (b) One of the following options for demonstrating additionality of project activity that are implemented in a new industrial facility:
  - (i) Approve the recommended draft consolidated methodology with the proposal that additionality for new facilities shall be demonstrated through investment analysis.
  - (ii) Approve the recommended draft consolidated methodology, but without the condition that new facilities shall prove additionality using investment analysis.

*Background:* The Meth Panel recommended the approval of “Consolidated baseline methodology for GHG emission reductions for waste gas or waste heat or waste pressure based energy system”. The consolidated methodology was prepared based on the approved methodology AM0032, approved consolidated methodology ACM0004, the case NM0179 and also some elements of the cases NM0155-rev and NM0192. The proposed consolidated methodology is applicable to project activities that use waste





gas/heat/pressure, in existing or new industrial facilities, to generate energy. The project activity could be implemented by the owners of the industrial facility or a third party (e.g. ESCO). The energy generated could either be consumed on-site or exported to consumers other than the industrial facility where the waste gas is generated. Furthermore, the panel discussed the proposal that for new facilities applying the consolidated methodology, referred to in paragraph 19 above, additionality should be demonstrated through investment analysis but could not arrive at a consensus. Therefore, the panel proposed two options for the Board's consideration.

21. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to withdraw approved methodology AM0032 (Methodology for waste gas or waste heat based cogeneration system) and ACM0004 (Consolidated methodology for waste gas and/or heat for power generation), which are incorporated into the draft consolidated methodology for cogeneration using waste gas, referred to in annotated agenda item 19 above.

*Background:* The approved methodology AM0032 is for project activities that use waste gas to cogenerate energy for on-site use or export. The approved consolidated methodology ACM0004 is for project activities using waste gas, generated at existing or new industrial facility, to generate electricity for own use or export to the grid. Whereas, the new recommended draft consolidated cogeneration methodology is applicable to all project activities that could apply either AM0032 or ACM0004, which include: new and existing facilities; generation of electricity or heat or cogenerate electricity and heat; own consumption of generated energy and its export to grid and/or other identified customers.

#### *General guidance*

22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the Meth Panel, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

*Background:* At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to reconstitute the Meth Panel with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its thirty-first meeting.

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and provide guidance, as necessary, to the Meth Panel on the issue of clarification provided by the panel on the concept of the shift of a pre-project activity. The Meth Panel clarified that in its view the shift of a pre-project activity is pertinent to cases where project activities use inputs that are cultivated, but are not waste, e.g., palm oil for biofuel, biomass for energy, soybean oil for biofuel, etc. Obtaining inputs for such project activities can impact the land use pattern independent of the fact whether the inputs are procured from existing cultivation or from cultivation on new land. In case the input is obtained from existing cultivation (e.g., palm oil procured from existing palm oil plantation), the use of input (palm oil) by the project activity results in displacement of earlier users of input (say palm oil use by soap manufacturers), which may then obtain it from new cultivation resulting in increased land under cultivation (new palm oil plantations). If the land, where the resultant displaced demand (palm oil seed) is cultivated, was earlier used for other purposes (i.e. forest), then the change in use of that land may result in leakage, which should be accounted for in emission reduction calculations.

*Background:* The Board, at its twenty-ninth meeting, considered the request by the Meth Panel to provide guidance on how to address the issue of shift of pre-project activities in project types that lead to land-use changes with considerable GHG emissions and resultant potential degradation of lands such as deforestation of natural forests. The Board further clarified that project types where shift of pre-project activities can lead to land-use changes, the considerable GHG emissions should be addressed through inclusion of methodological approaches in baseline and monitoring methodologies to estimate the GHG emissions from such land-use changes and requested the Meth Panel to work in collaboration with the A/R WG in developing these approaches. The Meth Panel in the process of developing the guidance identified



that some clarity needs to be provided to the project participants on what is meant by “shift of pre-project activity” to avoid confusions.

24. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised “clarification to project participants on when to request revision, clarification to an approved methodology or a deviation” incorporating the clarification on when to request revision of approved monitoring plans only, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

*Background:* The Board at its thirtieth meeting approved the “clarification to project participants on when to request revision, clarification to an approved methodology or a deviation”. It also asked the secretariat to prepare guidance with regards to revision of an approved PDD and approved monitoring plans for consideration at its next meeting. The Board may wish to note that there are currently no procedures for the revision of an approved PDD.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that, as requested by the Board at its thirtieth meeting, the Meth Panel is continuing its work of reviewing the approved methodology AM0009 to consider at its next meeting, whether a method for forecasting the baseline oil production levels and volumes of gas recovered from the oil field during the crediting period should be stipulated to provide greater accuracy to the ex-ante emission reductions estimations.

