Approved baseline and monitoring methodology AM0038

“Methodology for improved electrical energy efficiency of an existing submerged electric arc furnace used for the production of SiMn”

I. SOURCE AND APPLICABILITY

Source

This baseline methodology is based on the proposed methodology NM0146 “Baseline methodology for improved electrical energy efficiency of an existing submerged electric arc furnace used for the production of SiMn.” submitted by Transalloys Division of the Highveld Steel and Vanadium Corporation Ltd. For more information regarding this proposal and its consideration by the Executive Board please refer to http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html.

The methodology uses the latest version of ACM00021 to calculate the grid emission factor.

Baseline Approach Selected from Paragraph 48 of the CDM Modalities and Procedures

“Existing actual or historical emissions, as applicable”

Applicability

This methodology is applicable if the following conditions are met:

- Submerged electrical arc furnaces is used for production of silicomanganese (SiMn) both in the project case and baseline;
- The electricity consumed, both in the project case and the baseline, by the submerged electric arc furnace is sourced from the grid and not by onsite generation.
- The geographic and system boundaries for the relevant electricity grid can be clearly identified and information on the characteristics of the grid is available;
- The quality of the raw material and SiMn produced is not affected by the project activity and remains unchanged;
- The local regulations/programs do not cap the level of grid electricity that can be procured by the SiMn production facility where the project activity is implemented;
- Data for at least three years preceding the implementing the project activity is available to estimate the baseline emission.
- Emission reduction credits shall be claimed only until the end of the lifetime of the equipment;
- The project activity does not result in increase of in production capacity of the SiMn production facility, where the project is implemented, during the crediting period.

The following approaches should be taken into account to estimate the remaining lifetime of the existing equipment or its parts:
(a) The typical average technical lifetime of the equipment, taking into account common practices in the sector, e.g., based on industry surveys, statistics, technical literature, etc.
(b) The practices regarding replacement schedules, e.g. based on historical replacement records for similar equipment.

1 Please refer to: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html>.
The lifetime of the equipment will be documented and validated by the DOE. The remaining lifetime of the existing equipment should be chosen in conservative manner, i.e., the smallest value of remaining lifetime should be chosen in cases where the life time estimated as a time range rather than single value.

If the project activity is implemented in a number of electric arc furnaces, which produce SiMn, as part of a program, the methodology is applicable to the program as a whole. However all of the requirements (baseline determination, additionality, etc.) shall be applied to and should be fulfilled by each individual electric arc furnace covered under the program.

**II. BASELINE METHODOLOGY**

**Project Boundary**

The project boundary comprises of the following two components:
- The electricity grid from which the electricity used in the project activity is purchased, as defined in the latest version of ACM0002;
- The physical structure of the submerged electric arc furnace, as described in figure below (Figure 1).

![Diagram of project boundary](image_url)

**Figure 1:** Spatial extent of the project boundary (excluding the grid generation capacity according to the latest version of ACM0002)

---

2 Please refer to: <http://cdm.unfccc.int/methodologies/PAmethodologies/approved.html>.
### Emissions sources

The emissions sources included in the project boundary are defined in the table 1 below.

**Table 1: Emissions sources included in or excluded from the project boundary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Included?</th>
<th>Justification / Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid electricity generation</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Only CO₂ emissions associated with the electricity consumption of the Submerged arc electric furnace;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from the consumption of reductants</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Although some part of the carbon will end up in the end product it is assumed that 100% will be emitted to the air via the exhaust gases. Carbon content is measured on 3 year historic average reductant consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>No CH₄ emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>N₂O emissions are excluded for simplification.⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from the consumption of electrode paste</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Based on 3 year historic average electrode paste consumption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>No CH₄ emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>N₂O emissions are excluded for simplification.⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid electricity generation</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Only CO₂ emissions associated with the utilisation of the Submerged arc electric furnace will be counted; The combined margin method as described in the latest version of ACM0002 should be used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from the consumption of reductants</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Although some part of the carbon will end up in the end product it is assumed that 100% will be emitted to the air via the exhaust gases. Reduction consumption is monitored during project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>No CH₄ emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>N₂O emissions are excluded for simplification.⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions from the consumption of electrode paste</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Electrode paste consumption is monitored during the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>No CH₄ emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>N₂O emissions are excluded for simplification.⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note ⁴: N₂O emissions are excluded for simplification.
Identification of baseline scenario

The baseline scenario shall be determined using the following steps:

Step 1: Identify technically feasible options to increase energy efficiency within the project boundary:
The project proponent shall consider at least the following baseline alternatives:

a) Complete replacement of furnace;
b) Continued use of installed furnace technology;
c) The project activity, installation of new-build design, not implemented as a CDM project;
d) All other plausible and credible alternatives to the project activity that provide energy efficiency improvement to the furnace which are technically feasible to implement with comparable quality, properties and application areas.

