

TENTH PROGRESS REPORT OF THE CDM ACCREDITATION PANEL (CDM-AP)

Twentieth Meeting of the CDM-AP**2 – 3 February 2006**

CONTENTS

	<i>Paragraphs</i>	<i>Page</i>
I. Introduction.....	1	2
II. Roster of Experts.....	2–3	2
III. Status of applications	4–6	2
IV. Indicative letters and recommendation for accreditation	7–8	2
V. Other recommendations	9	2
VI. Other outputs of the panel.....	10	2
VII. Key Issues under consideration.....	11–14	3

Annexes

1. Regional distribution of team members	4
2. Status of application of AEs.....	5–6

I. Introduction

1. This tenth progress report covers the period from 12 November 2005 to 3 February 2006. During this period the accreditation panel held only one meeting.

II. Roster of Experts

2. The panel had received some new applications from experts, which have been evaluated and included in the roster, as applicable. The number of experts in the roster is gradually increasing but the panel is still facing difficulties in availability of experts. The non-availability of Meth experts to participate in witnessing activities has caused delays for some cases.

3. The panel, in establishing its teams, continues to take into consideration the issue of capacity building and regional balance in the assessment teams (ATs). The CDM-AP is also continuously paying attention to means to further enhance the competence of the ATs and take appropriate action as necessary. For regional distribution of team leaders and team members please refer to the table in annex 1.

III. Status of applications

4. Since the twenty-first meeting of the Board, **one new application** for accreditation was received, this brings the total number of applications to thirty three (33). It should be noted that three of the 33 applicant entities have withdrawn their applications.

5. The **geographical distribution of the 30 applications** under consideration is as follows: Twelve are from Asia and Pacific region, 15 from Western Europe and Other region, two from Latin America and Caribbean region and one from African region. Three applicants from the Asia and Pacific region, two from Latin America and Caribbean region and one from the African region are from Non-Annex I Parties (Republic of Korea (2), Malaysia, Columbia, Brazil and South Africa). Thus a total of six applications are from Non-Annex I Parties.

6. One AE is **addressing nonconformities** in accordance with the procedure for accreditation. For one entity the date for the on-site assessment is agreed. For three entities the desk review work is being prepared and two entities are at the initial stage of launch of the ATs. For one new entity the panel had established the team. For details on status of all applications please refer to the overview table in annex 2.

IV. Indicative letters and recommendation for accreditation

7. During this period the panel has not issued any indicative letter to any entity.

8. The panel **considered cases for recommendations regarding phased accreditation for validation and verification/certification** and its deliberation on this matter are presented to the Board under strict confidentiality.

V. Other recommendations

9. There is no recommendation from the CDM-AP from its twentieth meeting.

VI. Other outputs of the panel

10. The CDM-AP revised the witnessing activities report forms both for validation and verification functions. The purpose of this revision is to bring more clarity to the requirements to be assessed at the witnessing stage.

VII. Key Issues under consideration

11. The CDM-AP, in order to incorporate decisions and clarifications by the Board and also clarifications issued by the panel, continues to **revise the “procedures for accrediting operational entities by the Executive Board of the CDM”**. The CDM-AP considered the first draft of the revised procedure and provided feedback to the secretariat. The revised procedure is expected to be recommended to the EB at its twenty-third meeting.
12. The CDM-AP is considering the focus and modalities of the re- accreditation process it is expecting to prepare a first document on this issue at its twenty-second meeting after having considered the inputs received at the joint workshop.
13. The CDM-AP also agreed to improve its evaluation mechanism of the performance of ATs.
14. To ensure systematic management of the CDM accreditation documents and records, the panel, with the assistance of the secretariat, is developing **“document control and record management procedures”**. This item has been put on hold till more resources are available at the secretariat to carry the document forward.

Annex 1

Table: Regional distribution of team members*(in bold character members from Non-Annex I Parties)*

Organization	Leader	Member	Member
0001 JQA	AFR	WEO	AFR
0002 JACO CDM	ASP	ASP	ASP
0003 DNV Cert	AFR	WEO	LAC
0004 CHUO	ASP	ASP	WEO
0005 TÜV Sued	WEO	WEO	AFR
0006 TECO	ASP	WEO	ASP
0007 JCI	AFR	ASP	ASP
0008 AZSA	ASP	LAC	WEO
0009 BVQI	AFR	ASP	WEO
0010 SGS	ASP	WEO	LAC
0011 KEMCO	WEO	ASP	WEO
0012 PWCC	Application Withdrawn		
0013 TÜV Rhein.	WEO	WEO	AFR
0014 KPMG	WEO	WEO	AFR
0015 URS	Application Withdrawn		
0016 ERM-CVS	WEO	WEO	ASP
0017 Clouston Env.	WEO	ASP	ASP
0018 BSI UK	AFR	ASP	LAC
0019 Nexant	Application Withdrawn		
0020 CRA	AFR	WEO	ASP
0021 AENOR	AFR	ASP	WEO
0022 RWTÜV	AFR	WEO	WEO
0023 LRQA*	AFR	ASP	WEO
0024 ICONTEC	AFR	ASP	LAC
0025 KFQ	AFR	WEO	WEO
0026 TECPAR	AFR	ASP	LAC
0027 SQS	ASP	ASP	WEO
0028 Shin Nihon	ASP	LAC	ASP
0029 PWC, SA	ASP	AFR	LAC
0030 NKKKQA	ASP	ASP	WEO
0031 Perry Johnson	WEO	WEO	ASP
0032 LGAI Tech.	LAC	AFR	WEO
0033 ECA Cert.	AFR	LAC	ASP