*Background:* The Board at its thirtieth meeting requested the Meth Panel to review the approved methodology AM0009 to consider whether a method for forecasting the baseline oil production levels and volumes of gas recovered from the oil field during the crediting period should be stipulated to provide greater accuracy to the ex-ante emission reductions estimations.

26. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of and provide preliminary comments on the preliminary analysis to be presented orally by secretariat of the approved methodologies, as requested by the Board.

*Background:* The Board at its thirtieth meeting requested the secretariat to conduct an analysis of approved methodologies and proposed new methodology cases that are in the pipeline (non SSC and A/R) to assess the opportunities and potential for consolidation, integration and consistency to ensure that they are in line with the decisions by the Board and the methodological tools, for consideration by the Board at its thirty-first meeting.

27. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the Meth Panel agreed to explore the possibility of developing a consolidated methodology for such project activities to ensure a consistent approach in view of the fact that a number of proposed new methodologies submitted in the past two rounds are for project activities that undertake energy efficiency in power/cogeneration plants.

*Background:* The Meth Panel at its twenty-sixth meeting identified proposed new methodologies, which were of the same nature of project activity. In this regard, and to ensure consistency of approaches, the panel agreed to explore the possibility of developing a consolidated methodology for such project activities.

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the twenty-seventh meeting of the Meth Panel will be held from 28 May to 1 June 2007 and that the deadline for the nineteenth round of submissions of proposed new methodologies is to be 1 June 2007.

*Background:* The Board at its twenty-sixth meeting agreed to the calendar of meetings, including meetings of panels and working groups, for 2007 and deadlines for submission of proposed new methodologies.

**(c) Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation project activities**

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the thirteenth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the group.

*Background:* The A/R WG held its thirteenth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 19 - 21 March 2007. The A/R WG dealt with general issues relating to case-specific issues agreeing to preliminary recommendations for cases ARNM0024-rev, ARNM0028-rev, ARNM0031 and ARNM0032 also agreeing to guidance and other issues, as specified below.

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to encourage project participants to consider submitting requests for revisions of approved methodologies if their project activity is broadly similar to the project activities to which the approved methodology is applicable. This should be done in accordance with the latest procedures for the revision of an approved baseline or monitoring methodology by the Executive Board, via the interface on the UNFCCC CDM website at <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/goto/ARrev>>. Project participants are also reminded that the guidance provided by the Board on clarifications to project participants on when to request a revision, clarification to an approved methodology or deviation, which applies *mutatis mutandis* to A/R methodologies, may also assist them in this regard.

*Background:* The A/R WG in its consideration of new baseline and monitoring methodologies for A/R CDM project activities noted that methodologies are often comprised to a large extent of components of already approved methodologies. Project participants tend to submit new methodologies, where in some instances the request for a revision to an approved A/R methodology would be more appropriate.

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider:

(a) The draft procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism, as contained in annex 1 of the report of the thirteenth meeting of the A/R WG;

(b) To launch an additional call for public input, as requested by COP/MOP 2, on comments to the draft procedure to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism, starting 7 May 2007 and ending 18 June 2007 at 17:00 GMT.

*Background:* The COP/MOP at its second session decided to put on hold annex 16 to the report of the twenty-second meeting of the Executive Board and annex 18 to the report of the twenty-sixth meeting of the Executive Board and requested the Executive Board to prepare, after a call for public input, new procedures to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism providing for an additional call for public input on a final draft (decision 1/CMP.2, paragraph 26). The Board launched the first call for public inputs on new procedures to demonstrate the eligibility of lands for afforestation and reforestation project activities under the clean development mechanism, which were submitted to the secretariat by 21 February. Seven (7) Parties, eight (8) international/national institutions/NGOs and eight (8) other entities responded to the call. As requested by the Board (EB28 paragraph 37), the secretariat prepared a first draft, which was considered by the A/R WG at its thirteenth meeting. The A/R WG took into consideration the public inputs and agreed to recommend the draft new procedures as contained in annex 1 of the report of the thirteenth meeting of the A/R WG.