Step 2: Identify baseline alternatives that do not comply with legal or regulatory requirements:
The project proponent shall consider the following:

- Identify all the legal or regulatory requirements that may influence the choice of baseline options and evidence should be provided that all such requirements have been documented;
- The baseline alternative should also be evaluated in the context of sector trends, and incorporate the effects of any legislation and government policies that may affect this trend. For example, if energy efficiency standards are being introduced by the national government, they should be incorporated in the available baseline alternative.

If a baseline alternative does not comply with all applicable legislation and regulations, then show that, based on an examination of current practice in the country or region in which the law or regulation applies, those applicable legal or regulatory requirements are systematically not enforced and that non-compliance with those requirements is widespread in the country. If this cannot be shown, then eliminate the baseline alternative from further consideration.

Step 3: Eliminate baseline alternatives that face prohibitive barriers
Scenarios that face prohibitive barriers should be eliminated by applying step 3 of the latest version of the “Tool for demonstration and assessment of additionality” agreed by the CDM Executive Board.

Establish a complete list of barriers that would prevent baseline alternative to occur in the absence of the CDM. Since the “proposed project activity not being registered as a CDM project activity” shall be one of the considered baseline alternative, any barrier that may prevent the project activity to occur shall be included in that list. Show which baseline alternatives are prevented by at least one of the barriers previously identified and eliminate those alternatives from further consideration. All alternatives shall be evaluated for a common set of barriers.

If there is only one baseline alternative that is not prevented by any barrier then this alternative is identified as the baseline scenario. Where more than one baseline alternatives remains, project participants shall, as a conservative assumption, use the alternative baseline scenario that results in the lowest baseline emissions as the most likely baseline scenario, or conduct an investment analysis (Step 4).
Step 4: Compare economic attractiveness of the remaining alternatives

The net present value (NPV) analysis shall be used compare the economic attractiveness, without revenues from CERs, for all baseline alternatives that are remaining after step 3. Explicitly state the following parameters:

- Investment requirements (incl. break-up into major equipment cost, required construction work, installation);
- A discount rate appropriate to the country and sector (Use government bond rates, increased by a suitable risk premium to reflect private investment in the specific project type, as substantiated by an independent (financial) expert);
- Current price and expected future price (variable costs) of energy, raw materials and other products (Note: As a default assumption the current prices may be assumed as future prices. Where project participants intend to use future prices that are different from current prices, the future prices have to be substantiated by a public and official publication from a governmental body or an intergovernmental institution);
- Other operating costs for each alternative;
- Lifetime of the project, equal to the remaining lifetime of the existing facility; and
- Other operation and maintenance costs.

The NPV calculation should take into account the residual value of the new equipment at the end of the lifetime of the project activity. The information on all the above factors as well as assumptions shall be explicitly stated in the CDM-PDD.

Compare the NPV of the different baseline alternatives and select the most cost-effective alternative (i.e. with the highest NPV) as the baseline scenario. Include a sensitivity analysis applying Sub-step 2d of the latest version of the “Tool for demonstration assessment and of additionality” agreed by the CDM Executive Board. The most cost-effective scenario is the baseline scenario if sensitivity analysis consistently supports (for a realistic range of assumptions) this conclusion. In case the sensitivity analysis is not fully conclusive, select the baseline scenario alternative with least emissions among the alternatives that are the most economically attractive according to the investment analysis and the sensitivity analysis.

This methodology is only applicable if the continuation of use of installed furnace technology throughout the crediting period is the most plausible baseline scenario.

Additionality

The assessment of additionality comprises of three steps:

Step 1: Investment & sensitivity analysis
Demonstrate that the project activity undertaken without the CDM is economically less attractive than the most plausible baseline scenario, by following the instructions given in step 4 of the chapter “Identification of the baseline scenario” above. Include a sensitivity analysis applying Sub-step 2d of the latest version of the “Tool for demonstration assessment and of additionality” as agreed by the CDM Executive Board. The investment analysis provides a valid argument in favour of additionality only if it consistently supports (for a realistic range of assumptions) the conclusion that the project activity is unlikely to be the most financially attractive.

3 Note that NPV values may be negative.
Step 2: Common practice analysis
Demonstrate that the project activity is not a common practice in the country and sector by applying Step 4 of the latest version of the “Tool for demonstration assessment and of additionality” as agreed by the CDM Executive Board.

