



CLEAN DEVELOPMENT MECHANISM EXECUTIVE BOARD

PROPOSED AGENDA AND ANNOTATIONS

Thirtieth meeting

UNFCCC Headquarters
Bonn, Germany
21 - 23 March 2007

CONTENTS

- I. PROPOSED AGENDA
- II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

Annex 1: Expert Input on review of NM0186: Increased electricity generation from existing hydro power generation through Decision Support System optimization.



I. PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)
2. Adoption of the agenda
3. Work plan:
 - (a) Accreditation of operational entities
 - (b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring plans
 - (c) Issues relating to CDM afforestation and reforestation project activities
 - (d) Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities*
 - (e) Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**
4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM
5. Other matters
6. Conclusion of the meeting

*For more information please visit the page CDM Project activities “under review” and “review requested” of the UNFCCC CDM website (http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/under_review.html and <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects/review.html>).

**For more information please visit the “issuance of CERs” section of the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>).



II. ANNOTATIONS TO THE PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Membership issues (including disclosure of possible conflict of interest)

1. The Chair shall ascertain whether (a) the members present constitute a quorum, and (b) the absence of any member or alternate member is “without proper justification”¹.

2. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider information provided by any member and alternate member, including with respect to any potential conflict of interest, and take action, as appropriate.

Background: The Chair shall request, at the beginning of each meeting of the CDM Executive Board, members and alternates to disclose whether they consider to have any conflict of interest relating to the work of the Board.

2. Adoption of the agenda

3. ► **Action:** Members may wish to adopt the proposed agenda for the thirtieth meeting.

Background: The Board agreed, at its twenty-ninth meeting, on items to be included in the provisional agenda for its thirtieth meeting. In accordance with rule 21 of the rules of procedure of the Executive Board, subsequent additions or changes to the provisional agenda by members and/or alternate members were to be incorporated in the proposed agenda. The proposed agenda was transmitted to the Board on 28 February 2007 and thereafter posted on the UNFCCC CDM website.

3. Work plan

(a) Accreditation of operational entities

4. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the seventeenth progress report on the work of the CDM accreditation panel (CDM-AP), and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Hernan Carlino, on the work of the CDM-AP.

Background: The CDM-AP held its twenty-eighth meeting on 1 - 3 March 2007.

Case specific

5. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to accredit and to provisionally designate entities for sector specific validation and/or verification/certification functions.

Background: In accordance with the accreditation procedure, the recommendations for phased accreditation and the supporting documentation are confidential and have been sent under separate cover on 7 March 2007.

6. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the status/submission of annual activity reports by the two DOEs, which did not submit their reports for consideration at its twenty-ninth meeting.

Background: At the twenty-ninth meeting, the Board considered the new version of the synthesis report of the DOE annual activity reports for 2006 presented by the CDM-AP. The Board, taking into consideration that submission of annual activity reports by the DOEs is obligatory under the CDM modalities and procedures, took note with serious concern that two DOEs have not submitted their reports. The Board agreed to instruct those DOEs to submit their reports with explanations for not submitting within the deadline. The Board also agreed that if their annual activity reports are not received before the next meeting of the Board, an appropriate action shall be taken against those DOEs.

¹ Please refer to rules 28 and 7 of the rules of procedures of the Executive Board.

**General guidance**

7. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress of the work on the revision of the accreditation procedure, in particular the procedures for “unscheduled surveillance” under the CDM accreditation process by the CDM-AP.

Background: At the twenty-ninth meeting, the Board requested the CDM-AP to re-visit the accreditation procedures, in particular the procedures for “unscheduled surveillance”, by taking into consideration issues identified through, inter alia, the spot-checks in particular how to verify the implementation of the quality management system relating, in particular, the use of technical resources from non-accredited sources. The Board requested the CDM-AP to seek views from the DOE Forum on this work.

Spot-checks

8. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the recommendation of the CDM-AP on the assessment of the closure of non-conformities and the implementation of the corrective actions by one DOE and agree to take appropriate action.

Background: At the twenty-ninth meeting, the Board decided that the corrective actions related to the non-conformities shall be implemented by the DOEs and verified by the CDM assessment team expeditiously. The Board requested the CDM-AP to assess the closure of non-conformities and the implementation of the corrective actions and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board shall consider this at the earliest opportunity in order to reach its final decision.

9. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the progress on the assessment of the closure of non-conformities and the implementation of the corrective actions by one DOE.