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider that the A/R CDM definition of forest applies in stands with several storeys of trees i.e. the forest may comprise trees from different storeys that in combination meet both the crown cover (or equivalent stocking level) and height thresholds adopted by the host country.



*Background:* The Board at its twenty-ninth meeting requested the ARWG to consider draft guidance on the application of the A/R CDM definition of forest in stands with several storeys of trees, as a result of a question raised by the DOE forum in that meeting requiring clarification whether the existing criteria for forest definition could be fulfilled as a result of all layers or by the highest story only.

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft methodological tool for the calculation of the number of sample plots for measurements within A/R CDM project activities as contained in annex 2 of the report of the thirteenth meeting of the A/R WG. The tool facilitates the development of new baseline and monitoring methodologies for A/R CDM project activities by providing two alternative methods by which a minimal number of sample plots can be determined.

*Background:* The first draft A/R methodological tool provides a means to calculate the number of sample plots for the measurement of biomass within an A/R CDM project activity. The tool makes use of an approach used in approved A/R CDM methodologies.

34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the draft tool for testing significance of GHG emissions in A/R CDM project activities as contained in annex 3 of the report of the thirteenth meeting of the A/R WG. For a particular A/R CDM project activity, the tool facilitates the determination of:

(a) Which GHG emissions by sources, possible decreases in carbon pools and leakage emissions are insignificant for a particular CDM A/R project activity and therefore can be neglected, and;

(b) When required by the applicability conditions of approved methodologies, whether increases in GHG emissions by sources for a particular CDM A/R project activity are significant.

*Background:* The baseline and monitoring methodologies for A/R project activities contain references to insignificant emissions in applicability conditions or may provide different approaches in accounting for significant and insignificant GHG emissions. The draft tool assists project participants in transparently demonstrating, which emission sources are insignificant in the application of an approved methodology to a particular A/R CDM project activity. The tool is based on the concept of key sources analysis (as used in GPG LULUCF), which when applied to a particular A/R CDM project activity, allows for identification of insignificant GHG sources.

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the A/R WG, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

*Background:* At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board agreed to open a call for experts in order to reconstitute the A/R WG with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its thirty-first meeting.

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the following issues were postponed due to the time constraints: (i) proposal for guidelines for treatment of uncertainties in A/R CDM project activities; (ii) proposal for guidelines on QA/QC procedures for plot-based measurements in A/R CDM project activities; (iii) consideration of the approaches to consolidation of approved A/R methodologies; (iv) proposal for guidance on leakage and leakage management areas, and (v) consideration of revision of the AR AMS001 methodology.

*Background:* The thirteenth meeting of the A/R WG took place over two and half days with no informal or in-meeting working day as requested by the Board in paragraph 48 of the report of the twenty-ninth meeting of the Executive Board.



37. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note that the fourteenth meeting of the afforestation and reforestation working group will be held from 4 to 6 June 2007.

**(d) Issues relating to small-scale CDM project activities**

38. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report of the ninth meeting of the Small Scale Working Group (SSC WG) and an oral report by the Chair of the working group, Ms. Ulrika Raab on the work of the group.

*Background:* The SSC WG held its ninth meeting in Bonn, Germany on 19 - 23 March 2007 with three formal and two informal meeting days. The SSC WG considered nine (9) submissions from the project participants (PP) requesting creation of new small-scale methodologies and five (5) submissions requesting revision of approved methodologies and requesting clarifications on the approved methodologies. The working group made recommendations on agenda items resulting from a request by the Board in addition to making recommendations based on the analysis of the submissions received.

***Case specific***

39. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a new small-scale methodology titled ‘AMS III.L Avoidance of methane production from biomass decay through controlled pyrolysis’ as contained in annex 1 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG. The methodology is applicable to project activities that avoid or reduce methane emission from biogenic organic matter that would have otherwise been left to decay under clearly anaerobic conditions until the end of the crediting period in a solid waste disposal site without methane recovery. Due to the project activity, decay is prevented through controlled pyrolysis<sup>3</sup>.