Step 3: Impact of CDM registration
Describe the impact of the registration of the project activity by applying Step 5 of the latest version of the “Tool for demonstration assessment and of additionality” as agreed by the CDM Executive Board.

If all 3 steps are satisfied, then the project is considered additional.

Baseline Emissions

Emissions associated with SiMn production in the baseline scenario are determined as follows:

\[ BE_y = BE_{y,\text{offsite}} + BE_{y,\text{onsite}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:
- \( BE_y \): Baseline emissions (tCO₂e in year \( y \))
- \( BE_{y,\text{offsite}} \): Offsite baseline (grid) electricity emissions associated with the electricity consumption of the submerged arc furnace (tCO₂e in year \( y \))
- \( BE_{y,\text{onsite}} \): Onsite baseline emissions associated with the consumption of Reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste during the production of SiMn (tCO₂e in year \( y \))

Offsite baseline emissions are calculated according to:

\[ BE_{y,\text{offsite}} = QP_{y,\text{max}} \times sec_b \times EF_{y,\text{offsite}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where:
- \( BE_{y,\text{offsite}} \): Offsite baseline (grid) electricity emissions associated with the electricity consumption of the submerged arc furnace (tCO₂e in year \( y \))
- \( QP_{y,\text{max}} \): Value of SiMn production in year \( y \) (tSiMn/y) as estimated using equation 3. This value is used in both the baseline and the project emission calculations.
- \( sec_b \): Historic (at least a three year vintage period) average grid specific electricity consumption per tonne of SiMn produced in the baseline situation (MWh/tSiMn).
- \( EF_{y,\text{offsite}} \): Grid electricity emissions factor (tCO₂e/MWh), estimated using the latest version of ACM0002
The SiMn production is limited to a historic average level as follows:

\[ Q_{P_{y,\text{max}}} = \min \left\{ Q_{P_{y,\text{monitored}}} \cdot Q_{P_{\text{historic}}} \right\} \quad \text{(3)} \]

Where:
- \( Q_{P_{y,\text{max}}} \): Value of SiMn production used for estimating baseline and project emissions for year \( y \) (tSiMn/y)
- \( Q_{P_{y,\text{monitored}}} \): Monitored production of SiMn in year \( y \) during the project activity (tSiMn/y)
- \( Q_{P_{\text{historic}}} \): Historic (at least a three year vintage period) average annual production of SiMn (tSiMn/y)

The historic average production of SiMn is calculated according to:

\[ Q_{P_{\text{historic}}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{P_{i}}}{n} \quad \text{(4)} \]

Where:
- \( Q_{P_{\text{historic}}} \): Historic (at least a three year vintage period) average annual quantity of SiMn production (tSiMn/y)
- \( Q_{P_{i}} \): Annual SiMn production for the \( i^{th} \) years preceding the project activity (tSiMn)
- \( n \): Number, at least three years, of historic year data used for estimating historic annual average production

The average electricity consumption per tonne of SiMn produced in the baseline situation is calculated as follows:

\[ se_{c_{\text{historic}}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} EC_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{P_{i}}} \quad \text{(5)} \]

Where:
- \( se_{c_{i}} \): Historic (at least a three year vintage period) average grid specific electricity consumption per tonne of SiMn produced in the baseline situation (MWh/tSiMn)
- \( Q_{P_{i}} \): Annual SiMn production for the at least three years preceding the project activity (tSiMn produced in year \( i \))
- \( EC_{i} \): Annual grid electricity consumption by the submerged electric arc furnace for the at least three years preceding the project activity (MWh consumed in year \( i \))
The onsite emissions are calculated using the following equations.

\[
BE_{y, \text{on site}} = QP_{y, \text{max}} \times EF_{b, \text{on site}}
\]  

(6)

Where:
- **\(BE_{y, \text{on site}}\)**: Onsite baseline emissions associated with the consumption of Reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste in the production of SiMn (tCO₂e in year \(y\))
- **\(QP_{y, \text{max}}\)**: Value of SiMn production used for estimating baseline and project emissions for year \(y\) (tSiMn/y)
- **\(EF_{b, \text{on site}}\)**: Baseline emission factor associated with the (onsite) consumption of reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste in the production of per tonne of SiMn (tCO₂e/tSiMn). The average onsite emissions are based on historic (at least a three year vintage period) average annual consumption as calculated in equation 7.