Background: At the twenty-ninth meeting, the Board decided that the corrective actions related to the non-conformities shall be implemented by the DOEs and verified by the CDM assessment team expeditiously. The Board requested the CDM-AP to assess the closure of non-conformities and the implementation of the corrective actions and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board shall consider this at the earliest opportunity in order to reach its final decision.

10. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the hearing of the DOE under spot-check and take appropriate action.

Background: At the twenty-ninth meeting, the Board agreed to consider the case of this DOE, under spot-check, to provide the opportunity to the DOE for hearing in person.

(b) Methodologies for baselines and monitoring**General guidance**

11. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider and approve the draft methodological tool and related guidance recommended by the Meth Panel for avoiding double counting of emission reductions from the production of biofuels, i.e. CERs being claimed by producers and consumers for the same quantity of biofuel:

(a) Draft methodological tool as contained in annex 4 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Meth Panel;

(b) Draft guidance to ensure that the tool is effective in avoiding double counting: “For biofuel CDM project activities, where the consumer claims CERs from displacing fossil fuel consumption with biofuel consumption, the consumer is required to seek a declaration from the producer of the biofuel, that the



producer is not claiming CERs from this biofuel quantity” (paragraph 15 of the report of the twenty-fifth meeting of the Meth Panel).

Background: The Board, at its twenty-sixth meeting (paragraph 32 of the meeting report), requested a call for public input to assist in developing guidance to address double counting in methodologies for project activities claiming CERs from the production of biofuels. The Meth Panel, taking into account resulting submissions, developed a tool for avoiding double counting, which also covered the case where the end users of the biofuel are outside the project boundary (the draft tool is applicable only if the host country does not export biofuels or only exports to non-Annex I Parties that do not export biofuels). The Meth Panel also agreed to further work on the tool to expand its applicability. Approval of the tool described in (a) would require approval of the related guidance described in (b). The Board could, however, adopt the guidance without adopting the draft tool. The tool was on the agenda of the Board at its twenty-ninth meeting, but could not be considered due to lack of time.

12. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take appropriate action on the proposed new methodologies NM0108-rev and NM0129-rev which were put on hold by the Board at its twenty-sixth meeting.

Background: The Board at its twenty-sixth meeting, considered the cases NM0108-rev and NM0129-rev, which are proposed for project activities claiming CERs from the production of biofuels only, while not taking into account consumers of these biofuels and agreed to keep its decision on the recommendation by the Meth Panel pending until guidance is provided by the Board.

► **Action:** The Board may wish to reconsider the case NM0186 (Increased electricity generation from existing hydro power generation through Decision Support System optimization) in light of the expert input, as contained in annex 1 to these annotations.

Background: The Board considered the case NM0186 during its twenty-eighth meeting, which was recommended for approval by the Meth Panel at its twenty-fourth meeting, and had raised the following issues: (i) assumption that the flow through the spillways in the baseline is because of non-optimal use of the available water in the reservoirs, (ii) the inclusion of run of river units within the units needs to be analysed, (iii) one year data to estimate the baseline relationship given the likelihood of variations in the water availability due to rainfall pattern variations is not likely to be adequate, (iv) the procedure in the methodology does not ensure that the possibility of increase in generation due to reasons other than the DSS is adequately addressed and (vi) the additionality of such projects may be difficult to determine. Based on these the Board agreed to request the secretariat to develop, under the guidance of the Chair of the Board and the Meth Panel, term of references for the experts to address the issues and to ensure that the expert input is made available to the Board for consideration at its next meeting.

13. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the oral report on the first experience of the new service of enhance the dialogue with project participants on issues concerning the consideration of methodologies.

Background: The Board at its last meeting encouraged the secretariat to enhance the dialogue with project participants on issues concerning the consideration of methodologies, in accordance with the CDM-MAP, while ensuring equitable and transparent interaction of such, in accordance with request by COP/MOP.2, and report to the Board at its next meeting with a first experience of this new service.

14. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree to clarifications assisting project participants to assist them in ascertaining when to request a revision, deviation and/or clarification to an approved methodology.

Background: The Board requested the secretariat to prepare further clarification for project participants to assist them in ascertaining when to request a revision, deviation and/or clarification to an approved methodology, for the Board’s consideration at this meeting.



15. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance on the implications of revision of the "tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality" and "combined tool for identification of baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" as follows:

(a) The date of revisions of "the tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality" and "combined tool for identification of baseline scenario and demonstrate additionality" is effective as of the date of publication on the UNFCCC website (24h00 GMT), which shall be within five (5) calendar days after the date of publication of the report of the Board.

(b) The revision shall not affect (a) registered CDM project activities during their crediting period; and (b) project activities that use the previously approved tool, as referred to in the approved methodology for which requests for registration are submitted before or within eight (8) weeks after the revised tool becomes effective.

Background: The Board, at its twenty-ninth meeting revised the "tool for assessment and demonstration of additionality". Stakeholders have asked for clarification on the date from which the revision shall be effective and do the project activities that are in the process of submitting request for registration need to revise the PDD in light of revision of the tool, which is referred to in the approved methodology being used by the project activity. The Board has provided no specific guidance on the date when such revisions of the tool become effective, and implicitly it is assumed that they are effective with immediate effect. The use of approved procedures to demonstrate additionality is a regulatory requirement and the procedures are a part of approved methodology. In effect the revision of the tool implies an indirect revision of a methodology, therefore, the implications of revision of the tools may not be more stringent as those for the revision of the approved methodologies. This clarification will provide the stakeholders with certainty on the implications of the revision of these tools.

(c) **Issues relating to afforestation and reforestation project activities**

16. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the report on the work of the thirteenth meeting of the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group (A/R WG) and an oral report by its Chair, Mr. Phillip Gwage, on the work of the group at its next meeting. The Board may, bearing in mind the late availability of the report due to the meeting time and the date of the next Board meeting, consider taking action on recommendations, as appropriate.

Background: At the drafting time of these annotations the meeting of the working group had not taken place. The recommendations by the working group to the Board will be available on 22 March 2007 as the A/R WG meeting finishes on 21 March mid-day.

(d) **Matters relating to the registration of CDM project activities**

17. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of registration.

Background: The information available in the section on "Project Activities" on the UNFCCC CDM website (<<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Projects>>), indicates the status of project activities submitted for registration. The main information, as of 7 March 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) Registered CDM project activities: 526 (five hundred and twenty-six);
- (b) Request for registration: 122 (one hundred and twenty-two);
- (c) Request for review: 9 (nine);
- (d) Corrections requested: 9 (nine);



- (e) Under review: 5 (five);
- (f) Rejected CDM project activities: 12 (twelve);
- (g) Withdrawn: 3 (three).

Case specific

18. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the requests for review of the 8 requests for registration listed in table 1 below.

Table 1: Projects for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

<i>Ref No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>B</i>	<i>C</i>
788	Korea South-East Power Co. (KOSEP) small scale hydroelectric power plants project(The Samchonpo Thermal Power Plant and Younghung Thermal Power plant small scale hydroelectric power plants construction project)	?	?	?
786	Durgun Hydropower Project in Mongolia	?	?	?
785	Chilatán Hydroelectric Project	?	?	?
726	Ecofren Power 8 MW Renewable Sources Biomass Power Project	?	?	?
752	Omnia Fertilizer Limited Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O) Reduction Project	?	?	?
763	Al-Shaheen Oil Field Gas Recovery and Utilization Project	?	?	?
767	HFC23 Decomposition Project at Zhonghao Chenguang Research Institute of Chemical Industry, Zigong, SiChuan Province, China	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board requested a review of the requests for registration of the cases listed in table 1 above. In accordance with “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity as requested (case A in table 1), request that changes be made in the project documentation prior to registration (case B in table 1), or undertake a review (case C in table 1). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for registration it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

One further project activity, “Taishir Hydropower Project in Mongolia”(0787), received three requests for reviews prior to the deadline for consideration at EB30, however as these requests related to minor issues the project participants and the DOE have been informed by the secretariat that the registration of the project will follow the procedures defined in the clarifications to paragraph 5 to the procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism.



19. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider the recommendations of the review teams for the five (5) project activities listed in table 2, which the Board placed under review at its twenty-ninth meeting.

Table 2: Projects which were placed “Under review” at EB29

Ref No.	Title	A	B	C
577	The Godavari Sugar Mills Ltd (TGSML)’s 24 MW Bagasse Based Co-generation Power Project at Sameerwadi	?	?	?
683	Power generation from the proposed 11.2 MW waste heat recovery boiler at the ISA Smelt furnace of the Copper Smelter, Sterlite Industries India Limited (SIIL), Tuticorin	?	?	?
685	Modification of clinker cooler for energy efficiency improvement in cement manufacturing at Binani Cement Limited	?	?	?
715	Blended Cement Project with Fly Ash – Lafarge India Private Limited	?	?	?
761	Uruba Renewable Irrigation Project	?	?	?