*Background:* In response to the submission SSC\_078, the SSC WG agreed to recommend the new methodology AMS III.L. The methodology stipulates that the pyrolysed residues will only be considered biologically inert if the ratio of volatile-carbon/fixed-carbon is equal to or lower than 50%. For estimating the avoided methane emissions from biogenic waste, the methodology refers to the approved methodological tool to determine methane emissions avoided from dumping waste at a solid waste disposal site<sup>4</sup>. Further, the SSC WG noted that SSC\_079 is a complementary submission by the author of SSC\_078 requesting a new methodology to supplement the emission reductions from the methane avoidance component with additional emission reductions arising from permanent stabilization of degradable carbon in the biogenic waste. Taking into account the guidance by the Board at its twenty-eighth meeting in this regard (see paragraph 52), the SSC WG agreed that the proposed activity under SSC\_078 is not eligible under the modalities and procedures of CDM.

40. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve a new small-scale methodology titled ‘AMS III.M Reduction in consumption of electricity by recovering soda from paper manufacturing process’ as contained in annex 2 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG. The proposed methodology is for project activities that recover caustic soda from waste black liquor produced in paper manufacturing.

*Background:* In response to the submission SSC\_086, the SSC WG agreed to recommend a new methodology AMS III.M. Caustic Soda, a raw material used in paper manufacturing, is produced by technologies, which are very electricity intensive. In the proposed methodology, Caustic Soda will be produced by recovering it from waste black liquor generated in paper manufacturing, with a significant reduction in electricity consumption per metric tonne of caustic soda. The reduced electricity required to

---

<sup>3</sup> Pyrolysis is defined as the thermo-chemical decomposition of organic materials into a carbon rich residue, non-condensable combustible gases and condensable vapors, by heating in the absence or lack of oxygen, without any other reagents, except possibly steam.

<sup>4</sup> See annex 14 of EB26



produce a unit weight of Caustic Soda from chemical recovery process compared to the status quo production will result in GHG emission reduction

***Revisions of approved methodologies:***

41. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised SSC methodologies AMS I.A, AMS I.C and AMS I.D as contained in annexes 3, 4 and 5 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG. The revisions provide options for baseline calculations when cogeneration from fossil fuels is the baseline activity thereby broadening the applicability of AMS I.C. The revised applicability conditions also clarify that all cogeneration project activities should apply AMS I.C. Further, the revision of AMS I.D includes guidance on monitoring of biomass project activities. All small-scale biomass project activities (firing only biomass or firing biomass and fossil fuel) are required to monitor the biomass and any fossil fuel used.

*Background:* Taking into account the guidance from the Board to recommend additional guidance in the approved methodologies to clarify methods and options for baseline calculations where found necessary, the SSC WG agreed to recommend revisions to AMS I.A, AMS I.C and AMS I.D. The SSC WG noted that the current versions of these small-scale methodologies did not explicitly provide procedures for calculating emissions where cogeneration from fossil fuels itself is the baseline. Including this option in AMS I.C will broaden the applicability of this methodology.

Taking into account the request from the Board to recommend additional guidance for monitoring of project activities applying AMS I D for biomass-fired renewable energy generation, the SSC WG agreed to recommend that all biomass project activities (firing only biomass or firing biomass and fossil fuel) should monitor the biomass and any fossil fuel used. Further it recommended that the project participants should report the ex-ante specific fuel consumption of each type of biomass fuel and any fossil fuel use of the proposed project. Electricity generated with fossil fuel is estimated using the quantity of fossil fuel used and the specific fuel consumption. The portion of the monitored amount of electricity generated with biomass is calculated as total electricity generated minus the electricity generated with fossil fuel. This portion of monitored amount of electricity generated by biomass shall be compared with electricity calculated using ex-ante specific fuel consumption and monitored amount of each type of biomass fuel used. The lower of the two values is used to calculate the emission reductions.

42. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised SSC methodology AMS III.D as contained in annex 6 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG, which further clarifies that in the monitoring plan on-site inspections are to be conducted for each individual farm and includes additional guidance on how to determine the efficiency of the flaring process in an enclosed flare and in an open flare.

*Background:* AMS III.D is potentially applicable to bundled projects with a large number of very small-distributed units for manure management (e.g. several thousand farms/households in distributed geographic locations each installing a biogas digester as described in SSC\_051). Taking into account the very small annual emission reductions that these individual units could potentially achieve the proposed monitoring requirements might not be economically viable for such projects. The SSC WG discussed options to recommend simplified monitoring requirements for these very small projects, however concluded that it is more appropriate to develop new methodologies to recommend for such projects instead of modifying AMS III .D. In this context the SSC WG also noted that these issues of economic viability also apply to many other types of bundled projects such as household energy projects (e.g. solar lighting projects) using AMS I.A. The SSC WG agreed to recommend undertaking further analysis to identify methodological issues that affect the very small units to propose options and solutions to address these issues.