The onsite emission factor is determined as follows:

\[
EF_{b, \text{onsite}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{\text{bcoal},i} \times EF_{\text{bcoal}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{\text{bcoke},i} \times EF_{\text{bcoke}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{\text{bpaste},i} \times EF_{\text{bpaste}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} QP_i}
\]  

(7)

Where:
- **\(EF_{b, \text{onsite}}\)**: Baseline emission factor associated with the (onsite) consumption of reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste in the production of per tonne of SiMn (tCO₂e/tSiMn). The average onsite emissions are based on historic (at least a three year vintage period)
- **\(Q_{\text{bcoal},i}\)**: Historic (at least a three year vintage period (i)) annual consumption of coal used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of coal per year (tCoal consumed in year i). This value shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions using project specific values.
- **\(EF_{\text{bcoal}}\)**: Emissions factor applied for the coal consumed as reductant. This factor can be calculated on a project specific basis or a default IPCC value can be applied. If project specific values are used this factor shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions. If IPCC values are used the conservative end of the uncertainty range shall be applied.
- **\(Q_{\text{bcoke},i}\)**: Historic (at least a three year vintage period) annual consumption of coke used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of coke per year (tCoke consumed in year i). This value shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions using project specific values.
- **\(EF_{\text{bcoke}}\)**: Emissions factor applied for the coke consumed as reductant. This factor can be calculated on a project specific basis or a default IPCC value can be applied. If project specific values are used this factor shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions. If IPCC values are used the conservative end of the uncertainty range shall be applied.
- **\(Q_{\text{bpaste},i}\)**: Historic (at least a three year vintage period) annual consumption of electrode paste used as Electrode in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of electrode paste per year (t paste consumed in year i). This value shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions using project specific values.
- **\(EF_{\text{bpaste}}\)**: Emissions factor applied for the electrode paste consumed as electrode, using the relevant emissions factor (tCO₂) for the carbon paste as specified by the manufacturer applicable for the vintage period. If manufacturer’s specifications are used, the lower value of the
uncertainty range provided by the manufacturer will have to be adopted. Alternatively, a conservative but not real default factor of 0 tCO2 /t of Carbon paste can be used (based on the assumption that the paste is 0% carbon).

When IPCC values are used to determine the emission factors for calculating onsite emissions an uncertainty coefficient shall be defined based on the most recent version of the IPCC guidelines on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and the most recent country specific information reported on the basis thereof. Where project specific values are used the overall uncertainty of the onsite emissions will be assessed based on the measurements of activity data and emission factors. The uncertainty will be assessed in line with the European Commission guidelines on monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions in iron and steel production and taken into account when calculating the onsite emissions.

**Project Emissions**

Estimates of the emissions associated with SiMn production in the project scenario are determined as follows:

\[ PE_y = PE_y^{(offsite)} + PE_y^{(onsite)} \]  
(8)

Where:

- **PEy**: Project emissions in year y
- **PEy^{(offsite)}**: Offsite project (grid) electricity emissions associated with the electricity consumption of the submerged arc furnace (tCO2e in year y)
- **PEy^{(onsite)}**: Onsite project emissions associated with the consumption of reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste during the production of SiMn (tCO2e in year y).

Offsite emissions in the project scenario are determined according to:

\[ PE_y^{(offsite)} = QP_y, \text{max} \times sec_{p,y} \times EF_y^{(offsite)} \]  
(9)

Where:

- **QP_y, max**: Value of SiMn production used for estimating baseline and project emissions for year y (tSiMn/y), estimated using equation 3 in the baseline emission section
- **PEy^{(offsite)}**: Offsite project (grid) electricity emissions associated with the electricity consumption of the submerged arc furnace (tCO2e in year y)
- **sec_{p,y}**: Grid specific electricity consumption per tonne of SiMn produced in the project situation (MWh/tSiMn) in year y
- **EFy^{(offsite)}**: Grid electricity emissions factor (tCO2e/MWh) estimated by using the latest version of ACM0002.

The average electricity consumption per tonne of SiMn produced in the project situation is calculated as follows:

\[ sec_{p,y} = \frac{EC_y}{QP_y, \text{monitored}} \]  
(10)

Where:

---

5 Latest version of IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Reporting Instructions
The onsite emissions for the project scenario are calculated using the following equations.

\[ PE_y(\text{onsite}) = QP_{y, \text{max}} \times EF_{p, y(\text{onsite})} \] (11)

Where:
- \( PE_y(\text{onsite}) \): Onsite project emissions associated with the consumption of reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste during the production of SiMn (tCO\(_2\)e in year \(y\)).
- \( QP_{y, \text{max}} \): Value of SiMn production used for estimating baseline and project emissions for year \(y\) (tSiMn/y).
- \( EF_{p, y(\text{onsite})} \): Project emission factor associated with the (onsite) average consumption of reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste during the production per ton SiMn in year \(y\) (tCO\(_2\)e/tSiMn) as calculated in equation 12.