Background: At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board decided to place the project activities identified in table 2 above under review. In each case a review has been conducted by a review team and recommendations have been circulated to the Board. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 41 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to register the project activity (case A in table 2), to request the DOE and project participants to make corrections (case B in table 2), or to reject the project activity (case C in table 2).

20. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the response by the DOE (TUEV Rheinland) and project participant of project activity “Cogeneration system based on biomass (rice husk) replacing oil fired boiler for process steam and generating power for partly replacement of grid power supply to the plant at M/s Indian Acrylics Ltd., District Sangrur, Punjab, India” (0348) regarding the reasons for rejection of the project activity.

Background: At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board noted (Paragraph 75 of EB 29 report) that the reason for the rejection of the project activity was based on an issue on which the DOE and project participant had no opportunity to respond and the Board agreed to consider their response at its next meeting.

Registration procedure

21. ► **Action:** The Board shall select not less than twenty members for the Registration and Issuance Team (RIT), taking fully into account the consideration of regional balance.

Background: At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board agreed to the revised terms of reference and procedures for a Registration and Issuance Team (Annex 14 of EB 29 report). The revision pertains to, inter alia, the appointment of not less than twenty members based on applications to an initial public call for experts with a deadline of 13 March 2007. The secretariat will compile a list of applications received and a shortlist of applicants for consideration by the Board.



22. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the assessment prepared by the secretariat regarding the issue of withdrawal of project participants from registered project activities and the effects of this on the rights of parties involved.

Background: At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board requested the secretariat to prepare an assessment on the issue of withdrawal of project participants from registered project activities and the impact of such withdrawals on the rights of parties involved.

(e) **Matters relating to the issuance of certified emission reductions and the CDM registry**

23. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take stock on the progress of issuance and the operation of the CDM registry.

Background: The information available in the section on “Issuance of CERs” on the UNFCCC CDM website (<http://cdm.unfccc.int/Issuance>), indicates the status of request for issuance. The main information, as of 7 March 2007, can be summarized as follows:

- (a) CERs issued: 181 issuances, aggregate 36,077,012 CERs
- (b) Requests for Issuance: 18 requests, 3,737,596 aggregate CERs
- (c) Requests for review: 3 requests, 66,894 aggregate CERs
- (d) Under review: 0 requests

Case specific

24. ► **Action:** The Board shall consider requests for review of the three requests for issuance listed in table 5.

Table 5: Requests for issuance for which a review has been requested by three or more Board members or one Party involved.

Ref. no.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B	C
105	AWMS GHG Mitigation Project, MX05-B-02, Sonora, México	19,615	?	?	?
267	5 MW Wind Power Project at Baramsar and Soda Mada, district Jaisalmer, Rajasthan, India	12,600	?	?	?
282	Bagasse based power project at Jamkhandi Sugars Limited, Bagalkot, Karnataka	34,679	?	?	?

Background: Three (3) or more members of the Executive Board requested a review of the requests for issuance listed in table 5 above. In accordance with the “Procedures for review referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”, for each case the Board shall decide whether to instruct the CDM registry administrator to issue the requested CERs (case A in table 5), to issue CERs following corrections (case B in table 5) or to undertake a review of the request for issuance (case C in table 5). If the Board agrees to undertake a review of these requests for issuance it shall also decide on the scope of the review and the composition of the review team for each case.

25. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the revised request for issuance listed in table 6 below in accordance with paragraph 18 (b) of the “Clarifications to facilitate the implementation of the procedures for review as referred to in paragraph 65 of the modalities and procedures for a clean development mechanism”.



Table 6: Requests for issuance for which corrections have been requested

Ref. No.	Title	No. of CERs	A	B
0164	Bandeirantes Landfill Gas to Energy Project (BLFGE)	1,150,144	?	?

Background: The Executive Board requested corrections to be made to requests for issuance listed in table 6 above and in accordance with the above procedures the Board will consider the revised documentation submitted. If the Board considers the corrections as satisfactory, the CERs shall be issued (case A in table 6), otherwise the request is rejected (case B in table 6).