As requested by the Board at its twenty-seventh meeting AMS III.D was revised with regard to the monitoring of flares such that it is consistent with the methods of other methodologies for methane capture



and flare (e.g. AMS III. H). It is proposed that project participants shall use of one of the two following options to determine the efficiency of the flaring process in an enclosed flare:

- (a) To adopt a 90% default value; or
- (b) To perform a continuous monitoring of the efficiency.<sup>5</sup>

If option (a) is chosen, a continuous check of compliance with the manufacturer's specification of the flare device (temperature, biogas flow rate) shall be conducted. If in any specific hour any of the parameters is out of the range of specifications, a 50% of the default value shall be used for that specific hour. For open flares 50% default value shall be used, as it is not possible in this case to monitor the efficiency. If at any given time the temperature of the flare is below 500°C, 0% default value should be used for this period.

43. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised SSC methodology AMS III.I as contained in annex 7 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG. The revised methodology clarifies how the number of months with average lagoon temperature above 15°C in AMS III.I is to be determined. In order to determine the months that should be considered for emission reduction calculations, the revision entails monitoring of the ambient temperature instead of the lagoon temperature, which is consistent with the approach of AM0013.

*Background:* As requested by the twenty-eighth meeting of the Board the SSC WG recommended additional guidance. The applicability conditions of AMS III.I restrict the calculation of emission reductions to only those months during which average lagoon temperature is above 15°C.

44. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised SSC methodology AMS II.D as contained in annex 8 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG in order to broaden the applicability of the methodology to include energy efficiency activities in mining.

*Background:* The SSC WG noted that the submission SSC\_075 requested a revision of AMS II.D to include sectoral scope 8 i.e. Mining and Mineral production. While considering this submission the SSC WG also noted the absence of treatment of issues relating to the lifetime of replaced or retrofitted equipment in this methodology and proposed additional revisions to be consistent with the methods of other similar small scale methodologies.

45. **► Action:** The Board may wish to approve the revised SSC methodology AMS III.F as contained in annex 9 of the report of the ninth meeting of the SSC WG. The revised methodology now includes project activities that enhance the capacity utilization of existing compost facilities and provides methods to determine the eligible increased capacity utilisation based on the historical records of annual amount of waste composted at the facility.

*Background:* In response to the submission SSC\_085 the SSC WG agreed to recommend a revision of AMS III.F. The SSC WG noted that many existing compost production facilities operate at a much lower level than their original design size due to high production costs and/or low demand for compost products.

---

<sup>5</sup> The procedures described in the Methodological Tool to determine project emissions from flaring gases containing methane shall be used.

*General guidance*

46. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint members of the SSC WG, taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

*Background:* At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board agreed to open a call for in order to reconstitute the SSC WG with a view to prepare a shortlist of experts for consideration by the Board at its thirty-first meeting.

47. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree that submissions requesting the creation of a new small-scale methodology only, should be submitted to the secretariat a minimum eight (8) weeks prior to the meeting date of a SSC WG for it to be considered at that meeting, and requested the secretariat to update the guidelines for completing the SSC PDD accordingly. Submissions requesting revision or clarification of an approved SSC methodology, shall be submitted a minimum four (4) weeks before a meeting of the SSC WG to be considered at that meeting.

*Background:* In order to ensure sufficient time for interaction with the project participants on methodological issues (e.g. by way of feedback to the authors, consideration of responses and additional inputs from experts) the time between receipt and consideration of a proposed methodology needs to be extended from 4 to 8 weeks prior to a SSCWG meeting.

48. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that the SSC WG is continuing its work in the development of the draft category for biofuels in transportation. Noting the guidance given by the Board at its thirtieth meeting, the SSC WG identified several issues including the rating of vehicles, leakage from agricultural and transportation activities and the treatment of flexible fuel engines, where further work is required in this regard. The working group also noted that monitoring of usage of blended biofuels in flexible fuel engines presents a significant methodological challenge irrespective of the scale of the project.