The onsite emission factor in the project scenario are calculated as follows:

\[ EF_{p, \text{onsite}} = \frac{Q_{p\text{coal}, i} \times EF_{p\text{coal}} + Q_{p\text{coke}, i} \times EF_{p\text{coke}} + Q_{p\text{paste}, i} \times EF_{p\text{paste}}}{QP_y} \] (12)

Where:
- \( EF_{p, y(\text{onsite})} \): Project emission factor associated with the (onsite) average consumption of reductant (Coal and Coke) and electrode paste in the production per ton SiMn in year \(y\) (tCO\(_2\)e/tSiMn) as calculated in equation 12.
- \( Q_{p\text{coal}, y} \): Consumption of coal used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of coal per year (tCoal/y). This value shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions using project specific values.
- \( EF_{p\text{coal}} \): Emissions factor applied for the coal consumed as reductant. This factor can be calculated on a project specific basis or a default IPCC value can be applied. If project specific values are used this factor shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions. If IPCC values are used the conservative end of the uncertainty range shall be applied.
- \( Q_{p\text{coke}, y} \): Consumption of coke used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of coke per year (tCoke/y). This value shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions using project specific values.
- \( EF_{p\text{coke}} \): Emissions factor applied for the coke consumed as reductant. This factor can be calculated on a project specific basis or a default IPCC value can be applied. If project specific values are used this factor shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions. If IPCC values are used the conservative end of the uncertainty range shall be applied.
- \( Q_{p\text{paste}, y} \): Consumption of electrode paste used as electrode in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of electrode paste per year (tpaste/y). This value shall be taken into account when assessing the overall uncertainty for onsite emissions using project specific values.
- \( EF_{p\text{paste}} \): Emissions factor applied for the electrode paste consumed as electrode, using the relevant emissions factor (tCO\(_2\)) for the carbon paste as specified by the manufacturer in year \(y\).
If manufacturer’s specifications are used, the lower value of the uncertainty range provided by the manufacturer will have to be adopted. Alternatively, a default factor of 3.67 tCO₂ / t of Carbon paste can be taken. (based on the assumption that the paste is 100% carbon which is the same as 44/12 tCO₂eq).

\[ Q_{P_y, \text{monitored}} : \text{Quantity of SiMn production in year } y \text{ during the project activity (tSiMn/y)} \]

When IPCC values are used to determine the emission factors for calculating onsite emissions an uncertainty coefficient shall be defined based on the most recent version of the IPCC guidelines on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and the most recent country specific information reported on the basis thereof.\(^7\) Where project specific values are used the overall uncertainty of the onsite emissions will be assessed based on the measurements of activity data and emission factors. The uncertainty will be assessed in line with the EC guidelines on monitoring and reporting of GHG emissions in iron and steel production and taken into account when calculating the onsite emissions.\(^8\)

**Leakage**

The methodology does not anticipate any other measurable forms of leakage attributable to the project activity. This assumption should be verified when a project is developed to validate this assumption. The leakages mentioned in the approved methodology ACM0002 too shall be assessed.

Project activity is likely to result in decrease in emissions outside the project boundary and these will not be taken into account in the calculation. This may occur due to following reasons:

The project activity will improve the SiMn recovery from the Manganese ore and consequently reduce the rate of depletion of this non-renewable manganese ore resource. Consequently this reduces the anthropogenic GHG emissions associated with the activities ‘upstream’ of the SiMn production process. The emissions associated with a reduction in the mining of ore and the reduced transport of ore to the SiMn plant occur outside the project boundary thus it can not be considered as a component of emissions reduction.

In addition the above-mentioned increase in SiMn recovery will result in the production of less slag in relation to the same amount of SiMn produced. The ‘downstream’ anthropogenic GHG emissions associated with the handling of this slag (e.g. crushing and transportation to the dump) will be reduced as a result. These emissions occur outside the boundary hence can not be considered as a component of emissions reduction.