26. **► Action:** The Board may wish to provide guidance in relation to a request for deviation submitted by DOEs related to monitoring reports undergoing verification.

Background: At its twenty-ninth meeting the Board considered one request for deviation and decided that the case required further consideration. The Board may wish to consider this request again and provide guidance to the DOE.

4. Management plan and resources for the work on the CDM

27. **► Action:** The Board may wish to: (a) take note of the report by the secretariat on the status of resources; (b) indicate its appreciation to Parties which have generously contributed resources for the work on the CDM; (c) take further action, as necessary.

Background: The current status of income and expenditure is presented in table 6 below.

<i>In million USD</i>	2006-2007	Accumulation of operating reserve
Carry over from 2006	5.06	13.51
+ Party contributions*	0.47	
+ Accreditation fees	0.04	
+ Methodology fees		0.02
+ Registration fees		3.34
+ SOP Admin		1.31
+ Interest on operating reserve and unspent balances	tbd	tbd
TOTAL income in 2007 as of date of this report	5.57	18.18
- Total expenditure in 2007	1.41	
- Contributions earmarked for DNA forum in Africa	0.17	
Carry-over/Balance in 2007	3.99	
TOTAL income in 2007	5.57	
Outstanding contributions	3.10	
TOTAL expected resources available in 2007	8.67	
- Total budget need in 2007	13.99	
Shortfall for resources till end of 2007	5.32	
Expected 2008 budget to be funded by SOP		13.99
Accumulated operating reserve as % of 2008 budget		130%
Accumulated operating reserve as % of 1.5 year budget		87%



* Note that since the twenty-ninth meeting of the CDM Executive Board, the European Commission (USD 311,284) and Spain (USD 150,000) have contributed to the CDM. The contribution of Spain is to support the additional DNA forum in Africa.

5. Other matters

(a) Programme of Activities

28. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider the proposal prepared by the secretariat on the procedures for registration of a programme of activities as a single CDM project activity and issuance of certified emission reductions for a programme of activities, a draft programme of activities design document and a draft CDM programme activity design document taking into account the guidance provided by the Board at its twenty-eighth meeting, with a view to finalizing such procedures.

Background: In accordance with paragraph 20 of decision by 7/CMP.1, indicating that a local/regional/national policy or standard cannot be considered as a clean development mechanism project activity, but that project activities under a programme of activities can be registered as a single CDM project activity, the Board, at its twenty-eighth meeting, considered and agreed to “guidance on the registration of a programme of activities as a single project activity” (Version 01). In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to further refine the proposal on the modalities for the project cycle taking into account the guidance in this annex and other comments by the Board. The proposals for programme of activities were on the agenda of the Board at its twenty-ninth meeting, but could not be considered due to lack of time. The secretariat may present orally small editorial changes as requested by the Board at the same meeting.

(b) Relations with Designated National Authorities

29. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to note the status of preparation for the second meeting of the CDM DNA Forum to be held on 26 - 27 March 2007 in Bonn, Germany, in conjunction with “CDM Joint Coordination Workshop”, which will provide the opportunity to DNA representatives for an interaction with other players of the CDM process.

Background: The COP/MOP at its first session requested the Board, in relation to regional distribution and capacity-building, to broaden the participation in the CDM, including through meetings with a designated national authorities forum in a regular basis in conjunction with meetings of COP/MOP and its subsidiary bodies. The Board, at its twenty-third meeting, agreed to establish the CDM DNA forum and requested the secretariat to provide support to this forum.

(c) Regional distribution of project activities²

30. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of the current status of distribution of CDM project activities and to take note of the elements of the decision 1/CMP.2 “Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism” referring to regional distribution and capacity-building with a view to agree on further actions in this areas.

Background: The COP/MOP, at its second session, adopted a decision (1/CMP.2), which contains several elements relating to regional distribution and capacity building, including an encouragement to the Executive Board to continue to facilitate the regional distribution of project activities.

² Statistics relating to registered CDM project activities can be found at <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Statistics>

**(d) Relations with Designated Operational and Applicant Entities**

31. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) take note of the report by the Chair of the forum; and (b) provide feedback to the forum, as appropriate.

Background: Views on issues to be addressed to the Board by the CDM DOE/AE Coordination Forum are being sought from the DOEs and AEs. The Chair of the forum will present these orally to the Board for its consideration at the thirtieth meeting.