Annex 2

Table: Status of application of AEs

Entity	Completeness check	Initial consideration	CDM-AT	Work plan	Desk review	Add. Docs	On-site assessment	Witnessing activities	Indicative letter	Phased Accreditation and provisional designation
E-0001 / JQA	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOP	I (1.12.03)	AC (24.03.04) AC (11.05.05)
E-0002 / JACO CDM	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOP	I (4.2.05)	AC (23.2.05)
E-0003 / DNV Cert	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (1.12.03) Ie (4.2.05)	AC (24.03.04) AC (12.06.04) AC (08.06.05) ACv (28.9.05)
E-0004 / CHUO	X	X	X	X	X	N	X	X	I (23.04.05)	
E-0005 / TUEV sued	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (1.12.03) Ie (4.2.05)	AC (12.06.04) AC (23.2.05) ACv (28.9.05) AC (25.11.05)
E-0006 / TECO	X	X	X	X	X	N	X	WOP	I (1.12.03)	
E-0007 / JCI	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (26.7.04)	AC (11.05.05) AC (25.11.05)
E-0008 / AZSA Sustainability Co.	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	NP	I(13.11.04)	
E-0009 / BVQI	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (15.3.04)	AC (08.07.05)
E-0010 / SGS UK Ltd	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (25.5.04) Ie (23.4.05)	AC (12.06.04) AC (23.2.05) AC (08.07.05) AC (28.9.05) ACv (25.11.05)
E-0011 / KEMCO	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (13.11.04)	AC (25.11.05)
E-0012 /PWCC	Application Withdrawn									
E-0013 / TUEV Rhein	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOP	I (25.5.04)	AC (11.05.05)
E-0014 / KPMG	X	X	X	X	X	N	X	WOI	I (4.2.05)	AC (08.07.05)
E-0015 / URS	Application Withdrawn									

Entity	Completeness check	Initial consideration	CDM-AT	Work plan	Desk review	Add. Docs	On-site assessment	Witnessing activities	Indicative letter	Phased Accreditation and provisional designation
E-0016 / ERM	X	X	X	X	D	N	Xnc	NP	N/A	
E-0017 / Clouston*	X	X	X	X	RD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
E-0018 / BSI	X	X	X	X	X	N	X	NP	I (23.04.05)	
E-0019 / Nexant	Application Withdrawn									
E-0020 / CRA	X	X	X	X	D	PR	X	N/A	I (25.11.05)	
E-0021 / AENOR	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (4.2.05)	AC (11.05.05)
E-0022 / RWTUV	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (4.2.05)	AC (28.9.05)
E-0023 / LRQA	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (4.2.05)	
E-0024 / ICONTEC	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	NP	I (19.06.05)	
E-0025 / KFQ	X	X	X	X	X	PR	X	WOI	I (23.04.05)	
E-0026 / TECPAR	X	X	X	X	D	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
E-0027 / SQS	PX	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
E-0028 / Shin Nihon	X	X	X	X	P	N	P			
E-0029 / PWC, SA	X	X	X	X	X	N	X	X		
E-0030 / NKKKQA	X	X	X	X	D					
E-0031 / Perry Johnson	X	X	X	X	D					
E-0032 / LGAI Tech.	X	X	X	X	P					
E-0033 / ECA Cert.	X	X	X	X						

Note: E-0012 / PWC C, E-0015 URS Corporation and E-0019 Nexant withdrew their applications

** The entity has not submitted adequate documentation at the desk review stage as requested by the panel.*

Legend:

X=stage completed
 PX= partly completed
 N/A= stage not yet reached
 PR=provided
 NP=not provided
 N=not requested
 D=Drafting
 P=Planned
 DC=Dates confirmed

RD=Requested Delay
 WOI = Witnessing opportunities identified by AT
 WOP =Witnessing opportunities proposed by AE
 WOIa = WOI identified for all sectoral scope(s) applied for
 WOPa = WOP identified for all sectoral scope(s) applied for
 I (date) = Issuing date
 Ie (date) = Issuing date for scope extension
 AC (date) = Accredited and provisionally designated
 XNC = AE addresses non-conformities