**Emission reductions**

The emission reductions (ERₚ) of the project activity during a given year y is the difference between the baseline, project emissions and emissions due to leakage, as expressed in the formula below.

\[ ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - L_y \]

Where:

- \( ER_y \): Emissions Reductions (t CO₂eq) in year y
- \( BE_y \): Emissions in the baseline scenario (t CO₂eq) in year y
- \( PE_y \): Emissions in the project scenario (t CO₂eq) in year y

\(^7\) Latest version of IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Reporting Instructions
Lₙ: Leakage (t CO₂e) in year y

Data and Parameters Not Monitored

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Source of data:</th>
<th>QA/QC procedures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qᵢₐₚᵢₜᵢₜ</td>
<td>Annual SiMn production for years preceding the project activity, at least three years</td>
<td>Tonnes of SiMn/year</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
<td>Historic calibration and maintenance reports may serve to demonstrate QA/QC procedures and access uncertainties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Source of data:</th>
<th>QA/QC procedures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECᵢ</td>
<td>Annual grid electricity consumption by the submerged electric arc furnace for years preceding the project implementation, at least three years data should be used.</td>
<td>MWh/year</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
<td>This data will be double checked with bills from grid operator to ensure consistency. Electricity meter historic calibration and maintenance reports may serve to demonstrate QA/QC procedures and access uncertainties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Source of data:</th>
<th>QA/QC procedures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qᵢₐₚᵢₜᵢₜ</td>
<td>Historic annual consumption of coal used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace</td>
<td>Tonnes of coal/year</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
<td>Load cells historic calibration and maintenance reports may serve to demonstrate QA/QC procedures and access uncertainties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Source of data:</th>
<th>QA/QC procedures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qᵢₐₚᵢₜᵢₜ</td>
<td>Historic annual consumption of coke used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace</td>
<td>Tonnes of coke/year</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
<td>Load cells historic calibration and maintenance reports may serve to demonstrate QA/QC procedures and access uncertainties</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Data / Parameter:** Q_{paste, I}  
**Data unit:** Tonnes of paste/year  
**Description:** Historic annual consumption of electrode paste used as electrode in the submerged electric arc furnace  
**Source of data:** Project proponent  
**Measurement procedures (if any):** The annual paste consumption for at least three years preceding the project activity will be recorded at the start of the project activity  
**Monitoring frequency:** At the start of the project activity  
**QA/QC procedures:** Load cells historic calibration and maintenance reports may serve to demonstrate QA/QC procedures and access uncertainties  
**Any comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter</th>
<th>EF_{coal}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data unit:</strong></td>
<td>tCO₂/ t coal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong></td>
<td>Emission factor applied for the coal consumed as reductant based on carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of data:</strong></td>
<td>Carbon content furnished by the supplier or independent laboratory or IPCC values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement procedures (if any):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring frequency:</strong></td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QA/QC procedures:</strong></td>
<td>Historic laboratory reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any comment:</strong></td>
<td>An estimated project-specific value for three years preceding the project activity is preferred to IPCC value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter</th>
<th>EF_{coke}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data unit:</strong></td>
<td>tCO₂/ t coke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong></td>
<td>Emission factor applied for the coke consumed as reductant based on carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of data:</strong></td>
<td>Carbon content furnished by the supplier or independent laboratory or IPCC values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement procedures (if any):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring frequency:</strong></td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QA/QC procedures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any comment:</strong></td>
<td>An estimated project-specific value for three years preceding the project activity is preferred to IPCC value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter</th>
<th>EF_{paste}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data unit:</strong></td>
<td>tCO₂/ t of carbon paste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong></td>
<td>Emission factor applied for the electrode paste consumed as electrode based on carbon content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of data:</strong></td>
<td>Carbon content furnished by the supplier or independent laboratory or IPCC values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement procedures (if any):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monitoring frequency:</strong></td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>QA/QC procedures:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Any comment:</strong></td>
<td>Based on the manufacturer’s specifications for the paste used in the three years preceding the project activity, a value will be determined. Alternatively, a factor of 0 tCO₂/ t of carbon paste can be applied only for the baseline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data / Parameter:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Quality of Coal</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Mass fraction of each component (%m/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Quality of Coal based on elementary analysis and other relevant properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate EF$_{coal}$. The quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of historic data for standard grades and carbon content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Data / Parameter:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Quality of Coke</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Mass fraction of each component (%m/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Quality of Coke based on elementary analysis and other relevant properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent or a third party laboratory (can be the supplier)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate EF$_{coke}$, the quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of historic data for standard grades and carbon content.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Historic data obtained from analysis reports to be compared with IPCC range of values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Data / Parameter:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Quality of electrode paste</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Mass fraction of each component (%m/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Quality of electrode paste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent or a third party laboratory (can be the supplier) or IPCC values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate EF$_{paste}$, the quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of manufacturer’s information for the paste used in the past three years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Historic data obtained from analysis reports to be compared with IPCC range of values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Data / Parameter:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Quality of SiMn</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Quality of SiMn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent or a third party laboratory or IPCC values.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>The quality of the SiMn (defined by certain specifications for Mn, C, Si, P, S) for the three years preceding the project activity will be recorded at the start of the project activity based on historic sampling analysis data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>At the start of the project activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Historic data obtained from analysis reports to be compared with reference data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Data / Parameter: Quality of Ore