(e) Relations with stakeholders, intergovernmental and non-governmental organizations

32. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to (a) agree to avail itself for informal briefings with registered observers in the afternoon of 23 March 2007; and (b) invite members and alternates to share information on events in which they participated.

Background: On the occasion of its twenty-ninth meeting, the Chair and other members of the Board met with registered observers for an informal briefing and agreed to continue with such meetings in the afternoon of the last day of its future meetings, unless otherwise indicated.

Board members and alternates continued receiving communications from the public and invitations to participate in CDM-related events.

(f) Other business

33. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of an communication submitted by the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) and agree on any actions, which should be submitted to the TEAP before 13 April, as appropriate.

Background: By its Decision XVIII/12 “**Future work following the Ozone Secretariat workshop on the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change/Technology and Economic Assessment Panel special report**” Parties to the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer in its eighteenth meeting report requested the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel (TEAP) to provide information on current and future demand for, and supply of, hydrochlorofluorocarbons, giving full consideration to the influence of the Clean Development Mechanism on hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22 production, as well as on the availability of alternatives to hydrochlorofluorocarbons. It further request the Ozone Secretariat to facilitate consultations, as appropriate, by the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel with relevant organizations, namely, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change Secretariat, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the Executive Board of Clean Development Mechanism of the Kyoto Protocol, and the secretariat of the Multilateral Fund, to enable the Technology and Economic Assessment Panel to draw on the work already carried out under these organizations, including any work relating to hydrochlorofluorocarbon-22, and consider, in cooperation with the Scientific Assessment Panel, the implications of these findings for the recovery of the ozone layer.

The TEAP is expected to report its findings on the issues to the Open-ended Working Group at its twenty-seventh meeting in June, the deadline for documentation is six weeks prior, for consideration, with a view to providing a final report to the Nineteenth Meeting of the Parties in September. This meeting represents the twentieth anniversary of the Montreal Protocol.



34. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to take note of communications submitted by the public and agree on any actions, as appropriate.

Background: At its twenty-first meeting, the Board agreed on procedures for public communication with the CDM Executive Board as contained in annex 27 to its report. These procedures shall be followed with respect to all unsolicited submissions.

The Board has received the following communications from DOEs/AES and the general public prior to the thirtieth meeting of the Board:

(a) Letter from Ms. Belinda Kinkead, EcoSecurities, United Kingdom requesting reconsideration of decision related to the rejection of a project activity submitted to the Board on 10 February 2007;

(b) Letter from Mr. Pierre Fortin, Canadian Hydro Power Association, Ottawa, Canada related to methodological issues submitted to the Board on 10 February 2007;

(c) Letter from Mr. Alwin Aalders, International Emissions Trading Association (IETA), Switzerland related to methodological issues submitted to the Board on 10 February 2007;

(d) Letter from Mr. Kevin James from Quality Tonnes, USA related to methodological issues submitted to the Board on 10 February 2007;

(e) Letter from Ms. Gisela Ulloa, Bolivian CDM Office, Bolivia related to the "retroactive credit for San Ramon Rural Electrification Project" submitted to the Board on 13 February 2007;

(f) Letter from Mr. Leo Perkowski, AgCert, Ireland related to the request for review of issuance for project 0105 submitted to the Board on 13 February 2007;

(g) Letter and two attachments from Mr. Demóstenes Barbosa da Silva, Environmental Management and Carbon Credits, Brazil related to methodological issues submitted to the Board on 13 February 2007;

(h) Letter from Mr. Johannes Heister, Worldbank, USA related to the request for clarification of ACM0002 submitted to the Board on 6 March 2007;

(i) Letter from Mr. Luis Felipe Fuenzalida, Agricola Super Ltda., Chile through DNV Certification, Norway related to the rejection of request for registration of the project activity 0457 submitted to the Board on 6 March 2007;

(j) Letter from Mr. Andrei Marcu, International Emissions Trading Association (IETA), Switzerland related to methodological issues submitted to the Board on 7 March 2007;

(k) Letter from Mr. Hugo A. Cabral, Capex S.A., Argentina related to the request of reconsideration of the rejection of CAPEX S.A. - Agua del Cajón thermal power plant (project 0443) submitted to the Board on 7 March 2007;

35. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to consider any other business it deems necessary.

5. Conclusion of the meeting

36. ► **Action:** The Board may wish to agree the report of the thirtieth meeting.

Background: The Chair will summarize the meeting and adopt the report, including references to any decisions taken.