*Background:* At its twenty-fifth meeting the Board considered the request by the SSC WG to launch a call for public inputs on issues pertaining to the production and use of biofuels for small scale project activities. The Board noted that some of the issues raised in the request for public inputs are under consideration by the Meth Panel and therefore agreed that the call be deferred until the Meth Panel presents its recommendations to the Board. Based on the guidance by the Board and based on additional work carried out by the Meth Panel since the twenty-fifth meeting of the Board, the SSC WG continued its consideration of the draft category for biofuels in transportation.

49. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note that the tenth meeting of the SSC WG will be held from 23 to 25 May 2007.

*Background:* The Board at its twenty-sixth meeting agreed to the calendar of meetings, including meetings of panels and working groups, for 2007 and deadlines for submission of proposed new methodologies.

(e) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

50. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Project Activities” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 18 April 2007, can be summarized as follows:





- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 631 (six hundred and thirty-one);
- (b) Request for registration: 71 (seventy-one);
- (c) Request for review: 19 (nineteen);
- (d) Corrections requested: 14 (fourteen);
- (e) Under review: 1 (one);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 14 (fourteen);
- (g) Withdrawn: 4 (four).

**Case specific**

51. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 15 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

*Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
754	Use of blast furnace slag in the production of blended cement at Votorantim Cimentos	?	?	?
809	Garganta da Jararaca Small Hydroelectric Power Plant (SHP)	?	?	?
861	ACEL Blended cement project at Sankrail grinding unit	?	?	?
887	Shenzhen Xiaping Landfill Gas Collection and Utilization Project	?	?	?
892	Yangquan Coal Mine Methane (CMM) Utilization for Power Generation Project, Shanxi Province, China	?	?	?
895	20MW Samal Grid-connected Hydroelectric Project in Orissa, India	?	?	?
859	Vikram Cement: Energy efficiency by up-gradation of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing	?	?	?
956	Demand side energy efficiency projects at RIL-PG	?	?	?
951	Energy efficiency and fuel switching measures in the caustic soda and sodium cyanide plant at Vadodara complex of GACL	?	?	?
854	Production of blended cement with blast furnace slag at Cimento Mizu	?	?	?
945	Methane Capture and use as fuel at Rajaram Maize Products, Chattisgarh	?	?	?
0863	Optimum utilisation of clinker for Pozzolana Portland Cement (PPC) production at Birla Plus Cement in Bathinda, Punjab, India	?	?	?
0954	GHG emission reduction by energy efficiency improvement of clinker cooler in cement manufacturing at Rajashree cement at District Gulbarga, Karnataka India	?	?	?



0862	Allain Duhangan Hydroelectric Project (ADHP)	?	?	?
0872	4.0 MW Power Plant Using Clinker Cooling Gas Waste Heat	?	?	?
0898	Ningguo Cement Plant 9100KW Waste Heat Recovery and Utilisation for Power Generation Project of Anhui Conch Cement Co. Ltd	?	?	?

*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

52. **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendation of the review team for the one (1) project activity listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its thirtieth meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB30

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
785	Chilatán Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?

*Background:* At its thirtieth meeting the Board decided to place the project activity identified in table 2 above under review. A review has been conducted by a review team and a recommendation has been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activity (case C in table 2).

53. **Action:** The Board may wish to consider corrections made to the proposed CDM project activity listed in table 3.

Table 3: Projects to be registered with corrections following consideration of a review

Ref No.	Title	A	B
463	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project MX06-B-32, Aguascalientes, Guanajuato and Michoacán, México	?	?
577	The Godavari Sugar Mills Ltd (TGSML)’s 24 MW Bagasse Based Co-generation Power Project at Sameerwadi	?	?

*Background:* At its twenty-ninth and thirtieth meetings the Board considered the outcome of the reviews of requests for registration of the project activities listed in table 3 above, and decided that the projects could be registered following corrections. The DOEs have submitted revised documentation which the Board may wish to consider in accordance with the clarifications to paragraph 18(b) of the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the projects shall be registered (case A in table 3), otherwise the request is rejected (case B in table 3).

**Registration procedure**

54. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the shortlist of experts prepared by the secretariat with a view to appoint additional members of the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT), taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

*Background:* At its thirtieth meeting the Board selected 28 experts as members of the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT) for a term of one year. The secretariat was asked to open a second call for experts, encouraging applicants from Africa and Eastern Europe, and on the basis of the applications received prepare a short list of applicants for consideration by the Board at its thirty-first meeting.

55. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the initial assessment prepared by the secretariat regarding issues related to authorization and participation with a view to determine which aspects need additional analysis.