**Data unit:** Text

**Description:** Quality of Ore

**Source of data:** Project proponent or a third party laboratory or IPCC values.

**Measurement procedures (if any):** The quality of the Ore (defined by certain specifications for Fe, Mn, C, Si, P, S etc) for the three years preceding the project activity will be recorded at the start of the project activity based on historic sampling analysis data.

**Monitoring frequency:** At the start of the project activity

**QA/QC procedures:** Historic data obtained from analysis reports to be compared with reference data

**Any comment:**

### Data / Parameter: Quality of fluxes

**Data unit:** Text

**Description:** Quality of fluxes

**Source of data:** Project proponent

**Measurement procedures (if any):** The quality of the fluxes (defined by elementary analysis and other relevant properties) for the three years preceding the project activity will be recorded at the start of the project activity based on historic sampling analysis data.

**Monitoring frequency:** At the start of the project activity

**QA/QC procedures:**

**Any comment:**

### III. MONITORING METHODOLOGY

#### Overview of Parameters to be Monitored

The methodology is devised to monitor emissions as a result of both baseline and project activities arising from project implementation. The methodology monitors the onsite and offsite emissions in the baseline and the project scenario. Some of the baseline parameters are defined as constant values since they can no longer be separately measured after implementation of the project. These constant values are based on actual historic measurements and interpreted in a conservative manner.

Data for estimating grid electricity emission factor should be monitored as defined in the latest version of ACM0002.

IPCC emission factors are used for coal and coke in case local data is not available. Manufacturers emission factor is used for electrode paste. The methodology requires monitoring of the consumption of electricity, coal, coke and electrode paste, SiMn production and project-specific quality and emission factors for coal, coke, electrode paste.

#### Monitoring of baseline and project parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Description:</th>
<th>Source of data:</th>
<th>Measurement procedures (if any):</th>
<th>Monitoring frequency:</th>
<th>QA/QC procedures:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QP_y, monitored</td>
<td>Tonnes of SiMn/year</td>
<td>Quantity of SiMn production in year y during the project activity</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
<td>The SiMn production is weighed on a platform scale as it is transferred to the casting machine or casting bed</td>
<td>Per production run</td>
<td>Measurement equipment used at the plant site should be subject to a regular maintenance and testing regime (incl. Calibration) to ensure accuracy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Data / Parameter: $EC_y$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>MWh/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Annual grid electricity consumption by the submerged electric arc furnace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>The quantity of electricity consumed from a grid will be metered, and double-checked with the bills from the electricity supplier.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>Measured continuously, recorded monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>This data will be double checked with bills from grid operator to ensure consistency. Electricity meter will be calibrated in line with manufacturer’s recommendations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data / Parameter: $Q_{pcoal, y}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Tonnes of Coal/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Consumption of coal used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>The coal is weighed into hoppers with load cells under them to determine the mass fed into the furnace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Measurement equipment used at the plant site should be subject to a regular maintenance and testing regime (including calibration) to ensure accuracy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data / Parameter: $Q_{pcoke, y}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Tonnes of Coke/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Consumption of coke used as reductant in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of coke per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>The coke is weighed into hoppers with load cells under them to determine the mass fed into the furnace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Measurement equipment used at the plant site should be subject to a regular maintenance and testing regime (including calibration) to ensure accuracy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Data / Parameter: $Q_{ppaste, y}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data unit:</th>
<th>Tonne of paste/year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Consumption of electrode paste used as electrode in the submerged electric arc furnace in tonnes of electrode paste per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>Based on the inventory of paste cylinders at the facilities and the mass per cylinder as measured upon arrival at the plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Measurement equipment (load cells) used at the plant site should be subject to a regular maintenance and testing regime (including calibration) to ensure accuracy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any comment:
### Data / Parameter: **EF\textsubscript{pcoal, y}**

- **Data unit:** t\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} / t coal
- **Description:** Emission factor applied for the coal consumed as reductant
- **Source of data:** Carbon content furnished by the supplier or independent laboratory.
- **Measurement procedures (if any):**
- **Monitoring frequency:** Monthly
- **QA/QC procedures:** Compare any measurement results with the range of default emission factors.
- **Any comment:** Calculated project-specific value is preferred to IPCC value.

