

 <p style="text-align: center;">CDM: Proposed new AR methodology assessment form (version 01) (To be used by AR WG members assessing the quality of a proposed new AR methodology)</p>		
Title of proposed new AR methodology:		
Related F-CDM-AR-NM document ID number		
<p><i>Note to the person completing this form: Please assess the quality of the submitted new AR methodology according to paragraph 5 of the procedures for submission and consideration of proposed new AR methodologies.</i></p> <p><i>The responses to the evaluation criteria below shall be considered as substantiation to evaluate a case as 1 or 2.</i></p> <p><i>If one of the evaluation criteria below is checked as NO the documentation will be graded as 2 and is to be sent back to the project participants.</i></p> <p><i>If all the evaluation criteria below are checked as YES the documentation will be graded as 1 and shall be considered as received by the Board and be forwarded by the secretariat for consideration of the Board and the Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group.</i></p>		
Evaluation of the proposed new AR methodologies by Afforestation and Reforestation Working Group Member:		
Evaluation criteria	YES	NO
1. Coverage of all the CDM-AR-PDD and forms (CDM-AR-NMB and CDM-AR-NMM) sections as outlined in the applicable guidelines.		
2. General quality of Proposed New AR baseline and monitoring Methodologies: (CDM-AR-NMB) in accordance with applicable guidelines.		
2.1. Correct use of terminology (e.g. use of the terms and definitions of the modalities and procedures for A/R under the CDM)		
2.2. Clarity and conciseness (e.g. understandable, avoids repetitions, etc.)		
2.3. Proper and complete supporting documentation		
2.4. Conditions for use do not anticipate baseline or additionality determination		
2.5. Stand alone methodologies: Clear separation between the CDM-AR-PDD and the AR methodologies.		
3. The assessment on whether the project is not part of the AR baseline scenario (additionality) is covered.		
3.1. Additionality: Clear and concise presentation of methodological steps to assess additionality.		
3.2. Additionality: Additionality tests described include at least either a barriers or an investment analysis (does not include only a common practice analysis).		
3.3. Additionality: Clear stepwise approach is outlined in the CDM-AR-NMB (does not simply quote general guidance by the Board).		

3.4. Additionality: Clear relationship between steps is provided.			
4. Substantive justification of the baseline approach?			
5. Demonstrates eligibility of the project/land?			
Information to be completed by the secretariat			
F-CDM-AR-NMas doc id number		F-CDM-AR-NMas-ARNM00XX	
Date when the form was received at UNFCCC secretariat			