*Background:* The Board at its thirtieth meeting, requested the secretariat to prepare a comprehensive assessment of issues related to authorization and participation for consideration at its next session.

56. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to 2 requests for deviation submitted by DOEs related to project activities undergoing validation.

*Background:* The secretariat has received 2 requests for deviation related project activities undergoing validation.

**(f) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

57. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

*Background:* The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 18 April 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 234 issuances, aggregate 44,750,470 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 5 requests, 1,170,916 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 1 request, 121,166 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 0 requests

**Case specific**

58. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the three requests for issuance listed in table 4.

*Table 4: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.*

Ref. no.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
168	BK Energia Itacoatiara Project	121,166	?	?	?



*Background:* Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board or one Party involved requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 4 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 4), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 4) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 4). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

59. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the request by the DOE (DNVCert) to be allowed to resubmit requests for issuance for the project activities “AWMS GHG Mitigation Project, MX05-B-09, Nuevo León, México” (0163) and AWMS GHG Mitigation Project, MX05-B-07, Sonora, México” (0150).

*Background:* The Board, at its twenty-seventh meeting, rejected request for issuance for these project activities, and provided guidance to DOEs at its twenty-eight as to how an application for resubmission can be made. Such an application for resubmission has been received for each of the abovementioned project activities.

60. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to 2 requests for deviation submitted by DOEs related to monitoring reports undergoing verification.

*Background:* The secretariat has received 2 requests for deviation related to monitoring report undergoing verification.

#### **4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM**

61. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) consider the financial implications on the CDM Management Plan (CDM MAP) resources of the UNFCCC budget proposal for the biennium 2008-2009, (c) indicate its appreciation to Parties which have generously contributed resources for the work on the CDM; (d) take further action, as necessary.

*Background:* The current status of income and expenditure is presented in annex 2 of these annotations.

#### **5. Other matters**

##### **(a) Programme of Activities**

62. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the proposal prepared by the secretariat on the procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities, a draft programme of activities design document and a draft CDM programme activity design document taking into account the guidance provided by the Board members since the thirtieth meeting, with a view to finalizing such procedures.

*Background:* In accordance with paragraph 20 of decision by 7/CMP.1, indicating that a local/regional/national policy or standard cannot be considered as a clean development mechanism project activity, but that project activities under a programme of activities can be registered as a single CDM project activity, the Board has considered and worked on project activities under a programme of activities at its last meetings and agreed to “guidance on the registration of a programme of activities as a single project activity” (Version 01). At its last meeting the Board considered the “procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities”, a draft programme of activities design document and a draft CDM programme activity design document and requested the secretariat to prepare, based on the comments from members, a revised version of the documents for consideration at its thirty-first meeting.

**(b) Relations with Designated National Authorities**

63. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the briefing of the secretariat on the second meeting of the CDM DNA Forum held on 26 - 27 March 2007 in Bonn, Germany, in conjunction with “CDM Joint Coordination Workshop”, which provided the opportunity to DNA representatives for an interaction with other players of the CDM process.

*Background:* The COP/MOP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of COP/MOP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

**(c) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

64. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

*Background:* Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirtieth meeting.

**(d) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations**

65. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 4 May 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

*Background:* On the occasion of its thirtieth meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

**(e) Other business**

66. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

*Background:* At its twenty-first meeting, the Board agreed on procedures for public communication with the CDM Executive Board as contained in annex 27 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The Board has received the following communications from DOEs/AES and the general public prior to the thirtieth meeting of the Board:

(a) Letter from Mr. Andrei Marcu from International Emissions Trading Association, Switzerland related to some postponed agenda points at EB29 (programmatic CDM, double counting and enhancing dialogue with EB) sent to the Board on 16 March;

(b) Letter from Mr. Kevin James from Quality Tonnes, USA related to the expert report on NM0186 sent to the Board on 19 March;



(c) Letter from Mr. Einar Telnes and Mr. Michael Lehmann from DNV Certification, Norway requesting for clarification related to the project activity 0761 sent to the Board on 3 April 2007;

(d) Letter from Mr. Jaime Bustamante, Cementos Lima S.A., Peru related to a communication from a project participant, through Mr. Einar Telnes sent to the Board on 5 April 2007.

67. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

#### 6. Conclusion of the meeting

68. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirtieth meeting.

*Background:* The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.

-----