### Data / Parameter: **EF\textsubscript{pcoke, y}**

- **Data unit:** t\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} / t coke
- **Description:** Emission factor applied for the coke consumed as reductant
- **Source of data:** Carbon content furnished by the supplier or independent laboratory
- **Measurement procedures (if any):** Laboratory analysis
- **Monitoring frequency:** Monthly
- **QA/QC procedures:** Compare any measurement results with the range of default emission factors.
- **Any comment:** Calculated project-specific value is preferred to IPCC value.

### Data / Parameter: **EF\textsubscript{ppaste, y}**

- **Data unit:** t\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} / t of carbon paste
- **Description:** Emission factor applied for the electrode paste consumed as electrode
- **Source of data:** Carbon content furnished by the supplier or independent laboratory
- **Measurement procedures (if any):**
- **Monitoring frequency:** Monthly
- **QA/QC procedures:** Compare manufacturer’s information with alternative conservative factor.
- **Any comment:** Specified by the manufacturer. Alternatively, a conservative factor of 3.67 t\textsubscript{CO\textsubscript{2}} / t of carbon paste can be applied for the project activity scenario.

### Data / Parameter: **Quality of Coal\textsubscript{p}**

- **Data unit:** Mass fraction of each component (%m/m)
- **Description:** Elementary analysis
- **Source of data:** Project proponent or a third party laboratory (can be the supplier)
- **Measurement procedures (if any):**
- **Monitoring frequency:** Monthly
- **QA/QC procedures:** Lab analyses will be undertaken to national or international standard to ensure accuracy and consistency.
- **Any comment:** To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate EF\textsubscript{coal}. The quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of standard grades and carbon content.

### Data / Parameter: **Quality of Coke\textsubscript{p}**

- **Data unit:** Mass fraction of each component (%m/m)
- **Description:** Quality of Coke based on elementary analysis and other relevant properties
- **Source of data:** Project proponent or a third party laboratory (can be the supplier)
- **Measurement procedures (if any):**
- **Monitoring frequency:** Monthly
- **QA/QC procedures:** To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate EF\textsubscript{coke}. The quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of historic data for standard grades and carbon content.
## QA/QC procedures:
Lab analyses will be undertaken to national or international standard to ensure accuracy and consistency.

## Any comment:
To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate $\text{EF}_{\text{coke}}$. The quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of standard grades and carbon content.

### Data / Parameter: Quality of electrode paste_p
- **Data unit:** Mass fraction of each component (% m/m)
- **Description:** Elementary analysis
- **Source of data:** Project proponent or a third party laboratory (can be the supplier)
- **Monitoring frequency:** At the time of purchase
- **QA/QC procedures:** Compare any measurement results with a range of factors supplied by other suppliers or IPCC.

## Any comment:
To ensure consistency and, if applicable, calculate the quality shall be monitored. Quality will be established on the basis of manufacturer’s information.

### Data / Parameter: $\text{EF}_y$ (offsite)
- **Data unit:** tCO_2/MWh
- **Description:** Grid emissions factor
- **Source of data:** Measurement procedures established according to the latest version of ACM0002.
- **Monitoring frequency:** According to the latest version of ACM0002
- **QA/QC procedures:** QA/QC procedures specified in the latest version of ACM0002 will be followed

## Any comment:
As resulting from the latest version of ACM0002

### Data / Parameter: Quality of SiMn_p
- **Data unit:** Text
- **Description:** Quality of SiMn
- **Source of data:** Project proponent or a third party laboratory
- **Monitoring frequency:** Daily
- **QA/QC procedures:** Lab analyses will be undertaken to national or international standard to ensure accuracy and consistency.

## Any comment:

### Data / Parameter: Quality of Ore
- **Data unit:** Text
- **Description:** Quality of Ore
- **Source of data:** Project proponent or a third party laboratory (can be the supplier)
- **Monitoring frequency:** Monthly
- **QA/QC procedures:** Historic data obtained from analysis reports to be compared with reference data

## Any comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>Quality of fluxes based on elementary analysis and other relevant properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Quality of fluxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Project proponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>The quality of the fluxes (defined by elementary analysis and other relevant properties) for the three years preceding the project activity will be recorded at the start of the project activity based on historic sampling analysis data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Lab analyses will be undertaken to national or international standard to ensure accuracy and consistency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>